Form **990**

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

EXTENDED TO NOVEMBER 15, 2018

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except private foundations)

OMB No. 1545-0047

▶ Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public.

▶ Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

Open to Public Inspection

A	For th	e 2017 calendar year, or tax year beginning and e	ending		
В	Check if applicab	C Name of organization		D Employer ident	ification number
	Addre	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION			
Ē	Name	E-12-1		94-	2197343
Γ	Initial		Room/suite	E Telephone num	
一	Final	030 C CMDEEM			-419-7111
	termir			G Gross receipts \$	20,281,710.
	Amen	ded CACDAMENTO CA 05014		H(a) Is this a group	
	Appli	F Name and address of principal officer: STEVEN D. ANDERSON		for subordinat	
	pendi	930 G STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814		H(b) Are all subordinate	es included? Yes No
1	Tax-ex	empt status: X 501(c)(3)	r 527	1	a list. (see instructions)
J	Websi	te: ▶ PACIFICLEGAL.ORG		H(c) Group exemp	tion number >
K	Form o	forganization: X Corporation Trust Association Other ▶	L Year	of formation: 1973	M State of legal domicile: CA
P	art I	Summary			
a)	1	Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: PACIF	FIC LE	GAL FOUNDA	TION
Activities & Governance		LITIGATES NATIONWIDE TO SECURE ALL AMERIC	CANS'	INALIENABL	E RIGHTS TO
rns	2	Check this box if the organization discontinued its operations or dispose			assets.
ŏ	3	Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)			3 23
ص ھ	4	Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b)			4 23
es	5	Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2017 (Part V, line 2a)			5 86
Viti	6	Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary)			6 0
\cti	7 a	Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12		7	'a 0.
_	b	Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34			ъ 0.
				Prior Year	Current Year
ē	8	Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)		9,783,403	
enr	9	Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)		167,147	
Revenue	10	Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)		857,136	
	11	Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)		-29,548	
_	12	Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)		10,778,138	
	13	Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)		6,000	
	14	Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)			. 0.
es	10	Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)		7,462,721	
Expenses		Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)		138,215	139,322.
ďx		Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) 1,395,18			
ш		Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)		2,976,219	
		Total expenses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)		10,583,155	
- 70	19	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12		194,983	
Net Assets or Fund Balances				ginning of Current Yea	
sset	20	Total assets (Part X, line 16)		47,864,523	
at A	21	Total liabilities (Part X, line 26)		1,612,643	
	22	Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20		46,251,880	. 52,027,269.
_	art II	Signature Block			
		lties of perjury, I declare that Have examined this return, including accompanying schedules			my knowledge and belief, it is
true	correc	t, and complete. Declaration of p eparer (other than afficer) is based on all information of whi	ich preparer	has any knowledge.	la
		Signature dioffider			8
Sig	n	The state of the s		Date	
Her	е	STEVEN D. ANDERSON, PRESIDENT & CEO Type or print name and title			
		Po 31 1	10	loto I o	DTIN
D. 11		Print/Type preparer's name Preparer's signature		Pate Check if self-emp	PTIN
Paid		LINDA L. HOUSE, CPA			
	arer	Firm's name CAMPBELL TAYLOR & COMPANY		Firm's EIN	68-0251243
Use	Only	Firm's address 3741 DOUGLAS BLVD, SUITE 350			016\000 0500
		ROSEVILLE, CA 95661		Phone no. (916)929-3680
ivial	tne II	RS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions)			X Yes No

Form **8868**

(Rev. January 2017)

Application for Automatic Extension of Time To File an **Exempt Organization Return**

OMB No. 1545-1709

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Information about Form 8868 and its instructions is at www.irs.gov/form8868.

File a separate application for each return.

Electronic filing (e-file). You can electronically file Form 8868 to request a 6-month automatic extension of time to file any of the forms listed below with the exception of Form 8870, Information Return for Transfers Associated With Certain Personal Benefit

Contracts, for which an extension request must be sent to the IRS in paper format (see instructions). For more details on the electronic filing of this form, visit www.irs.gov/efile, click on Charities & Non-Profits, and click on e-file for Charities and Non-Profits. Automatic 6-Month Extension of Time. Only submit original (no copies needed). All corporations required to file an income tax return other than Form 990-T (including 1120-C filers), partnerships, REMICs, and trusts must use Form 7004 to request an extension of time to file income tax returns. Enter filer's identifying number Employer identification number (EIN) or Name of exempt organization or other filer, see instructions. Type or print 94-2197343 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION File by the Number, street, and room or suite no. If a P.O. box, see instructions. Social security number (SSN) due date for filing your 930 G STREET return. See City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code. For a foreign address, see instructions. instructions SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 Enter the Return Code for the return that this application is for (file a separate application for each return) 0 1 Return **Application** Return Application Code Is For Code Is For Form 990 or Form 990-EZ Form 990-T (corporation) 07 01 02 Form 1041-A 08 Form 990-BL Form 4720 (other than individual) 09 Form 4720 (individual) 03 Form 990-PF Form 5227 10 Form 990-T (sec. 401(a) or 408(a) trust) 05 Form 6069 11 Form 990-T (trust other than above) 06 Form 8870 12 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION The books are in the care of > 930 G STREET - SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 Fax No. ▶ 916-419-7747 Telephone No. ▶ 916-419-7111 If the organization does not have an office or place of business in the United States, check this box If this is for a Group Return, enter the organization's four digit Group Exemption Number (GEN) . If this is for the whole group, check this box 🕨 💹 . If it is for part of the group, check this box 🕨 🔛 and attach a list with the names and EINs of all members the extension is for. NOVEMBER 15, 2018, to file the exempt organization return I request an automatic 6-month extension of time until for the organization named above. The extension is for the organization's return for:

▶ X calendar year 2017 or , and ending tax year beginning Final return If the tax year entered in line 1 is for less than 12 months, check reason Initial return Change in accounting period If this application is for Forms 990-BL, 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter the tentative tax, less any nonrefundable credits. See instructions. 3a If this application is for Forms 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter any refundable credits and estimated tax payments made. Include any prior year overpayment allowed as a credit. Balance due. Subtract line 3b from line 3a. Include your payment with this form, if required,

Caution: If you are going to make an electronic funds withdrawal (direct debit) with this Form 8868, see Form 8453-EO and Form 8879-EO for payment instructions.

LHA For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions.

by using EFTPS (Electronic Federal Tax Payment System). See instructions.

Form 8868 (Rev. 1-2017)

SEE SCHEDULE O FOR CONTINUATION(S)

Form 990 (2017)

Form 990 (2017) PACIFIC LEGA
Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules

			Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)?			
•	If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1	Х	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors?	2	Х	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for			
-	public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		х
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect			
	during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4		Х
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or			
_	similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5		Х
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to			
	provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		х
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space,			
-	the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		X
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule D, Part III	8		х
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability, serve as a custodian for			
•	amounts not listed in Part X; or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		х
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent			
10	endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10	х	
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X			
••	as applicable.			
а	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D,			
<u> </u>	Part VI	11a	х	
b	Did the organization report an amount for investments - other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total			
_	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11b	х	
С	Did the organization report an amount for investments - program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total			
	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c		Х
d	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in			
	Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d		X
е	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	Х	
f	Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses			
	the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11f	х	
12a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a	х	ļ.,,
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year?			
_	If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b		X
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		Х
14a	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		Х
b	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business,			
	investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000			
	or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b		Х
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for any			
	foreign organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15		X
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or other assistance to			
	or for foreign individuals? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16		X
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX,			
	column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I	17	Х	
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines			
	1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18	Х	
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes,"			
	complete Schedule G, Part III	19		Х

Form 990 (2017)

Form 990 (2017) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUN
Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)

			Yes	No
20a	Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20a		_X_
b	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b		
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to any domestic organization or			
	domestic government on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21		_X_
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for domestic individuals on			
	Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22	X	
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current			
	and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule J	23	Х	_
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the			
	last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete			7.7
	Schedule K. If "No", go to line 25a	24a		_ <u>X</u> _
	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
С	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
	Section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit			
	transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		X
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and			
	that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule L, Part I	25b		X
26	Did the organization report any amount on Part X, line 5, 6, or 22 for receivables from or payables to any current or			
	former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, or disqualified persons? If "Yes,"			
	complete Schedule L, Part II	26		_X_
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial			
	contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled entity or family member			
	of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		_X_
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV			
	instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):			
	A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a		<u>X</u>
	A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b		_X_
С	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer,			37
	director, trustee, or direct or indirect owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c	37	_X_
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29	Х	-
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation			v
0.4	contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		_X_
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations?			х
32	If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete	31		
32	Schedule N, Part II	32		_X_
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations			
	sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33	X	
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III, or IV, and			
	Part V, line 1	34		_X_
35a	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a		_X_
b	If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity			
	within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	35b		
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization?			-
	If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		_X_
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization			
	and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI	37		_ <u>X</u> _
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and 19?	_	3,7	
	Note. All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O	38	X	

Form 990 (2017)

b If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O.

Form 990 (2017) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 94-2197343 Page
Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response

	to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See instructions.			
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VI			X
Sec	tion A. Governing Body and Management			
	The same of the sa		Yes	No
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year 1a 23			
	If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing			
	body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain in Schedule O.			
b	Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent 1b 23			
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with any other			
_	officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	2		X
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct supervision			
•	of officers, directors, or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?	3		X
4	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed?	4		X
5	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets?	5		X
6	Did the organization have members or stockholders?	6		X
7a	Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint one or			
	more members of the governing body?	7a		Х
h	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members, stockholders, or			
	persons other than the governing body?	7b		х
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year by the following:			
а	The governing body?	8a	х	
b	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?	8b	X	
9	Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at the		- 11	
9	organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	9		Х
Sac	tion B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Revenue Code.)			- 22
	tion B. I onoics (mis section B requests information about policies not required by the internal revenue code.)		Yes	No
100	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	10a	103	X
	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters, affiliates,	104	_	21
D	and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?	10b		
110	Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filing the form?	11a	х	
b	Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990.	, 10	- 11	
	Did the second state have a suite a second state of interest selling O to 100 t	12a	х	
12a	Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to conflicts?	12b	X	
b	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe	120	41	
С		12c	х	
42	in Schedule O how this was done Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	13	X	
13 14	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy? Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	14	X	
	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by independent	14	- 43	
15	persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?			
_		150	х	
	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official Other officers or key employees of the organization	15a 15b	X	
D	Other officers or key employees of the organization If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions).	100	- 21	
16-	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a			
ıoa		16a		х
h	taxable entity during the year? If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation	104		22
D	in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the organization's			
	THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE STATE OF TH	16b		
202	exempt status with respect to such arrangements? tion C. Disclosure	TOD		
	List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed ►CA, AK, AZ, FL, HI, IL, KS, MD, MA	мт	MN	N.T
17 10				1110
18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (Section 501(c)(3)s only) a	validD	ıo	
	for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply. X Own website X Upon request Other (explain in Schedule O)			
40		finan	oial	
19	Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and	шапо	Jidl	
00	statements available to the public during the tax year. State the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's books and records:			
20	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION - 916-419-7111			
	930 G STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814			
0000	3 11-28-17 SEE SCHEDULE O FOR FULL LIST OF STATES	Form	990	(2017)
ozuni	FIENDER DESCRIPTION OF TON FORM DIGIT OF DIVIDO	1 01111	~~~	(EU I

Part VII Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VII

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

- 1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.
- List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.
 - List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employee."
- List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

List persons in the following order: individual trustees or directors; institutional trustees; officers; key employees; highest compensated employees; and former such persons.

(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per	box	not c	ss pe	itior more rson	than	h an	(D) Reportable compensation	(E) Reportable compensation	(F) Estimated amount of
	week (list any hours for related organizations below line)	stee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer p. p.	Key employee	Highest compensated highest compensated matyloge		from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	from related organizations (W-2/1099-MISC)	other compensation from the organization and related organizations
(1) JAMES L. CLOUD	1.00	Ī_						_		
TRUSTEE	1 00	X	_			-		0.	0.	0.
(2) GREG EVANS	1.00	.,		3.7				_		0
CHAIR OF THE BOARD	1 00	X		X		┢	-	0.	0.	0.
(3) LEONARD S FRANK	1.00	х		х				0.	0.	0.
SECRETARY-TREASURER	1.00	^		^			\vdash	0.	0.	0 1
(4) WILLIAM E. RYAN	1.00	х						0.	0.	0
TRUSTEE (5) BRIAN G. CARTWRIGHT	1.00	^						0.	- 0.	0,
VICE CHAIR	1,00	x		X				0.	0.	0
(6) GEORGE KIMBALL	1.00	-		-						
TRUSTEE		х						0.	0.	0,
(7) APRIL J MORRIS	1.00									
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0	0 :
(8) JERRY W.P. SCHAUFFLER	1.00									
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0.	0
(9) BRUCE C. SMITH	1.00									
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0.	0
(10) CHARLES W. TRAINOR	1.00									0.23
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0.	0
(11) RONALD E VAN BUSKIRK	1.00									
TRUSTEE	1 00	X			_	_	_	0.	0.	0
(12) DONALD JOE WILLIS	1.00	٠,						_		0
TRUSTEE	1 00	X						0.	0.	0
(13) H. DIXON MONTAGUE	1.00	7.								_
TRUSTEE	1.00	X		-				0.	0.	0.,
(14) JEFFREY E. WARREN	1.00	x						0.	0.	0
TRUSTEE	1.00	Δ		_			-	0.	0.	U.5
(15) AMY B BOULRIS PRUSTEE	1.00	x						0.	0.	0
(16) ROSS BORBA JR.	1.00	41								0
FRUSTEE	1.00	x						0.	0.	0
	1.00	-								
(17) JOHN C. HARRIS										

732007 11-28-17

Form 990 (2017)

Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Trus	tees, Key Em	ploy	ees	, an	d Hi	ghe	st C	Compensated Employee	es (continued)				
(A)	(B)			•	C)			(D)	(E)			(F)	
Name and title	Average	(do			itior more	than	one	Reportable	Reportable			timate	
	hours per	box	, unle	ss pe	erson	is bot	h an	compensation	compensation	.		nount	
	week	-	_	id a d	nrecto	irius	tee)	from	from related			other	
	(list any hours for	irecto						the	organizations			pensa om th	
	related	or d	製			sated		organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	(W-2/1099-MISC	"		om m anizat	_
	organizations	ruste	l frus		ae	ub du		(44-2/1099-141130)			_	d relat	
	below	lual t	tiona		ploy	stcor	_					anizati	
	line)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	(ey en	Highest compensated employee	Forme				3-		
(18) ROBERT D. CONNORS	1.00				1								
TRUSTEE		x						0.		0.			0.
(19) ALEXANDER F. COHEN	1.00												
TRUSTEE		X						0.		0.			0.
(20) MICHAEL WINER	1.00												
TRUSTEE		X						0 .		0.			0.
(21) ROBERT K BEST	1.00												
TRUSTEE		X	_		_	L		0.		0.			0.
(22) CAROL P LIEBAU	1.00				l I								77940
TRUSTEE		X	_		_			0.		0.			0.
(23) JOHN YOO	1.00									,			0
TRUSTEE	27 50	X	-					0.		0.			0.
(24) STEVEN D. ANDERSON	37.50	1		x				413,247.		0.		8 N	34.
PRESIDENT & CEO (25) JAMES S BURLING	37.50	\vdash	\vdash	^				413,247		*		0,0	J.4.
DIR.LITIGATION ASST SEC/TR	37130	1		x				235,681.		0.	2	1.9	21.
(26) JOHN M GROEN	37.50	\vdash	\vdash		T	Т		200,0011				-1-	
EXECUTIVE VP & GENERAL COUNSEL	37130	1			x			255,943.		0.	3	0.2	68.
1b Sub-total					-		•	904,871.		0.			23.
c Total from continuation sheets to Part V								1,081,773.					18.
d Total (add lines 1b and 1c)								1,986,644.		0.			41.
Total number of individuals (including but n													
compensation from the organization						-,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,				23
3												Yes	No
3 Did the organization list any former officer,	director, or tru	uste	e, ke	y er	mplo	yee.	, or	highest compensated e	mployee on				
line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for s	uch individual	****			5000	. G					3		X
4 For any individual listed on line 1a, is the su													
and related organizations greater than \$15											4	X	
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive or a	accrue compe	nsat	ion f	from	any	/ unr	elat	ted organization or indivi	dual for services				2227
rendered to the organization? If "Yes," com	plete Schedul	e J i	for s	uch	pers	son .					5		X
Section B. Independent Contractors			_										
1 Complete this table for your five highest co										ensa	ation 1	rom	
the organization. Report compensation for	tne calendar y	ear	enai	ng v	vitn	or w	itnii		year.			31	
(A) Name and business	address							(B) Description of s	ervices	C	ر ompe	C) nsatio	n
EMERGENT ORDER, LLC, 382		C)NI(ומב	RC	q			-				
AVENUE BLDG 2, AUSTIN, T		٠.	J_1(J111		•	-	MARKETING			37	8.7	91.
BLV AGRIBUSINESS, 2945 C		DI	RIV	VΕ									
FALLBROOK, CA 92028-8771				. —				PUBLIC RELAT	IONS		13	9,3	22.
										40			
							-						
2 Total number of independent contractors (i	8 540 ASA	ot li	mite	d to		200	stec	d above) who received m	ore than				
\$100,000 of compensation from the organi	zation >					2							

732008 11-28-17

SEE PART VII, SECTION A CONTINUATION SHEETS

Form **990** (2017)

Form 990 PACIFIC	LEGAL FO	JUI	NDA	4.T. T	LOI	<u></u>			94-219	/343
Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Tr		101150					est	Compensated Employ	ees (continued)	
(A) Name and title	(B) Average hours			(C Posi	C) ition	l		(D) Reportable compensation	(E) Reportable compensation	(F) Estimated amount of
	per week (list any hours for related organizations below line)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	from the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	from related organizations (W-2/1099-MISC)	other compensation from the organization and related organizations
(27) CHARLES WILCOX IV	37.50							4.50 5.74	•	4 0 4 0
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	25 50				X		_	168,654.	0.	4,948
28) M.REED HOPPER	37.50					x		188,346.	0.	29,698
(29) MERIEM HUBBARD	37.50					х		179,387.	0.	19,840
ATTORNEY (30) TODD GAZIANO	37.50					^		179,307.	0.	19,040
ATTORNEY						X		213,058.	0.	19,886
(31) DAMIEN SCHIFF ATTORNEY	37.50					x		167,995.	0.	23,938
(32) DEBORAH LAFETRA	37.50					.,			0	
ATTORNEY						Х		164,333.	0.	19,408
							-			
Fotal to Part VII, Section A, line 1c								1,081,773.		117,718

94-2197343 Form 990 (2017) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION Page 9 Part VIII Statement of Revenue Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VIII (B) (C) (D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512 - 514 Related or Unrelated Total revenue exempt function business revenue revenue Contributions, Gifts, Grants and Other Similar Amounts 1 a Federated campaigns 1a Membership dues 1b c Fundraising events 1c 96,585 d Related organizations 1d e Government grants (contributions) 1e f All other contributions, gifts, grants, and similar amounts not included above 10,062,441 444,800 g Noncash contributions included in lines 1a-1f: \$___ h Total. Add lines 1a-1f 10 159 026 Business Code Program Service Revenue 2 a COURT AWARDED ATTY FEES 541100 2,356,239 2,356,239 f All other program service revenue g Total. Add lines 2a-2f 2,356,239 Investment income (including dividends, interest, and other similar amounts) 709,586 709,586, Income from investment of tax-exempt bond proceeds 4 5 Royalties (i) Real 6 a Gross rents **b** Less: rental expenses c Rental income or (loss) d Net rental income or (loss) 7 a Gross amount from sales of (i) Securities (ii) Other assets other than inventory 7,021,508 b Less: cost or other basis and sales expenses 6,797,005 c Gain or (loss) [224,503. d Net gain or (loss) 224,503 224,503. 8 a Gross income from fundraising events (not Other Revenue 96,585, of including \$ contributions reported on line 1c). See Part IV, line 18 22.080 b Less: direct expenses ____ b 107.462 c Net income or (loss) from fundraising events -85.382. 9 a Gross income from gaming activities. See Part IV, line 19 b Less: direct expenses b c Net income or (loss) from gaming activities 10 a Gross sales of inventory, less returns and allowances b Less: cost of goods sold _____ b c Net income or (loss) from sales of inventory Miscellaneous Revenue **Business Code** 11 a OTHER INCOME 541100 13,271 13,271 d All other revenue e Total. Add lines 11a-11d

Total revenue. See instructions.

