			EXTENDED TO MAY 16, 2022		· testatisti hikusa arater			
	Q	90	Return of Organization Exempt From		OMB No. 1545-0047			
Forr	n J	30	Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (					
Depa	rtment o	of the Treasury	Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be a security number of the security numbers of the security nu		Open to Public			
		nue Service	■ Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the lat lar year, or tax year beginning JUL 1, 2020 and ending	JUN 30, 2021	Inspection			
	heck if		f organization	D Employer identifie	cation number			
a	pplicabl	e:						
Χ			FIC LEGAL FOUNDATION					
	Name chang		usiness as	94-21973	43			
	Final		and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address) Room/su		E Telephone number			
	termin		CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1290	916-419-				
_	Amen	ded CACD	own, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code	G Gross receipts \$	18,386,652.			
	_return Applic		nd address of principal officer: STEVEN D. ANDERSON		H(a) Is this a group return for subordinates? Yes X No			
	pendi			CA H(b) Are all subordinates in				
IT	ax-ex	empt status:			list. See instructions			
_			FICLEGAL.ORG	H(c) Group exemption				
and the owner whether the owner		the second s		ear of formation: 1973 N	State of legal domicile: CA			
Pa	rt I	Summary			TON			
ICe	1	Briefly descrit	the organization's mission or most significant activities: PACIFIC	' INALIENABLE	RIGHTS TO			
rnar	2		x ► ☐ if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of m					
ovel			ting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)	1.1	19			
S S	4	Number of inc	dependent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b)		19			
Activities & Governance			of individuals employed in calendar year 2020 (Part V, line 2a)		109			
tivit			of volunteers (estimate if necessary)		0.			
Ac			d business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12 business taxable income from Form 990-T, Part I, line 11		0.			
	D	Net unrelated	business taxable income from Form 350-1, Fart 1, life 11	Prior Year	Current Year			
æ	8	Contributions	and grants (Part VIII, line 1h)	13,762,161.	16,892,254.			
Revenue	9	-	ice revenue (Part VIII, line 2g)	416,400.	329,224.			
Rev			come (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)	2,218,074. 155,488.	865,217. 299,957.			
	11		e (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e)	16,552,123.	18,386,652.			
	12		e - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12) milar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)	0.	0.			
	14		to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4)	0.	0.			
S	15		er compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10)	12,100,145.				
Expenses	16a	Professional t	fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e) sing expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25)  1,856,524.	68,125.	0.			
xpe				4 200 411	4 062 622			
ш			ses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)	4,308,411. 16,476,681.	4,062,623.			
			es. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	75,442.	1,298,141.			
es	19	Revenue less	expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12	Beginning of Current Year	End of Year			
lanc	20	Total assets (	Part X, line 16)	64,175,752.	79,792,141.			
d Ba	21		s (Part X, line 26)	3,916,874.	4,429,140.			
Net Assets or Fund Balances	22		fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20	60,258,878.	75,363,001.			
		Signatur		tements and to the best of m	w knowledge and belief it is			
			I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and sta e. Declaration of preparer (other than officer) is based on all information of which prep		y knowledge and belief, it is			
uue	, corre	ct, and complete	e. Declaration of preparent control in an officer is based on an information of which prep	January 4,	2022			
Sig	n	Signatur	re of officer	Date				
Here STEVEN D. ANDERSON, PRESIDENT & CEO								
			print name and title	Data	11 DTIN			
Del		Print/Type pre		Date Check If self-employ	PTIN P01333781			
Pai	u parer	Firm's name	A. PACHECO	Firm's EIN	68-0251243			
	Only		s 3741 DOUGLAS BLVD, SUITE 350					
			ROSEVILLE, CA 95661	Phone no. ( 9	16)929-3680			
Ma	y the l		is return with the preparer shown above? See instructions		X Yes No			
0320	001 12-		For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the separate instructions.	NENIE CONTAINS	Form <b>990</b> (2020)			
	2	SEE SCHI	EDULE O FOR ORGANIZATION MISSION STATE	MENT CONTINUA	TION			

	990 (2020) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343	Page <b>2</b>
Par	rt III Statement of Program Service Accomplishments		X
1	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part III Briefly describe the organization's mission:		<b>A</b>
	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION LITIGATES NATIONWIDE TO SEC	CURE ALL AMERIC	ans '
	INALIENABLE RIGHTS TO LIVE RESPONSIBLY AND PRODUCTIV		
	PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. PLF COMBINES STRATEGIC AND PR		
	LITIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND RESEARCH TO ACHIEVE		
2	Did the organization undertake any significant program services during the year which were not listed o prior Form 990 or 990-EZ?		s X No
	prior Form 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," describe these new services on Schedule O.		3 100
3	Did the organization cease conducting, or make significant changes in how it conducts, any program se	ervices? Ye	s X No
	If "Yes," describe these changes on Schedule O.		
4	Describe the organization's program service accomplishments for each of its three largest program service		
	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations are required to report the amount of grants and allocations	s to others, the total expenses	s, and
4a	revenue, if any, for each program service reported. (Code: ) (Expenses \$ 13,269,247. including grants of \$	) (Revenue \$ 629	,181.
та	SEE SCHEDULE O FOR A LIST OF CASED LITIGATED DURING		
	ENDING JUNE 30, 2021.		
4b	(Code:) (Expenses \$ including grants of \$	) (Revenue \$	
4c	(Code:) (Expenses \$ including grants of \$	) (Revenue \$	
4d	Other program services (Describe on Schedule O.)		
	(Expenses \$ including grants of \$ ) (Revenue \$       Total program service expenses ►     13,269,247.	)	
4e	Total program service expenses ► 13,269,247.	Earm	<b>990</b> (2020
32003	2 12-23-20	Form	2020
22002	3		
60	103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FO	UNDATION PAC	CIFI21

Form	990	(2020)

 Form 990 (2020)
 PACIFIC
 LEGAL
 FOUNDATION

 Part IV
 Checklist of Required Schedules
 Checklist
 Checklist</thecklist</th>
 Checklist
 C

			Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1	X	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors?	2	Х	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for			37
	public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		X
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect		х	
F	during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4	Δ	
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? <i>If</i> "Yes," <i>complete Schedule C, Part III</i>	5		x
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to	5		
Ŭ	provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		x
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space,			
	the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		X
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule D, Part III	8		X
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability, serve as a custodian for			
	amounts not listed in Part X; or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services?			37
	If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		X
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in donor-restricted endowments		v	
	or in quasi endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10	Х	
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable.			
а	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D,			
u	Part VI	11a	х	
b	Did the organization report an amount for investments - other securities in Part X, line 12, that is 5% or more of its total			
	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI	11b	Х	
с	Did the organization report an amount for investments - program related in Part X, line 13, that is 5% or more of its total			
	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c		X
d	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15, that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in		37	
	Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d	X	
	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	Х	
f	Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11f	Х	
122	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete	111	21	
120	Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a	х	
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year?			
	If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b	Х	
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		Х
14a	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		X
b	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business,			
	investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000			37
	or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b		X
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for any foreign organization? <i>If</i> "Yes," <i>complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV</i>	15		x
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or other assistance to	15		
10	or for foreign individuals? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16		x
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX,			
	column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I	17		х
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines			
	1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		X
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes,"			
	complete Schedule G, Part III	19		X
	Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? <i>If</i> "Yes," <i>complete Schedule H</i>	20a		X
	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b		
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to any domestic organization or domestic government on Part IX, column (A), line 1? <i>If</i> "Yes," <i>complete Schedule I, Parts I and II</i>	21		x
		<u> </u>		

032003 12-23-20

Form **990** (2020)

4 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Form	aan	(2020)
	330	(2020)

Part IV Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)

			Yes	No
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for domestic individuals on			
	Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		X
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current			
	and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule J	23	Х	
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the			
	last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete			
	Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25a	24a		X
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
С	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease			
	any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
25a	Section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit			
	transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		X
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and			
	that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule L, Part I	25b		X
26	Did the organization report any amount on Part X, line 5 or 22, for receivables from or payables to any current			
	or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or 35%			
	controlled entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26		X
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to any current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee,			
	creator or founder, substantial contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled			
	entity (including an employee thereof) or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		X
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV			
	instructions, for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):			
а	A current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, or substantial contributor? If			
	"Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a		X
b	A family member of any individual described in line 28a? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b		Х
с	A 35% controlled entity of one or more individuals and/or organizations described in lines 28a or 28b? If			
	"Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c		X
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29	Х	
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation			
	contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		X
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	31		Х
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule N, Part II	32		X
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations			
	sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33	Х	
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III, or IV, and			
	Part V, line 1	34		X
35a	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a		Х
b	If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity			
	within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	35b		
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		X
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization			
	and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI	37		X
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and 19?			
	Note: All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O	38	Х	
Pa				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part V			
			Yes	No
	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096. Enter -0- if not applicable 1a 46			
	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable 1b 0			
С	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming		17	
	(gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c	X	
032004	\$ 12-23-20 <b>5</b>	Form	990	(2020)
	5			

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Form 990		PACIFIC	-				
Part V	Statements	Regarding Ot	her IRS F	ilings and	Tax Con	npliance (continued	d)

#### PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

			Yes	No
2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements,			
	filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return 2a 109			
b	If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns?	2b	Х	
	Note: If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to <i>e-file</i> (see instructions)			
3a	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the year?	3a		Х
b	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No" to line 3b, provide an explanation on Schedule O	3b		
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority over, a			
	financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account)?	4a		X
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country 🕨			
	See instructions for filing requirements for FinCEN Form 114, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts (FBAR).			
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	5a		X
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b		X
с	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	5c		
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the organization solicit			
	any contributions that were not tax deductible as charitable contributions?	6a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or gifts			
	were not tax deductible?	6b		
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).			
а	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and services provided to the payor?	7a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7b		
С	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required			
	to file Form 8282?	7c		X
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year 7d			
е	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit contract?	7e		X
f	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	7f		X
g	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	7g		
h	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C?	7h		L
8	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds. Did a donor advised fund maintained by the			
	sponsoring organization have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	8		<u> </u>
9	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.			
а	Did the sponsoring organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a		
	Did the sponsoring organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9b		
10	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter:			
	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12 10a			
	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities 10b			
11	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter: Gross income from members or shareholders 11a			
	Gross income from members or shareholders       11a         Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against       1			
U	amounts due or received from them.) 11b			
100	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041?	12a		
	If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year	12a		
13	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.			
	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state?	13a		
u	<b>Note:</b> See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.	100		
b	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which the			
~	organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans 13b			
С	Enter the amount of reserves on hand 13c			
	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	14a		X
	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation on Schedule O	14b		
15	Is the organization subject to the section 4960 tax on payment(s) of more than \$1,000,000 in remuneration or			
	excess parachute payment(s) during the year?	15		x
	If "Yes," see instructions and file Form 4720, Schedule N.			
16	Is the organization an educational institution subject to the section 4968 excise tax on net investment income?	16		Х
	If "Yes," complete Form 4720, Schedule O.			

Form **990** (2020)

