

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT**

**February 17, 2022**

**Christopher M. Wolpert**  
**Clerk of Court**

DUKE BRADFORD, et al.,  
Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, et al.,  
Defendants - Appellees.

No. 22-1023  
(D.C. No. 1:21-CV-03283-PAB-STV)  
(D. Colo.)

-----  
ECONOMIC POLICY INSTITUTE, et al.,  
Amici Curiae.

**ORDER**

Before **PHILLIPS** and **KELLY**, Circuit Judges.

Plaintiffs move for an injunction pending appeal to suspend the effect of the government’s recent rule requiring federal contractors to pay a minimum wage of \$15.00/hour and ending an exemption for parties, like plaintiffs, whose relationship with the federal government comes by way of special-use permits to provide outfitting services on federal lands (“Minimum Wage Order”). *See generally* Increasing the Minimum Wage for Federal Contractors, 86 Fed. Reg. 67,126 (Nov. 24, 2021).

We “may issue all necessary and appropriate process to postpone the effective date of an agency action or to preserve status or rights pending conclusion of the review proceedings.” 5 U.S.C. § 705. We evaluate a motion for an injunction pending appeal

using the preliminary injunction standard. *See Warner v. Gross*, 776 F.3d 721, 728 (10th Cir. 2015). Thus, a plaintiff “must establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest.” *Winter v. NRDC*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008). “As a preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy, the right to relief must be clear and unequivocal.” *Schrier v. Univ. of Colo.*, 427 F.3d 1253, 1258 (10th Cir. 2005) (internal quotation marks omitted).

Plaintiffs have demonstrated an entitlement to relief from the Minimum Wage Order in their particular circumstances. Accordingly, applying the Minimum Wage Order’s severance clause, 29 C.F.R. § 23.80, we enjoin the government from enforcing the Minimum Wage Order in the context of contracts or contract-like instruments entered into with the federal government in connection with seasonal recreational services or seasonal recreational equipment rental for the general public on federal lands. This injunction shall remain in force until further order of this court.

We deny plaintiffs’ alternative request for expedited merits consideration as moot.

We grant the motion filed by the National Employment Law Project, the Communications Workers of America, the Service Employees International Union, the National Women’s Law Center, and the Economic Policy Institute to submit an amicus brief in support of the government.

Entered for the Court



CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk

## Kiren Mathews

---

**From:** ca10\_cmecf\_notify@ca10.uscourts.gov  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 17, 2022 7:39 AM  
**To:** Incoming Lit  
**Subject:** 22-1023 Bradford, et al v. U.S. Department of Labor, et al "Brief Minute Order Filed"

**\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\* Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.**

### Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals

#### Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was entered on 02/17/2022 at 8:38:27 AM Mountain Standard Time and filed on 02/17/2022

**Case Name:** Bradford, et al v. U.S. Department of Labor, et al

**Case Number:** [22-1023](#)

#### Docket Text:

[10893637] Minute order filed - the amici brief submitted is accepted for filing. Paper copies shall now be filed with the court within 5 business days of this order. All copies must be exact replicas of the electronic filing. Paper copies of amici brief due 02/25/2022 by Communications Workers of America, Economic Policy Institute, National Employment Law Project, National Women's Law Center and Service Employees International Union. (Text Only - No Attachment) [22-1023]

#### Notice will be electronically mailed to:

JoAnn Kintz: [jkintz@democracyforward.org](mailto:jkintz@democracyforward.org), [ecf@democracyforward.org](mailto:ecf@democracyforward.org)

Mr. Caleb Kruckenberg: [ckruckenberg@pacificlegal.org](mailto:ckruckenberg@pacificlegal.org), [incominglit@pacificlegal.org](mailto:incominglit@pacificlegal.org), [cpiett@pacificlegal.org](mailto:cpiett@pacificlegal.org), [ckrucken@gmail.com](mailto:ckrucken@gmail.com)

Mr. Sean A. Lev: [slev@democracyforward.org](mailto:slev@democracyforward.org)

Mr. Daniel Winik: [daniel.l.winik@usdoj.gov](mailto:daniel.l.winik@usdoj.gov), [civilappellate.ecf@usdoj.gov](mailto:civilappellate.ecf@usdoj.gov)