848,707.

13,271

2,369,510

13,377,243

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

	tion 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must comp Check if Schedule O contains a response				
	not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.	(A) Total expenses	(B) Program service expenses	(C) Management and general expenses	(D) Fundraising expenses
1	Grants and other assistance to domestic organizations				
	and domestic governments. See Part IV, line 21				
2	Grants and other assistance to domestic				
	individuals. See Part IV, line 22	9,000.	9,000.		
3	Grants and other assistance to foreign				
	organizations, foreign governments, and foreign				
	individuals. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16				
4	Benefits paid to or for members				
5	Compensation of current officers, directors,	440.000		400 044	
	trustees, and key employees	648,928.	504,292.	103,311.	41,325
6	Compensation not included above, to disqualified				
	persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and				
_	persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)	C 07C 107	E 031 700	704 051	E40 247
7	Other salaries and wages	6,276,187.	5,031,789.	704,051.	540,347
8	Pension plan accruals and contributions (include	225 407	165 513	20 217	21 677
_	section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions)	225,407.	165,513.	28,217.	31,677
9	Other employee benefits	485,685.	364,299.	80,611. 85,158.	40,775 53,200
10	Payroll taxes	479,961.	341,603.	03,130.	55,200
11	Fees for services (non-employees):				
a		149,498.	9,889.	132,628.	6,981
b		72,663.	9,009.	72,663.	0,901
c d		12,005.		12,003.	
e	0 () () () () () () () ()	139,322.			139,322
f		135,344.			137,344
g					
9	column (A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Sch O.)				
12	Advertising and promotion				
13	Office expenses	172,934.	11,348.	121,552.	40,034
14	Information technology				•
15	Royalties				
16	Occupancy	342,609.	273,889.	39,943.	28,777
17	Travel	200,958.	117,504.	24,038.	59,416
18	Payments of travel or entertainment expenses				
	for any federal, state, or local public officials				
19	Conferences, conventions, and meetings	80,110.	41,021.	4,692.	34,397
20	Interest	3,903.	3,120.	455.	328
21	Payments to affiliates				9 - W - W - W - W - W - W - W - W - W -
22	Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	288,907.	230,958.	33,682.	24,267.
23	Insurance	84,126.	67,252.	9,808.	7,066
24	Other expenses. Itemize expenses not covered above. (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24e. If line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule 0.)				
а	DOTAMINA A DUDI TOAMIONO	864,321.	755,592.	1,558.	107,171
b	POSTAGE & SHIPPING	333,789.	109,127.	14,928.	209,734
c	OMILED EUDENGEG	222,597.	59,855.	153,017.	9,725
d		189,628.	189,628.		- 1/2
	All other expenses	398,251.	308,341.	69,263.	20,647.
25	Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24e	11,668,784.	8,594,020.	1,679,575.	1,395,189
26	Joint costs. Complete this line only if the organization				
	reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined				
	educational campaign and fundraising solicitation.				
	Check here I if following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)	94,268.	47,134.	0.	47,134.

Parl	tΧ	Balance Sheet					
		Check if Schedule O contains a response or note	to an	y line in this Part X		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					(A) Beginning of year		(B) End of year
	1	Cash - non-interest-bearing	2222 - 4214	S	2,359,874.		1,755,259
	2	Savings and temporary cash investments			54,066.	2	274,090
	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net		1,180,407.	3	2,158,862	
	4	Accounts receivable, net				4	
	5	Loans and other receivables from current and for					
		trustees, key employees, and highest compensat					
		Part II of Schedule L		5			
	6	Loans and other receivables from other disqualifi					
		section 4958(f)(1)), persons described in section	4958(c)(3)(B), and contributing			
ľ		employers and sponsoring organizations of section					
σ l		employees' beneficiary organizations (see instr).		6			
Assets	7	Notes and loans receivable, net				7	
¥	8	Inventories for sale or use				8	
	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges			158,916.	_	181,885
	_	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or other					
		basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D	10a	5.550.903.			
	ь	Less: accumulated depreciation	10b	1,926,307.	3,747,020.	10c	3,624,596
	11	Investments - publicly traded securities			01.1.10	11	
	12	Investments - other securities. See Part IV, line 1			39,020,056.		43,862,702
	13	Investments - program-related. See Part IV, line 1	02/020/000	13			
	14	Intangible assets		14			
	15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11	0000000		1,344,184.		1,470,461
	16	Total assets, Add lines 1 through 15 (must equa			47,864,523.		53,327,855
_	17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses			128,811.		171,387
- 1	18	Grants payable				18	
	19	Deferred revenue	g gli	19			
	20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities				20	
	21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete P				21	
ဖွ	22	Loans and other payables to current and former		1755			
<u>≅</u>		key employees, highest compensated employees					
Liabilities		Complete Part II of Schedule L				22	
3	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelate				23	
- 1	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated				24	
	25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, pay					
		parties, and other liabilities not included on lines	17-24). Complete Part X of			
		Schedule D			1,483,832.	25	1,129,199
	26	Total liabilities. Add lines 17 through 25			1,612,643.	26	1,300,586
		Organizations that follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958)	, chec	k here 🕨 🗓 and			
စ္က		complete lines 27 through 29, and lines 33 and	134.				
ğ	27	Unrestricted net assets			43,085,968.	27	48,926,378
<u>ala</u>	28	Temporarily restricted net assets			2,017,624.	28	1,775,804
<u> </u>	29	Permanently restricted net assets			1,148,288.	29	1,325,087
Net Assets or Fund Balances		Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117 (AS					
-		and complete lines 30 through 34.					
ु ३	30	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds			30		
188	31	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or equ				31	
et /	32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated inc				32	
ž	33	Total net assets or fund balances			46,251,880.	33	52,027,269
	34	Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances			47,864,523.	34	53,327,855

Form 990 (2017)

SCHEDULE A

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Public Charity Status and Public Support Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section

4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.

▶ Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047

Inspection

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION **Employer identification number**

		PACI	FIC LEGAL	FOUNDATION				9	4-2197343					
Pa	art I	Reason for Public	Charity Status (All organizations must c	omplete th	is part.) S	ee instructions	3.						
The	orga	nization is not a private found	lation because it is:	For lines 1 through 12, o	check only	one box.)			=======================================					
1		A church, convention of ch	urches, or association	on of churches describe	d in sectio	n 170(b)(1)(A)(i).							
2		A school described in sect												
3		A hospital or a cooperative					ii).							
4		A medical research organiz					-	(iii). Enter	the hospital's name,					
		city, and state:	·											
5		An organization operated for	or the benefit of a co	llege or university owne	d or opera	ted by a g	overnmental u	ınit descrik	ped in					
		section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv). (0		,	•	, ,								
6		A federal, state, or local government or governmental unit described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(v).												
7	X													
		section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Complete Part II.)												
8		section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Complete Part II.) A community trust described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Complete Part II.)												
9		An agricultural research org				ed in coniu	inction with a	land-grant	college					
		or university or a non-land-												
		university:	g. a			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,							
10		An organization that norma	illy receives: (1) more	than 33 1/3% of its sur	port from	contributi	ons, members	hip fees, a	and gross receipts from					
-		activities related to its exen												
		income and unrelated busin												
		See section 509(a)(2). (Co		(<i>,</i>				3						
11		An organization organized	•	ively to test for public sa	afetv. See	section 50	09(a)(4).							
12		An organization organized	•		•			arry out the	purposes of one or					
		more publicly supported or	ganizations describe	ed in section 509(a)(1) o	r section	509(a)(2).	See section 8	509(a)(3). (Check the box in					
		lines 12a through 12d that												
а		Type I. A supporting orga						-	giving					
		the supported organization	· ·	•	•				• •					
		organization. You must o												
b		Type II. A supporting org	anization supervised	or controlled in connec	tion with it	s support	ed organizatio	n(s), by ha	ving					
		control or management o	of the supporting org	anization vested in the s	ame perso	ons that co	ontrol or mana	ge the sur	ported					
		organization(s). You mus	t complete Part IV,	Sections A and C.										
С		Type III functionally inte	grated. A supportin	g organization operated	in connec	tion with,	and functiona	ly integrat	ed with,					
		its supported organizatio	n(s) (see instructions). You must complete	Part IV, Se	ections A,	D, and E.							
d		Type III non-functionally	y integrated. A supp	orting organization oper	ated in co	nnection v	with its suppor	ted organ	zation(s)					
		that is not functionally int	egrated. The organiz	ation generally must sa	tisfy a dist	ribution re	quirement and	d an attent	iveness					
		requirement (see instruct	ions). You must co r	nplete Part IV, Sections	s A and D,	and Part	V.							
е		Check this box if the orga	anization received a	written determination fro	m the IRS	that it is a	a Type I, Type	II, Type III						
		functionally integrated, or	r Type I <mark>II non-f</mark> unctio	nally integrated support	ing organiz	zation.								
f	Ent	er the number of supported o	organizations											
g	Pro	vide the following information				niastian lietad								
		(i) Name of supported	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization (described on lines 1-10	(iv) Is the orga in your governi	ng document?	(v) Amount of	,	(vi) Amount of other					
		organization		above (see instructions))	Yes	No	support (see in	structions)	support (see instructions)					
_														
_														
_														
			-											
Tota														
CHE														

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 94-2197:

Part II Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III.)

Se	ction A. Public Support						
Cale	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2013	(b) 2014	(c) 2015	(d) 2016	(e) 2017	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and						
	membership fees received. (Do not						
	include any "unusual grants.")	9,115,229.	12,991,947.	10,150,428.	9,809,563.	10,159,026.	52,226,193.
2	Tax revenues levied for the organ-						
	ization's benefit and either paid to						
	or expended on its behalf						
3	The value of services or facilities						
	furnished by a governmental unit to						
	the organization without charge						
4	Total. Add lines 1 through 3	9,115,229,	12,991,947,	10,150,428,	9,809,563.	10,159,026,	52,226,193.
5				,	-7		
	by each person (other than a						
	governmental unit or publicly						
	supported organization) included						
	on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the						
	amount shown on line 11,						
	column (f)						2,610,465.
6	Public support. Subtract line 5 from line 4.						49 615 728.
	ction B. Total Support						
_	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2013	(b) 2014	(c) 2015	(d) 2016	(e) 2017	(f) Total
7	Amounts from line 4	9,115,229.	12,991,947.	10,150,428.	9,809,563.	10,159,026.	52,226,193,
	Gross income from interest,	,					
	dividends, payments received on						
	securities loans, rents, royalties,						
	and income from similar sources	1.140.253.	2,831,012.	839,090.	857,136.	934,089.	6,601,580.
9	Net income from unrelated business			,			
_	activities, whether or not the						
	business is regularly carried on						
10	Other income. Do not include gain						
	or loss from the sale of capital						
	assets (Explain in Part VI.)	5,485.	3,517.	45,776.	40,099.	13.271.	108,148.
11	Total support. Add lines 7 through 10			•			58,935,921.
	Gross receipts from related activities,	etc. (see instruction	ons)			12 3	,415,292.
	First five years. If the Form 990 is for						
	organization, check this box and stop	•			•		>
Sec	ction C. Computation of Publi	c Support Per	rcentage				
14	Public support percentage for 2017 (li	ne 6, column (f) di	vided by line 11, c	olumn (f))		14	84.19 %
	Public support percentage from 2016				141-7-4-7-4-7-4-7-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-	15	83.49 %
	33 1/3% support test - 2017. If the o					nore, check this bo	x and
	stop here. The organization qualifies a						
b	33 1/3% support test - 2016. If the o						
	and stop here. The organization quali	•					
17a	10% -facts-and-circumstances test						
	and if the organization meets the "fact						
	meets the "facts-and-circumstances"			•	·	-	200
h	10% -facts-and-circumstances test						
	more, and if the organization meets th						
	organization meets the "facts-and-circ						
18	Private foundation. If the organization						
14	The roundation in the organization	. s.cot oncon a l		11 31 01 170		dula A /Earm 990	

Part III | Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 10 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

Se	ction A. Public Support						
Cale	endar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2013	(b) 2014	(c) 2015	(d) 2016	(e) 2017	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not						
	include any "unusual grants.")						
2	Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose						
3	Gross receipts from activities that						
	are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513			-			
4	Tax revenues levied for the organ- ization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
5	The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge						
6	Total. Add lines 1 through 5						
7	Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons						
l	Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year						
•	Add lines 7a and 7b						
	Public support. (Subtract line 7c from line 6.)						
_	ction B. Total Support				T		r
	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2013	(b) 2014	(c) 2015	(d) 2016	(e) 2017	(f) Total
	Amounts from line 6 Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources						7
k	Unrelated business taxable income						
	(less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975						
	Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on						
12	Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.)						
13	Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.)						
14	First five years. If the Form 990 is for	the organization's	s first, second, thir	d, fourth, or fifth t	ax year as a sectio	n 501(c)(3) organiz	zation,
_	check this box and stop here						
	ction C. Computation of Publi					1 1	
	Public support percentage for 2017 (li					15	%
	Public support percentage from 2016				*************	16	%
_	ction D. Computation of Inves					T T	
	Investment income percentage for 20					17	<u>%</u>
	Investment income percentage from 2					18	%
19a	33 1/3% support tests - 2017. If the	-					A2760
	more than 33 1/3%, check this box ar	-	•				
t	33 1/3% support tests - 2016. If the						201
20	line 18 is not more than 33 1/3%, che		•			-	

Part IV Supporting Organizations

(Complete only if you checked a box in line 12 on Part I. If you checked 12a of Part I, complete Sections A and B. If you checked 12b of Part I, complete Sections A and C. If you checked 12c of Part I, complete Sections A, D, and E. If you checked 12d of Part I, complete Sections A and D, and complete Part V.)

	Sections A, D, and E. If you checked 12d of Part I, complete Sections A and D, and complete Part V.)			
Sec	tion A. All Supporting Organizations			
			Yes	No
1	Are all of the organization's supported organizations listed by name in the organization's governing			
	documents? If "No," describe in Part VI how the supported organizations are designated. If designated by			
	class or purpose, describe the designation. If historic and continuing relationship, explain.	_1_		
2	Did the organization have any supported organization that does not have an IRS determination of status			
	under section 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in Part VI how the organization determined that the supported			
	organization was described in section 509(a)(1) or (2).	2		
За	Did the organization have a supported organization described in section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6)? If "Yes," answer			
	(b) and (c) below.	3a		
b	Did the organization confirm that each supported organization qualified under section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) and			
	satisfied the public support tests under section 509(a)(2)? If "Yes," describe in Part VI when and how the			
	organization made the determination.	3b		
С	Did the organization ensure that all support to such organizations was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B)			
	purposes? If "Yes," explain in Part VI what controls the organization put in place to ensure such use.	3c		
4a	Was any supported organization not organized in the United States ("foreign supported organization")? If			
	"Yes," and if you checked 12a or 12b in Part I, answer (b) and (c) below.	4a		
b	Did the organization have ultimate control and discretion in deciding whether to make grants to the foreign			
	supported organization? If "Yes," describe in Part VI how the organization had such control and discretion			
	despite being controlled or supervised by or in connection with its supported organizations.	4b		
C	Did the organization support any foreign supported organization that does not have an IRS determination			
	under sections 501(c)(3) and 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in Part VI what controls the organization used			
	to ensure that all support to the foreign supported organization was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B)			
	purposes.	4c		
5a	Did the organization add, substitute, or remove any supported organizations during the tax year? If "Yes,"			
	answer (b) and (c) below (if applicable). Also, provide detail in Part VI, including (i) the names and EIN			
	numbers of the supported organizations added, substituted, or removed; (ii) the reasons for each such action;			
	(iii) the authority under the organization's organizing document authorizing such action; and (iv) how the action			
	was accomplished (such as by amendment to the organizing document).	5a	-	
b	Type I or Type II only. Was any added or substituted supported organization part of a class already			
	designated in the organization's organizing document?	5b	-	
С	Substitutions only. Was the substitution the result of an event beyond the organization's control?	5c		
6	Did the organization provide support (whether in the form of grants or the provision of services or facilities) to	1		
	anyone other than (i) its supported organizations, (ii) individuals that are part of the charitable class			
	benefited by one or more of its supported organizations, or (iii) other supporting organizations that also	1		
	support or benefit one or more of the filing organization's supported organizations? If "Yes," provide detail in			
	Part VI.	6	_	
7	Did the organization provide a grant, loan, compensation, or other similar payment to a substantial contributor			
	(defined in section 4958(c)(3)(C)), a family member of a substantial contributor, or a 35% controlled entity with			
	regard to a substantial contributor? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ).	7		
8	Did the organization make a loan to a disqualified person (as defined in section 4958) not described in line 7?			
	If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ).	8	-	
9a	Was the organization controlled directly or indirectly at any time during the tax year by one or more			
	disqualified persons as defined in section 4946 (other than foundation managers and organizations described	1		
	in section 509(a)(1) or (2))? If "Yes," provide detail in Part VI.	9a		
b	Did one or more disqualified persons (as defined in line 9a) hold a controlling interest in any entity in which			
	the supporting organization had an interest? If "Yes," provide detail in Part VI.	9b	-	
С	Did a disqualified person (as defined in line 9a) have an ownership interest in, or derive any personal benefit			
	from, assets in which the supporting organization also had an interest? If "Yes," provide detail in Part VI.	9c	_	
10a	Was the organization subject to the excess business holdings rules of section 4943 because of section			
	4943(f) (regarding certain Type II supporting organizations, and all Type III non-functionally integrated			
	supporting organizations)? If "Yes," answer 10b below.	10a	_	
b	Did the organization have any excess business holdings in the tax year? (Use Schedule C, Form 4720, to			

10b

determine whether the organization had excess business holdings.)