032005 12-23-20

Form 990	(2020)
----------	--------

#### PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes on Schedule O. See instructions.

la Ent If th bod b Ent 2 Did offid of c 1 Did of c 1 Did 5 Did 5 Did 5 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 7 Did 7 Did 7 Did 6 Did 7 Did 8 Did 8 Did 8 Did 9 Dis 8 Did 8 Did 9 Dis 8 Did 9 Dis 9 Did 9 Dis 9 Did 9 Did 9 Dis 9 Did 9 Did 9 Did 9 Dis 9 Did 9 Did 9 Dis 9 Did 9 Did	<b>n A. Governing Body and Management</b> ter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year intere are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing dy delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain on Schedule 0. ter the number of voting members included on line 1a, above, who are independent day officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relations icer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relations icer, directors, trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? d the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under officers, directors, trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? d the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form d the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's a d the organization have members or stockholders? d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or or members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members resons other than the governing body? It de organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the yea of committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i> of the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	1b       1         hip with any other         the direct supervision         n 990 was filed?         assets?         appoint one or         , stockholders, or         year by the following:         eached at the	9 2	Yes					
lif the bod b Ent 2 Did offic 3 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 7 Did 8 Did	here are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing dy delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain on Schedule 0. ter the number of voting members included on line 1a, above, who are independent	1b       1         hip with any other         the direct supervision         n 990 was filed?         assets?         appoint one or         , stockholders, or         year by the following:         eached at the	9 2 3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
lif the bod b Ent 2 Did offic 3 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 7 Did 8 Did	here are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing dy delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain on Schedule 0. ter the number of voting members included on line 1a, above, who are independent	1b       1         hip with any other         the direct supervision         n 990 was filed?         assets?         appoint one or         , stockholders, or         year by the following:         eached at the	9 2 3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
bod b Ent 2 Did offic 3 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 6 Did 7 Did 7 Did 7 Did 7 Did 7 Did 7 Did 7 Did 8 Did 8 Did 8 Did 9	dy delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain on Schedule 0. ter the number of voting members included on line 1a, above, who are independent	hip with any other the direct supervision n 990 was filed? assets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	2 3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
<ul> <li>b Ent</li> <li>b Ent</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>offi</li> <li>of c</li> <li>of c</li></ul>	ter the number of voting members included on line 1a, above, who are independent	hip with any other the direct supervision n 990 was filed? assets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	2 3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
<ul> <li>2 Did officion</li> <li>3 Did of c</li> <li>4 Did</li> <li>6 Did</li> <li>6 Did</li> <li>6 Did</li> <li>7 Did</li> <li>7 Did</li> <li>7 Did</li> <li>8 Did</li> <li>9 Did</li> </ul>	any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relations deter, director, trustee, or key employee?	hip with any other the direct supervision n 990 was filed? assets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	2 3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
offi of c of c of c of c of c of c of c of c	icer, director, trustee, or key employee? d the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under officers, directors, trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? d the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form d the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's a d the organization have members or stockholders? d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or ore members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? I the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be re- ganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	the direct supervision n 990 was filed? assets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
b Did of c Did Did Did Did Did Did Did Did Did Did	d the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under officers, directors, trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? d the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form d the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's a d the organization have members or stockholders? d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or ore members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? If the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be r ganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	the direct supervision n 990 was filed? assets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	3 4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
of c Did Did Did Did Did Did Did Did Did Did	officers, directors, trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person? d the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form d the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's a d the organization have members or stockholders?	n 990 was filed? assets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
b Did b Did c Did	d the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form d the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's a d the organization have members or stockholders? d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or ore members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? If the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be r ganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	n 990 was filed? issets? appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	4 5 6 7a 7b 8a						
b Did a Did a Did moi b Are per b Eac b Eac b Eac b Is th org ctior a Did b If "` anc b Des c Did b Wer c Did b Wer c Did b Uf b Cos c Did c Did	d the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's a d the organization have members or stockholders? d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or ore members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? If the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? If the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? If there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be re- ganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O m <b>B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	5 6 7a 7b 8a						
b Did mon b Are per b Are per b Eac b Eac b Is th org cction b If "` anc b If "` anc b Des ca Did b Wer c Did b Wer c Did b Uid b Uid b Uid b Did c Di	d the organization have members or stockholders? d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or ore members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? I the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be re- ganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	6 7a 7b 8a						
a Did mon b Are per b Eac b Eac b Is th org ction b If "` and b If "` and b If "` and b Ces c Did b Wer c Did b Wer c Did b Ur c Did c Did b If "` and b Ces c Did c Did c Cos c Did c Cos c Did c Cos c Cos	d the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or ore members of the governing body? e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? If the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be r ganization's mailing address? <i>If</i> "Yes," <i>provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	appoint one or , stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	7a 7b 8a						
b Are per Did a The b Eac b Is the org ction b If "` and b If "` and b If "` and b If "` and b Ues c Did b Wer c Did b Wer c Did b Ues c Did b Des c Did b If " c Did c Did b If " c Did c Did b If " c Did c Did	ore members of the governing body? a any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? I the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be r ganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	, stockholders, or /ear by the following: eached at the	7b 8a						
<ul> <li>b Are per per per per per per per per per p</li></ul>	e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? I the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be r ganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O <b>n B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	, stockholders, or year by the following: eached at the	7b 8a						
<ul> <li>b Are per per per per per per per per per p</li></ul>	e any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members rsons other than the governing body? I the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be r ganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O <b>n B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	, stockholders, or year by the following: eached at the	8a						
b Did b Eac b Eac b Is the org cction cction b If "` and b If "` and b If "` and b Ces c Did b Wer c Did in S b Did b Ur c Did in S b Did b Ur c Did c Did b Ur c Did c Did b Ur c Did c D	I the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the y e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reganization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i> <b>n B. Policies</b> ( <i>This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal</i>	vear by the following: eached at the	8a						
a The b Eac org ection b Is the org ection b If "`` anc a Has b Des c Did b Wer c Did <i>in S</i> b Did b Ur c Did <i>in S</i> b Did	e governing body? ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be n ganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O <b>n B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	eached at the							
b Eac org ection b If " and b If " and b Des b Des c Did b Wer c Did in S c Did b Uf c Did c Did	ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O <b>n B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	eached at the							
b Eac org ection b If " and b If " and b Des b Des c Did b Wer c Did in S c Did b Uf c Did c Did	ch committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O <b>n B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal	eached at the	8b	X					
<ul> <li>Is the org</li> <li>org</li> <li>org</li> <li>org</li> <li>org</li> <li>org</li> <li>org</li> <li>org</li> <li>arg</li> <li>br</li> <li>If "\"</li> <li>and</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>br</li> <li>cr</li> <li>br</li> <li>dr</li> <li></li></ul>	there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reganization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O	eached at the		X					
a Did b If "` anc a Has b Des a Did b Wer c Did in S b Did b Did 5 Did	<b>n B. Policies</b> (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal								
<ul> <li>b If "`anc</li> <li>a Has</li> <li>b Des</li> <li>b Des</li> <li>c Did</li> <li><i>in</i> S</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>j Did</li> <li>j Did</li> </ul>		Revenue Code.)	9						
<ul> <li>b If "``anc</li> <li>a Has</li> <li>b Des</li> <li>a Did</li> <li>b Wer</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> </ul>	the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?			_					
<ul> <li>b If "``anc</li> <li>a Has</li> <li>b Des</li> <li>a Did</li> <li>b Wer</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> </ul>	the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?			Yes					
<ul> <li>b If "``anc</li> <li>a Has</li> <li>b Des</li> <li>a Did</li> <li>b Wer</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> </ul>			10a						
anc b Des b Des c Did b Wer c Did <i>in S</i> b Did b Did b Did	Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such								
<ul> <li>Has</li> <li>b Des</li> <li>a Did</li> <li>b Wer</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>b Did</li> </ul>	d branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?		10b						
<ul> <li>b Des</li> <li>2a Did</li> <li>b Wer</li> <li>c Did</li> <li><i>in S</i></li> <li>b Did</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> </ul>	s the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing bo		11a	X					
<ul> <li>Did</li> <li>Wer</li> <li>Did</li> <li><i>in</i> S</li> <li>Did</li> <li>Did</li> <li>Did</li> <li>Did</li> <li>Did</li> <li>Did</li> </ul>	<b>b</b> Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990.								
<ul> <li>b Wer</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>in S</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>b Did</li> <li>c Did</li> <li>c Did</li> </ul>	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13								
c Did in S Did Did Did Did									
in S Did Did Did Did									
Did Did Did	Schedule O how this was done		12c	x					
Did Did	the organization have a written whistleblower policy?		13	X					
i Did	I the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?		14	X					
	I the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and appro								
per	rsons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision								
a The	e organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official		15a	x					
				X					
	her officers or key employees of the organization Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions).		150						
		iomont with a							
	d the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrang		10-						
	Able entity during the year?		16a						
	Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evalu								
-	iont venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the org	janization's							
	empt status with respect to such arrangements?		16b						
	n C. Disclosure t the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed ▶CA , AK , AZ , FL ,		7 MT	MN					
	ction 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (1024 or 1024-A, if applicable), 990,	and 990-1 (Section 501(c))	(3)s only	/) ava					
	public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply.								
		in on Schedule O)							
		conflict of interest policy, a	and final	ncial					
	scribe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents,								
) Sta	scribe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, atements available to the public during the tax year.	books and records 🕨							
	scribe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, atements available to the public during the tax year. ate the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's t	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION - 916-419-7111							
55	scribe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, atements available to the public during the tax year. ate the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's to ACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION - 916-419-7111	1.4							
006 12-2	scribe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, atements available to the public during the tax year. ate the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's h ACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION – 916–419–7111 55 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1290, SACRAMENTO, CA 958			000					
010	scribe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, atements available to the public during the tax year. ate the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's to ACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION - 916-419-7111 55 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 1290, SACRAMENTO, CA 958		Form	1990					

Part VII	I Compensation of Officers, Directors, Tr	rustees, Key	Employees,	Highest (	Compensated
	Employees, and Independent Contractor	ors			

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VII

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year. • List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation.

Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.

• List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employee."

• List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.

 List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

• List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

See instructions for the order in which to list the persons above.

Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

(A)	(B)	l			C)	npoi	iout	(D)	(E)	(F)
(A) Name and title	(b) Average			Pos	ition			Reportable	( <b>ב</b> ) Reportable	(F) Estimated
manie and due	hours per		do not check more			nore than one		compensation	compensation	amount of
	week					or/trus		from	from related	other
	(list any	ctor						the	organizations	compensation
	hours for	or dire				ted		organization	(W-2/1099-MISC)	from the
	related	istee (	truste		a	pen sa		(W-2/1099-MISC)		organization
	organizations below	ual tru	onal		ploye	t com ee				and related
	line)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former			organizations
(1) STEVEN D. ANDERSON	37.50	드	<u> </u>	5	ž	ЕH	2			
PRESIDENT & CEO	57150			x				501,268.	0.	69,519.
(2) JOHN M. GROEN	37.50							501/2000		00,0100
EXECUTIVE VP & GENERAL COU	57150			x				307,307.	0.	42,378.
(3) TODD GAZIANO	37.50									12,0,00
CHIEF OF LEGAL POLICY & RESEARCH						x		263,333.	0.	37,884.
(4) LARRY G. SALZMAN	37.50									
SECRETARY & DIR. OF LITIGATION				x				232,500.	0.	36,901.
(5) JAMES S. BURLING	37.50									
VP LEGAL AFFAIRS						x		231,459.	0.	36,577.
(6) STEVE SIMPSON	37.50									, -
SENIOR ATTORNEY						x		225,000.	0.	30,342.
(7) CHARLES E. WILCOX IV	37.50							,		
COO/CFO		1		x				224,093.	0.	29,627.
(8) JOSHUA THOMPSON	37.50									
DIRECTOR OF LEGAL OPERATIONS		1				Х		213,833.	0.	39,236.
(9) DOUG KRUSE	37.50									
SR. DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT		1				Х		198,533.	0.	16,975.
(10) SCOTT BARTON	37.50									
SR. DIR. OF COMMUNICATIONS & OUTREAC		1				Х		185,333.	0.	26,945.
(11) JAMES L. CLOUD	1.00									
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0.	0.
(12) GREG EVANS	1.00									
TRUSTEE		Х						0.	0.	0.
(13) LEONARD S. FRANK	1.00									
TRUSTEE		Х						0.	0.	0.
(14) BRIAN G. CARTWRIGHT	1.00									
CHAIR		Х		Х				0.	0.	0.
(15) GEORGE KIMBALL	1.00									
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0.	0.
(16) APRIL J. MORRIS	1.00									
TRUSTEE		Х						0.	0.	0.
(17) JERRY W.P. SCHAUFFLER	1.00									
TRUSTEE		Х						0.	0.	0.
032007 12-23-20										Form <b>990</b> (2020)

032007 12-23-20

Form **990** (2020)

Form	aan	(2020
FOUL	990	(2020

Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees (continued)												
(A)	(B)				C)			(D)	(E)		(F)	
Name and title	Average	(do			sitior	<b>1</b> e than	000	Reportable	Reportable		Estimate	ed
	hours per	box	, unles	ss pe	erson	is bot	h an	compensation	compensation		amount	of
	week	<u> </u>	cer an	dac	directo	or/trus	tee)	from	from related		other	
	(list any	ector						the	organizations		compensa	
	hours for	or dir	e.			ated		organization	(W-2/1099-MISC)		from th	
	related organizations	ustee	truste		e	pens		(W-2/1099-MISC)			organizat	
	below	ual tri	onal		ploye	t com					and relat organizati	
	line)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former				organizati	0115
(18) BRUCE C. SMITH	1.00	드	-	5	l ₹	포핑	포			+		
TRUSTEE	1.00	x						0.	0			0.
(19) CHARLES W. TRAINOR	1.00				$\vdash$					╀		
TRUSTEE	1000	x						0.	0			0.
(20) RONALD E. VAN BUSKIRK	1.00				┢	+				╀		
TRUSTEE	1000	x						0.	0			0.
(21) JEFFREY E. WARREN	1.00				$\vdash$	+			•	╧╋		
TRUSTEE		x						0.	0			0.
(22) AMY B. BOULRIS	1.00				$\vdash$					+		
TRUSTEE		x						0.	0			0.
(23) ROSS BORBA JR.	1.00				$\vdash$					+		
TRUSTEE		x						0.	0			Ο.
(24) JOHN C. HARRIS	1.00									╈		
TRUSTEE		x						0.	0	•		0.
(25) ROBERT D. CONNORS	1.00									╈		
VICE CHAIR		x		x				0.	0	•		0.
(26) ROBERT K. BEST	1.00											
TRUSTEE		X						0.	0			0.
1b Subtotal	•							2,582,659.	0	•	366,3	84.
c Total from continuation sheets to Part V								0.	0	•		0.
d Total (add lines 1b and 1c)								2,582,659.	0	•	366,3	84.
2 Total number of individuals (including but n	ot limited to th	iose	liste	ed a	bov	e) wł	no r	received more than \$100	,000 of reportable			
compensation from the organization 🕨												43
										_	Yes	No
<b>3</b> Did the organization list any <b>former</b> officer,			key e	emp	loye	ee, o	' hię	ghest compensated emp	loyee on			
line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for s	uch individual									L	3	X
4 For any individual listed on line 1a, is the su												
and related organizations greater than \$15										F	4 X	
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive or a	-				-	-		-				37
rendered to the organization? If "Yes," com	plete Schedul	e J f	or sı	ıch	pers	son .					5	X
Section B. Independent Contractors									···· ·			
1 Complete this table for your five highest co	-									isat	ion from	
the organization. Report compensation for	the calendar y	ear	endi	ng ۱	Nith	or w	ithi		ear.		(0)	
(A) Name and business	address							(B) Description of se	ervices	Co	(C) mpensatio	n
MORGAN MEREDITH & ASSOCIA		275	2 0	т1	ירדא	יעד	л	Description of s			npensatio	
CREEK DRIVE STE 100, DUL					ND.	TH		DIRECT MAIL			211,7	06
MY BIZ NICHE LLC, 17797 I		יב קק		<u>ייי ז</u>	FD			WEBSITE DESI	2N 6.		211,1	00.
DRIVE, D105, SCOTTSDALE,								DEVELOPMENT			140,8	77
	ND 052.										140,0	11•
							_					
2 Total number of independent contractors (i		ot li	mite	d to		~	stee	d above) who received m	ore than			
\$100,000 of compensation from the organi	zation 🕨					2						