Pa	rt IV Supporting Organizations (continued)			
			Yes	No
11	Has the organization accepted a gift or contribution from any of the following persons?			
а	A person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described in (b) and (c)			
	below, the governing body of a supported organization?	11a		
þ	A family member of a person described in (a) above?	11b		
С	A 35% controlled entity of a person described in (a) or (b) above? If "Yes" to a, b, or c, provide detail in Part VI.	11c		
	tion B. Type I Supporting Organizations			
			Yes	No
1	Did the directors, trustees, or membership of one or more supported organizations have the power to			
	regularly appoint or elect at least a majority of the organization's directors or trustees at all times during the			
	tax year? If "No," describe in Part VI how the supported organization(s) effectively operated, supervised, or			
	controlled the organization's activities. If the organization had more than one supported organization,			
	describe how the powers to appoint and/or remove directors or trustees were allocated among the supported			
	organizations and what conditions or restrictions, if any, applied to such powers during the tax year.	1		
2 Did the organization operate for the benefit of any supported organization other than the supported				
organization(s) that operated, supervised, or controlled the supporting organization? If "Yes," explain in				
	Part VI how providing such benefit carried out the purposes of the supported organization(s) that operated,			
	supervised, or controlled the supporting organization.	2		
Sec	tion C. Type II Supporting Organizations			2:
			Yes	No
1	Were a majority of the organization's directors or trustees during the tax year also a majority of the directors			
	or trustees of each of the organization's supported organization(s)? If "No," describe in Part VI how control			
	or management of the supporting organization was vested in the same persons that controlled or managed			
	the supported organization(s).	1		
Sec	tion D. All Type III Supporting Organizations			
		-	Yes	No
1	Did the organization provide to each of its supported organizations, by the last day of the fifth month of the			
	organization's tax year, (i) a written notice describing the type and amount of support provided during the prior tax			
	year, (ii) a copy of the Form 990 that was most recently filed as of the date of notification, and (iii) copies of the			
	organization's governing documents in effect on the date of notification, to the extent not previously provided?	1		_
2	Were any of the organization's officers, directors, or trustees either (i) appointed or elected by the supported			
	organization(s) or (ii) serving on the governing body of a supported organization? If "No," explain in Part VI how			
	the organization maintained a close and continuous working relationship with the supported organization(s).	2		
3	By reason of the relationship described in (2), did the organization's supported organizations have a			
	significant voice in the organization's investment policies and in directing the use of the organization's			
	income or assets at all times during the tax year? If "Yes," describe in Part VI the role the organization's			
	supported organizations played in this regard.	3		
Sec	tion E. Type III Functionally Integrated Supporting Organizations			
1	Check the box next to the method that the organization used to satisfy the Integral Part Test during the yea(see instructions)).		
а	The organization satisfied the Activities Test. Complete line 2 below.			
b	The organization is the parent of each of its supported organizations. Complete line 3 below.			
С	The organization supported a governmental entity. Describe in Part VI how you supported a government entity (see ins	truction		1022
2	Activities Test. Answer (a) and (b) below.		Yes	No
а	Did substantially all of the organization's activities during the tax year directly further the exempt purposes of			
	the supported organization(s) to which the organization was responsive? If "Yes," then in Part VI identify			
	those supported organizations and explain how these activities directly furthered their exempt purposes,			
	how the organization was responsive to those supported organizations, and how the organization determined			
	that these activities constituted substantially all of its activities.	2a	-	_
b	Did the activities described in (a) constitute activities that, but for the organization's involvement, one or more			
	of the organization's supported organization(s) would have been engaged in? If "Yes," explain in Part VI the			
	reasons for the organization's position that its supported organization(s) would have engaged in these			
	activities but for the organization's involvement.	2b	_	_
3	Parent of Supported Organizations. Answer (a) and (b) below.			
а	Did the organization have the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the officers, directors, or			
	trustees of each of the supported organizations? Provide details in Part VI.	3a		
b	Did the organization exercise a substantial degree of direction over the policies, programs, and activities of each			
	of its supported organizations? If "Yes," describe in Part VI the role played by the organization in this regard.	3b_		

4	Add lines 1 through 3	4		
_5	Depreciation and depletion	5		
6	Portion of operating expenses paid or incurred for production or			
	collection of gross income or for management, conservation, or	1 1		
	maintenance of property held for production of income (see instructions)	6		
7	Other expenses (see instructions)	7		
8	Adjusted Net Income (subtract lines 5, 6, and 7 from line 4)	8		
Sect	ion B - Minimum Asset Amount		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
1	Aggregate fair market value of all non-exempt-use assets (see			
	instructions for short tax year or assets held for part of year):			
a	Average monthly value of securities	1a		
b	Average monthly cash balances	1b		
С	Fair market value of other non-exempt-use assets	1c		
d	Total (add lines 1a, 1b, and 1c)	1d		
е	Discount claimed for blockage or other			
	factors (explain in detail in Part VI):			
2	Acquisition indebtedness applicable to non-exempt-use assets	2		
3	Subtract line 2 from line 1d	3		
4	Cash deemed held for exempt use. Enter 1-1/2% of line 3 (for greater amount,			
	see instructions)	4		
5	Net value of non-exempt-use assets (subtract line 4 from line 3)	5		
6	Multiply line 5 by .035	6		
7	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	7		
8	Minimum Asset Amount (add line 7 to line 6)	8		
Sect	ion C - Distributable Amount			Current Year
1	Adjusted net income for prior year (from Section A, line 8, Column A)	1		
2	Enter 85% of line 1	2		
3	Minimum asset amount for prior year (from Section B, line 8, Column A)	3		
4	Enter greater of line 2 or line 3	4		
5	Income tax imposed in prior year	5		
6	Distributable Amount. Subtract line 5 from line 4, unless subject to			
	emergency temporary reduction (see instructions)	6		
7	Check here if the current year is the organization's first as a non-functional	y integrate	ed Type III supporting orga	anization (see

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017

instructions).

Pai	rt V	Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509	(a)(3) Supporting Organia	anizations (continued)	
Sect	ion D -	Distributions			Current Year
1	Amou	nts paid to supported organizations to accomplish exe			
2	Amou	nts paid to perform activity that directly furthers exemp			
	organ	izations, in excess of income from activity			
3		nistrative expenses paid to accomplish exempt purpose	ns		
4	Amou	nts paid to acquire exempt-use assets			
5		ied set-aside amounts (prior IRS approval required)			
6		distributions (describe in Part VI). See instructions.			
7		annual distributions. Add lines 1 through 6.			
8		outions to attentive supported organizations to which the	ne organization is responsive		
		de details in Part VI). See instructions.			
9		outable amount for 2017 from Section C, line 6			
10		amount divided by line 9 amount			
			(i)	(ii)	(iii)
Secti	ion E -	Distribution Allocations (see instructions)	Excess Distributions	Underdistributions Pre-2017	Distributable Amount for 2017
1	Distrib	outable amount for 2017 from Section C, line 6			
2	Under	distributions, if any, for years prior to 2017 (reason-			
	able c	ause required explain in Part VI). See instructions.			
3	Exces	s distributions carryover, if any, to 2017			
а					
b	From	2013			
С	From 2	2014			
d	From	2015			
е	From 2	2016			
f	Total	of lines 3a through e			
	100	d to underdistributions of prior years			
		d to 2017 distributable amount			
i		over from 2012 not applied (see instructions)			
i		inder. Subtract lines 3g, 3h, and 3i from 3f.			
4		outions for 2017 from Section D,			
	line 7:				
а	Applie	d to underdistributions of prior years			
13"	1000 mg/s	d to 2017 distributable amount			
	And Annahistation	nder. Subtract lines 4a and 4b from 4.			
5		ning underdistributions for years prior to 2017, if			
-		ubtract lines 3g and 4a from line 2. For result greater			
		ero, explain in Part VI. See instructions.			
6		ning underdistributions for 2017. Subtract lines 3h			
-		o from line 1. For result greater than zero, explain in			
		1. See instructions.			
7		s distributions carryover to 2018. Add lines 3j			
•	and 4	-			
8		down of line 7:			
		s from 2013			
		s from 2014			
	V	s from 2015			
- 1		s from 2016			
	550	s from 2017			
e	CACES	SHOHLEUT			

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017

SCHEDULE D

(Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Financial Statements

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b.

Attach to Form 990.

▶Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047 Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number

94-2197343 Part I Organizations Maintaining Donor Advised Funds or Other Similar Funds or Accounts. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 6. (a) Donor advised funds (b) Funds and other accounts Total number at end of year _____ Aggregate value of contributions to (during year) 2 Aggregate value of grants from (during year) 3 Aggregate value at end of year Did the organization inform all donors and donor advisors in writing that the assets held in donor advised funds are the organization's property, subject to the organization's exclusive legal control? Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, and donor advisors in writing that grant funds can be used only for charitable purposes and not for the benefit of the donor or donor advisor, or for any other purpose conferring impermissible private benefit? Conservation Easements. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 7. Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the organization (check all that apply). Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recreation or education) Preservation of a historically important land area Protection of natural habitat Preservation of a certified historic structure Preservation of open space Complete lines 2a through 2d if the organization held a qualified conservation contribution in the form of a conservation easement on the last Held at the End of the Tax Year Total number of conservation easements b Total acreage restricted by conservation easements c Number of conservation easements on a certified historic structure included in (a) Number of conservation easements included in (c) acquired after 7/25/06, and not on a historic structure listed in the National Register Number of conservation easements modified, transferred, released, extinguished, or terminated by the organization during the tax 3 Number of states where property subject to conservation easement is located Does the organization have a written policy regarding the periodic monitoring, inspection, handling of violations, and enforcement of the conservation easements it holds? Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, inspecting, handling of violations, and enforcing conservation easements during the year Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspecting, handling of violations, and enforcing conservation easements during the year Does each conservation easement reported on line 2(d) above satisfy the requirements of section 170(h)(4)(B)(i) and section 170(h)(4)(B)(ii)? In Part XIII, describe how the organization reports conservation easements in its revenue and expense statement, and balance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that describes the organization's accounting for conservation easements. Organizations Maintaining Collections of Art, Historical Treasures, or Other Similar Assets. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 8. 1a If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS 116 (ASC 958), not to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, provide, in Part XIII, the text of the footnote to its financial statements that describes these items. b If the organization elected, as permitted under SFAS 116 (ASC 958), to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service, provide the following amounts relating to these items: (i) Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 (ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X If the organization received or held works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets for financial gain, provide the following amounts required to be reported under SFAS 116 (ASC 958) relating to these items: a Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1 Assets included in Form 990, Part X

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

Schedule D (Form 990) 2017

Description of property	(a) Cost or other basis (investment)	(b) Cost or other basis (other)	(c) Accumulated depreciation	(d) Book value		
1a Land		900,000.		900,000.		
b Buildings		3,599,895.	1,035,480.	2,564,415.		
c Leasehold improvements						
d Equipment		1,026,769.	866,588.	160,181.		
e Other		24,239.	24,239.	0.		
Total. Add lines 1a through 1e. (Column (d) must equal Form 990, Part X, column (B), line 10c.)						

Schedule D (Form 990) 2017

Schedule D (Form 990) 2017 PACIFIC LEG	AL FOUNDATION		94-	-2197343	Page
Part VII Investments - Other Securities.	10011011111011			2237020	· ugo
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"	on Form 990. Part IV. line	11b. See Form 990. Pa	rt X. line 12.		
(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valu		-of-vear market va	alue
	(10)	(0)		,	
Wear 2007 I Committee of the Manager of the Committee of the Manager of the Committee of th					
(2) Closely-held equity interests					_
(3) Other					
(A) MUTUAL FUNDS PRIMARILY					
(B) INVESTED IN DEBT & EQUITY		PRODUCED SOURCE SOURCE		10000000	
(C) SECURITIES	35,981,753.	END-OF-YE		VALUE	
(D) MONEY MARKET ACCOUNTS	2,827,639.	END-OF-YE	AR MARKET	VALUE	
(E) CORPORATE BONDS	2,871,260.	END-OF-YE	AR MARKET	VALUE	
(F) GOVERNMENTAL BONDS &					
(G) SECURITIES	2,182,050.	END-OF-YE	AR MARKET	VALUE	
(H)				123202	
Total. (Col. (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 12.)	43,862,702.				
Part VIII Investments - Program Related.	45,002,702.				
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"			rt X, line 13. ation: Cost or end	of waar market w	alua
(a) Description of investment	(b) Book value	(c) Method of Valu	ation: Cost or end	-or-year market va	alue
(2)					
(3)					
(4)					
(5)					
(6)					
(7)					
(8)					
(9)					
Total. (Col. (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 13.)					
Part IX Other Assets.				60	
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" of		11d. See Form 990, Pa	rt X, line 15.		
(a) L	Description			(b) Book val	ue
(2)					
(3)					
(4)					
(5)					
(6)					
(7)					
(8)					
(9)			16		
Total. (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line	9 15.)		>		
Part X Other Liabilities.					
Complete if the organization answered "Yes" of	on Form 990, Part IV, line	11e or 11f. See Form 9	90, Part X, line 25.		
1. (a) Description of liability		b) Book value			
(1) Federal income taxes					
(2) ACCRUED EXPENSES		368,452.			
(3) LIARILITY INDER UNITERIST		715.637.			

1.	(a) Description or liability	(b) Book value
(1)	Federal income taxes	
(2)	ACCRUED EXPENSES	368,452.
(3)	LIABILITY UNDER UNITRUST	715,637.
(4)	LEASES PAYABLE	19,687.
(5)	SECTION 125 LIABILITY	3,757.
(6)	ACCRUED BONUS	21,500.
(7)	403(B) LIABILITY	166.
(8)		
(9)		
Total.	(Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 25.)	1,129,199.

2. Liability for uncertain tax positions. In Part XIII, provide the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that reports the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740). Check here if the text of the footnote has been provided in Part XIII X

ı a	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a.				
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements			1	17,444,173.
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12:		***************************************		1//11/1/10
ے a	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	2a	4,117,673.		
b	Donated services and use of facilities		1/11//0/5	1	
C	Recoveries of prior year grants			1	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)		-50,743.	1	
e	Add lines 2a through 2d			2e	4,066,930.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	13,377,243.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1:		***************************************	-	13/3///11130
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a			
a b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)			1	
_	nee or sometiment and a			4c	0.
5	Add lines 4a and 4b Total revenue. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 12.)			5	13,377,243.
	rt XII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statem	ents V	Vith Expenses per		
	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a.		man =xponioco po.		
1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements			1	11,668,784.
	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25:			H	11,000,701.
2		2a			
a	Donated services and use of facilities Prior year adjustments			1	
b				1	
c d	Other losses Other (Describe in Part XIII.)			1	
-	- sport there is a sport to the			2e	0.
е 3	Add lines 2a through 2d Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	11,668,784.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:			<u> </u>	11,000,7011
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a			
a b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)			1	
	Add lines 4a and 4b	-		4c	0.
5				5	11,668,784.
	rt XIII Supplemental Information.				
	ide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part III, lines 1a and 4; Part	IV. lines	1b and 2b: Part V. line	4: Parl	X. line 2: Part XI.
	2d and 4b; and Part XII, lines 2d and 4b. Also complete this part to provide any add			.,	
PAI	RT X, LINE 2:				
TH	FOUNDATION HAS APPLIED THE ACCOUNTING PR	INCI	PLES RELATEI	TO	ACCOUNTING
FOI	R UNCERTAINTY IN INCOME TAXES AND HAS DETE	RMIN	ED THAT THEF	E I	S NO
MA'	TERIAL IMPACT ON THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIA	L ST	ATEMENTS. V	/ITH	SOME
EX(CEPTIONS, THE FOUNDATION IS NO LONGER SUBJ	ECT	TO U.S. FEDE	RAL	AND STATE
IN	COME TAX EXAMINATIONS BY TAX AUTHORITIES F	OR Y	EARS PRIOR T	<u>o 2</u>	011.
PAI	RT XI, LINE 2D - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS:				
CHZ	ANGE IN VALUE OF SPLIT INTEREST AGREEMENTS				-50,743.

Schedule D (Form 990) 2017	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION mation (continued)	94-2197343 Page 5
Part XIII Supplemental Infor	mation (continued)	
<u> </u>		
A		
¥		
		
¥		
9		
)		

Schedule D (Form 990) 2017

SCHEDULE G (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

Supplemental Information Regarding Fundraising or Gaming Activities

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 17, 18, or 19, or if the organization entered more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.

2017

OMB No. 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization

▶ Go to www.lrs.gov/Form990 for the latest instructions.

Employer identification number

	C LEGAL FOUNDATION	I			94-2197	343
Part I Fundraising Activities required to complete this pa	5. Complete if the organization ans rt.	wered "Y	es" oı	n Form 990, Part IV, I	ine 17. Form 990-EZ	filers are not
a X Mail solicitations b X Internet and email solicitation c X Phone solicitations d X In-person solicitations 2 a Did the organization have a written key employees listed in Form 990, f b If "Yes," list the 10 highest paid ind compensated at least \$5,000 by the	e X Solici f Solici g X Spec or oral agreement with any individu Part VII) or entity in connection with ividuals or entities (fundraisers) pure	tation of tation of ial fundra ual (includ n profess	non-g gover ising ling o onal f	overnment grants nment grants events fficers, directors, trus undraising services?	stees, or X Yes	2
(i) Name and address of individual or entity (fundraiser)	(ii) Activity	(iii) fundr have co or con contribu	istody trol of	(iv) Gross receipts from activity	(v) Amount paid to (or retained by) fundraiser listed in col. (i)	(vi) Amount paid to (or retained by) organization
BLV AGRIBUSINESS CONSULTANTS	PERSONAL CONTACT WITH	Yes	No			
- 2945 CANONITA DRIVE	INDIVIDUALS IN CA	103	х	1,205,976.	139,322.	1,066,654.
Total	on is registered or licensed to solic	it contrib	▶ utions	1,205,976,	139,322.	1,066,654,
or licensing. AL,AK,AZ,AR,CA,CO,CT, MT,NE,NV,NH,NJ,NM,NY, DC	DE, FL, GA, HI, ID, II	,IN,	IA,	KS,KY,LA,M	E,MD,MA,MI	,MN,MS,MO

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ.

SEE PART IV FOR CONTINUATIONS

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 94-2197343 Page 2 Fundraising Events. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 18, or reported more than \$15,000 of fundraising event contributions and gross income on Form 990-EZ, lines 1 and 6b. List events with gross receipts greater than \$5,000. (a) Event #1 (c) Other events (b) Event #2 (d) Total events (add col. (a) through NAPA EVENT 5 col. (c)) (event type) (event type) (total number) Revenue 118,225. 440 118,665. 1 Gross receipts 96,585 96,585. 2 Less: Contributions 21,640. 440. 22,080. Gross income (line 1 minus line 2) 4 Cash prizes 5 Noncash prizes Direct Expenses 6 Rent/facility costs 7 Food and beverages 8 Entertainment 71,209. 36,253 107,462. 9 Other direct expenses 10 Direct expense summary. Add lines 4 through 9 in column (d) 107,462. 11 Net income summary. Subtract line 10 from line 3, column (d) -85,382. Part III | Gaming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a. (b) Pull tabs/instant (d) Total gaming (add Revenue (a) Bingo (c) Other gaming bingo/progressive bingo col. (a) through col. (c)) Gross revenue 2 Cash prizes Direct Expenses 3 Noncash prizes 4 Rent/facility costs 5 Other direct expenses Yes Yes 6 Volunteer labor No Direct expense summary. Add lines 2 through 5 in column (d) 8 Net gaming income summary. Subtract line 7 from line 1, column (d) 9 Enter the state(s) in which the organization conducts gaming activities: a Is the organization licensed to conduct gaming activities in each of these states? b If "No," explain:

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017

b If "Yes," explain:

10a Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended, or terminated during the tax year?

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2017 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343 Page 3
11 Does the organization conduct gaming activities with nonmembers?	Yes No
12 Is the organization a grantor, beneficiary or trustee of a trust, or a member of a partnership or other entity formed	
to administer charitable gaming?	Yes No
13 Indicate the percentage of gaming activity conducted in:	r r
a The organization's facility	
b An outside facility	
14 Enter the name and address of the person who prepares the organization's gaming/special events books and reco	rds:
Name	
Address ►	
15a Does the organization have a contract with a third party from whom the organization receives gaming revenue?	Yes No
b If "Yes," enter the amount of gaming revenue received by the organization ▶ \$ and the amount	ount
of gaming revenue retained by the third party > \$	
c If "Yes," enter name and address of the third party:	
Name	
Address >	
16 Gaming manager information:	
Name ►	
Gaming manager compensation > \$	
Garming manager compensation P 5	
Description of services provided	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Director/officer Employee Independent contractor	
4 No. 1 April 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
17 Mandatory distributions:	
a Is the organization required under state law to make charitable distributions from the gaming proceeds to retain the state gaming license?	Yes No
b Enter the amount of distributions required under state law to be distributed to other exempt organizations or spent	t in the
organization's own exempt activities during the tax year ▶ \$	
Part IV Supplemental Information. Provide the explanations required by Part I, line 2b, columns (iii) and (v); and	Part III, lines 9, 9b, 10b, 15b,
15c, 16, and 17b, as applicable. Also provide any additional information. See instructions.	
SCHEDULE G, PART I, LINE 2B, LIST OF TEN HIGHEST PAID FUNDED	AISERS:
	
(I) NAME OF FUNDRAISER: BLV AGRIBUSINESS CONSULTANTS	
(I) ADDRESS OF FUNDRAISER: 2945 CANONITA DRIVE, FALLBROOK, (CA 92028-8771
DADE T ITNE 2D COLUMN /V/.	
PART I, LINE 2B, COLUMN (V):	
CONTRACTED AT AN HOURLY RATE FOR SERVICES UP TO A MAXIMUM CI	HARGE IN ANY
ONE NORMAL MIGGELLANDOUG COGMG WILL BE BETWEEN COR	
ONE MONTH. MISCELLANEOUS COSTS WILL BE REIMBURSED.	

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ)	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343 Page 4
Part IV Supplemental Info	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION ormation (continued)	
4		
H		
s		
		
-		
3		
-		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

SCHEDULE (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Grants and Other Assistance to Organizations, Governments, and Individuals in the United States Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 21 or 22.

► Attach to Form 990.

2017	Open to Public
------	----------------

OMB No. 1545-0047

Employer identification number ▶ Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information. Name of the organization

FACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	EGAL FOUN	DATION					94-2197343
Part I General Information on Grants and Assistance	nd Assistance						
1 Does the organization maintain records to substantiate the amount of	o substantiate the		s or assistance, the	grantees' eligibility	for the grants or ass	the grants or assistance, the grantees' eligibility for the grants or assistance, and the selection	on
criteria used to award the grants or assistance?	stance?	***************************************					X Yes
2 Describe in Part IV the organization's procedures for monitoring the use of grant funds in the United States.	cedures for monif	oring the use of grant	funds in the Unite	d States.			
Part II Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations and	Domestic Organi	zations and Domesti	c Governments.	Somplete if the orga	inization answered "Y	Domestic Governments. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 21, for any	IV, line 21, for any
recipient that received more than \$5,000. Part II can be duplicated if additional space is needed	5,000. Part II can	be duplicated if addit	ional space is nee	ded.			
1 (a) Name and address of organization or government	(b) EIN	(c) IRC section (if applicable)	(d) Amount of cash grant	(e) Amount of non-cash assistance	(f) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(g) Description of noncash assistance	(h) Purpose of grant or assistance
2 Enter total number of section 501(c)(3) and government organizations 3 Enter total number of other organizations listed in the line 1 table	nd government or	ions	isted in the line 1 table				

Schedule I (Form 990) (2017)

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

94-2197343

Page 2

Schedule I (Form 990) (2017) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Part III Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Individuals. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 22.

Part III can be duplicated if additional space is needed.

(a) Type of grant or assistance	(b) Number of recipients	(c) Amount of cash grant	(d) Amount of non- cash assistance	(e) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(f) Description of noncash assistance
STUDENT WRITING CONTEST-EDUCATION	e.	000 6	0	WRITING COMPETION, ARTICLES TO FURTHER PLF LEGAL & LITIGATION 0.PROGRAM	
Part IV Supplemental Information. Provide the information required in Part I, line 2; Part III, column (b); and any other additional information.	juired in Part I, lin	e 2; Part III, column	(b); and any other a	dditional information,	

Schedule I (Form 990) (2017)

732102 11-01-17

SCHEDULE J (Form 990)

Compensation Information

For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest

Compensated Employees

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 23. Attach to Form 990.

OMB No. 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Internal Revenue Service Name of the organization

Department of the Treasury

Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

P	art I Questions Regarding Compensation			
			Yes	No
1a	Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed on Form 990,			
	Part VII, Section A, line 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items.			
	First-class or charter travel Housing allowance or residence for personal use			
	Travel for companions Payments for business use of personal residence			
	Tax indemnification and gross-up payments Health or social club dues or initiation fees			
	Discretionary spending account Personal services (such as, maid, chauffeur, chef)			
b	If any of the boxes on line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment or			
	reimbursement or provision of all of the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to explain	1b		
2	Did the organization require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all directors,			
	trustees, and officers, including the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked on line 1a?	2		
3	Indicate which, if any, of the following the filing organization used to establish the compensation of the organization's			
	CEO/Executive Director. Check all that apply. Do not check any boxes for methods used by a related organization to			
	establish compensation of the CEO/Executive Director, but explain in Part III.			
	X Compensation committee X Written employment contract			
	Independent compensation consultant X Compensation survey or study			
	Form 990 of other organizations X Approval by the board or compensation committee			
	,,			
4	During the year, did any person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, with respect to the filing			
•	organization or a related organization:			
а	Receive a severance payment or change-of-control payment?	4a		х
b		4b		X
c	WV NOT AND A SECOND CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY			Х
·	If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III.	10		
	Only section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations must complete lines 5-9.			
5	For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation			
	contingent on the revenues of:			
а	The organization?	5a		х
	Any related organization?	5b		Х
	If "Yes" on line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III.			
6	For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation			
	contingent on the net earnings of:			
а	The organization?	6a		х
b	Any related organization?	6b		Х
	If "Yes" on line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III.			
7	For persons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any nonfixed payments			
	not described on lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III	7		Х
8	Were any amounts reported on Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursuant to a contract that was subject to the			
_	initial contract exception described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe in Part III	8		Х
9	If "Yes" on line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in			
•	Regulations section 53 4958-6(c)?	۱۵		

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

Schedule J (Form 990) 2017

Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed.

Schedule J (Form 990) 2017

For each individual whose compensation must be reported on Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii). Do not list any individuals that aren't listed on Form 990, Part VII.

Note: The sum of columns (B)(i)-(iii) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, applicable column (D) and (E) amounts for that individual.

		(B) Breakdown of	(B) Breakdown of W-2 and/or 1099-MISC compensation	3C compensation	(C) Retirement and	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation
(A) Name and Title		(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation	other deferred compensation	benefits	(a)(0)(B)	in column (B) reported as deferred on prior Form 990
(1) STEVEN D. ANDERSON	Ξ	413,247.	0	0	0	8,034.	421,281.	0
PRESIDENT & CEO	: 🗐		0	0	0	4	4	0
103	Θ	235,681	0	0	9,773.	12,148.	257,602.	0
DIR, LITIGATION, ASST SEC/TR	0	0	0	0				0
(3) JOHN M GROEN	Ξ	255,943.	0	0.	10,56	19,702.		0
EXECUTIVE OF & GENERAL COUNSEL	€	0.	0.	0.		0	0	0
(4) CHARLES WILCOX IV	Θ	168,654.		0.		4,948.	173,602.	0
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	Œ	0.	0	0		0	0	0
(5) M.REED HOPPER	Ξ	188,346.		0.	7,90	21,794.	218,044.	0
ATTORNEY	(ii)	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0
(6) MERIEM HUBBARD	Θ	179,387.	0.	0.	7,456.	12,384.	199,227.	0
ATTORNEY	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0	0.	0	0
(7) TODD GAZIANO	Θ	213,058.		0.	8,787.	11,099.	232,944.	0
ATTORNEY	(ii)	0.	0.	0.	0			0
(8) DAMIEN SCHIFF	€	167,995.	0.	0.	6,929.	17,009.	191,933.	0.
ATTORNEY	⊞	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0	0
(9) DEBORAH LAFETRA	Θ	164,333.	0.	0.	6,863.	12,545.	183,741.	0
ATTORNEY	⊞	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0
	€							
	1							
	€ €							•
	ε							
	: (1)							
	€							
	1							
	Θ							
	€							
	Ξ							
	(1)							
	ε							
	(ii)							

Schedule J (Form 990) 2017

		×								
			E							
									-	

Schedule J (Form 990) 2017

SCHEDULE M (Form 990)

Noncash Contributions

OMB No. 1545-0047

Open To Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Name of the organization

Complete if the organizations answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 29 or 30.

Attach to Form 990.

Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

Pa	rt I Types of Property								
	·	(a) Check if applicable	(b) Number of contributions or items contributed	(c) Noncash contribution amounts reported on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1g	(d) Method of de noncash contribu	etermining	nts		
1	Art - Works of art								
2	Art - Historical treasures								
3	Art - Fractional interests								
4	Books and publications		7						
5	Clothing and household goods								
6	Cars and other vehicles								
7	Boats and planes								
8	Intellectual property								
9	Securities - Publicly traded	Х	23	224.551.	NYSE MARKET	VALU	E		
10	Securities - Closely held stock					,,,,,,			
11	Securities - Partnership, LLC, or								
	trust interests								
12	Securities - Miscellaneous								
13	Qualified conservation contribution -								
	Historic structures								
14	Qualified conservation contribution - Other								
15	4 045 054								
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21	A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO								
22	Historical artifacts								
23	Scientific specimens								
24	Archeological artifacts								
25	Other (WINE & FOOD)	Х	2	2.298.	FAIR MARKET	VALII	E		
26	Other			272501		111110	-		
27	Other (
28	Other (
29	Number of Forms 8283 received by the organiz	zation during	the tax vear for c	ontributions					
	for which the organization completed Form 828	_	•						
		, ,				Yes	No		
30a	During the year, did the organization receive by	contributio	n anv property rec	orted in Part I. lines 1 throug	gh 28, that it		1		
	must hold for at least three years from the date				_				
	exempt purposes for the entire holding period?			•		30a	X		
b	If "Yes," describe the arrangement in Part II.	***************************************	201 (2000) 11 00				1		
31	Does the organization have a gift acceptance p	olicy that re	equires the review	of any nonstandard contribu	itions?	31 X			
	Does the organization hire or use third parties of				*************	7. 2.			
	contributions?		-	•		32a	x		
h	If "Yes," describe in Part II.		***************************************	***************************************		JZu			
33	If the organization didn't report an amount in co	olumn (c) foi	r a type of property	v for which column (a) is che	cked.				
_	describe in Part II.	(5) 701	> F P	,					
_HA	For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see	the Instruct	tions for Form 990	D.	Schedule M	l (Form 99	0) 2017		

Schedule M (Form 990) 2017

Schedule M	(Form 990) 2017 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343	Page 2
Part II	Supplemental Information. Provide the information required by Part I, lines 30b, 32b, and is reporting in Part I, column (b), the number of contributions, the number of items received, or a country to represent the part for any additional information.	I 33. and whether the organiza	ation
	is reporting in Part I, column (b), the number of contributions, the number of items received, or a c	combination of both. Also com	nolete
	this part for any additional information.		10.010
-			
		-	

732142 09-07-17

Schedule M (Form 990) 2017

SCHEDULE 0

Internal Revenue Service

(Form 990 or 990-EZ) Department of the Treasury

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information. ► Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.

OMB No. 1545-0047 Open to Public

Inspection

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

FORM 990, PART I, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:
LIVE RESPONSIBLY AND PRODUCTIVELY IN THEIR PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. PLF
COMBINES STRATEGIC AND PRINCIPLED LITIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND
RESEARCH TO ACHIEVE LANDMARK COURT VICTORIES ENFORCING THE
CONSTITUTION'S GUARANTEE OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY.
FORM 990, PART III, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:
VICTORIES ENFORCING THE CONSTITUTION'S GUARANTEE OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY.
FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, PROGRAM SERVICE ACCOMPLISHMENTS:
PROPERTY RIGHTS: A SOCIETY CANNOT FLOURISH AND INDIVIDUALS CANNOT
ADVANCE THEIR PRIVATE INTERESTS WITHOUT INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS TO CREATE AND
PRODUCTIVELY USE PROPERTY. PLF LITIGATES TO SECURE THE RIGHT TO THE
PRODUCTIVE AND ORDINARY USE OF LAND; PREVENT GOVERNMENTS FROM TAKING
PROPERTY; FIGHT UNCONSTITUTIONAL OR UNLAWFUL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS;
PROMOTE BALANCE IN ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS; AND STOP UNREASONABLE SEARCHES
AND SETZURES

616 CROFT AVE., LLC V. CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD. PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF A PROPERTY OWNER WHO WISHED TO DEVELOP A SMALL 11-UNIT CONDOMINIUM IN WEST HOLLYWOOD, CALIFORNIA. THE CITY CONDITIONED THE DEVELOPMENT PERMIT ON PAYMENT OF AN "AFFORDABLE HOUSING" FEE OF OVER \$500,000. THE COURT OF APPEAL UPHELD THE ORDINANCE ON THE THEORY THAT THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS DOCTRINE ESTABLISHED IN NOLLAN V.

COASTAL COMM'N AND DOLAN V. CITY OF TIGARD DO NOT APPLY TO

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ.

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

LEGISLATIVELY-IMPOSED EXACTIONS. PLF PETITIONED THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME

COURT AND THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT FOR REVIEW, ON THE GROUNDS

THAT THE FEE VIOLATES THE FIFTH AMENDMENT'S TAKINGS CLAUSE. BOTH COURTS

DENIED REVIEW AND PLF DID NOT RECOVER ANY FEES.

BEACH AND BLUFF CONSERVANCY V. CITY OF SOLANA BEACH, CALIFORNIA.

REPRESENTED BY PLF, LOCAL HOMEOWNERS CHALLENGED THE TOWN'S ADOPTION OF

A LOCAL COASTAL PLAN THAT DEPRIVES THE OWNERS OF THE ABILITY TO PROTECT

THEIR HOMES BY ERECTING SEAWALLS TO PREVENT EROSION. IN 2017, PLF FILED

APPELLATE BRIEFS AFTER A PARTIALLY SUCCESSFUL MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON

THE PLEADINGS. THE TRIAL COURT HAD AGREED THAT TWO OF THE CITY'S

REGULATIONS VIOLATED THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL ACT AND DEFERRED RULING ON

OTHER ISSUES UNTIL PROPERTY OWNERS BROUGHT AN AS-APPLIED CHALLENGE.

BECAUSE THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

BENEDETTI V. COUNTY OF MARIN, CALIFORNIA. PLF REPRESENTS A FARMER IN A

CHALLENGE TO MARIN COUNTY'S RECENTLY ADOPTED LAND USE PLAN AMENDMENT,

WHICH REQUIRES CURRENT AGRICULTURAL USES OF LAND TO REMAIN SUCH IN

PERPETUITY. WILLIE BENEDETTI WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PLANS TO RETIRE FROM

THE ACTIVE FARMING OF HIS LAND, BUT THE "FORCED FARMING" AMENDMENT WILL

NOT ALLOW HIM TO DO SO. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION IS STAYED PENDING

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY LAND USE PLAN. BECAUSE THIS CASE

IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

BUILDING INDUSTRY ASS'N OF THE BAY AREA V. CITY OF OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA.

REPRESENTING A TRADE ASSOCIATION OF DEVELOPERS, PLF IS CHALLENGING A

CITY ORDINANCE THAT CONDITIONS BUILDING PERMITS ON INSTALLATION OF

PUBLIC ART OR AN IN-LIEU FEE TIED TO THE COST OF THE OVERALL

DEVELOPMENT. BECAUSE THE CITY'S GENERAL DESIRE FOR PUBLIC ART CANNOT

Name of the organization
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

CONSTITUTIONALLY BE BORNE ONLY BY THOSE WHO SEEK BUILDING PERMITS, WHEN

SUCH DEVELOPMENT DOES NOT CREATE ANY NEED FOR PUBLIC ART, PLF FILED A

COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL COURT ARGUING THAT THE FEE VIOLATES THE FIFTH

AMENDMENT. IN 2017, THE LITIGATION STAY WAS LIFTED AND PROCEEDINGS

CONTINUED IN THE TRIAL COURT. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS

PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

CALIFORNIA BUILDING INDUSTRY ASS'N (CBIA) V. CITY OF SAN JOSE.

REPRESENTING CBIA, PLF ARGUED IN THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT THAT SAN

JOSE'S INCLUSIONARY ZONING ORDINANCE VIOLATED THE FIFTH AMENDMENT'S

PROHIBITION ON UNCOMPENSATED TAKINGS. THE COURT UPHELD THE ORDINANCE AS

A VALID EXERCISE OF THE CITY'S POLICE POWER. PLF PETITIONED THE U.S.

SUPREME COURT FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI, WHICH WAS DENIED IN JANUARY,

2016. AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVOCACY GROUPS, DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS IN THE

CASE, SUBSEQUENTLY SOUGHT AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES FROM CBIA.

BECAUSE SUCH AWARDS THREATEN PLAINTIFFS' ABILITY TO PURSUE PUBLIC

INTEREST LITIGATION, PLF REPRESENTS CBIA IN OPPOSITION TO THE FEES. THE

CASE SETTLED. PLF ITSELF WAS NOT ELIGIBLE TO SEEK FEES AND IS NOT

LIABLE TO PAY THEM.

CAPISTRANO SHORES LLC V. CAL. COASTAL COMM'N. PLF REPRESENTED THE WILLS

FAMILY, MOBILE HOME OWNERS WHO CHALLENGED A CALIFORNIA COASTAL

COMMISSION PERMIT CONDITION THAT REQUIRED THEM TO WAIVE THE RIGHT TO

BUILD A SEAWALL TO PROTECT THEIR HOME. THE CONDITION WAS PART OF AN

ONGOING COMMISSION POLICY TO DISCOURAGE AND ELIMINATE DEVELOPMENT OF

PRIVATE PROPERTY ALONG THE BEACH IN CALIFORNIA. PLF SUED BECAUSE THIS

UNFAIR DEMAND VIOLATED BOTH THE COASTAL ACT AND THE CALIFORNIA AND U.S.

CONSTITUTIONS. PLF AND THE WILLS FAMILY PREVAILED. PLF SOUGHT

732212 09-07-17

Employer identification number 94-2197343

ATTORNEYS' FEES BUT THE MOTION WAS DENIED AND PLF DID NOT APPEAL.