SEE	PART	VII,	SECTION	Α	CONTINUATION	SHEETS	Form <b>990</b> (2020)
032008 12-23-20							

	LEGAL FO							0	94-219	/343
	1	nplo	byee			High	lest			
(A)	(B)				C)			(D)	(E)	(F)
Name and title	Average hours	10	heck		ition		1.4	Reportable compensation	Reportable compensation	Estimated amount of
	per		T	(all	inai 1	app	лу) Т	from	from related	other
	week					96		the	organizations	compensatior
	(list any	tor				ploy		organization	(W-2/1099-MISC)	from the
	hours for	direc				ed en		(W-2/1099-MISC)	(	organization
	related	tee or	ustee			en sati				and related
	organizations	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee		Key employee	Highest compensated employee				organizations
	below	vidua	itutio	Cer	empl	nest c	Former			
	line)	Indi	Inst	Officer	Key	Hig	Богг			
27) CAROL P. LIEBAU	1.00									
RUSTEE		X						0.	0.	C
28) JOHN YOO	1.00									
RUSTEE		x						0.	Ο.	C
29) DAVID GERSON	1.00									
RUSTEE		x						0.	0.	C
	1									
		1								
	1									
		1								
	_	-		-	-					
		-	-		-					
	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					
	_									
		1								
		1								
		1								
	1									
		1								
	+	-	-	-	-		$\vdash$			
		1								
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	-		-			
		•								
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
								1		

04-01-20

			Check if Schedule O contains a respo	nse or note to any line	e in this Part VIII			
					<b>(A)</b> Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue		<b>(D)</b> Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512 - 514
nts its	1	а	Federated campaigns 1a					
àrar oun			Membership dues 1b					
s, G			Fundraising events 1c					
Sift lar ,			Related organizations 1d					
imil			Government grants (contributions) 1e					
Contributions, Gifts, Grants and Other Similar Amounts			All other contributions, gifts, grants, and					
but			similar amounts not included above 1f	16,892,254.				
d O		g	Noncash contributions included in lines 1a-1f	1,032,793.				
an		h	Total. Add lines 1a-1f		16,892,254.			
				Business Code				
ė	2	а	COURT AWARDED ATTY FEES	541100	329,224.	329,224.		
Program Service Revenue		b						
Se		с						
am		d						
ogr		е						
Pr		f	All other program service revenue					
			Total. Add lines 2a-2f		329,224.			
	3		Investment income (including dividends, ir					
			other similar amounts)		692,541.			692,541
	4		Income from investment of tax-exempt bo					
	5		Royalties					
			(i) Real					
	6	а	Gross rents 6a					
			Less: rental expenses 6b					
		с	Rental income or (loss) 6c					
		d	Net rental income or (loss)					
	7	а	Gross amount from sales of (i) Securiti	ies (ii) Other				
			assets other than inventory <b>7a</b> 172,6	576.				
		b	Less: cost or other basis					
ne			and sales expenses 7b	0.				
ven		с	Gain or (loss)	576.				
Revenue			Net gain or (loss)		172,676.	172,676.		
Other			Gross income from fundraising events (not					
đ			including \$ of					
			contributions reported on line 1c). See					
			Part IV, line 18	8a				
		b	Less: direct expenses	8b				
			Net income or (loss) from fundraising even	nts 🕨				
	9	а	Gross income from gaming activities. See					
			Part IV, line 19	9a				
		b	Less: direct expenses	9b				
			Net income or (loss) from gaming activities	s ►				
	10	а	Gross sales of inventory, less returns					
			and allowances	10a				
		b	Less: cost of goods sold	10b				
			Net income or (loss) from sales of inventor	y 🕨				
s				Business Code				
Miscellaneous Revenue	11	а	OTHER INCOME	541100	299,957.	299,957.		
an€ ∋nu		b						
cell		с						
Mis(		d	All other revenue					
			Total. Add lines 11a-11d		299,957.			
	12		Total revenue. See instructions		18,386,652.	801,857.	0.	692,541

032009 12-23-20

Form 990 (2020)

Form **990** (2020)

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

11

#### PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION Part VIII Statement of Revenue

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns. All other organizations must complete column (A).

Check if Schedule O contains a respon	se or note to any line in	this Part IX		
Do not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b, 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.	<b>(A)</b> Total expenses	<b>(B)</b> Program service expenses	<b>(C)</b> Management and general expenses	<b>(D)</b> Fundraising expenses
<ol> <li>Grants and other assistance to domestic organizations and domestic governments. See Part IV, line 21</li> </ol>				
<b>2</b> Grants and other assistance to domestic				
individuals. See Part IV, line 22				
<b>3</b> Grants and other assistance to foreign				
organizations, foreign governments, and foreign				
individuals. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16				
4 Benefits paid to or for members				
5 Compensation of current officers, directors,				
trustees, and key employees	1,265,168.	874,281.	314,190.	76,697
6 Compensation not included above to disqualified				
persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and				
persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)	0 044 604	0 040 020	245 000	651 280
7 Other salaries and wages	9,044,684.	8,048,030.	345,282.	651,372.
8 Pension plan accruals and contributions (include	720 400	F11 012	07 000	05 757
section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions)	728,488. 1,285,769.	544,843. 881,613.	97,888. 304,312.	85,757 99,844
9 Other employee benefits	701,779.	534,667.	67,544.	99,844
10 Payroll taxes	101,119.	554,007.	07,544.	33,300.
11 Fees for services (nonemployees):				
a Management	190,852.	44,684.	146,168.	
b Legal	66,831.		66,831.	
c Accounting	00,051.		00,051.	
<ul> <li>d Lobbying</li> <li>e Professional fundraising services. See Part IV, line 17</li> </ul>				
f Investment management fees				
g Other. (If line 11g amount exceeds 10% of line 25,				
column (A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Sch 0.)				
<b>12</b> Advertising and promotion				
13 Office expenses	319,132.	15,098.	304,701.	-667.
14 Information technology				
15 Royalties				
16 Occupancy	465,779.	403,092.	29,794.	32,893.
17 Travel	162,641.	99,354.	42,022.	21,265.
18 Payments of travel or entertainment expenses				
for any federal, state, or local public officials $\dots$				
19 Conferences, conventions, and meetings				
20 Interest				
21 Payments to affiliates	104 005	1	11 000	10 004
22 Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	184,997.	160,100.	11,833.	13,064.
23 Insurance	34,357.	29,733.	2,198.	2,426.
24 Other expenses. Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses on line 24e. If line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule 0.)				
a MISC OPERATING EXPENSES	939,382.	337,828.	166,895.	434,659.
b PRINTING & PUBLICATIONS	820,775.	734,427.		86,348.
c POSTAGE & SHIPPING	313,749.	52,785.	20,948.	240,016
d LITIGATION EXPENSE	185,623.	185,623.		
e All other expenses	378,505.	323,089.	42,134.	13,282.
25 Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24e	17,088,511.	13,269,247.	1,962,740.	1,856,524
<b>26 Joint costs.</b> Complete this line only if the organization				
reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined				
educational campaign and fundraising solicitation.	1 - A	45 045		-
Check here 🕨 🧾 if following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)	45,317.	45,317.	0.	0 . Eorm <b>990</b> (2020

032010 12-23-20

Form **990** (2020)

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

PACIFI21

#### PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

94-2197343 Page 11

		Check if Schedule O contains a response or not	e to an	v line in this Part X			
			. <u></u>		(A)		(B)
					Beginning of year		End of year
	1	Cash - non-interest-bearing			1,417,266.	1	1,512,189.
	2	Savings and temporary cash investments			97,490.	2	129,995.
	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net			935,291.	3	1,241,271.
	4	Accounts receivable, net			89,149.	4	37,513.
	5	Loans and other receivables from any current of					
		trustee, key employee, creator or founder, subs					
		controlled entity or family member of any of the				5	
	6	Loans and other receivables from other disquali					
		under section 4958(f)(1)), and persons describe	-			6	
ts	7	Notes and loans receivable, net		-		7	
Assets	8	Inventories for sale or use				8	
Ä	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges			220,161.	9	148,713.
	10a	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or other	nd, buildings, and equipment: cost or other				
		basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D	10a	5,480,241.			
	b	Less: accumulated depreciation	10b	2,488,627.	3,140,384.	10c	2,991,614.
	11	Investments - publicly traded securities				11	
	12	Investments - other securities. See Part IV, line	11		54,909,227.	12	69,298,884.
	13	Investments - program-related. See Part IV, line	11			13	
	14	Intangible assets				14	
	15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11			3,366,784.	15	4,431,962.
	16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equ			64,175,752.	16	79,792,141.
	17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses			149,355.	17	118,412.
	18	Grants payable			18		
	19	Deferred revenue			19		
	20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities				20	
	21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete	Part IV o	of Schedule D		21	
es	22	Loans and other payables to any current or form	ner offic	er, director,			
Liabilities		trustee, key employee, creator or founder, subs	tantial c	ontributor, or 35%			
.iab		controlled entity or family member of any of the		F		22	
	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrela				23	
	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelate		E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		24	
	25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, pa					
		parties, and other liabilities not included on lines	s 17-24)	. Complete Part X	2 767 510		1 210 720
		of Schedule D		·····	3,767,519.		4,310,728.
	26			N V	3,916,874.	26	4,429,140.
es		Organizations that follow FASB ASC 958, che	eck here				
nc	07	and complete lines 27, 28, 32, and 33.			56 325 352	07	70 156 589
3al a	27	Net assets without donor restrictions			56,325,352. 3,933,526.	27	70,156,589. 5,206,412.
Βpt	28	Net assets with donor restrictions			5,955,520.	28	5,200,412.
Fur		Organizations that do not follow FASB ASC 9	58, che				
ç	20	and complete lines 29 through 33.				20	
ets	29 20	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or ec				29 20	
Ass	30 21			F		30	
Net Assets or Fund Balances	31 32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated in			60,258,878.	31 32	75,363,001.
Z	32 33	Total net assets or fund balances			64,175,752.	32 33	79,792,141.
	55	i otal habilities and het assets/fullu balafices			51121511520	55	Corm <b>990</b> (2020)

Form **990** (2020)

PACIFI21

Form 990 (2020) Part X Balance Sheet

Form	990 (2020) PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-	-2197	343	Pag	ge <b>12</b>
Pa	t XI Reconciliation of Net Assets					
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XI					X
1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1		,38		
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2		,08		
3	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 2 from line 1	3		,29		
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 32, column (A))	4		,25		
5	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	5	13	,41	0,1	33.
6	Donated services and use of facilities	6				
7	Investment expenses	7				
8	Prior period adjustments	8				
9	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain on Schedule O)	9		39	5,8	49.
10	Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line 32,					
	column (B))	10	75	<u>, 36</u>	3,0	01.
Pa	t XII Financial Statements and Reporting					
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XII					X
					Yes	No
1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: Cash X Accrual Other					
	If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain in Schedule					
2a	Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?			2a		X
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were compiled or reviewed	d on a				
	separate basis, consolidated basis, or both:					
	Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separate basis					
b	Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant?			2b	Х	L
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audited on a separat	e basis	б,			
	consolidated basis, or both:					
	Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separate basis					
с	If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of the		-			
	review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant?			2c	Х	L
	If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain on Sch					
3a	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Si	ngle Au	ıdit			
	Act and OMB Circular A-133?			3a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the requ					
	or audits, explain why on Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits			3b	000	

Form **990** (2020)

032012 12-23-20

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

**SCHEDULE A** 

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

# Public Charity Status and Public Support Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section

4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust. Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ.

► Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

	OMB No. 1545-0047
	2020
	Open to Public Inspection
r	identification numbe

Name of the	organization
-------------	--------------

Nam	ame of the organization Employer identification number											
				FOUNDATION					4-2197343			
Pa	rt I	Reason for Public (	Charity Status.	(All organizations must o	omplete ti	nis part.) S	See instruction	ns.				
The	organ	ization is not a private found	lation because it is: (	(For lines 1 through 12, o	check only	one box.)						
1		A church, convention of ch	urches, or associatio	on of churches describe	d in <b>sectio</b>	n 170(b)(*	1)(A)(i).					
2		A school described in secti	ion 170(b)(1)(A)(ii).	Attach Schedule E (Forn	n 990 or 9	90-EZ).)						
3		A hospital or a cooperative	hospital service org	anization described in <b>s</b> e	ection 170	(b)(1)(A)(i	ii).					
4		A medical research organiz	ation operated in co	njunction with a hospita	l described	d in <b>sectio</b>	n 170(b)(1)(A	.)(iii). Enter	the hospital's name,			
		city, and state:										
5		An organization operated for	or the benefit of a co	llege or university owne	d or opera	ted by a g	overnmental	unit descrik	bed in			
		section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv). (C	Complete Part II.)									
6		A federal, state, or local government or governmental unit described in <b>section 170(b)(1)(A)(v).</b>										
7	X	An organization that norma	lly receives a substa	intial part of its support f	from a gov	ernmental	unit or from t	the general	public described in			
		section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Co	omplete Part II.)									
8		A community trust describe	ed in section 170(b)	(1)(A)(vi). (Complete Par	t II.)							
9		An agricultural research org	ganization described	in section 170(b)(1)(A)(	ix) operate	ed in conju	unction with a	land-grant	college			
		or university or a non-land-g	grant college of agric	ulture (see instructions).	Enter the	name, city	y, and state o	f the colleg	e or			
		university:										
10		An organization that norma	lly receives (1) more	than 33 1/3% of its sup	port from	contributio	ons, members	hip fees, a	nd gross receipts from			
		activities related to its exem										
		income and unrelated busir	ness taxable income	(less section 511 tax) fr	om busine	sses acqu	ired by the o	rganization	after June 30, 1975.			
		See section 509(a)(2). (Cor	. ,									
11		An organization organized a		•	•							
12		An organization organized a	•		•		-		• •			
		more publicly supported or							Check the box in			
		lines 12a through 12d that				-		-				
а		<b>Type I.</b> A supporting orga	-	-								
		the supported organization			a majority	of the dire	ctors or truste	ees of the s	upporting			
		organization. You must c	-									
b		<b>Type II.</b> A supporting org	-				-		-			
		control or management o			ame perso	ons that co	ontrol or mana	age the sup	ported			
-		organization(s). You mus	-									
С		☐ Type III functionally inte its sector of the sector						illy integrate	ed with,			
ام		its supported organization										
d		Type III non-functionally that is not functionally int						-				
		that is not functionally int requirement (see instruct			-		-	u an alleni	iveness			
~		Check this box if the orga	-	-								
е		functionally integrated, or					а турет, туре	п, туре ш				
f	Enta	er the number of supported of	<i>.</i>	, , ,	0 0							
		vide the following information										
9		i) Name of supported	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization	(iv) Is the orga	nization listed ng document?	(v) Amount o	fmonetary	(vi) Amount of other			
		organization		(described on lines 1-10 above (see instructions))	Yes	No	support (see ir	nstructions)	support (see instructions)			
Tota	l											
LHA	For F	Paperwork Reduction Act N	lotice, see the Instr	ructions for Form 990 o	or 990-EZ.	032021 01-	25-21 Sche	dule A (For	m 990 or 990-EZ) 2020			

15 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

94-2197343 Page 2

#### Part II Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) (Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization

fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III.)

Se	Section A. Public Support											
Cale	endar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2016	<b>(b)</b> 2017	(c) 2018	(d) 2019	(e) 2020	(f) Total					
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and											
	membership fees received. (Do not											
	include any "unusual grants.")	10,159,026.	13,337,074.	13,608,144.	13,762,161.	16,892,254.	67,758,659.					
2	Tax revenues levied for the organ-											
	ization's benefit and either paid to											
	or expended on its behalf											
3	The value of services or facilities											
	furnished by a governmental unit to											
	the organization without charge											
4	Total. Add lines 1 through 3	10,159,026.	13,337,074.	13,608,144.	13,762,161.	16,892,254.	67,758,659.					
	The portion of total contributions	, , -	, , , -	, , -	, , , -	, , -	, , ,					
Ŭ	by each person (other than a											
	governmental unit or publicly											
	supported organization) included											
	on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the											
	amount shown on line 11,											
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						14,548,692.					
6	Public support. Subtract line 5 from line 4.						53,209,967.					
	ction B. Total Support						55,205,507.					
	indar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	<b>(a)</b> 2016	(h) 0017	(a) 2019	(4) 2010	(a) 2020						
		10,159,026.	(b) 2017 13,337,074.	(c) 2018 13,608,144.	(d) 2019 13,762,161.	(e) 2020 16,892,254.	(f) Total 67,758,659.					
	Amounts from line 4	10,135,020.	13,337,074.	13,000,144.	15,702,101.	10,052,254.	07,750,055.					
8	Gross income from interest,											
	dividends, payments received on											
	securities loans, rents, royalties,	934,089.	618,189.	4 095 015	2 219 074	865,217.	0 701 404					
	and income from similar sources	934,009.	010,109.	4,085,915.	2,218,074.	005,217.	8,721,484.					
9	Net income from unrelated business											
	activities, whether or not the											
	business is regularly carried on											
10	Other income. Do not include gain											
	or loss from the sale of capital	10 071	10 117		1							
	assets (Explain in Part VI.)	13,271.	12,117.	32,111.	155,488.	299,957.						
	Total support. Add lines 7 through 10						76,993,747.					
	Gross receipts from related activities,	,	,				,802,043.					
13	First 5 years. If the Form 990 is for the	e organization's fi	rst, second, third, t	fourth, or fifth tax	year as a section 5	501(c)(3)						
_	organization, check this box and stop		······									
Se	ction C. Computation of Publ	ic Support Pe	rcentage				<u> </u>					
	Public support percentage for 2020 (		•			14	69.11 %					
	Public support percentage from 2019					15	67.30 %					
<b>16</b> a	<b>33 1/3% support test - 2020.</b> If the o											
	stop here. The organization qualifies											
b	33 1/3% support test - 2019. If the o											
	and stop here. The organization qual											
17a	10% -facts-and-circumstances tes	<b>t - 2020.</b> If the org	anization did not c	heck a box on line	e 13, 16a, or 16b, a	and line 14 is 10%	or more,					
	and if the organization meets the fact	s-and-circumstanc	es test, check this	box and <b>stop her</b>	r <b>e.</b> Explain in Part	VI how the organiz	ation					
	meets the facts-and-circumstances te	est. The organization	on qualifies as a pu	blicly supported o	organization		▶□					
k	10% -facts-and-circumstances tes	<b>t - 2019.</b> If the org	anization did not c	heck a box on line	e 13, 16a, 16b, or <sup>-</sup>	17a, and line 15 is	10% or					
	more, and if the organization meets the	ne facts-and-circun	nstances test, che	ck this box and <b>st</b>	<b>op here.</b> Explain ir	n Part VI how the						
	organization meets the facts-and-circ	umstances test. Th	ne organization qu	alifies as a publicly	y supported organ	ization						
18	Private foundation. If the organization	n did not check a	box on line 13, <u>16</u> a	a, 16b, 17a, or 17b	o, check this box a	ind see instruction	s 🕨 🗌					

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

16

### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990 EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Part III Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2) (Complete only if you checked the box on line 10 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to

qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

1 Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not include any 'unusual grants.')							Gifts grants contributions and
include any "unusual grants.")							anto, granto, contributiono, and
2 Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities tumished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose <ul> <li>are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513</li> <li>4 Tax revenues levied for the organization's tax-exempt purpose</li> <li>break of the part of the programization is benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf</li> <li>5 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge</li> <li>6 Total. Add lines 1 through 5</li> <li>c Ta Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons</li> <li>b Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons</li> <li>b Amounts included on lines at 3 received by a governmental unit to the amount on line 13 or the year</li> <li>c Add lines 7 and 7b</li> <li>g Public support. Subactina / tomaine 1</li> <li>g Amounts from line 6</li> <li>g Amounts from line 6</li> <li>g Amounts for large the provide on lines at second the grater of \$5.000 received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources</li> <li>g And lines 11 taxes) from businesses acquired dater June 30, 1975</li> <li>c Add lines 10 and 10b</li> <li>i Net income from interest, advidends, payments received on securities lines uncert at the pay of the sess at a stressee acquired atter June 30, 1975</li> <li>c Add lines 10 and 10b</li> <li>i Net income. Do not include gain</li> <li>i Other income. Do not include gain</li> <li>i Other income. Do not include gain</li> </ul>							
merchandise sold or services performed, or facilities funished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose							include any "unusual grants.")
formed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose <ul> <li>Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513</li> <li>Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf</li> <li>The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge</li> <li>Total. Add lines 1 through 5</li> <li>Ta Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons by a governmental unit to the amount on line 3 and 3 received from disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5.000 or 16 of the amount on line 3 and 7 b.</li> <li>A dati nes 7 and 7 b.</li> </ul> <li>8 Public support. Subjectilits / form line 3 between the grant line between the grant of the year</li> <li>Cald dines 7 and 7 b.</li> <li>A cars income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources.</li> <li>b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses activities on or included on line 100, whether or in the top, mainterest, and income from interest, and income from i</li>							
any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose       Image: constraint of the organization's tax-exempt purpose         3 Gross receipts from activities that are not an unrelated trade or bussiness under section 513       Image: constraint of the organization's based of the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf         4 Tax revenues levide for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf       Image: constraint of the organization without charge         5 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge       Image: constraint of the organization without charge         6 Total. Add lines 1, through 5       Image: constraint of the organization without charge       Image: constraint of the organization without charge         6 Total. Add lines 1, through 5       Image: constraint of the organization without charge       Image: constraint of the organization without charge         6 Total. Add lines 1, through 5       Image: constraint of the organization without charge       Image: constraint of the organization without charge         8 Auditions 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons       Image: constraint of the organization or 1% of the exemption of the organization or 1% of the exemption of the organization without charge       Image: constraint organization or 1% of the exemption or 1% of the exemption organization organization or 1% of the exemption organization organization organization organization organization or 1% of the exemption organization organization organization or 1% of the exemption organization organization organization organization							· · ·
organization's tax exempt purpose							-
are not an unrelated trade or business under section 513   4 Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf   5 The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge   6 Total. Add lines 1 through 5   7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons   b Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons   b Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received the grant of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year   c Add lines 7 a and 7b   8 Public support. (subtractine £ to the grant of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year   c Add lines 7a and 7b   8 Public support. (subtractine £ to the state of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 16 for the year   c Add lines 7a and 7b   and income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources   b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 51 taxes) from luseness acquired attributes or not the businesses activities not not hold business are regularly carried on line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on line 10b, whether or not include gain							
iness under section 513							Gross receipts from activities that
4       Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf							are not an unrelated trade or bus-
4       Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf							iness under section 513
or expended on its behalf The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge Ta Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and a received from disqualified persons b Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 r 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year c Add lines 7 a and 7b 8 Public support. (Subtact line 7c from line 6) fection B. Total Support altendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2016 (b) 2017 (c) 2018 (d) 2019 (e) 2020 9 Amounts from line 6 0 a Gross income from similar sources b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 c Add lines 10a and 10b 1 Net income for included gain 2 Other income. Do not include gain							F
5       The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge       Image: Construct of the service o							ization's benefit and either paid to
5       The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge       Image: Constraint of the service of the organization without charge         6       Total. Add lines 1 through 5       Image: Constraint of the service of the organization without charge         7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 r 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year       Image: Constraint of the service of the organization or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year         c       Add lines 7 a and 7b       Image: Constraint of the service on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources of the service on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income form similar sources acquired after June 30, 1975       Image: Constant of the service on securities loans and 10b         b       Unrelated businesses acquired after June 30, 1975       Image: Constant of the service on securities loans and 10b         1       Net income from unrelated businesses activities not include guines is regularly carried on securities on the business is regularly carried on securities on the chaines is regularly carried on securities on the chaines is regularly carried on securities on the chaines is regularly carried on the service on securities of the service on securities of the service on securities of the service on securities loans of the service on secu							or expended on its behalf
furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge							
the organization without charge 6 Total. Add lines 1 through 5 7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons b Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year c Add lines 7a and 7b 8 Public support. 8 Public support. 9 Amounts from line 6 0a Gross income from similar sources b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 c Add lines 10a and 10b 1 Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on 2 Other income. Do not include gain							
6 Total. Add lines 1 through 5   7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons   b Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year   c Add lines 7a and 7b   8   Public support. (Subtract line 7c from line 5.)   ection B. Total Support   alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) (a) 2016 (b) 2017 (c) 2018 (d) 2019 (e) 2020 9 Amounts from line 6 Oa Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 c Add lines 10a and 10b 1 Net income from unrelated business is regularly carried on 2 Other income. Do not include gain							, .
7a Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3 received from disqualified persons							F
3 received from disqualified persons	1						F F
b Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year       Image: Constraint of the year         c Add lines 7a and 7b       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year         c Add lines 7a and 7b       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year         c Add lines 7a and 7b       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year         c Add lines 7a and 7b       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year         c Add lines 7a and 7b       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year         ection B. Total Support       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year         alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)        (a) 2016       (b) 2017       (c) 2018       (d) 2019       (e) 2020         9 Amounts from line 6       Image: Constraint of the year         9 Amounts from line 6       Image: Constraint of the year         9 Amounts from line 6       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Constraint of the year       Image: Con							
from other than disqualified persons that   exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the   amount on line 13 for the year   c Add lines 7a and 7b   8 Public support. (subtractine 7c form line 6.)   ection B. Total Support   etailendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ▶   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   0a Gross income from interest,   dividends, payments received on   securities loans, rents, royalties,   and income from similar sources   b Unrelated business taxable income   (less section 511 taxes) from businesses   acquired after June 30, 1975   c Add lines 10a and 10b   1 Net income from unrelated business is activities not include gain   2 Other income. Do not include gain	1						· · · ·
amount on line 13 for the year   c Add lines 7a and 7b   8 Public support. (Subtractline 7c from line 6.)   ection B. Total Support   alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ▶   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   0a Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources   b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975   c Add lines 10a and 10b   1 Net income from unrelated business is regularly carried on 200, whether or not the business is regularly carried on 200, whether or not included in line 10b, whether or not included in line 10b, whether or not include gain							
c Add lines 7a and 7b   8 Public support. (Subtractline 7c from line 6.)   ection B. Total Support   alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ▶   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   (a) 2016   (b) 2017   (c) 2018   (d) 2019   (e) 2020   9 Amounts from line 6   (e) 3000   (l) 4000   (l) 5000   (l) 6000   (l) 6000   (l) 6000   (l) 7000   (l) 7000   (l) 7000   (l) 7000   (l) 7000   (l) 7000							
8       Public support. (Subtract line 7c from line 6.)         ection B. Total Support         alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ▶       (a) 2016       (b) 2017       (c) 2018       (d) 2019       (e) 2020         9       Amounts from line 6							
ection B. Total Support         alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in) ▶       (a) 2016       (b) 2017       (c) 2018       (d) 2019       (e) 2020         9 Amounts from line 6							F
alendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)       (a) 2016       (b) 2017       (c) 2018       (d) 2019       (e) 2020         9 Amounts from line 6							
9 Amounts from line 6       Image: Construct of the section of the sect				( ) and ( )	<i></i>		
10a Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources       Image: Comparison of the sources         b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975       Image: Comparison of the sources         c Add lines 10a and 10b       Image: Comparison of the sources       Image: Comparison of the sources         11 Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on       Image: Comparison of the sources         12 Other income. Do not include gain       Image: Comparison of the sources       Image: Comparison of the sources	(f) Total	e) 2020	(d) 2019	(c) 2018	(b) 2017	(a) 2016	· · · · ·
dividends, payments received on securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources       Image: Comparison of the dividend of the diteration. <tre dividend="" dividend<="" of="" td="" the=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>E CONTRACTOR E C</td></tre>							E CONTRACTOR E C
securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources          b Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975          c Add lines 10a and 10b          1 Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on          2 Other income. Do not include gain							
and income from similar sources							
(less section 511 taxes) from businesses       acquired after June 30, 1975         c Add lines 10a and 10b							and income from similar sources
acquired after June 30, 1975							Unrelated business taxable income
c Add lines 10a and 10b							(less section 511 taxes) from businesses
1 Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on							acquired after June 30, 1975
1 Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on							Add lines 10a and 10b
whether or not the business is regularly carried on							
regularly carried on							
2 Other income. Do not include gain							
							or loss from the sale of capital
assets (Explain in Part VI.)							
3 Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.)		(2)					-
4 First 5 years. If the Form 990 is for the organization's first, second, third, fourth, or fifth tax year as a section 501(c)(3) organiz	tion,	(3) organizati	ear as a section 501	fourth, or fifth tax y	rst, second, third,	e organization's fil	
check this box and stop here	▶∟						•
Section C. Computation of Public Support Percentage			I				-
15 Public support percentage for 2020 (line 8, column (f), divided by line 13, column (f))       15	0						
I6         Public support percentage from 2019 Schedule A, Part III, line 15         I6	C		10				
ection D. Computation of Investment Income Percentage							•
7 Investment income percentage for 2020 (line 10c, column (f), divided by line 13, column (f))	0						
8 Investment income percentage from 2019 Schedule A, Part III, line 17	Q						
9a 33 1/3% support tests - 2020. If the organization did not check the box on line 14, and line 15 is more than 33 1/3%, and line	17 is not	%, and line 1	15 is more than 33 1	on line 14, and line	ot check the box	organization did n	33 1/3% support tests - 2020. If the
more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization	▶∟		upported organization	fies as a publicly s	organization quali	nd stop here. The	more than 33 1/3%, check this box ar
b 33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the organization did not check a box on line 14 or line 19a, and line 16 is more than 33 1/3%	and	an 33 1/3%, a	, and line 16 is more	line 14 or line 19a	ot check a box or	organization did n	33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the
line 18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check this box and stop here. The organization qualifies as a publicly supported organization							
20 Private foundation. If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a, or 19b, check this box and see instructions				,	, · -		
	,	,		17			
Private foundation. If the organization did not check a box on line 14, 19a, or 19b, check this box and see instructions      Schedule A (Form 9     17	PACIFI21	ION	GAL FOUNDA	PACIFIC LE	20.05010	EGAL 202	103 759263 PACIFICL

#### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

1

2

3a

3b

3c

4a

4b

4c

5a

5b

5c

6

7

8

9a

9b

9c

10a

10b

Yes No

#### Part IV Supporting Organizations

(Complete only if you checked a box in line 12 on Part I. If you checked box 12a, Part I, complete Sections A and B. If you checked box 12b, Part I, complete Sections A and C. If you checked box 12c, Part I, complete Sections A, D, and E. If you checked box 12d, Part I, complete Sections A and D, and complete Part V.)

#### Section A. All Supporting Organizations

- 1 Are all of the organization's supported organizations listed by name in the organization's governing documents? If "No," describe in **Part VI** how the supported organizations are designated. If designated by class or purpose, describe the designation. If historic and continuing relationship, explain.
- 2 Did the organization have any supported organization that does not have an IRS determination of status under section 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** how the organization determined that the supported organization was described in section 509(a)(1) or (2).
- **3a** Did the organization have a supported organization described in section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6)? *If* "Yes," *answer lines 3b and 3c below.*
- **b** Did the organization confirm that each supported organization qualified under section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) and satisfied the public support tests under section 509(a)(2)? *If* "Yes," *describe in* **Part VI** *when and how the organization made the determination.*
- c Did the organization ensure that all support to such organizations was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** what controls the organization put in place to ensure such use.
- **4a** Was any supported organization not organized in the United States ("foreign supported organization")? *If* "Yes," *and if you checked box 12a or 12b in Part I, answer lines 4b and 4c below.*
- **b** Did the organization have ultimate control and discretion in deciding whether to make grants to the foreign supported organization? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** how the organization had such control and discretion despite being controlled or supervised by or in connection with its supported organizations.
- **c** Did the organization support any foreign supported organization that does not have an IRS determination under sections 501(c)(3) and 509(a)(1) or (2)? *If* "Yes," *explain in* **Part VI** *what controls the organization used to ensure that all support to the foreign supported organization was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes.*
- 5a Did the organization add, substitute, or remove any supported organizations during the tax year? If "Yes," answer lines 5b and 5c below (if applicable). Also, provide detail in Part VI, including (i) the names and EIN numbers of the supported organizations added, substituted, or removed; (ii) the reasons for each such action; (iii) the authority under the organization's organizing document authorizing such action; and (iv) how the action was accomplished (such as by amendment to the organizing document).
- **b Type I or Type II only.** Was any added or substituted supported organization part of a class already designated in the organization's organizing document?
- c Substitutions only. Was the substitution the result of an event beyond the organization's control?
- 6 Did the organization provide support (whether in the form of grants or the provision of services or facilities) to anyone other than (i) its supported organizations, (ii) individuals that are part of the charitable class benefited by one or more of its supported organizations, or (iii) other supporting organizations that also support or benefit one or more of the filing organization's supported organizations? *If "Yes," provide detail in* Part VI.
- 7 Did the organization provide a grant, loan, compensation, or other similar payment to a substantial contributor (as defined in section 4958(c)(3)(C)), a family member of a substantial contributor, or a 35% controlled entity with regard to a substantial contributor? *If* "Yes," *complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ).*
- 8 Did the organization make a loan to a disqualified person (as defined in section 4958) not described in line 7? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ).
- **9a** Was the organization controlled directly or indirectly at any time during the tax year by one or more disqualified persons, as defined in section 4946 (other than foundation managers and organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or (2))? *If* "Yes," *provide detail in* **Part VI.**
- **b** Did one or more disqualified persons (as defined in line 9a) hold a controlling interest in any entity in which the supporting organization had an interest? *If* "Yes," *provide detail in* **Part VI.**
- c Did a disqualified person (as defined in line 9a) have an ownership interest in, or derive any personal benefit from, assets in which the supporting organization also had an interest? *If "Yes," provide detail in* **Part VI.**
- **10a** Was the organization subject to the excess business holdings rules of section 4943 because of section 4943(f) (regarding certain Type II supporting organizations, and all Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations)? *If "Yes," answer line 10b below.* 
  - **b** Did the organization have any excess business holdings in the tax year? (Use Schedule C, Form 4720, to determine whether the organization had excess business holdings.)

032024 01-25-21

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

18

PACIFI21

### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990 EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

1

2

No

Yes No

			Yes	No
11	Has the organization accepted a gift or contribution from any of the following persons?			
а	A person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described in lines 11b and			
	11c below, the governing body of a supported organization?	11a		
b	A family member of a person described in line 11a above?	11b		
с	A 35% controlled entity of a person described in line 11a or 11b above?If "Yes" to line 11a, 11b, or 11c, provide			
	detail in Part VI.	11c		
Sec	tion B. Type I Supporting Organizations			
			Yes	No
1	Did the governing body, members of the governing body, officers acting in their official capacity, or membership of one or			

	more supported organizations have the power to regularly appoint or elect at least a majority of the organization's officers, directors, or trustees at all times during the tax year? If "No," describe in <b>Part VI</b> how the supported organization(s)
	effectively operated, supervised, or controlled the organization's activities. If the organization had more than one supported organization, describe how the powers to appoint and/or remove officers, directors, or trustees were allocated among the supported organizations and what conditions or restrictions, if any, applied to such powers during the tax year.
2	Did the organization operate for the benefit of any supported organization other than the supported
	organization(s) that operated, supervised, or controlled the supporting organization? If "Yes," explain in
	<b>Devit VII</b> how providing such banefit corriad out the purposes of the supported ergenization(s) that experied

Part VI how providing such benefit carried out the purposes of the supported organization(s) that operated, supervised, or controlled the supporting organization.

Section C.	I ype II	Supporting	Organizations	

Part IV Supporting Organizations (continued)

			Yes
1	Were a majority of the organization's directors or trustees during the tax year also a majority of the directors		
	or trustees of each of the organization's supported organization(s)? If "No," describe in Part VI how control		
	or management of the supporting organization was vested in the same persons that controlled or managed		
	the supported organization(s).	1	

Section D. All Type III Supporting Organizations	

			Yes	NO
1	Did the organization provide to each of its supported organizations, by the last day of the fifth month of the			
	organization's tax year, (i) a written notice describing the type and amount of support provided during the prior tax			
	year, (ii) a copy of the Form 990 that was most recently filed as of the date of notification, and (iii) copies of the			
	organization's governing documents in effect on the date of notification, to the extent not previously provided?	1		
2	Were any of the organization's officers, directors, or trustees either (i) appointed or elected by the supported			
	organization(s) or (ii) serving on the governing body of a supported organization? If "No," explain in Part VI how			
	the organization maintained a close and continuous working relationship with the supported organization(s).	2		
3	By reason of the relationship described in line 2, above, did the organization's supported organizations have a			
	significant voice in the organization's investment policies and in directing the use of the organization's			
	income or assets at all times during the tax year? If "Yes," describe in Part VI the role the organization's			
	supported organizations played in this regard.	3		

#### Section E. Type III Functionally Integrated Supporting Organizations

- 1 Check the box next to the method that the organization used to satisfy the Integral Part Test during the yea(see instructions).
- a The organization satisfied the Activities Test. Complete line 2 below.
- **b** The organization is the parent of each of its supported organizations. *Complete* **line 3** *below*.
- c The organization supported a governmental entity. Describe in Part VI how you supported a governmental entity (see instructions).
- 2 Activities Test. Answer lines 2a and 2b below.
- a Did substantially all of the organization's activities during the tax year directly further the exempt purposes of the supported organization(s) to which the organization was responsive? *If* "Yes," *then in* **Part VI identify those supported organizations and explain** how these activities directly furthered their exempt purposes, how the organization was responsive to those supported organizations, and how the organization determined that these activities constituted substantially all of its activities.
- b Did the activities described in line 2a, above, constitute activities that, but for the organization's involvement, one or more of the organization's supported organization(s) would have been engaged in? If "Yes," explain in Part VI the reasons for the organization's position that its supported organization(s) would have engaged in these activities but for the organization's involvement.
- **3** Parent of Supported Organizations. **Answer lines 3a and 3b below.**
- a Did the organization have the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the officers, directors, or trustees of each of the supported organizations? *If* "Yes" or "*No*" provide details in **Part VI.**
- b Did the organization exercise a substantial degree of direction over the policies, programs, and activities of each of its supported organizations? *If "Yes," describe in* **Part VI** *the role played by the organization in this regard.* 032025 01-25-21

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

2a

2b

3a

3b

19

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

PACIFI21

## Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION Part V Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509(a)(3) Supporting Organizations

1 Check here if the organization satisfied the Integral Part Test as a qualifying trust on Nov. 20, 1970 (*explain in* **Part VI**). See instructions. All other Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations must complete Sections A through E.

Section	A - Adjusted Net Income		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
1 Ne	at short-term capital gain	1		
<b>2</b> Re	coveries of prior-year distributions	2		
3 Oth	her gross income (see instructions)	3		
<b>4</b> Ad	ld lines 1 through 3.	4		
5 De	preciation and depletion	5		
6 Po	rtion of operating expenses paid or incurred for production or			
col	llection of gross income or for management, conservation, or			
ma	aintenance of property held for production of income (see instructions)	6		
<b>7</b> Oth	her expenses (see instructions)	7		
8 Ad	ljusted Net Income (subtract lines 5, 6, and 7 from line 4)	8		
Section	B - Minimum Asset Amount		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
<b>1</b> Ag	gregate fair market value of all non-exempt-use assets (see			
ins	structions for short tax year or assets held for part of year):			
a Ave	erage monthly value of securities	1a		
b Ave	erage monthly cash balances	1b		
<b>c</b> Fai	ir market value of other non-exempt-use assets	1c		
d To	tal (add lines 1a, 1b, and 1c)	1d		
e Dis	scount claimed for blockage or other factors			
(ex	plain in detail in Part VI):			
2 Ac	quisition indebtedness applicable to non-exempt-use assets	2		
<b>3</b> Su	btract line 2 from line 1d.	3		
<b>4</b> Ca	sh deemed held for exempt use. Enter 0.015 of line 3 (for greater amount,			
see	e instructions).	4		
5 Ne	et value of non-exempt-use assets (subtract line 4 from line 3)	5		
6 Mu	ultiply line 5 by 0.035.	6		
7 Re	coveries of prior-year distributions	7		
8 Mi	nimum Asset Amount (add line 7 to line 6)	8		
Section	C - Distributable Amount			Current Year
1 Ad	ljusted net income for prior year (from Section A, line 8, column A)	1		
2 Ent	ter 0.85 of line 1.	2		
3 Mir	nimum asset amount for prior year (from Section B, line 8, column A)	3		
4 Ent	ter greater of line 2 or line 3.	4		
5 Inc	come tax imposed in prior year	5		
6 Dis	stributable Amount. Subtract line 5 from line 4, unless subject to			
em	nergency temporary reduction (see instructions).	6		
7	Check here if the current year is the organization's first as a non-functiona	ally integra	ted Type III supporting or	ganization (see

instructions).