CEDAR POINT NURSERY V. GOULD. REPRESENTING A CALIFORNIA NURSERY, PLF FILED A COMPLAINT CHALLENGING A STATE REGULATION ISSUED BY THE AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD THAT ALLOWS UNION ORGANIZERS TO ACCESS AN EMPLOYER'S PREMISES FOR THE PURPOSE OF SOLICITING EMPLOYEES TO JOIN THE UNION. PLF ARGUES THAT THIS IS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING AND FURTHER VIOLATES THE FOURTH AMENDMENT'S PROHIBITION ON UNREASONABLE SEIZURES, AFTER A LOSS IN THE TRIAL COURT, PLF APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT, FILED BRIEFS, CONDUCTED ORAL ARGUMENT, AND NOW AWAITS DECISION. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

CHERK FAMILY TRUST V. COUNTY OF MARIN. THE CHERK FAMILY WANTED TO SUPPLEMENT THEIR MODEST RETIREMENT INCOME BY SPLITTING AND SELLING A RESIDENTIAL LOT THEY OWNED IN MARIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA. THE COUNTY CONDITIONED THEIR PERMIT ON PAYMENT OF A \$39,960 "AFFORDABLE HOUSING" FEE. PLF REPRESENTS THE FAMILY IN A CHALLENGE TO THE FEE ORDINANCE AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITION THAT TAKES THEIR PROPERTY IN VIOLATION OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT. AFTER AN ADVERSE DECISION IN THE TRIAL COURT, PLF APPEALED ON BEHALF OF THE CHERKS. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

GANSON V. CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA. THE BEYER FAMILY OWNS A 9-ACRE ISLAND OFF THE FLORIDA COAST THAT WAS RECLASSIFIED FROM A GENERAL ZONING DESIGNATION TO A BIRD ROOKERY THAT PERMITTED NO USE OF THE PROPERTY OTHER THAN CAMPING. INSTEAD OF OFFERING COMPENSATION AS REQUIRED BY THE FIFTH AMENDMENT, THE CITY OFFERED THE BEYERS ONLY

TRANSFERABLE DEVELOPMENT CREDITS TOWARD POSSIBLE PURCHASE OF A LIMITED

Employer identification number 94-2197343

NUMBER OF DEVELOPMENT PERMITS IN OTHER LOCATIONS. PLF TOOK OVER THE

CASE TO SEEK REVIEW OF THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING BEFORE THE FLORIDA

SUPREME COURT. WHEN THAT PETITION WAS DENIED, PLF FILED A PETITION FOR

WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS

ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

GARRETT V. CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA. PLF REPRESENTS HOMEOWNERS IN

A FEDERAL TAKINGS/DUE PROCESS LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY OF NEW ORLEANS,

WHICH DEMOLISHED THEIR PROPERTY WITHOUT NOTICE, HEARING, OR

COMPENSATION. THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED THEIR CASE ON THE

GROUNDS THAT THE WILLIAMSON COUNTY DOCTRINE REQUIRES THEM TO EXHAUST

STATE REMEDIES BEFORE BRINGING A FEDERAL CASE. PLF TOOK OVER THE CASE

ON APPEAL TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. BECAUSE THE CASE IS

ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

GOODWIN V. WALTON COUNTY, FLORIDA. THE GOODWIN FAMILY OWNS LAND THAT

INCLUDES A PRIVATE BEACH. THEY POSTED SIGNS INDICATING THAT IT WAS

PRIVATELY OWNED. HOWEVER, THE COUNTY PASSED AN ORDINANCE OUTLAWING THE

SIGNS AND DECLARING THAT THE PUBLIC HAD A RIGHT TO ACCESS THIS PRIVATE

LAND. PLF REPRESENTS THE GOODWINS IN A FEDERAL LAWSUIT CLAIMING THAT

THE ORDINANCE EFFECTIVELY TAKES THEIR PROPERTY WITHOUT COMPENSATION, IN

VIOLATION OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT. LITIGATION IS ONGOING IN THE DISTRICT

COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

GREENE V. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMM'N. PLF REPRESENTS THE GREENE FAMILY

IN A CHALLENGE TO THE COASTAL COMMISSION'S DECISION TO IMPOSE TWO

CONDITIONS ON THE APPROVAL OF A DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FOR THEIR HOME IN

LOS ANGELES. THE FIRST CONDITION REQUIRES A FIVE-FOOT SETBACK FROM

732212 09-07-17

THEIR SEAWARD PROPERTY LINE, IN CONFLICT WITH CITY ZONING ORDINANCES

AND THE CITY-APPROVED PERMIT. THE SECOND CONDITION REQUIRES THE FAMILY

TO WAIVE THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY RIGHTS TO CONSTRUCT

NECESSARY PROTECTIVE DEVICES, SUCH AS A SEAWALL. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION

IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK

FEES.

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM SERVICE:

JISSER V. CITY OF PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA. THE CITY REFUSED TO ALLOW THE

JISSER FAMILY TO CLOSE THEIR MOBILE HOME PARK UNLESS THEY PAID MILLIONS

OF DOLLARS TO THE TENANTS AS "MITIGATION" FOR HAVING TO MOVE.

REPRESENTING THE JISSERS, PLF FILED A LAWSUIT IN FEDERAL COURT

CHALLENGING THIS CONDITION AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL INFRINGEMENT ON THEIR

PROPERTY RIGHTS. THE FEDERAL COURT DISMISSED THE LAWSUIT IN 2016 ON THE

GROUNDS THAT THE JISSERS MUST FIRST EXHAUST POTENTIAL STATE COURT

REMEDIES AND PLF APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT AND FILED APPELLATE

BRIEFS. THE CASE SETTLED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

KINDERACE LLC V. CITY OF SAMMAMISH, WASHINGTON. PLF REPRESENTS PROPERTY

OWNERS CHALLENGING A CRITICAL AREA BUFFERS ORDINANCE THAT PRECLUDES

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENTIRE PARCEL AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL REGULATORY

TAKING. THE CASE PRESENTS THE IMPORTANT ISSUE OF HOW TO DETERMINE THE

"RELEVANT PARCEL" IN A CONSTITUTIONAL TAKINGS INQUIRY. AFTER AN ADVERSE

RULING IN THE LOWER COURTS, PLF PETITIONED THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT

FOR REVIEW. THE PETITION WAS DENIED AND PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT

OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE PETITION WAS DENIED. PLF

DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

Employer identification number 94-2197343

KNICK V. SCOTT TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA. A CITY ORDINANCE PERMITS

UNRESTRICTED PUBLIC ACCESS TO ANY PRIVATE PROPERTY THAT MIGHT CONTAIN

GRAVES AND AUTHORIZES TOWN AGENTS TO SEARCH THE PROPERTY FOR GRAVES

WITHOUT ANY PROBABLY CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT GRAVES ARE ON THE LAND. PLF

REPRESENTS ROSE MARY KNICK, A SINGLE WOMAN WHO LIVES ALONE ON HER

PROPERTY WHICH IS ALLEGED TO CONTAIN OLD GRAVES OF MEMBERS OF A NATIVE

AMERICAN TRIBE. PLF SUED TO INVALIDATE THE LAW AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL

INFRINGEMENT OF PROPERTY OWNERS' RIGHT TO EXCLUDE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

FROM THEIR LAND, AND A VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT PROTECTION

AGAINST WARRANTLESS SEARCHES. AFTER AN ADVERSE DECISION, PLF FILED A

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. BECAUSE THIS

CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

LEVIN V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO. PLF REPRESENTS RENTAL

PROPERTY OWNERS WHO ARE REQUIRED BY A CITY ORDINANCE TO PAY UP TO

HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS TO THEIR TENANTS PRIOR TO EXERCISING

THEIR RIGHT TO TAKE THEIR PROPERTY OFF THE MARKET. THE DISTRICT COURT

STRUCK DOWN THE ORDINANCE AS VIOLATING THE FIFTH AMENDMENT'S TAKINGS

CLAUSE AND THE CITY APPEALED. IN 2016, PLF SUCCESSFULLY DEFENDED THE

TRIAL COURT VICTORY IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. THE CITY

PAID PLF ATTORNEYS' FEES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$259,800.

LYNCH V. CAL. COASTAL COMM'N. PLF REPRESENTS THE LYNCH FAMILY, WHICH

SOUGHT A PERMIT FROM THE COMMISSION TO RECONSTRUCT A STORM-DAMAGED

STAIRWAY FROM THEIR BLUFFTOP HOMES TO THE BEACH AND TO FIX A SEAWALL

THAT PROTECTS THEIR HOME FROM EROSION. THE COMMISSION CONDITIONED THE

PERMIT ON AN EXPIRATION DATE OF 20 YEARS, REQUIRING THE PROPERTY OWNERS

TO REAPPLY FOR NEW PERMITS TO CONTINUE THE MAINTENANCE OF THEIR

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

STAIRWAY AND SEAWALL. THE COURTS RULED AGAINST THE LYNCHES ON A

"WAIVER" ARGUMENT THAT WAS NOT ARGUED BY THE PARTIES. PLF DID NOT SEEK

OR RECOVER FEES.

MARQUETTE COUNTY ROAD COMM'N V. U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.

COUNTY ROAD 595 IS A PROPOSED 21-MILE ROUTE THAT WOULD ALLOW TRUCKS TO

BYPASS BUSY CITY STREETS IN MARQUETTE COUNTY. AS PLANNED AND APPROVED

BY STATE OFFICIALS, IT WOULD CUT AIR POLLUTION, INCREASE SAFETY, AND

SAVE OVER 450,000 GALLONS OF FUEL YEARLY. YET EPA REGULATORS HAVE

BUTTED IN AND IMPOSED A ROADBLOCK, WITH A CONTROVERSIAL CLAIM THAT CR

595 WOULD ADVERSELY IMPACT WETLANDS. PLF REPRESENTS THE COMMISSION TO

ARGUE THAT WHERE EPA MAKES CLEAR IT WON'T APPROVE THE ROAD PROJECT NO

MATTER WHAT ALTERNATIVES ARE POSED, THE UNRESOLVED EPA OBJECTION UNDER

CLEAN WATER ACT SECTION 404(J) CONSTITUTES A FINAL AGENCY ACTION

SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW. AFTER AN ADVERSE TRIAL COURT DECISION, PLF

APPEALED, FILING BRIEFS AND CONDUCTING ORAL ARGUMENT IN THE SIXTH

CIRCUIT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK

FEES.

MURR V. STATE OF WISCONSIN. THE MURR SIBLINGS INHERITED TWO LAKEFRONT

PARCELS BY THE ST. CROIX RIVER IN WISCONSIN. THEY SOUGHT TO SELL ONE

PARCEL TO PAY FOR REPAIRS TO A CABIN ON THE OTHER PARCEL. THE

GOVERNMENT FORBADE THE SALE OR ANY PRODUCTIVE USE OF THE VACANT PARCEL

AND, TO AVOID LIABILITY FOR AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING, TREATED BOTH

LOTS AS A SINGLE UNIFIED PARCEL. PLF REPRESENTED THE MURR FAMILY BEFORE

THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, ARGUING THAT THE GOVERNMENT CANNOT TAKE

PROPERTY WITHOUT COMPENSATION SIMPLY BECAUSE THE PROPERTY OWNERS ALSO

HAPPEN TO OWN ADJACENT PROPERTY. THE SUPREME COURT RULED AGAINST THE

Name of the organization
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

MURRS. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

NATIONAL FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS V. DOUGHERTY. ON BEHALF OF

A COALITION OF SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS, PLF SUED TO CHALLENGE THE

SO-CALLED "FAIRFAX MEMO," DISTRIBUTED BY THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND

HEALTH ADMINISTRATION TO ALTER FEDERAL LAW GOVERNING WORKPLACE SAFETY

INSPECTIONS TO ALLOW UNION ORGANIZERS TO ACCOMPANY SAFETY INSPECTORS

ONTO PRIVATE PREMISES. REPRESENTING NFIB MEMBERS, INCLUDING TWO TEXAS

JANITORIAL COMPANIES, PLF ARGUED THAT THIS RULE VIOLATES THE AGENCY'S

OWN REGULATIONS THAT REQUIRE UNION REPRESENTATIVES TO CONTRIBUTE IN A

RELEVANT AND MEANINGFUL WAY TO THE INSPECTION'S PURPOSE. OSHA

SUBSEQUENTLY VOLUNTARILY WITHDREW THE RULE AND PLF DISMISSED THE

LAWSUIT. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

NIES V. TOWN OF EMERALD ISLE, NORTH CAROLINA. PLF REPRESENTS A FAMILY

CHALLENGING A NORTH CAROLINA STATUTE AND TOWN ORDINANCES THAT REDEFINE

AN ENTIRE COASTLINE OF PRIVATELY-OWNED DRY BEACH PARCELS AS A "PUBLIC

TRUST" AREA OPEN FOR PUBLIC DRIVING AND ACCESS AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL

TAKING. ALL PROPERTY OWNERS HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PROTECT

THEIR PROPERTY FROM PUBLIC ACCESS AND TO MAINTAIN THEIR RIGHT OF

PRIVACY IN THEIR HOMES. IN 2016, PLF REPRESENTED THE NIES FAMILY IN THE

NORTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT. AFTER BRIEFING WAS COMPLETED, THE COURT

DISMISSED THE CASE WITH A ONE-LINE ORDER AND DID NOT REACH THE MERITS.

PLF PETITIONED FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE

PETITION WAS DENIED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

PACETTA, LLC V. TOWN OF PONCE INLET. AT THE TOWN'S URGING, PACETTA, LLC

PURCHASED SEVERAL ADJACENT LOTS OF LAND TO BUILD A MIXED-USE

DEVELOPMENT. THE TOWN PROMISED TO AMEND THE LAND USE PLAN TO PERMIT THE

PROJECT. ANTI-DEVELOPMENT CANDIDATES WON A MAJORITY AT THE NEXT TOWN

COUNCIL MEETING AND THEN REFUSED TO AMEND THE TOWN'S PLAN, RENDERING

PACETTA'S HOLDING VALUELESS. PLF SUPPORTED PACETTA AS AMICUS CURIAE

THROUGH LOWER COURT PROCEEDINGS AND TOOK OVER DIRECT REPRESENTATION TO

PETITION THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT TO HEAR THIS CASE, WHICH PRESENTS

IMPORTANT TAKINGS ISSUES UNDER BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL LAW. BECAUSE THIS

CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

P.I.E., LLC V. DESOTO COUNTY, FLORIDA. PLF REPRESENTS A PROPERTY OWNER

ASSERTING A TAKING UNDER FLORIDA'S BERT J. HARRIS ACT. IN THIS CASE,

THE OWNER PURCHASED PROPERTY BASED ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES THAT

HE COULD MINE THE LAND, ONLY TO HAVE THE GOVERNMENT REVERSE COURSE AND

PASS A LAW PROHIBITING ALL MINING. THE OWNER SUBSEQUENTLY LOST THE LAND

IN FORECLOSURE. PLF TOOK THIS CASE OVER ON APPEAL AND IT IS PENDING IN

THE FLORIDA COURT OF APPEALS. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE

PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

PRESERVE RESPONSIBLE SHORELINE MANAGEMENT V. CITY OF BAINBRIDGE ISLAND,
WASHINGTON. PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF A COALITION OF BAINBRIDGE
ISLAND HOMEOWNERS TO CHALLENGE THE CITY'S SHORELINE REGULATIONS AS A
VIOLATION OF MULTIPLE STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS. BECAUSE
THE CASE PRESENTS MANY OF THE ISSUES TO BE HEARD IN OLYMPIC STEWARDSHIP
FOUNDATION V. DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY IN THE WASHINGTON COURT OF APPEALS,
PLF SOUGHT AND RECEIVED A STAY OF THE LITIGATION. THE STAY WAS LIFTED
IN 2017 AFTER THE COURT RULED AGAINST PROPERTY OWNERS IN OLYMPIC
STEWARDSHIP FOUNDATION AND LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS
PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

RAFAELI, LLC V. OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN. AFTER FILING AN AMICUS BRIEF
IN THE APPELLATE COURT, PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF RAFAELI, LLC,
AND ANDRE OHANESSIAN TO ASK THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW A
LOWER COURT DECISION THAT PERMITS COUNTIES TO CONFISCATE ENTIRE
PROPERTIES TO SATISFY TAX DEBTS WITHOUT REFUNDING ANY OF THE SURPLUS
PROCEEDS OF THE SALE TO THE FORMER OWNER. THIS CONFISCATION VIOLATES
THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS THAT PROHIBIT THE
GOVERNMENT FROM TAKING PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR PUBLIC USE WITHOUT JUST
COMPENSATION. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO
SEEK FEES.

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM SERVICE:

SANTA BARBARA ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS V. CITY OF SANTA BARBARA. THE

CITY OF SANTA BARBARA REQUIRES THAT ALL PERSONS WHO TRANSFER

RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY SUBMIT AN APPLICATION FOR A ZONING INFORMATION

REPORT (ZIR). THE APPLICATION WILL NOT BE APPROVED UNTIL A CITY ZONING

INSPECTOR, WHO IS NEITHER A BUILDING INSPECTOR NOR A LICENSED SURVEYOR,

CONDUCTS A WARRANTLESS ADMINISTRATIVE SEARCH OF THE INSIDE AND OUTSIDE

OF THE RESIDENCE AND ANY OTHER STRUCTURES ON THE PROPERTY. THE CITY

USES THIS PROCESS TO DISCOVER ZONING AND PERMITTING VIOLATIONS. PLF

REPRESENTS LOCAL REALTORS IN A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THESE REQUIREMENTS

AS UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS ON THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY UNDER THE FOURTH

AMENDMENT. LITIGATION IS ONGOING IN THE TRIAL COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE

IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

ST. JOHNS RIVER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, FLORIDA V. KOONTZ. KOONTZ SUED THE DISTRICT BECAUSE ITS OFF-SITE MITIGATION CONDITIONS TO A PERMIT

VIOLATED THE FIFTH AMENDMENT'S TAKING CLAUSE. PLF ATTORNEYS REPRESENTED

KOONTZ BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT AND WON AN IMPORTANT

RULING THAT THE DOCTRINES ESTABLISHED IN NOLLAN V. CAL. COASTAL COMM'N

AND DOLAN V. TIGARD APPLY TO PERMITS CONDITIONED ON DEDICATIONS OF FEES

AS WELL AS INTERESTS IN REAL PROPERTY. PLF SETTLED WITH THE DISTRICT TO

RECOVER \$800,000 IN FEES, RECEIVING \$154,536.30 IN 2016 AND THE

REMAINDER OF \$645,463.70 IN OCTOBER, 2017.

TRAUTWEIN V. CITY OF HIGHLAND, CALIFORNIA. PLF REPRESENTS KARL

TRAUTWEIN, WHO OWNS RENTAL PROPERTY IN THE CITY OF HIGHLAND. THE CITY

REQUIRES THAT OWNERS OF RENTAL PROPERTY REGISTER THE PROPERTY EVERY TWO

YEARS AND THE REGISTRATION PROCESS REQUIRES THE CITY TO CONDUCT A

WARRANTLESS INSPECTION OF THE PROPERTY. PLF SUED TO INVALIDATE THE

WARRANTLESS ADMINISTRATIVE INSPECTIONS AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITION

ON PROPERTY RIGHTS AND A VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF

TENANTS TO BE FREE OF UNREASONABLE SEARCHES. THE CITY AGREED TO CHANGE

THE LAW AND THE CASE SETTLED. THE SETTLEMENT INCLUDED THE CITY'S

PAYMENT OF \$20,000 IN ATTORNEYS' FEES TO PLF.

WAYSIDE CHURCH V. COUNTY OF VAN BUREN, MICHIGAN. IN MICHIGAN, WHEN

LANDOWNERS FAIL TO PAY THEIR PROPERTY TAXES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TAKE THE

PROPERTY, SELL IT, AND KEEP ALL THE PROFITS-NO MATTER HOW SMALL THE

DEBT OR HOW VALUABLE THE PROPERTY. AS A RESULT, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

PROFIT HANDSOMELY OVER THE MISFORTUNE OF THEIR RESIDENTS. FOR EXAMPLE,

A FEW YEARS AGO, WAYSIDE CHURCH LOST A PIECE OF LAND WORTH A LITTLE

OVER \$200,000. EVEN AFTER DEDUCTING OUTSTANDING TAX DEBTS, INTEREST,

PENALTIES, AND FEES, VAN BUREN COUNTY MADE \$189,250 IN PROFIT BY

FORECLOSING AND AUCTIONING THE PROPERTY. HAVING LOST IN THE LOWER

Name of the organization
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

COURTS, PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF WAYSIDE CHURCH AND OTHERS WHO

HAVE LOST THEIR HOMES AND EQUITY TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF

CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE COURT DENIED THE PETITION.

PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

YIM V. CITY OF SEATTLE. PLF REPRESENTS LANDOWNERS OF SMALL RENTAL

PROPERTIES IN A CHALLENGE TO SEATTLE'S "FIRST IN TIME" RENTAL ORDINANCE

THAT REQUIRES THEM TO RENT THEIR PROPERTY TO THE FIRST QUALIFIED

APPLICANT. THIS DEPRIVATION OF THE OWNERS' RIGHT TO CHOOSE THEIR

TENANTS TAKES AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT OF THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS, IN

VIOLATION OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT'S PROTECTION AGAINST TAKINGS WITHOUT

JUST COMPENSATION. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS CASE

IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

PROCEDURAL GUARANTEES: THE CONSTITUTION ESTABLISHES A SEPARATION OF

POWERS AND EXPRESS GUARANTEES OF DUE PROCESS. THE FIGHT FOR LIBERTY IS

OFTEN A MATTER OF ENSURING THAT THOSE WHO GOVERN US DO NOT EXCEED THEIR

CONSTITUTIONALLY LIMITED AUTHORITY WHEN ENACTING AND ENFORCING THE LAW.

PLF FIGHTS TO END THE MODERN ADMINISTRATIVE STATE, INCLUDING LIMITING

JUDICIAL DEFERENCE TO LEGISLATIVE AND ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGMENTS; RESTORE

SEPARATION OF POWERS AGAINST IMPROPER DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO

BUREAUCRATS; DEFINE THE LIMITED SCOPE OF FEDERAL POWER UNDER THE

COMMERCE CLAUSE; REVIVE THE DOCTRINE OF ENUMERATED POWERS; AND ENSURE

DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF AVICULTURE V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE.

REPRESENTING THE AMERICAN FEDERATION OF AVICULTURE, A NONPROFIT THAT

EDUCATES THE PUBLIC AND REPRESENTS THE INTERESTS OF BIRD OWNERS AND

BREEDERS, PLF FILED A COMPLAINT IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT

OF COLUMBIA TO FORCE THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE TO OBEY THE LAW

AND ISSUE A DECISION ON THE PETITION TO REMOVE THE GOLDEN PARAKEET FROM

THE LIST OF PROTECTED SPECIES UNDER THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT. THE

GOVERNMENT DEMANDED 41 MONTHS TO DO WHAT THE LAW REQUIRES BE DONE IN

12. WHILE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS LIMITED RESOURCES AND MANY SPECIES

TO REVIEW, DELISTING THE GOLDEN PARAKEET SHOULD BE DONE PROMPTLY AND IN

ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAW. DELISTING THE BIRD WOULD ALLOW AFA'S BREEDERS

TO CONTINUE AND EXPAND THEIR CONSERVATION EFFORTS AND FREE THE

GOVERNMENT TO FOCUS ON SPECIES THAT ARE ACTUALLY IN DANGER OF

EXTINCTION. THE CASE SETTLED AND PLF RECOVERED \$469.72 IN COSTS (NO

ATTORNEYS' FEES).

BONNER COUNTY V. ZINKE. REPRESENTING BONNER COUNTY, IDAHO, AND THE

IDAHO SNOWMOBILE ASSOCIATION, PLF SUBMITTED A 60-DAY LETTER OF INTENT

TO SUE TO THE AGENCY REGARDING THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE'S

PROPOSAL TO LIST THE SOUTHERN SELKIRK MOUNTAIN POPULATION OF WOODLAND

CARIBOU AS A DISTINCT POPULATION SEGMENT REQUIRING CRITICAL HABITAT

DESIGNATION. SUCH DESIGNATIONS PLACE SEVERE RESTRICTIONS ON OTHER LAND

USES. THIS LITIGATION IS ON HOLD PENDING GOVERNMENT ACTION.

CALIFORNIA CATTLEMEN'S ASS'N V. CALIFORNIA FISH AND GAME COMM'N. PLF

REPRESENTS RANCHERS AND FARMERS IN A CHALLENGE TO A STATE ENDANGERED

SPECIES LISTING FOR THE GRAY WOLF. THE LISTING HAMSTRINGS LANDOWNERS'

EFFORTS TO SAFEGUARD THEIR LIVESTOCK AND THEIR LIVELIHOODS AGAINST THE

PREDATORY WOLVES. THE CALIFORNIA LISTING VIOLATES STATE AND FEDERAL LAW

BY CONSIDERING ONLY THE NUMBER OF WOLVES WITHIN CALIFORNIA RATHER THAN

THE SPECIES' OVERALL NUMBERS THROUGHOUT ITS RANGE IN MUCH OF THE WEST

782212 09-07-17 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017) Page 2 Name of the organization **Employer identification number** 94-2197343 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION AND NORTHERN MIDWEST. THE LITIGATION IS ONGOING IN THE SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT. BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. CALIFORNIA CATTLEMEN'S ASS'N V. CALIFORNIA DEPT. OF FISH AND WILDLIFE. REPRESENTING THE CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION, PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO HAVE DECLARED UNLAWFUL THE DEPARTMENT'S FAILURE TO CONDUCT 5-YEAR STATUS REVIEWS OF 233 SPECIES LISTED AS "ENDANGERED" OR "THREATENED" UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT. BY ABDICATING THESE MANDATORY REVIEWS, THE STATE UNLAWFULLY FAILED TO DETERMINE WHICH SPECIES COULD BE DOWNLISTED, THUS RESTORING GREATER USE OF PROPERTY TO THE LANDOWNERS. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. CALIFORNIA CATTLEMEN'S ASS'N V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. PLF REPRESENTS PARTIES CHALLENGING THE DESIGNATION OF CRITICAL HABITAT FOR TWO SPECIES OF THE YELLOW-LEGGED FROG AND YOSEMITE TOAD. THE DESIGNATION COVERS OVER 1.8 MILLION ACRES IN 16 CALIFORNIA COUNTIES. AMONG OTHER THINGS, THE RULE RESTRICTS THE USE OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE LANDS FOR GRAZING AND TIMBER HARVESTING IMPERILING THE LIVELIHOOD OF FARMERS, RANCHERS, LANDOWNERS, AND LOCAL ENTERPRISES DEPENDENT ON THESE ACTIVITIES. EVEN SCHOOLS ARE AFFECTED THAT DERIVE INCOME FROM TIMBER PRODUCTION. BUT THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE REFUSED TO CONSIDER THESE IMPACTS OR PROVIDE THE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS THE RFA REQUIRES ASSERTING ITS CRITICAL HABITAT REGULATIONS ONLY AFFECT OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES AND NOT SMALL ENTITIES. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS

CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

CALIFORNIA SEA URCHIN COMM'N V. JACOBSON. A FEDERAL STATUTE REQUIRES THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE TO EXEMPT LAWFUL FISHING ACTIVITIES FROM THE BROAD PROHIBITIONS AGAINST THE INCIDENTAL TAKING OF SEA OTTERS. WHEN THE SERVICE IGNORED THIS CONGRESSIONAL BALANCING OF INTERESTS, PLF SUED ON BEHALF OF SEA URCHIN AND ABALONE DIVERS, LOBSTER TRAPPERS, AND OTHER FISHERMEN WHOSE LIVELIHOOD ARE THREATENED BY SERVICE'S UNILATERAL TERMINATION OF PROTECTION FOR LAWFUL FISHING ACTIVITIES. AFTER LOSING ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT, PLF APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT, COMPLETED ALL BRIEFING AND CONDUCTED ORAL ARGUMENT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

CASCADIA WILDLANDS V. OREGON DEPT. OF FISH AND WILDLIFE. PLF INTERVENED ON BEHALF OF THE OREGON CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND THE OREGON FARM BUREAU FEDERATION IN DEFENSE OF THE STATE'S DELISTING OF THE GRAY WOLF UNDER THE OREGON ENDANGERED SPECIES LAW. THE LEGISLATURE RATIFIED THE DELISTING, AND THE DEFENDANTS AND INTERVENORS FILED A SUGGESTION OF MOOTNESS, AFTER WHICH THE TRIAL COURT DISMISSED THE LAWSUIT. THE APPELLATE COURT GRANTED THE PLAINTIFFS' PERMISSION TO REINSTATE THEIR APPEAL AND LITIGATION CONTINUES IN THE APPELLATE COURT. BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM SERVICE: CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY (CBD) V. ZINKE, CBD SUED THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR BECAUSE CONGRESS USED THE CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT TO RESCIND AN ALASKA WILDLIFE RULE. PLF REPRESENTS ALASKAN SPORTSMEN AND OTHER PARTIES AS INTERVENORS IN SUPPORT OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT AND IN SUPPORT OF THE RULE'S RESCISSION. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE 732212 09-07-17 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017)

Employer identification number 94-2197343

PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE, ACCURACY AND RELIABILITY V. U.S.

DEPT. OF INTERIOR. ON BEHALF OF A BROAD COALITION OF HOME BUILDERS,

SOUND SCIENCE, AND PROPERTY RIGHTS ADVOCATES, PLF SUED THE DEPARTMENT

OF INTERIOR IN FEDERAL COURT OVER THE AGENCY'S REFUSAL TO DELIST THE

COASTAL CALIFORNIA GNATCATCHER FROM THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT. THE

SERVICE'S DENIAL VIOLATES THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT BECAUSE IT FAILS

TO ARTICULATE ANY DEFINITION OR STANDARD FOR DETERMINING WHY THE

GNATCATCHER PURPORTEDLY CONSTITUTES ITS OWN SUBSPECIES. IT ALSO

VIOLATES THE FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT BECAUSE THE PUBLIC RECEIVED

NO NOTICE OR OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PRIVATELY CONVENED PEER

REVIEW PANEL, THE FINAL REPORT OF WHICH HEAVILY INFLUENCED THE AGENCY'S

DECISION TO REJECT THE DELISTING PETITION. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING,

IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

DUARTE NURSERY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS. PLF REPRESENTS JOHN

DUARTE AND DUARTE NURSERY, WHICH ALLEGEDLY VIOLATED THE CLEAN WATER ACT

WHEN HE PLOWED A DRY FIELD THAT THE GOVERNMENT INSISTS SHOULD BE

CONSIDERED A WETLAND, EVEN THOUGH THE ACT EXEMPTS NORMAL FARMING

PRACTICES. HE SUED ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE GOVERNMENT VIOLATED HIS

PROPERTY RIGHTS AND DUE PROCESS RIGHTS AND THE GOVERNMENT RETALIATED BY

FILING A COUNTERCLAIM AGAINST HIM. PLF ARGUES THAT THIS COUNTERCLAIM

WAS RETALIATORY AND VIOLATES DUARTE'S FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO PETITION

HIS GOVERNMENT. THE CASE SETTLED ON THE EVE OF TRIAL. PLF DID NOT SEEK

OR RECOVER FEES.

FOSTER V. VILSACK. REPRESENTING THE FOSTER FAMILY, PLF FILED A PETITION

FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO CHALLENGE THE U.S.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE'S ILLEGAL DETERMINATION THAT 0.8 ACRE OF

THEIR SOUTH DAKOTA FARM WAS A FEDERALLY PROTECTED WETLAND THAT THEY

COULD NO LONGER FARM. THE CASE RAISED THE IMPORTANT ISSUE OF WHETHER

JUDGES SHOULD INTERPRET FEDERAL LAW OR WHETHER JUNIOR BUREAUCRATS COULD

ISSUE BINDING INTERPRETATIONS. THE PETITION WAS DENIED. PLF DID NOT

SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

GRANAT V. U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE. REPRESENTING INDIVIDUALS,

OUTDOOR-RECREATION ORGANIZATIONS, AND TWO COUNTIES, PLF SUED THE

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO INVALIDATE A FOREST SERVICE ORDER THAT PROHIBITS

MOTORIZED VEHICLES ON THOUSANDS OF PREVIOUSLY ACCESSIBLE TRAILS IN THE

PLUMAS NATIONAL FOREST WITHOUT FULFILLING THE PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

OF BOTH THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEDURE ACT AND THE FOREST

SERVICE'S OWN TRAVEL MANAGEMENT RULE. HAD THE FOREST SERVICE FOLLOWED

THE LAW, IT WOULD HAVE COORDINATED WITH ALL INTERESTED PARTIES BEFORE

DETERMINING HOW BEST TO BALANCE THE ENVIRONMENTAL NEEDS OF THE FOREST

WITH THE NEEDS OF DISABLED AND OTHER PEOPLE TO ACCESS THESE PUBLIC

LANDS WITH MOTORIZED TRANSPORT. THE TRIAL COURT UPHELD THE ORDER AND

THE CASE IS NOW ON APPEAL. BRIEFING CONTINUED THROUGH 2017. BECAUSE THE

CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

IN RE PETITION TO DELIST THE PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE. ON BEHALF

OF A BROAD COALITION OF SOUND SCIENCE AND PROPERTY RIGHTS ADVOCATES,

PLF PETITIONED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO DELIST THE PREBLE'S MEADOW

JUMPING MOUSE FROM THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT. THE PREBLE'S MOUSE HAS

BEEN CONSIDERED ITS OWN SUBSPECIES SINCE THE 1950S, AND HAS BEEN LISTED

AS THREATENED SINCE 1998. BUT FOR ALMOST ITS ENTIRE TENURE UNDER THE

732212 09-07-17

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017)

Employer identification number 94-2197343

ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT, THE MOUSE HAS ATTRACTED CONSIDERABLE

CONTROVERSY. ONE REASON IS THE SIGNIFICANT NEGATIVE ECONOMIC IMPACT

THAT THE LISTING IMPOSES ON PROPERTY OWNERS IN WYOMING AND COLORADO,

ESTIMATED TO BE OVER \$200 MILLION OVER TWENTY YEARS. ANOTHER REASON IS

THE RATHER SLIM SCIENTIFIC BASIS FOR THE SERVICE'S CONCLUSION THAT THE

MOUSE COMPRISES ITS OWN LISTABLE SUBSPECIES. BECAUSE THE CASE IS

ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

IN RE TAXONOMY RULE-MAKING PETITION. ON BEHALF OF AN ARRAY OF

AGRICULTURAL INTERESTS, PROPERTY OWNERS, AND SCIENCE-BASED ADVOCACY

GROUPS, PLF PETITIONED THE SECRETARIES OF INTERIOR AND COMMERCE AND

THEIR DELEGATES THE UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE AND THE

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE TO PRODUCE REGULATORY DEFINITIONS FOR

THE TERMS "SPECIES" AND "SUBSPECIES" AS USED IN THE ENDANGERED SPECIES

ACT. THE GOVERNMENT HAS NOT YET ACTED ON THE PETITION. BECAUSE THIS

CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

JOHNSON V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. PLF REPRESENTS ANDY

JOHNSON, A WYOMING FARMER WHO CREATED A STOCK POND ON HIS LAND TO WATER

HIS LIVESTOCK. THE CLEAN WATER ACT EXPRESSLY PERMITS THE CREATION OF

SUCH STOCK PONDS, YET THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ISSUED A

COMPLIANCE ORDER DEMANDING THAT JOHNSON FILL IN THE POND AND MAKE OTHER

REMEDIATION TO THE LAND TO RESTORE IT TO ITS PRIOR CONDITION. IN 2016,

THE CASE WAS RESOLVED BY A CONSENT DECREE. PLF CONTINUED TO MONITOR

COMPLIANCE IN 2017. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

MARKLE INTERESTS, LLC V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. PLF FILED A

LAWSUIT ON BEHALF OF MARKLE INTERESTS AND OTHER BUSINESSES CHALLENGING

792212 09-07-17 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017)

A CRITICAL HABITAT DESIGNATION FOR THE DUSKY GOPHER FROG THAT INCLUDED

LAND WHERE THE FROG DID NOT LIVE AND COULD NOT LIVE WITHOUT SUBSTANTIAL

MODIFICATIONS TO THE LAND THAT THE SERVICE HAS NO POWER TO DEMAND. THE

FIFTH CIRCUIT UPHELD THE DESIGNATION, VASTLY EXPANDING THE AREA THAT

MAY BE DESIGNATED AS CRITICAL HABITAT AND REDUCING THE ABILITY OF

PROPERTY OWNERS TO MAKE PRODUCTIVE USE OF THEIR LAND. IN 2016, PLF

FILED A PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC. THE PETITION WAS DENIED IN 2017

AND PLF FILED A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME

COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

MASSACHUSETTS LOBSTERMEN'S ASSOCIATION V. ROSS. REPRESENTING FISHERMAN

AND LOBSTERMEN WHO ARE LOCKED OUT OF VALUABLE FISHING GROUNDS, PLF

FILED A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT TO CHALLENGE PRESIDENT

OBAMA'S NORTHEAST CANYONS AND SEAMOUNTS MARINE NATIONAL MONUMENT

DESIGNATION UNDER ANTIQUITIES ACT. AN AREA IN THE OCEAN THE SIZE OF

CONNECTICUT CANNOT QUALIFY AS A MONUMENT - WHICH THE ACT DESCRIBES AS

BEING THE SMALLEST POSSIBLE AREA OF LAND NECESSARY TO PRESERVE AN

IMPORTANT RESOURCE. THE MONUMENT DESIGNATION ALSO THREATENS TO

UNDERMINE YEARS' WORTH OF EFFORT BY OUR CLIENTS TO PROMOTE SUSTAINABLE

FISHING IN THE REGION. BY SHUTTING OFF THE AREA TO FISHERMEN, THE

PROCLAMATION FORCES THEM TO RELOCATE FROM THIS HEALTHY FISHERY TO OTHER

AREAS THAT ARE LESS SUSTAINABLE. THE CASE IS STAYED PENDING EXECUTIVE

ACTION. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

MORNING STAR PACKING CO. V. CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD. PLF
REPRESENTS A COALITION OF CALIFORNIA CITIZENS AND TRADE ASSOCIATIONS
CHALLENGING THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD'S CAP-AND-TRADE EMISSIONS AUCTIONS
ON THE GROUNDS THAT SUCH AUCTIONS ARE ILLEGAL TAXES UNDER THE STATE

CONSTITUTION. THE TAX IS PART OF A RATIONING SCHEME THAT LIMITS

CALIFORNIANS' USE OF GASOLINE, DIESEL, COAL, AND NATURAL GAS. THE

AUCTION PROCEEDS ARE SPENT ON SPECIAL PROJECTS LIKE HIGH-SPEED RAIL. IN

2016, PLF SUBMITTED BRIEFS TO THE CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL, WHICH

ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION IN 2017. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR REVIEW IN

THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT, WHICH WAS DENIED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR

RECOVER FEES.

NEW MEXICO FARM AND LIVESTOCK BUREAU V. JEWELL. PLF CHALLENGED A

CRITICAL HABITAT DESIGNATION FOR JAGUAR IN NEW MEXICO ON BEHALF OF

PROPERTY OWNERS, FARMERS, AND RANCHERS WHOSE PROPERTY WAS ADVERSELY

AFFECTED BY THE DESIGNATION. THE DESIGNATION DID NOT COMPLY WITH

GOVERNING STATUTES BECAUSE THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE THAT THE JAGUAR LIVED

IN THE DESIGNATED AREAS AT THE TIME OF THE LISTING. IN 2017, PLF

CONCLUDED BRIEFING ON A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN THE TRIAL COURT.