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

032026 01-25-21

#### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Par	t v Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509	(a)(3) Supporting Orga	anizations (continued	<u>a)</u>
Secti	on D - Distributions			Current Year
1	Amounts paid to supported organizations to accomplish exe	empt purposes		1
2	Amounts paid to perform activity that directly furthers exemp	ot purposes of supported		
	organizations, in excess of income from activity		2	
3	Administrative expenses paid to accomplish exempt purpose	es of supported organization	S	3
4	Amounts paid to acquire exempt-use assets			4
5	Qualified set-aside amounts (prior IRS approval required - pro	ovide details in <b>Part VI</b> )		5
6	Other distributions (describe in Part VI). See instructions.			6
7	Total annual distributions. Add lines 1 through 6.			7
8	Distributions to attentive supported organizations to which the	he organization is responsive	9	
	(provide details in Part VI). See instructions.			8
9	Distributable amount for 2020 from Section C, line 6			9
10	Line 8 amount divided by line 9 amount		1	0
Secti	on E - Distribution Allocations (see instructions)	(i) Excess Distributions	(ii) Underdistributions Pre-2020	(iii) Distributable Amount for 2020
1	Distributable amount for 2020 from Section C, line 6			
2	Underdistributions, if any, for years prior to 2020 (reason-			
	able cause required - explain in Part VI). See instructions.			
3	Excess distributions carryover, if any, to 2020			
a	From 2015			
b	From 2016			
c	From 2017			
d	From 2018			
e	From 2019			
f	Total of lines 3a through 3e			
g	Applied to underdistributions of prior years			
h	Applied to 2020 distributable amount			
i	Carryover from 2015 not applied (see instructions)			
j	Remainder. Subtract lines 3g, 3h, and 3i from line 3f.			
4	Distributions for 2020 from Section D,			
	line 7: \$			
a	Applied to underdistributions of prior years			
b	Applied to 2020 distributable amount			
с	Remainder. Subtract lines 4a and 4b from line 4.			
5	Remaining underdistributions for years prior to 2020, if			
	any. Subtract lines 3g and 4a from line 2. For result greater			
	than zero, explain in Part VI. See instructions.			
6	Remaining underdistributions for 2020. Subtract lines 3h			
	and 4b from line 1. For result greater than zero, explain in			
	Part VI. See instructions.			
7	Excess distributions carryover to 2021. Add lines 3j			
	and 4c.			
8	Breakdown of line 7:			
а	Excess from 2016			
b	Excess from 2017			
с	Excess from 2018			
d	Excess from 2019			
е	Excess from 2020			

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

032027 01-25-21

21

|--|

	art IV, Section C, B, line 1e; Part V nation.
32028 01-25-21 Schedule A (Forn 22	1 990 or 990-EZ)

SCHEDULE C Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities					OMB No. 1545-0047	
(Form 990 or 990-EZ)			-	-		2020
		anizations Exempt From Incon if the organization is describe				LULU
Department of the Treasury	-	To the organization is describe Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for			90-EZ.	Open to Public Inspection
Internal Revenue Service		•			· • •	-
-		Form 990, Part IV, line 3, or F		ne 46 (Political Camp	aign Activ	/ities), then
		nplete Parts I-A and B. Do not co	•	Do not complete Dor	+ 1 D	
<ul> <li>Section 501(c) (other</li> <li>Section 527 organiz</li> </ul>		01(c)(3)) organizations: Complete	e Parts I-A and C below	. Do not complete Par	L I-D.	
0		<b>Form 990, Part IV, line 4, or F</b>	orm 990_E7 Part VI li	ine 47 (Lobbying Acti	vitios) th	an
		have filed Form 5768 (election u				
		have NOT filed Form 5768 (elect	( ))	•	•	
	-	n Form 990, Part IV, line 5 (Prox				
Tax) (See separate inst	tructions), then			-		
<ul> <li>Section 501(c)(4), (5</li> </ul>	), or (6) organiza	tions: Complete Part III.				
Name of organization				1		identification number
		LEGAL FOUNDATIO				4-2197343
Part I-A Compl	ete if the org	panization is exempt und	ler section 501(c)	or is a section 5	27 orgai	nization.
		ation's direct and indirect politic				
		ures			▶\$	
<b>3</b> Volunteer hours for	political campai	gn activities				
Part I-B Compl	ata if tha ord	anization is exempt und	ler section 501(c)	(3)		
-		incurred by the organization und		. ,	► \$	
		incurred by organization manag				
		n 4955 tax, did it file Form 4720				Yes No
<b>b</b> If "Yes," describe in						
Part I-C Compl	ete if the org	ganization is exempt und	ler section 501(c),	, except section {	501(c)(3)	).
1 Enter the amount of	lirectly expended	d by the filing organization for se	ction 527 exempt funct	tion activities	▶\$	
2 Enter the amount of	of the filing organ	ization's funds contributed to ot	her organizations for se	ection 527		
exempt function ac	tivities				▶\$	
•	•	s. Add lines 1 and 2. Enter here a			<b>N</b> .	
					▶\$	
		1120-POL for this year?				
		nployer identification number (El tion listed, enter the amount pai				
	-	omptly and directly delivered to				-
		additional space is needed, prov			opulato oo	grogatoa lana or a
(a) Name		(b) Address	(c) EIN	(d) Amount paid fr	rom (e	e) Amount of political
				filing organization	n's con	tributions received and
				funds. If none, ente		promptly and directly elivered to a separate
						political organization.
						If none, enter -0
				+		
		1		1		

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ. LHA

032041 12-02-20

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

27

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 PACIFIC 1	LEGAL	FOUNDATION
--	-------	------------

B       Check       if the filing organization checked box A and "limited control" provisions apply.         Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.)       (a) Filing organization's totals       (b) Affiliated or totals         1a       Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grassroots lobbying)       79,090.         b       Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1 a and 1b)       79,090.         c       Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1 c and 1d)       79,090.         f       Lobbying ontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       17,088,511.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying ontaxable amount is:       1,000,000.         Over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.       1,000,000.         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$1,000,000.       250,000.         Over \$1,500,000       \$1,000,000.       \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.       0         Over \$1,000,000       \$1,000,000.       \$250,000.       0         Over \$1,000,000       \$1,000,000.       \$250,000.       0	Pa	Section 501(c)(3) and filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(c)(3) and filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(c)).						
Limits on Lobbying Expenditures (The term "expenditures" means amounts paid or incurred.)       (a) Filing organization's totals       (b) Affiliated g totals         1a Total lobbying expenditures to influence public opinion (grassroots lobbying)       79,090.       79,090.         c Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying)       79,090.       79,090.         c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b)       79,090.       17,009,421.         d Other exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d)       17,088,511.       1,000,000.         f Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       1,000,000.       1,000,000.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying ontaxable amount is:       1,000,000.       1,000,000.         Over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.       0,000.       250,000.       250,000.         Over \$1,000,000       \$1,000,000       \$1,000,000.       250,000.       250,000.         g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)       250,000.       0       0		expenses, and share of excess lobbying expenditures).						
b Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legislative body (direct lobbying)       79,090.         c Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a and 1b)       79,090.         d Other exempt purpose expenditures       17,009,421.         e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d)       17,088,511.         f Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       1,000,000.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying nontaxable amount is:         Not over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.         Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000       \$125,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.         Over \$17,000,000       \$1,000,000.         g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)       250,000.         O       0		Limits on Lob	bying Expenditures	organization's	• •	0 1		
c       Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1 a and 1b)       79,090.         d       Other exempt purpose expenditures       17,009,421.         e       Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1 c and 1d)       17,088,511.         f       Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       17,009,421.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying nontaxable amount is:       1,000,000.         Not over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.       1,000,000.         Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.       1,000,000.         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000       \$1,000,000.       225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.         Over \$17,000,000       \$1,000,000.       250,000.       250,000.         g       Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)       250,000.       0	1a	Total lobbying expenditures to influence pub	lic opinion (grassroots lobbying)					
d Other exempt purpose expenditures       17,009,421.         e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d)       17,088,511.         f Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       1,000,000.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying nontaxable amount is:         Not over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.         Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000       \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.         Over \$17,000,000       \$11,000,000.         g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)       250,000.         b Output for the time time to the following table amount (enter 25% of line 1f)       0	b	Total lobbying expenditures to influence a le	gislative body (direct lobbying)					
e Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines 1c and 1d)       17,088,511.         f Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       17,088,511.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying nontaxable amount is:         Not over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.         Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000       \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$1225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.         Over \$17,000,000       \$11,000,000.         g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)       250,000.         b Output implies to the form line 1e.       0	с	Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 1a an	d 1b)					
f       Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount from the following table in both columns.       1,000,000.         If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:       The lobbying nontaxable amount is:       1,000,000.         Not over \$500,000       20% of the amount on line 1e.       0ver \$500,000.         Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000       \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.       0ver \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000         Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000       \$125,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,000,000.       0ver \$17,000,000         Over \$17,000,000       \$11,000,000.       \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.       250,000.         Over \$17,000,000       \$11,000,000.       \$100,000.       000.	d	Other exempt purpose expenditures						
If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:         The lobbying nontaxable amount is:           Not over \$500,000         20% of the amount on line 1e.           Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000         \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.           Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,000,000         \$125,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.           Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$1,500,000         \$125,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,000,000.           Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$17,000,000         \$11,000,000.	е	Total exempt purpose expenditures (add line	es 1c and 1d)					
Not over \$500,000         20% of the amount on line 1e.           Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000         \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.           Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000         \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.           Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$17,000,000         \$1000,000.	f	Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amo	ount from the following table in both columns.	1,000,000.				
Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000         \$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.           Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000         \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.           Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$17,000,000         \$11,000,000.           g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)         250,000.		If the amount on line 1e, column (a) or (b) is:	The lobbying nontaxable amount is:					
Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000         \$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.           Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$1,000,000         \$1,000,000.           g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)         250,000.		Not over \$500,000	20% of the amount on line 1e.					
Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000         \$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.           Over \$17,000,000         \$1,000,000.           g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)         250,000.		Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,000,000	\$100,000 plus 15% of the excess over \$500,000.					
Over \$17,000,000         \$1,000,000.           g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)         250,000.		Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,500,000	\$175,000 plus 10% of the excess over \$1,000,000.					
g Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of line 1f)		Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000	\$225,000 plus 5% of the excess over \$1,500,000.					
		Over \$17,000,000	\$1,000,000.					
		Grassroots pontavable amount (enter 25% o	fline 1fl	250,000				
	•			-				
i Subtract line 1f from line 1c. If zero or less, enter -0-	;	6		• •				
j If there is an amount other than zero on either line 1h or line 1i, did the organization file Form 4720	;							
reporting section 4911 tax for this year?	1				Yes	No No		

4-Year Averaging Period Under Section 501(h)

(Some organizations that made a section 501(h) election do not have to complete all of the five columns below.

See the separate instructions for lines 2a through 2f.)

Lobbying Expenditures During 4-Year Averaging Period						
Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	<b>(a)</b> 2017	<b>(b)</b> 2018	<b>(c)</b> 2019	( <b>d)</b> 2020	<b>(e)</b> Total	
<b>2a</b> Lobbying nontaxable amount	488,877.	922,061.	973,834.	1,000,000.	3,384,772.	
<ul> <li>b Lobbying ceiling amount (150% of line 2a, column(e))</li> </ul>					5,077,158.	
c Total lobbying expenditures	17,527.	106,686.	143,036.	79,090.	346,339.	
d Grassroots nontaxable amount	122,219.	230,515.	243,459.	250,000.	846,193.	
e Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line 2d, column (e))					1,269,290.	
f Grassroots lobbying expenditures						

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

032042 12-02-20

#### Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

#### 94-2197343 Page 3

## Part II-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(3) and has NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)).

For e	ach "Yes" response on lines 1a through 1i below, provide in Part IV a detailed description	(a)		(t	<b>)</b>
of the	e lobbying activity.	Yes	No	Amo	ount
1 a b	During the year, did the filing organization attempt to influence foreign, national, state, or local legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of: Volunteers? Paid staff or management (include compensation in expenses reported on lines 1c through 1i)?				
	Media advertisements?				
d	Mailings to members, legislators, or the public?				
	Publications, or published or broadcast statements?				
f	Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes?				
g	Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?				
h	Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means?				
	Other activities?				
j	Total. Add lines 1c through 1i				
	Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)?				
	If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912				
	If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred by organization managers under section 4912				
	If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year? t III-A Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section	$\frac{1}{100}$	0r 00	otion	
r ai	501(c)(6).		, 01 30	CUON	
	001(0)(0).			Yes	No
1	Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?		1		
2	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?				
3	Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from th		3		
Par	t III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section		, or se	ection	
	501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered answered "Yes."				e 3, is
1	Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members		1		
2	Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political		-		
-	expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).	Sai			
а	Current year		2a		
	Carryover from last year				
	Total		2c		
3	Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues				
4	If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the exc		_		
	does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and p				
	expenditure next year?		4		
5	Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (See instructions)		5		
Par	t IV Supplemental Information				
Provi	de the descriptions required for Part I-A, line 1; Part I-B, line 4; Part I-C, line 5; Part II-A (affiliated group	list); Part II-A,	lines 1 a	and 2 (See	

instructions); and Part II-B, line 1. Also, complete this part for any additional information.