AFTER THE DISTRICT COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION, PLF APPEALED.

BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

OTERO COUNTY CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE.

REPRESENTING THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO STOCKMAN'S ASSOCIATION AND THE

OTERO COUNTY CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION, PLF NOTIFIED THE SERVICE OF ITS

INTENT TO SUE TO CHALLENGE THE DESIGNATION OF CRITICAL HABITAT FOR THE

NEW MEXICO MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE. THE DESIGNATION IS ILLEGAL BECAUSE THE

SERVICE FAILED TO PROPERLY CONSIDER THE ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF THE

DESIGNATION PRIOR TO ADOPTING THE FINAL RULE. THE SERVICE ALSO FAILED

TO EXCLUDE ANY AREAS FROM THE DESIGNATION BASED ON THE SUBSET OF

ECONOMIC IMPACTS THAT IT DID CONSIDER. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT

IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

Employer identification number 94-2197343

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM SERVICE: PEOPLE V. RINEHART. CALIFORNIA BANS SUCTION DREDGE MINING, EVEN ON FEDERAL LANDS, DESPITE THE FACT THAT CONGRESS ENCOURAGES MINING ON FEDERAL LANDS, AS IT HAS FOR MORE THAN 150 YEARS. THE SUPREME COURT HAS HELD THAT STATES CAN SUPPLEMENT FEDERAL REGULATION OF MINING TO ADDRESS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. BUT CALIFORNIA CHOSE TO BAN SUCTION DREDGE MINING ENTIRELY. BY TAKING THAT UNPRECEDENTED STEP, CALIFORNIA WENT CROSSED THE LINE BETWEEN SUPPLEMENTING FEDERAL LAW (PERMITTED) AND FRUSTRATING IT (PREEMPTED). THIS CASE WILL DETERMINE HOW CONFLICTS OVER FEDERAL LAND USE WILL BE RESOLVED, THE FUTURE OF ENVIRONMENTAL FEDERALISM, AND THE INCOMES OF MINERS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. REPRESENTING BRANDON RINEHART, PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ASKING THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES TO DECIDE WHETHER STATES CAN FRUSTRATE FEDERAL LAW BY BANNING FEDERALLY ENCOURAGED ACTIVITIES, LIKE MINING, ON FEDERAL LANDS. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

PEOPLE FOR THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF PROPERTY OWNERS V. U.S. FISH AND
WILDLIFE SERVICE. BURDENSOME FEDERAL REGULATIONS PREVENT SOUTHEASTERN
UTAHANS FROM BUILDING HOMES, STARTING BUSINESSES, OR OTHERWISE USING
THEIR PROPERTY IN ORDER TO PROTECT THE UTAH PRAIRIE DOG. BECAUSE THE
PRAIRIE DOG LIVES ONLY IN UTAH AND HAS NO COMMERCIAL VALUE, PLF
REPRESENTS PROPERTY OWNERS IN A CHALLENGE TO THE REGULATIONS, ARGUING
THAT THEY VIOLATE THE CONSTITUTION'S COMMERCE CLAUSE. THE DISTRICT
COURT RULED THE REGULATIONS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND THE GOVERNMENT
APPEALED. THE TENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION
AND, IN 2017, PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S.

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017) Page 2 Name of the organization **Employer identification number** PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 94-2197343 SUPREME COURT. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. PETITION RE COASTAL COMMISSION'S SHORELINE PROTECTIVE DEVICE POLICY. REPRESENTING THE COASTAL RIGHTS COMMISSION, PLF FILED A PETITION WITH CALIFORNIA'S OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW CHALLENGING THE STATE COASTAL COMMISSION'S UNDERGROUND REGULATIONS ENACTED WITHOUT PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT IN VIOLATION OF THE COASTAL ACT AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT. THE CHALLENGED POLICY REQUIRES COASTAL PROPERTY OWNERS TO FORFEIT THEIR RIGHT TO BUILD A SHORELINE PROTECTIVE DEVICE (SUCH AS A SEAWALL OR BLUFF STABILIZATION DEVICE) AS A CONDITION TO OBTAINING A COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT. BECAUSE THIS ACTION IS ONGOING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. SACKETT V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. AFTER WINNING THE RIGHT FOR THE SACKETTS TO GO TO COURT TO CHALLENGE THE EPA'S ASSERTION OF JURISDICTION OVER ALLEGED WETLANDS ON THEIR PROPERTY IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, PLF CONTINUED IN 2017 TO REPRESENT THE SACKETTS ON REMAND. PLF FILED A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT SEEKING A RULING THAT THE SACKETTS' PROPERTY DOES NOT CONTAIN WETLANDS SUBJECT TO REGULATION UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. SAVE CRYSTAL RIVER, INC. V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. REPRESENTING A COALITION OF CRYSTAL RIVER, FLORIDA, RESIDENTS, PLF PETITIONED THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE TO COMPLY WITH ITS OWN

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017)

FINDINGS AND DOWNLIST THE WEST INDIAN MANATEE FROM AN ENDANGERED TO

THREATENED SPECIES. IN 2016, THE GOVERNMENT PROPOSED A TIMETABLE TO

ISSUE A RULE TO RECLASSIFY THE MANATEE. IT FAILED TO DO SO, AND IN 2017

PLF PREPARED A NEW 60-DAY NOTICE THAT IT WOULD SUE TO ENFORCE THE LAW.

THIS LITIGATION BENEFITS THE GENERAL PUBLIC BY EASING RESTRICTIONS ON

THE WATERS THEY SEEK TO USE THAT ARE SHARED WITH THE MANATEE AND BY

ENSURING THAT GOVERNMENT AGENCIES COMPLY WITH THEIR OWN FINDINGS AND

THEIR STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS. THE MANATEES WERE DOWNLISTED ON APRIL 5,

2017. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

TIN CUP, LLC V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS. REPRESENTING TIN CUP, A

FAMILY-OWNED PIPE FABRICATION BUSINESS, PLF FILED A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING
THE "ALASKA SUPPLEMENT" TO THE CORPS' OF ENGINEERS' 1987 WETLANDS

DELINEATION MANUAL, ARGUING THAT IT FAILS TO PROVIDE A LEGALLY ADEQUATE

STANDARD FOR DETERMINING THE PRESENCE OF WETLANDS UNDER THE CLEAN WATER

ACT. PLF ARGUES THAT THE CORPS IMPROPERLY ASSERTED JURISDICTION OVER

200 ACRES OF PERMAFROST ON TIN CUP'S PROPERTY BASED ON

AGENCY-PROMULGATED REGIONAL "SUPPLEMENTS" THAT PURPORT TO EXPAND THE

CORPS' JURISDICTION OVER VAST SWATHS OF ALASKA. THE DISTRICT COURT

UPHELD THE GOVERNMENT ACTION AND PLF APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT.

BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

TOWN OF COOS BAY V. ROSS. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS TWISTING

ENVIRONMENTAL AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT LAW TO IMPOSE FEDERAL ZONING

CONTROL OVER OREGON'S COASTAL REGIONS AND MUCH OF THE REST OF THE

STATE. IN ORDER TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR FEDERAL FLOOD INSURANCE, LOCAL

COMMUNITIES WOULD HAVE TO ABSTAIN FROM ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT-PURPORTEDLY

TO PROTECT CERTAIN ENDANGERED SPECIES, EVEN THOUGH, AS A MATTER OF LAW,

FEMA AND ITS FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM HAVE NO IMPACT ON SPECIES

WHATSOEVER. IF FEDERAL BUREAUCRATS CAN MISUSE AN INSURANCE PROGRAM TO

TURN THEMSELVES INTO A SUPER ZONING BOARD, ISSUING DECREES HAVING

NOTHING TO DO WITH FLOOD CONTROL AND SAFETY, THEY COULD TRY IT IN ANY

COMMUNITY WHERE THE PROGRAM OPERATES. PLF REPRESENTS THE TOWN OF COOS

BAY IN A LAWSUIT TO TAKE BACK DECISION-MAKING POWER. TRIAL LEVEL

LITIGATION IS STAYED PENDING ACTION IN A RELATED CASE. BECAUSE THIS

CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

UNIVERSAL WELDING, INC. V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS. PLF REPRESENTS

A STEEL AND PIPE FABRICATION BUSINESS IN A CHALLENGE TO CLEAN WATER ACT

JURISDICTION OVER ISOLATED WETLANDS IN FAIRBANKS, ALASKA. UNIVERSAL

WELDING'S PARCEL DOES NOT ADJOIN ANY NAVIGABLE WATER, ONLY OTHER

WETLANDS. THE CORPS' REGULATIONS EXCLUDE WETLANDS-ADJACENT-TO-WETLANDS

FROM THE AGENCY'S JURISDICTION. THE DISTRICT COURT UPHELD THE CORPS'

ASSERTION OF JURISDICTION AND PLF APPEALED. THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF

APPEALS AFFIRMED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

WASHINGTON CATTLEMEN'S ASS'N V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. THE

EPA ISSUED AN "INTERNAL GUIDANCE" DOCUMENT REDEFINING JURISDICTIONAL

WATERS UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT IN VIOLATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE

PROCEDURE ACT RULE-MAKING PROCEDURES. REPRESENTING A CATTLEMEN'S

ASSOCIATION WHOSE MEMBERS ARE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE

OVERLY-EXPANSIVE REACH OF THE EPA'S "WOTUS RULE," PLF SUED TO OVERTURN

IT. THESE CASES ARE CONSOLIDATED AND PLF, ON BEHALF OF CLIENTS IN THIS

AND OTHER CASES, FILED AMICUS BRIEFS IN THOSE CASES WHERE PLF DOES NOT

DIRECTLY REPRESENT THE LEAD PLAINTIFFS. IN 2017, PLF SUBMITTED BRIEFS

AS RESPONDENT IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT IN THE CONSOLIDATED CASE OF

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MANUFACTURERS V. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE. BECAUSE

THESE CASES ARE ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

WILDEARTH GUARDIANS V. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE. PLF INTERVENED IN THIS LAWSUIT ON BEHALF OF THE NEW MEXICO CATTLE GROWERS' ASSOCIATION AND OTHER AGRICULTURAL ORGANIZATIONS TO OPPOSE CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTALIST GROUPS WHO ARE SUING TO RADICALLY EXPAND THE CRIMINAL LIABILITY PROVISIONS OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT. THE PROPERTY OWNERS ARGUE THAT THE LAWSUIT IS A STARK EXAMPLE OF OVERCRIMINALIZATION - PEOPLE WOULD ACTUALLY GO TO JAIL IF THEY ACCIDENTALLY KILLED AN UNKNOWN, ENDANGERED INSECT WHILE DRIVING DOWN THE HIGHWAY. AFTER AN ADVERSE DECISION FROM THE DISTRICT COURT, PLF APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND FILED BRIEFS IN THAT COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS

PERSONAL LIBERTIES: THE LIBERTY PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTION ENCOMPASSES YOUR RIGHT TO BE FREE IN THE ENJOYMENT OF ALL OF YOUR ABILITIES IN THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS, INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO EXPRESS YOURSELF IN THOUGHT AND ACTION, TO PURSUE THE OCCUPATION OF YOUR CHOICE, TO LIVE WHERE YOU WANT, AND TO PURSUE THE BEST EDUCATION FOR YOU AND YOUR CHILDREN. PLF LITIGATES TO VINDICATE FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND ASSOCIATION; DEFEND THE RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING; SUPPORT FREEDOM IN EDUCATION; AND UPHOLD EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW, INCLUDING FREEDOM FROM RACIAL DISCRIMINATION.

ARMSTRONG V. KADAS, PLF REPRESENTS PARENTS OF A CHILD IN A FAITH-BASED SCHOOL AND AN ASSOCIATION OF CHRISTIAN SCHOOLS IN A CHALLENGE TO A REGULATION IMPLEMENTING MONTANA'S SCHOLARSHIP TAX CREDIT LAW. THE REGULATION FORBIDS RELIGIOUSLY AFFILIATED SCHOOLS FROM PARTICIPATING IN THE TAX CREDIT PROGRAM. PLF CHALLENGED THE REGULATION AS VIOLATING THE 732212 09-07-17 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

FIRST AMENDMENT'S PROTECTION FOR FREEDOM OF RELIGION AND THE FOURTEENTH

AMENDMENT'S GUARANTEE OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW. THE FEDERAL COURT

DISMISSED THE CASE PENDING A STATE COURT'S DECISION IN A RELATED CASE.

PLF APPEALED THE ABSTENTION TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT. BECAUSE THIS CASE

REMAINS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM SERVICE:

ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS-CALIFORNIA COOPERATION COMMITTEE V.

BECERRA. REPRESENTING A BUILDING CONTRACTOR TRADE ASSOCIATION, PLF TOOK

OVER DIRECT REPRESENTATION ON APPEAL OF A FIRST AMENDMENT CHALLENGE TO

A CALIFORNIA LAW WHEREBY CONTRACTORS FOR PUBLIC PROJECTS CAN RECEIVE A

PREVAILING WAGE CREDIT FOR CONTRIBUTIONS MADE ONLY TO SELECTED

"INDUSTRY ADVANCEMENT FUNDS" THAT ADVOCATE FOR UNION INTERESTS. BECAUSE

THIS STATUTE FAVORS SOME EXPRESSIVE VIEWPOINTS OVER OTHERS, THE LAW

VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT. IN 2017, PLF SUBMITTED BRIEFS IN THE

NINTH CIRCUIT. BECAUSE THIS CASE REMAINS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO

SEEK FEES.

BENNIE V. MUNN. PLF TOOK OVER THIS FIRST AMENDMENT CASE TO FILE A

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. ROBERT

BENNIE IS A BROKER-DEALER AND TEA PARTY ACTIVIST IN NEBRASKA. AFTER

MAKING DISPARAGING REMARKS ABOUT PRESIDENT OBAMA, STATE REGULATORS

TARGETED BENNIE'S BUSINESS FOR INCREASED SCRUTINY WITH THE INTENT OF

SILENCING HIM. THE TRIAL COURT RULED AGAINST HIS FREE SPEECH CLAIMS AND

THE APPELLATE COURT REFUSED TO CONDUCT AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW OF HIS

CLAIMS. PLF URGED THE HIGH COURT TO TAKE THE CASE TO ENSURE THAT ALL

LEVELS OF THE JUDICIARY CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE MERITS OF FIRST

AMENDMENT CLAIMS. THE PETITION WAS DENIED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number
94-2197343

FEES.

CHEF GEOFF'S V. JEFFREY PAINTER. THE STATE OF VIRGINIA SIGNIFICANTLY

LIMITS THE LANGUAGE THAT RESTAURANTS CAN USE TO ADVERTISE SPECIALS

RELATED TO ALCOHOL PURCHASES. FOR EXAMPLE, THEY MAY NOT ADVERTISE THE

ACTUAL PRICE OF A BOTTLE OF WINE OR DESIGNATE "WEDNESDAY WINE NIGHT" OR

"MARGARITA THURSDAY." PLF REPRESENTS AWARD-WINNING CHEF AND

RESTAURANTEER GEOFF TRACY IN A FIRST AMENDMENT CHALLENGE TO THESE STATE

LAWS THAT UNLAWFULLY RESTRICT TRUTHFUL SPEECH. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS

PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

E.L. V. VOLUNTARY INTERDISTRICT CHOICE CORP. REPRESENTING ELEMENTARY

SCHOOL STUDENT E.L., AND HIS MOTHER, LA'SHEIKA WHITE, PLF FILED SUIT TO

CHALLENGE A COUNTY-TO-CITY SCHOOL TRANSFER POLICY THAT PROHIBITS BLACK

STUDENTS RESIDING IN THE COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS FROM TRANSFERRING TO A

SCHOOL LOCATED IN THE CITY OF ST. LOUIS AS A VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL

PROTECTION CLAUSE. EVERY CHILD SHOULD HAVE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO

ATTEND THE SCHOOL OF HIS OR HER CHOICE, REGARDLESS OF THE COLOR OF HIS

OR HER SKIN. THE DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED THE CASE FOR PROCEDURAL

REASONS AND PLF APPEALED TO THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT. IN 2017, PLF BRIEFED

AND ARGUED THE CASE IN THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT, WHICH AFFIRMED THE LOWER

COURT DECISION. PLF THEN FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE

U.S. SUPREME COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE REMAINS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE

TO SEEK FEES.

ELSTER V. CITY OF SEATTLE. A SEATTLE LAW AUTHORIZES THE CITY TO ISSUE

"DEMOCRACY VOUCHERS" EVERY ELECTION CYCLE TO RESIDENTS WITHIN THE CITY

LIMITS. THE RESIDENTS MAY THEN CONTRIBUTE THESE VOUCHERS TO ELIGIBLE

732212 09-07-17

CANDIDATES FOR CITY ELECTED OFFICES. PLF REPRESENTS SEATTLE TAXPAYERS

AND PROPERTY OWNERS IN A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THE VOUCHERS AS VIOLATING

THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND EQUAL PROTECTION. THE KING COUNTY SUPERIOR

COURT UPHELD THE LAW AND PLF APPEALED. BECAUSE LITIGATION IS ONGOING,

IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

FONTENOT V. ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA. PLF REPRESENTS PEGGY

FONTENOT, A NATIVE AMERICAN ARTIST WHO SELLS HER HAND-MADE JEWELRY AND

ART AT ART SHOWS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. AT THE BEHEST OF

POLITICALLY-POWERFUL TRIBES, OKLAHOMA PASSED A LAW THAT PERMITS ONLY

MEMBERS OF FEDERALLY-RECOGNIZED TRIBES TO DESCRIBE THEMSELVES OR THEIR

ART AS NATIVE AMERICAN. BECAUSE FONTENOT IS A MEMBER OF A VIRGINIA

STATE-RECOGNIZED TRIBE, SHE MAY NOT MARKET HER ART AS "INDIAN MADE."