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

032043 12-02-20

29

(Form	990)
-------	------

032051 12-01-20



SCHEDULE D Supplemental Financial Statements				OMB No. 154	5-0047	
	m 990)		anization answered "Yes" on Form 990,		202	חי
(1011	11 330)	Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b.		Open to	Dublic
	tment of the Treasury al Revenue Service	Go to www.irs.gov/Form9	Attach to Form 990. 90 for instructions and the latest information		Inspectio	
	e of the organizati			1	yer identification	number
Num	le el tre el gamzat	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUN	DATION	Emple	94-21973	
Pa	rt I Organiza		ed Funds or Other Similar Funds or A	Accoun		
		on answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lir			•	
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		(a) Donor advised funds	(b) Funds	and other accour	nts
1	Total number at e	nd of year				
2		of contributions to (during year)				
3		of grants from (during year)				
4		at end of year				
5			writing that the assets held in donor advised fur	nds		
	are the organization's property, subject to the organization's exclusive legal control? Yes No					
6	Did the organization	on inform all grantees, donors, and donor a	advisors in writing that grant funds can be used	only		
	for charitable purp	poses and not for the benefit of the donor of	or donor advisor, or for any other purpose confe	rring		
	impermissible priv	ate benefit?			Yes	No
Pa	rt II Conserv	ration Easements. Complete if the org	ganization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV	', line 7.		
1	Purpose(s) of con	servation easements held by the organizat	ion (check all that apply).			
	Preservation	n of land for public use (for example, recrea	ation or education)	orically in	nportant land area	
	Protection of	of natural habitat	Preservation of a cert	ified histo	oric structure	
	Preservation	n of open space				
2	Complete lines 2a	through 2d if the organization held a quali	fied conservation contribution in the form of a c	onservati	on easement on th	ne last
	day of the tax yea			Н	eld at the End of the	: Tax Year
а	Total number of c	onservation easements		2a		
b	Total acreage rest	ricted by conservation easements		2b		
с			ructure included in (a)	2c		
d			after 7/25/06, and not on a historic structure			
				2d		
3	Number of conser	vation easements modified, transferred, re	eleased, extinguished, or terminated by the orga	nization d	luring the tax	
	year 🕨					
4		where property subject to conservation ea				
5	0	ition have a written policy regarding the pe	6, I , 6			<b></b>
•	,	forcement of the conservation easements i				No No
6	Starr and voluntee	er nours devoted to monitoring, inspecting,	, handling of violations, and enforcing conservat	ion easen	nents during the y	ear
7						
7	► \$	ses incurred in monitoring, inspecting, nand	dling of violations, and enforcing conservation e	asements	s during the year	
8	· ·	aution accomment reported on line 2(d) above	ve satisfy the requirements of section 170(h)(4)(			
0					Yes	No
9			ion easements in its revenue and expense state			
9			note to the organization's financial statements t			
		counting for conservation easements.	note to the organization 5 initiaticial statements t	nat uesul		
Pa			f Art, Historical Treasures, or Other	Similar	Assets.	
		f the organization answered "Yes" on Form				
- 1a			58, not to report in its revenue statement and ba	lance she	eet works	
	0	, ,	blic exhibition, education, or research in furthera			
	service, provide in Part XIII the text of the footnote to its financial statements that describes these items.					

b	If the organization elected, as permitted under FASB ASC 958, to report in its revenue statement and balance sheet works of
	art, historical treasures, or other similar assets held for public exhibition, education, or research in furtherance of public service,
	provide the following amounts relating to these items:

LHA	For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.		Schedule D (Form 990) 2020
b	Assets included in Form 990, Part X		\$
а	Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1		\$
	the following amounts required to be reported under FASB ASC 958 relating to these items:		
2	If the organization received or held works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets for financial gain, pro	vic	de
	(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X		\$
	(i) Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1		\$

30

2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL

Sche	dule D (Form 990) 2020 PACIFIC	LEGAL FOU	NDATION		94	-2197343 Page 2
Pa	t III Organizations Maintaining C	ollections of Ar	t, Historical Tr	easures, or O	ther Similar	Assets(continued)
3	Using the organization's acquisition, accessi	on, and other record	s, check any of the	following that ma	ke significant use	e of its
	collection items (check all that apply):					
а	Public exhibition	d	Loan or exc	hange program		
b	Scholarly research	е	Other			
с	Preservation for future generations					
4	Provide a description of the organization's co	ollections and explair	n how they further t	he organization's	exempt purpose	in Part XIII.
5	During the year, did the organization solicit o	r receive donations of	of art, historical trea	sures, or other sir	nilar assets	
	to be sold to raise funds rather than to be ma					Yes No
Pa	<b>t IV</b> Escrow and Custodial Arran reported an amount on Form 990, Par		te if the organizatio	n answered "Yes'	" on Form 990, Pa	art IV, line 9, or
1a	Is the organization an agent, trustee, custod	ian or other intermed	iary for contributior	s or other assets	not included	
	on Form 990, Part X?					Yes No
b	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII	and complete the fo	lowing table:			
						Amount
С	Beginning balance				1c	
d	Additions during the year				1d	
	Distributions during the year					
f	Ending balance				1f	
	Did the organization include an amount on Fe					Yes No
	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII.					
Pa	<b>t V Endowment Funds.</b> Complete i			1	1	
		(a) Current year	(b) Prior year		k (d) Three years	
1a	Beginning of year balance	53,198,337.	54,108,051.			
b	Contributions	1,411,631.	3,037,510.			
	Net investment earnings, gains, and losses	14,233,204.	1,073,612.	3,960,10	0. 57	304. 4,894,060.
	Grants or scholarships					
е	Other expenditures for facilities	1,613,764.	4,889,523.	1 737 88	7. 3,750	000 3 558 264
4	and programs	143,958.	131,313.			.000. 3,558,264. .460. 109,081.
1	Administrative expenses End of year balance	67,085,450.	53,198,337.	· · ·		
2	Provide the estimated percentage of the cur				10,752	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Board designated or quasi-endowment	97.4800	%	a)) Helu as.		
	Permanent endowment 2.5200	%				
		/0 %				
Ŭ	The percentages on lines 2a, 2b, and 2c sho					
3a	Are there endowment funds not in the posse		ation that are held a	nd administered f	or the organizatio	าก
04	by:				or the organization	Yes No
	(i) Unrelated organizations					3a(i) X
	(ii) Related organizations					
b	If "Yes" on line 3a(ii), are the related organiza					
4	Describe in Part XIII the intended uses of the					
Pa	t VI Land, Buildings, and Equipm	nent.				
	Complete if the organization answere	d "Yes" on Form 990	, Part IV, line 11a. S	See Form 990, Par	t X, line 10.	
	Description of property	(a) Cost or of	ther (b) Cost	or other (c	) Accumulated	(d) Book value
		basis (investn	nent) basis	(other)	depreciation	
1a	Land			0,000.		900,000.
	Buildings		3,57	3,909. 1	.,564,403	. 2,009,506.
	Leasehold improvements					
	Equipment					
	Other		1,00	6,332.	924,224	
Tota	. Add lines 1a through 1e. (Column (d) must e	qual Form 990, Part	X, column (B), line 1	'0c.)		2,991,614.
					Sch	edule D (Form 990) 2020

Schedule D (Form 990) 2020	PACIFIC	LEGAL	FOUNDATION
----------------------------	---------	-------	------------

Part VII Investments - Other Securities.			
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"	on Form 990, Part IV, line		
(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation: Cost or end	d-of-year market value
(1) Financial derivatives			
(2) Closely held equity interests			
(3) Other			
(A) MUTUAL FUNDS PRIMARILY			
(B) INVESTED IN DEBT & EQUITY			
(C) SECURITIES	59,979,186.	END-OF-YEAR MARKET	
(D) MONEY MARKET ACCOUNTS	1,309,772.	END-OF-YEAR MARKET	VALUE
(E) GOVERNMENTAL BONDS &			
(F) SECURITIES	8,009,926.	END-OF-YEAR MARKET	VALUE
(G)			
(H)			
Total. (Col. (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 12.)	69,298,884.		
Part VIII Investments - Program Related.			
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"			
(a) Description of investment	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation: Cost or end	l-of-year market value
(1)			
(2)			
(3)			
(4)			
(5)			
(6)			
(7)			
(8)			
(9)			
Total. (Col. (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 13.)			
Part IX Other Assets.			
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"		11d. See Form 990, Part X, line 15.	
	Description		(b) Book value
(1) DEPOSITS, STOCK RECEIVABL			37,950.
(2) CHARITABLE REMAINDER TRUS	T ASSETS		4,394,012.
(3)			
(4)			
(5)			
(6)			
(7)			
(8)			
(9)			4 421 000
Total. (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line	e 15.)		4,431,962.
Part X Other Liabilities.			
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"	on ⊦orm 990, Part IV, line	11e or 11t. See Form 990, Part X, line 25	
1. (a) Description of liability			(b) Book value
(1) Federal income taxes			1 040 704
(2) ACCRUED EXPENSES			1,042,794.
(3) LIABILITY UNDER UNITRUST			3,267,934.
(4)			
(5)			
(6)			
(7)			
(8)			
(9)	0.5.1		1 210 720
Total. (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line	,		4,310,728.
2. Liability for uncertain tax positions. In Part XIII, provide		-	
organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under	FASB ASC 740. Check he	ere if the text of the footnote has been p	rovided in Part XIII X

Schedule D (Form 990) 2020

032053 12-01-20

Sche	edule D (Form 990) 2020 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION			94-	2197343 Page 4
Pa	rt XI Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statem	ents W			
	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a	-			
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements			1	32,192,634.
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12:				
а	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	2a	13,410,133.		
b	Donated services and use of facilities				
с	Recoveries of prior year grants				
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)		395,849.		
е				2e	13,805,982.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	18,386,652.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1:				
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	. 4a			
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	4b			
с	Add lines <b>4a</b> and <b>4b</b>			4c	0.
_5	Total revenue. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 12.)				18,386,652.
Pa	rt XII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statem	ents \	Vith Expenses per	Retu	ırn.
	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 12a	-		-	
1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements			1	17,088,511.
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25:				
а	Donated services and use of facilities	. 2a			
b	Prior year adjustments	2b			
с	Other losses	2c			
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	_ 2d			
е	Add lines 2a through 2d			2e	0.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	17,088,511.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:				
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	. 4a			
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	4b			_
с	Add lines <b>4a</b> and <b>4b</b>			4c	0.
	Total expenses. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 18.)			5	17,088,511.
Pa	rt XIII Supplemental Information.				
	ide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part III, lines 1a and 4; Part				

Provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part III, lines 1a and 4; Part IV, lines 1b and 2b; Part V, line 4; Part X, line 2; Part XI, lines 2d and 4b; and Part XII, lines 2d and 4b. Also complete this part to provide any additional information.

PART X, LINE 2:

THE FOUNDATION HAS APPLIED THE ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES RELATED TO ACC	OUNTING
FOR UNCERTAINTY IN INCOME TAXES AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THERE IS NO	)
MATERIAL IMPACT ON THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. WITH SOM	Œ
EXCEPTIONS, THE FOUNDATION IS NO LONGER SUBJECT TO U.S. FEDERAL AND	STATE
INCOME TAX EXAMINATIONS BY TAX AUTHORITIES FOR YEARS PRIOR TO 2016.	
PART XI, LINE 2D - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS:	
CHANGE IN VALUE OF SPLIT INTEREST AGREEMENTS	395,849.

33

032054 12-01-20

Schedule D (Form 990) 2020

PACIFI21

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

	/			
			Schedu	le D (Form 990) 2020
055 12-01-20		34		
0103 759263 PACIFICI	LEGAL 2020.05010	PACIFIC LEGAL	FOUNDATION	PACIFI21

SC	HEDULE J	Compensation	Information	1	OMB No.	1545-004	47
(Fo	rm 990)	For certain Officers, Directors, Trust			20	20	
•	,	Compensated	Employees		ZU	ZU	)
Deres	torrad of the Treasure	Complete if the organization answered Attach to Forganization			Open to	Publi	ic
	tment of the Treasury al Revenue Service	Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for inst			Inspe		
Nam	e of the organizatio			Employer ide			mber
		PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	ON	94-21	9734	3	
Pa	rt I Question	Regarding Compensation					
						Yes	No
1a	Check the appropri	ate box(es) if the organization provided any of the foll	owing to or for a person listed on Form	990,			
	Part VII, Section A,	ine 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant infor	mation regarding these items.				
	First-class or c	narter travel 📃 Ho	using allowance or residence for perso	nal use			
	Travel for com	panions Pa	yments for business use of personal re	sidence			
	Tax indemnific	ation and gross-up payments	alth or social club dues or initiation fee	6			
	Discretionary	pending account Per	rsonal services (such as maid, chauffe	ır, chef)			
b	•	on line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a v					
	reimbursement or p	rovision of all of the expenses described above? If "N	lo," complete Part III to explain		. 1b		
2	Did the organization	require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing	ng expenses incurred by all directors,				
	trustees, and office	s, including the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the	ne items checked on line 1a?		. 2		
3	Indicate which, if a	y, of the following the organization used to establish	the compensation of the organization's	6			
	CEO/Executive Dire	ctor. Check all that apply. Do not check any boxes fo	or methods used by a related organizat	ion to			
		tion of the CEO/Executive Director, but explain in Pa					
	X Compensatior		itten employment contract				
			mpensation survey or study				
	X Form 990 of o	her organizations	proval by the board or compensation c	ommittee			
4		any person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, li	ne 1a, with respect to the filing				
	organization or a re	ated organization:					37
а							X
b		eive payment from a supplemental nonqualified retire					X
С		eive payment from an equity-based compensation an			. 4c		X
	If "Yes" to any of lir	es 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable a	mounts for each item in Part III.				
	Only and the COM						
-		)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations must c	-				
5	-	n Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organ	ization pay or accrue any compensation	וזנ			
-	contingent on the r				5		x
a ⊾	Any related argent	tion?			. 5a	$\mid$	X
a		ation? r 5b, describe in Part III.			. <b>5</b> b		17
6			ization pay or aparus any companyati				
0	contingent on the r	n Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the orgar	incation pay of accide any compensation				
2					62		x
a h		ation?			. 6a 6b		X
b		r 6b, describe in Part III.					
7		n Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organ	nization provide any nonfixed payments	3			
'		es 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III			7		x
8		reported on Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursu			· •		
5		ported of Form 990, Part Vir, paid of accrued purso ption described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3			8		x
9		d the organization also follow the rebuttable presump					
5		53.4958-6(c)?			9		
LHA		eduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form				n 990)	2020