PLF FILED A LAWSUIT ON THE GROUNDS THAT THIS LAW VIOLATES THE FIRST AND

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS. THE CASE IS PENDING IN THE TRIAL COURT. BECAUSE

LITIGATION IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

JOHNSON V. CASSELLIUS. PLF REPRESENTS HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT KAIDEN

JOHNSON AND HIS MOTHER IN A FEDERAL EQUAL PROTECTION CHALLENGE TO THE

ENFORCEMENT OF A DISCRIMINATORY SEX-BASED CLASSIFICATION IN A MINNESOTA

STATUTE THAT PROHIBITS BOYS FROM COMPETING ALONGSIDE FEMALE CLASSMATES

ON HIS SCHOOL'S DANCE TEAM. IN 2017, PLF SENT A NOTICE LETTER TO THE

SCHOOL DISTRICT SEEKING TO END THIS DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICE AND, WHEN

THE DISTRICT FAILED TO RESCIND THE REGULATION, PLF PETITIONED THE

FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION TO COMMENCE AN INVESTIGATION. BECAUSE

THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

KRAUSE V. SCHOOL BOARD OF INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FLORIDA. RISING SENIOR

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT J.P. KRAUSE RAN FOR CLASS PRESIDENT. WITH THE

PERMISSION OF HIS AP HISTORY TEACHER, HE DELIVERED A SATIRICAL,

90-SECOND CAMPAIGN SPEECH DURING CLASS, WHICH WAS RECORDED BY A

CLASSMATE. HE WON THE ELECTION. ONE OF HIS COMPETITORS THEN CLAIMED THE

SPEECH "HARASSED" HER AND THE SCHOOL STRIPPED J.P. OF HIS VICTORY AND

DISCIPLINED HIM FOR VIOLATION OF THE SCHOOL'S CODE OF CONDUCT. PLF

REPRESENTED J.P. AND HIS MOTHER TO SET THE SCHOOL STRAIGHT ABOUT J.P.'S

FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS, WHICH DO NOT DISAPPEAR SIMPLY BECAUSE HE IS A

STUDENT. AFTER THE CASE GENERATED NATIONAL ATTENTION, THE SCHOOL AGREED

TO REINSTATE J.P. AS SENIOR CLASS PRESIDENT AND REMOVE ANY DISCIPLINARY

RECORD FROM HIS FILE. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

LIPPMAN V. CITY OF OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA. TOM LIPPMAN IS AN OAKLAND

PROPERTY OWNER WHO RENTS A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE. HE WAS CITED BY THE

CITY FOR RELATIVELY MINOR BUILDING CODE VIOLATIONS AND SOUGHT TO

APPEAL. PLF FILED SUIT ON HIS BEHALF TO CHALLENGE THE APPELLATE

PROCESS, WHICH ALLOWS ONLY HEARING OFFICERS FROM THE SAME DEPARTMENT AS

ISSUES THE CITATIONS TO CONSIDER APPEALS AND DOES NOT PERMIT PROPERTY

OWNERS TO DEFEND THEMSELVES. THIS VIOLATES STATE LAW AND FUNDAMENTAL

FAIRNESS THAT REQUIRES JUDGES TO BE SEPARATE FROM PROSECUTORS. BECAUSE

THIS CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

MINERVA DAIRY V. BRANCEL. PLF REPRESENTS MINERVA DAIRY AND ITS

PRESIDENT, ADAM MUELLER, IN A CHALLENGE TO A WISCONSIN LAW THAT BANS

BUTTER FROM BEING SOLD WITHIN WISCONSIN IF IT HASN'T FIRST BEEN

"GRADED." WISCONSIN'S LAW PREVENTS BUTTER MAKERS OUTSIDE OF WISCONSIN

FROM SELLING THEIR PRODUCTS IN THAT STATE UNLESS THEY FIRST GO THROUGH

THE ARDUOUS AND COST PROHIBITIVE PROCESS OF GETTING THEIR BUTTER

THE ARDUOUS AND COST PROHIBITIVE PROCESS OF GETTING THEIR BUTTER

Name of the organization
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number 94-2197343

GRADED. THE LAWSUIT ALLEGES THAT WISCONSIN'S LAW VIOLATES THE COMMERCE

CLAUSE, AS WELL AS THE DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSES OF THE

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS

CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

MINNESOTA VOTERS ALLIANCE V. MANSKY. PLF REPRESENTS MINNESOTA VOTERS IN

A CHALLENGE TO A STATE STATUTE THAT PROHIBITS WEARING "POLITICAL"

APPAREL IN OR NEAR A POLLING PLACE. THIS OVERBROAD LAW, WHICH PROHIBITS

A T-SHIRT WITH A TEA PARTY OR AFL-CIO LOGO, OR A BUTTON THAT SAYS

"PLEASE I.D. ME", VIOLATES THE VOTERS' FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT OF FREE

SPEECH. AFTER THE VOTERS LOST IN THE LOWER COURTS, PLF TOOK OVER THE

CASE TO PETITION THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW THE APPELLATE COURT

DECISION. THE SUPREME COURT AGREED TO HEAR THE CASE AND MERITS BRIEFING

IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

QUAD KNOPF, INC. V. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION. PLF REPRESENTS QUAD KNOPF, AN ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND CONSULTING FIRM IN CALIFORNIA THAT CONTRACTS TO PERFORM SERVICES WITH CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES

COMMISSION. BECAUSE OF THE COMMISSION'S REGULATIONS REQUIRING THAT

CONTRACTORS UTILIZE A SET PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN- AND MINORITY-OWNED

SUBCONTRACTORS, QUAD KNOPF IS REQUIRED TO SUBCONTRACT WORK THAT COULD BE PERFORMED BY ITS OWN EMPLOYEES. THESE REGULATIONS VIOLATE THE STATE CONSTITUTION'S COMMITMENT TO PUBLIC CONTRACTING WITHOUT REGARD TO THE RACE OR SEX OF CONTRACTORS, ENACTED AS PROPOSITION 209 IN 1996. PLF PETITIONED THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION TO RESCIND ITS REGULATIONS

TO THE EXTENT THEY OFFER PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT BASED ON RACE AND SEX. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

Employer identification number 94-2197343

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

SHOCK V. CITY OF SEATTLE, WASHINGTON. THE CITY OF SEATTLE ADOPTED AN

INCOME TAX THAT TARGETS THE CITY'S "HIGH-INCOME" RESIDENTS BY IMPOSING

A 2.25 PERCENT TAX ON ANY INDIVIDUAL EARNING MORE THAN \$250,000, AND AN

INITIAL RATE OF 0 PERCENT ON EVERYONE ELSE. SOLD AS A "WEALTH TAX," THE

CITY'S ORDINANCE IS A TROJAN HORSE THAT THREATENS THE RIGHTS OF POOR

AND MIDDLE CLASS FAMILIES. THE INCOME BANDS AND TAX RATES ARE

TEMPORARY. ONCE A SOURCE OF TAX REVENUE OPENS, THE GOVERNMENT WILL MINE

IT-MEANING THAT EVERY INCOME LEVEL IS NOW EXPOSED TO NEW TAXES. PLF

REPRESENTS SEATTLE RESIDENTS IN A CHALLENGE TO THE CITY'S

DISCRIMINATORY "ACHIEVEMENT TAX" THAT VIOLATES THE STATE CONSTITUTION'S

PROHIBITION ON INCOME TAXES, AND, WHEN TAXES ARE PERMITTED, REQUIRES

UNIFORMITY AMONG CITIZENS. THE TRIAL COURT STRUCK DOWN THE ORDINANCE

AND THE CITY WILL APPEAL. BECAUSE THE CASE IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE

TO SEEK FEES.

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A, DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM SERVICE:

THE BOOK PASSAGE V. BECERRA. BOOK PASSAGE IS A BOOKSTORE THAT HOSTS

OVER 700 AUTHOR EVENTS A YEAR-IN WHICH AUTHORS GIVE TALKS, READ

PASSAGES, INTERACT WITH READERS, AND SIGN BOOKS. THE OWNER KEEPS COPIES

OF SIGNED BOOKS FROM THESE EVENTS TO SELL LATER. BOOK PASSAGE ALSO

PROCURES A MONTHLY BOOK CLUB, WHEREIN READERS ARE SENT A FIRST EDITION

SIGNED BOOK BY AN UP-AND-COMING AUTHOR. BOOK PASSAGE DOESN'T CHARGE A

PREMIUM FOR THE SIGNATURE; ALL OF ITS BOOKS ARE SOLD FOR THEIR COVER

PRICE. THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE RECENTLY EXPANDED ITS AUTOGRAPH LAW

(WHICH FORMERLY ONLY APPLIED TO SPORTS MEMORABILIA) TO INCLUDE ANY

SIGNED ITEM WORTH OVER \$5-INCLUDING BOOKS. THIS MAKES IT EXTREMELY

RISKY, IF NOT IMPOSSIBLE, FOR BOOK PASSAGE TO CONTINUE SELLING

AUTOGRAPHED BOOKS OR HOSTING AUTHOR EVENTS. PLF REPRESENTED BOOK

PASSAGE IN A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THE LAW AS VIOLATING THE FIRST

AMENDMENT. THE LEGISLATURE SUBSEQUENTLY PASSED A LAW REPEALING

LIABILITY AND PLF DISMISSED THE CASE. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

TWIST ARCHITECTURE V. OREGON BD. OF ARCHITECT EXAMINERS. PLF REPRESENTS

DAVID HANSEN, A PARTNER IN TWIST ARCHITECTURE, WHO IS NOT A LICENSED

ARCHITECT. HE MAKES HIS LIVING BY MAKING "MARKETING DRAWINGS" - IMAGES

TO HELP A DEVELOPMENT COMPANY ATTRACT RETAILERS TO DEVELOPMENTS BY

IMAGINING DIFFERENT POSSIBILITIES FOR THE PROJECT. THE DRAWINGS DO NOT

INCLUDE SPECIFIC PLANS AND CANNOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES.

THE OREGON BOARD OF ARCHITECT EXAMINERS FINED HANSEN AND HIS PARTNER

\$10,000 EACH FOR PURPORTEDLY PRACTICING ARCHITECTURE WITHOUT A LICENSE.

PLF ARGUED TO THE OREGON SUPREME COURT THAT THIS VIOLATES THEIR

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING BY RESTRICTING THEIR ACTIVITIES

WITHOUT ANY RATIONAL RELATIONSHIP TO PROTECTING PUBLIC HEALTH AND

SAFETY. ORAL ARGUMENT WAS HELD IN 2017. THE COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE

DECISION. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.

YIM V. CITY OF SEATTLE. PLF REPRESENTS OWNERS OF SEVERAL SMALL RENTAL

PROPERTIES TO CHALLENGE THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF SEATTLE'S "FAIR CHANCE

HOUSING ORDINANCE," WHICH RESTRICTS A RESIDENTIAL LANDLORD FROM

CONSIDERING A TENANT APPLICANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY WHEN DECIDING TO WHOM

HE OR SHE WILL RENT THE PROPERTY. PLF FILED THE COMPLAINT IN WASHINGTON

STATE COURT AND LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING, IT

IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

AMICUS CASES: PLF FILED AMICUS BRIEFS IN THE FOLLOWING CASES,

FURTHERING ALL OF THE OBJECTIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE.

732212 09-07-17

Name of the organization **Employer identification number** PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 94-2197343 (CAL. COURT OF APPEAL) EPIC SYSTEMS CORP. V. LEWIS (U.S. SUPREME COURT) GUNDERSON V. STATE OF INDIANA (INDIANA SUPREME COURT) HERNANDEZ V. STATE OF NEW YORK (NEW YORK SUPREME COURT) HILL V. SEIU (U.S. SUPREME COURT) IN RE GUNNISON SAGE GROUSE (DISTRICT COURT OF COLORADO) JANUS V. AFCSME (U.S. SUPREME COURT) JARREAU V. SOUTH LA FOURCHE LEVEE DISTRICT (U.S. SUPREME COURT) LUCIA V. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMM'N (U.S. SUPREME COURT) MAYTOWN SAND & GRAVEL, LLC V. THURSTON COUNTY (WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT) NATIONAL RESTAURANT ASS'N V. DEP'T OF LABOR (U.S. SUPREME COURT) NIANG V. CARROLL (EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS) OIL STATES ENERGY LLC V. GREENE'S ENERGY GROUPS LLC (U.S. SUPREME COURT) OLYMPIC STEWARDSHIP FOUNDATION V. GROWTH MANAGEMENT HEARINGS BOARD (WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT) RENTERIA V. SUPERIOR COURT (U.S. SUPREME COURT) ROSS V. ACADIA SEAPLANTS LTD. (MAINE SUPREME COURT) ROTHE DEVELOPMENT, INC. V. DEP'T OF DEFENSE AND SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (U.S. SUPREME COURT) S.S. V. STEPHANIE H. (U.S. SUPREME COURT) ST. BERNARD PORT V. VIOLET DOCK PORT (LOUISIANA SUPREME COURT) UNITED STATES V. ROBERTSON (NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS) VIZIO, INC. V. KLEE (SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS) WOMEN'S SURGICAL CENTER, LLC V. REESE (GEORGIA SUPREME COURT)

Name of the organization **Employer identification number** PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 94-2197343 FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 11B: THE TAX PREPARER AND PLF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PROVIDE THE FORM 990 TO THE AUDIT COMMITTEE, ALONG WITH EACH TRUSTEE, GIVING THEM THE OPPORTUNITY TO RAISE ANY CONCERNS AND/OR ASK QUESTIONS PRIOR TO THE FILING DATE. A DEADLINE IS GIVEN TO THE TRUSTEES TO INSURE TIMELY FILING OF THE TAX RETURN. FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 12C: EACH TIME A NEW CASE COMES UP, PLF CHECKS FOR CONFLICTS. EACH DECISION MADE BY THE BOARD, IF SOMEONE HAS A CONFLICT, THE BOARD MEMBER WILL ABSTAIN FROM THE VOTE AND/OR DISCUSSION. ON AN ANNUAL BASIS THE TRUSTEES REVIEW THE POLICY PROVIDING WRITTEN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. ANY CONFLICTS OR POTENTIAL CONFLICTS ARE RESOLVED BY THE PRESIDENT. FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 15: COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD MEETS ANNUALLY AND USES COMPARABILITY DATA PROVIDED BY DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO DETERMINE THAT THE COMPENSATION DOES NOT EXCEED THE LEVEL OF THE BENEFITS PROVIDED. FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 17, LIST OF STATES RECEIVING COPY OF FORM 990: CA, AK, AZ, FL, HI, IL, KS, MD, MA, MI, MN, NJ, NY, OH, OR, PA, SC, UT, VA, WA, AR, MO, NC, NH, AL CO, CT, GA, KY, LA, ME, MS, ND, NM, OK, TN, WV, WI, RI, DC FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION C, LINE 19: COPIES ARE AVAILABLE ON THE ORGANIZATIONS WEBSITE OR UPON REQUEST. FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 9, CHANGES IN NET ASSETS: SFAS NO.247 ADJUSTMENT FOR SPLIT INTEREST AGREEMENTS -50,743.

77

PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2017)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343
HODW 000 DADW VIT LINE OC.	
FORM 990, PART XII, LINE 2C:	
NO CHANGE FROM PRIOR YEAR	
NO CHANGE FROM FRIOR IEAR	
	-
	

SCHEDULE R (Form 990)

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33, 34, 35b, 36, or 37. Related Organizations and Unrelated Partnerships

2017

OMB No. 1545-0047

▶ Attach to Form 990.

Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

Identification of Disregarded Entities. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33.

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Name of the organization Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Part

Employer identification number Open to Public Inspection

94-2197343

(g) Section 512(b)(13) N controlled entity? Yes Direct controlling Identification of Related Tax-Exempt Organizations. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, because it had one or more related tax-exempt organizations during the tax year. entity PACIFIC LEGAL 3,203,888,FOUNDATION Direct controlling entity End-of-year assets Public charity status (if section <u>e</u> 501(c)(3)) 216,504. Total income Exempt Code section Legal domicile (state or Legal domicile (state or foreign country) foreign country) CALIFORNIA Primary activity Primary activity COMMERCIAL PROPERTY Name, address, and EIN (if applicable) Name, address, and EIN of related organization of disregarded entity PLF BUILDING, LLC - 47-1126088 95814 SACRAMENTO CA 930 G STREET Part II

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

732161 09-11-17 LHA

SEE PART VII FOR CONTINUATIONS

Schedule R (Form 990) 2017

94-2197343

Page 2

Schedule R (Form 990) 2017 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Partnership. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, because it had one or more related organizations treated as a partnership during the tax year. Part III

¥	General or Percentage managing ownership									
9	ieneral or nanaging partner?	Yes No								
9	amount in box	K-1 (Form 1065) N								
(F)	숃	Yes No								
(a)	Share of end-of-year									
Œ	Share of total income									
(e)	Direct controlling Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under	sections 512-514)								
(p)	Direct controlling entity									
(0)	Legal domicile (state or	country)								
(p)	Primary activity									
(a)	Name, address, and EIN of related organization									

Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Corporation or Trust. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, because it had one or more related organizations treated as a corporation or trust during the tax year. Part IV

-									Ì
(a)	(q)	(0)	(p)	(e)	Œ	(6)	ε	Ξ,	i .
Name, address, and EIN of related organization	Primary activity	Legal domicile (state or foreign	Direct controlling Type of entity Corp, Scorp,	Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust)	Share of total income	Share of end-of-year	Percentage ownership	Section 512(b)(13) controlled entity?	(E) P
		country)		O classy		2000		Yes No	9
								_	
								_	

Schedule R (Form 990) 2017

Ó
r 36
õ
o o
355
က
4,
က
9
≔
>
2
듦
ď
99
Ŏ
Ε
Ξ
щ
\subseteq
0
= 0
وق
_
-
answered
ō
≥
ည
ল
◌
薑
ization
.⊑
ಹ
5
ō
the
≠
Ξ
æ
<u>क</u>
₫.
Ε
Ō
0
ģ
돗
∺
ā
niz
E
B
==
Ď
ŧ
0
Related
Œ
ŧ
Š
>
2
5
≓
O
g
č
G
⊨
_
>
t
ā

Note: Complete line 1 if any entity is listed in Parts II, III, or IV of this schedule.				Yes No
1 During the tax year, did the organization engage in any of the following transactions with one or more related organizations listed in Parts II-IV?	s with one or more re	lated organizations listed	in Parts II-IV?	
a Receipt of (i) interest, (ii) annuities, (iii) royalties, or (iv) rent from a controlled entity	У.		<u></u>	
b Gift, grant, or capital contribution to related organization(s)				300
c Gift, grant, or capital contribution from related organization(s)			10	
d Loans or loan guarantees to or for related organization(s)			10	
		***************************************	4	P
E Loais of loat guarantees by leated organization(s)			0	
f Dividends from related organization(s)			11	
g Sale of assets to related organization(s)			19	
Purchase of assets from related organiza			4.	
			_	
			<u> </u>	
k Lease of facilities, equipment, or other assets from related organization(s)			*	
l Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations for related organization(s)	anization(s)		1	
m Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations by related organization(s)	anization(s)		_ut_	
n Sharing of facilities, equipment, mailing lists, or other assets with related organization(s)	tion(s)			
o Sharing of paid employees with related organization(s)			10	
p Reimbursement paid to related organization(s) for expenses			<u>1p</u>	
q Reimbursement paid by related organization(s) for expenses			10	
			<u> </u>	
Other transfer of cash or property from related organization(s)			18	
2 If the answer to any of the above is "Yes," see the instructions for information on who must complete this line, including covered relationships and transaction thresholds.	who must complete the	is line, including covered	relationships and transaction thresholds.	
(a) Name of related organization	(b) Transaction type (a-s)	(c) Amount involved	(d) Method of determining amount involved	
(1)				
(2)				
Č.		4		
(4)				
(9)				Ĩ
732163 09-11-17	81		Schedule R (Form 990) 2017	n 990) 2017

Page 4

Schedule R (Form 990) 2017

Part VI Unrelated Organizations Taxable as a Partnership. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 37.

Provide the following information for each entity taxed as a partnership through which the organization conducted more than five percent of its activities (measured by total assets or gross revenue) that was not a related organization. See instructions regarding exclusion for certain investment partnerships.

k) ntage rship	2				
Perce	2				
(j) Seneral o nanaging partner?					
Ocde V-UBI General or Percentage amount in box 20 managing allocations? of Schedule K-1 partner? ownership yes No (Form 1065) yes No					
propor- onate					
Dis allo					
(g) Share of end-of-year assets					
(f) Share of total income					
(e) Are all partners sec. 501(c)(3) 008.7 Yes No					
(d) Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512-514)					
(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)					
(b) Primary activity					
(a) Name, address, and EIN of entity					