35

Schedule J (Form 990) 2020 PACIF	UC I	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDA	NDATION		94-2197343	343		Page 2
Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed	nplo	yees, and Highest (	Compensated Emp	loyees. Use duplica	te copies if additional	space is needed.		
For each individual whose compensation must be reported on Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii) Do not list any individuals that aren't listed on Form 990, Part VII.	be rel	ported on Schedule. 990, Part VII.	J, report compensa	tion from the organi	zation on row (i) and fr	om related organizatio	ns, described in the ins	tructions, on row (ii).
Note: The sum of columns (B)(i)-(iii) for each listed individual must equal the to	ed inc	dividual must equal tl		orm 990, Part VII, S-	ection A, line 1a, appli	cable column (D) and	al amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, applicable column (D) and (E) amounts for that individual	ividual.
		(B) Breakdown of <sup>1</sup>	(B) Breakdown of W-2 and/or 1099-MISC compensation	SC compensation	(C) Retirement and	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	(F) Compensation
(A) Name and Title	•	(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation	other deferred compensation	Denents	(7)-(1)(9)	In column (b) reported as deferred on prior Form 990
(1) STEVEN D. ANDERSON	Ξ	451,268.	50,000.	•0	48,064.	21,455.	570,787.	0
PRESIDENT & CEO		• 0	•0	•0	•0	• 0	•0	•0
(2) JOHN M. GROEN	Ξ	302,307.	5,000.	• 0	23,922.	18,456.	349,685.	• 0
EXECUTIVE VP & GENERAL COU	(ii)		.0	• 0		• 0		•0
(3) TODD GAZIANO	Ξ	263,333.	0.	.0	20,800.	17,084.	301,217.	.0
CHIEF OF LEGAL POLICY & RESEARCH	(ii)							0.
(4) LARRY G. SALZMAN	(i)	227,500.	5,000.	.0	18,100.	18,801.	269,401.	• 0
SECRETARY & DIR. OF LITIGATION	(ii)		0.	.0				0.
(5) JAMES S. BURLING	(i)	231,459.	• 0	.0	18,383.	18,194.	268,03	• 0
VP LEGAL AFFAIRS	(ii)		.0	.0				0.
(6) STEVE SIMPSON	(i)	225,000.	.0		18,000.	12,342.	255,342.	.0
SENIOR ATTORNEY	(ii)		• 0		• 0			•0
(7) CHARLES E. WILCOX IV	(i)	219,093.	5,000.	• 0	17,306.	12,321.	253,720.	•0
COO/CFO	(ii)	• 0	• 0	• 0	•0	• 0	• 0	•0
(8) JOSHUA THOMPSON	Ξ	213,833.	•0	.0	16,200.	23,036.	253,069.	.0
DIRECTOR OF LEGAL OPERATIONS	(ii)	•0	.0	.0	.0	.0	•0	.0
(9) DOUG KRUSE	Ξ	195,533.	3,000.		15,440.	1,535.	215,508.	•0
SR. DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT	(ii)	•0	.0	.0	.0	.0	•0	.0
(10) SCOTT BARTON	Ξ	185,333.	.0	• 0	14,693.	12,252.	212,278.	•0
SR. DIR. OF COMMUNICATIONS & OUTREAC (ii)	(ii)	•0	.0	.0	•0	•0	•0	•0
	(i)							
	(ii)							
	(i)							
	(ii)							
	(i)							
	(ii)							
	Ξ							
	(ii)							
	Ξ							
	(ii)							
	Ξ							
	(ii)							
				36			Schedu	Schedule J (Form 990) 2020

36

# 032112 12-07-20

	information.										Schedule J (Form 990) 2020
0401010	this part for any additional										Sched
	1a, 1b, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8, and for Part II. Also complete this part for any additional information.										
	, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and										
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 3, 4a,										
PACIFIC LEGA	ion, or descriptions required										
Schedule J (Form 990) 2020	Provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines										

032113 12-07-20

#### **SCHEDULE M** (Form 990)

## **Noncash Contributions**

OMB No. 1545-0047 2020

Department of the Treasury	
Internal Revenue Service	

Complete if the organizations answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 29 or 30. Attach to Form 990.

**Open to Public** . Inspection

Name of the organi	zation
--------------------	--------

Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

ame of the o	rganization
--------------	-------------

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Employer identification number
94-2197343

Pa	rt I Types of Property							
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)			
		Check if applicable	Number of contributions or	Noncash contribution amounts reported on	Method of de noncash contribu		•	
		applicable		Form 990, Part VIII, line 1g	TIONCASIT CONTINUE	nion ai	nount	.5
1	Art - Works of art							
2	Art - Historical treasures							
3	Art - Fractional interests							
4	Books and publications							
5	Clothing and household goods							
6	Cars and other vehicles							
7	Boats and planes							
8	Intellectual property							
9	Securities - Publicly traded	Х	20	1,015,193.	NYSE MARKET	VA	LUE	
10	Securities - Closely held stock							
11	Securities - Partnership, LLC, or							
	trust interests							
12	Securities - Miscellaneous							
13	Qualified conservation contribution -							
	Historic structures							
14	Qualified conservation contribution - Other							
15	Real estate - Residential							
16	Real estate - Commercial							
17	Real estate - Other							
18	Collectibles							
19	Food inventory							
20	Drugs and medical supplies							
21	Taxidermy							
22	Historical artifacts							
23	Scientific specimens							
24	Archeological artifacts	37		17 (00			<del></del>	
25	Other $(21-PAGE ADVE)$	Х	5	17,600.	FAIR MARKET	VA	LUE	
26	Other ()							
27	Other ()							
28	Other ()							
29	Number of Forms 8283 received by the organiz							
	for which the organization completed Form 828	33, Part V, L	onee Acknowledg	jement 29			×	
00-				and a Dark I. Barry A.			Yes	No
30a	During the year, did the organization receive by							
	must hold for at least three years from the date					20-2		x
h	exempt purposes for the entire holding period? If "Yes," describe the arrangement in Part II.					30a		- 22
		Nolicy that m	auires the review	of any nonstandard contribu	itions?	24	х	
31	Does the organization have a gift acceptance p Does the organization hire or use third parties of					31	~7	
JZd			-			32a		x
h	If "Yes," describe in Part II.					JZd		
33	If the organization didn't report an amount in co	olumn (c) fo	r a type of propert	v for which column (a) is che	cked			
	describe in Part II.							

Schedule M (Form 990) 2020

032141 11-23-20

2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL

Part II Supplemental Information. Provide the information required by Part I, lines 30b, 32b, and 33, and whether the organization is reporting in Part I, column (b), the number of contributions, the number of items received, or a combination of both. Also complete this part for any additional information.

32142 11-23-20			Schedule M (Form 990) 2
60103 759263 PACIFICLEGA	39 2020 05010 Pac	ידדר ז.דכאז דריזיי	
UUIUU IJJZUJ FACIFICIEGA	I AUAU.UJUIU PAU	TLIC TROUT LOOL	

SCHEDULE O

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Name of the organization Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information. Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ. Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.

EZ
OMB No. 1545-0047
2020
Open to Public
Inspection
Employer identification number

94-2197343

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

FORM 990, PART I, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:

LIVE RESPONSIBLY AND PRODUCTIVELY IN THEIR PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS. PLF

COMBINES STRATEGIC AND PRINCIPLED LITIGATION, COMMUNICATIONS, AND

RESEARCH TO ACHIEVE LANDMARK COURT VICTORIES ENFORCING THE

CONSTITUTION'S GUARANTEE OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY.

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:

VICTORIES ENFORCING THE CONSTITUTION'S GUARANTEE OF INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY.

PART III, LINE 4A

LIST OF CASES LITIGATED DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2021.

PROPERTY RIGHTS: A SOCIETY CANNOT FLOURISH AND INDIVIDUALS CANNOT

ADVANCE THEIR PRIVATE INTERESTS WITHOUT INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS TO CREATE AND

PRODUCTIVELY USE PROPERTY. PLF LITIGATES TO SECURE THE RIGHT TO THE

PRODUCTIVE AND ORDINARY USE OF LAND; PREVENT GOVERNMENTS FROM TAKING

PROPERTY; FIGHT UNCONSTITUTIONAL OR UNLAWFUL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS;

PROMOTE BALANCE IN ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS; AND STOP UNREASONABLE SEARCHES

AND SEIZURES.

ADAMSKI V. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION. CHRIS ADAMSKI, A MONTEREY

COUNTY, CALIFORNIA CONTRACTOR, AND HIS LONGTIME MENTOR AND FRIEND MIKE

PIETRO BOUGHT FOUR PROPERTIES IN THE COUNTY, WITH PLANS TO DEVELOP TWO

HOUSES TO SELL, AND THEN BUILD ONE HOUSE FOR EACH OF THEM. THE

CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION (CCC) REVERSED THE PERMITS FOR THREE OF

THE LOTS BECAUSE ADAMSKI AND PIETRO COULDN'T PROVE WITH 100 PERCENT

 CERTAINTY THAT THEIR LAND CONTAINS NO ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES. THE CCC

 LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ.
 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

 032211 11-20-20
 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343
EFFECTIVELY BANNED BASEMENTS IN THE AREA AND ILLEGALLY EX	
OVERSIGHT OF LOCAL BUILDING REGULATIONS. BECAUSE THE COMM	
NEITHER THE JURISDICTION NOR THE RIGHT TO CREATE ARBITRAR	
LAWS THROUGH PERMITTING, PLF IS REPRESENTING ADAMSKI AND	
LAWSUIT AGAINST THE COMMISSION IN STATE COURT. BECAUSE TH	IE CASE IS
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
ANDREWS V. CITY OF MENTOR, OHIO. CHARLES ANDREWS OWNS 16	UNDEVELOPED
ACRES OF LAND IN THE CITY OF MENTOR, OHIO. HE SOUGHT A ZO	NING CHANGE TO
A HIGHER RESIDENTIAL DENSITY ZONE, WHICH WOULD ALLOW PROF	ITABLE
DEVELOPMENT OF THE LAND. THE CITY DENIED THE ZONING REQUE	ST, MAKING
ECONOMICALLY VIABLE USE OF THE LAND IMPRACTICAL. ANDREWS	SUED AND LOST
IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT. PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION C	F ANDREWS FOR
HIS APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE	SIXTH CIRCUIT,
TO ARGUE BOTH THAT HE HAS A CONSTITUTIONAL PROPERTY INTER	EST ENTITLING
HIM TO ALLEGE AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING AND VIOLATION OF	DUE PROCESS,
AND THAT HE SUFFICIENTLY DEMONSTRATED UNFAIR TREATMENT TH	IAT VIOLATES
THE CONSTITUTION'S EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE. BECAUSE LITIG	ATION IS
ONGOING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
BALLINGER V. CITY OF OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA. REPRESENTING OA	KLAND
HOMEOWNERS, PLF FILED A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING AN ORDINANCE	REQUIRING
RENTAL OWNERS TO MAKE CASH PAYMENTS TO TENANTS WHO MUST R	ELOCATE WHEN
THE OWNER WANTS TO OCCUPY THE PROPERTY. THIS IS AN UNCONS	
TAKING UNDER THE FIFTH AMENDMENT THAT AFFECTS ALL HOMEOWN	
CURRENTLY RENTING UNITS OR CONSIDERING DOING SO. THE COMP	
FILED IN FEDERAL COURT, THEN DISMISSED. PLF APPEALED TO T	
CIRCUIT. BRIEFING AND ORAL ARGUMENT ARE COMPLETE. BECAUSE	LITIGATION 15
ONGOING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	TO DIN \$226 50
BARNETTE V. HBI, LLC, ET AL. WHEN WALTER BARNETTE FAILED 032212 11-20-20 Scho 41	TO PAY \$986.50 edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020         Name of the organization         PACIFIC       LEGAL         FOUNDATION	Page Employer identification numbe 94-2197343
IN PROPERTY TAXES, SARPY COUNTY SOLD LIENS TO HIS PROPERT	Y TO A PRIVATE
INVESTOR, PONTIAN LAND HOLDINGS, LLC FOR \$1,180. WHEN BAR	NETTE FAILED
TO PAY HIS DEBT, NEBRASKA LAW GAVE PONTIAN ABSOLUTE TITLE	AND PAID
BARNETTE NOTHING. PONTIAN, SERVING AS AN AGENT OF THE COU	NTY, WAS
CHARGED UNDER NEBRASKA LAW WITH PROVIDING NOTICE TO BARNE	TTE PRIOR TO
TAKING TITLE. THE NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT HELD THAT ADDITI	ONAL NOTICE
WAS NOT REQUIRED, EVEN THOUGH THE COUNTY KNEW BARNETTE HA	D NOT RECEIVED
PRIOR ATTEMPTS AT NOTICE, BECAUSE BARNETTE'S LAND DID NOT	CONTAIN A
HOME AND THEREFORE WAS DEEMED INSIGNIFICANT. PLF FILED A	PETITION FOR
WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THE PETITION WAS DENIED AND THE CASE	IS CLOSED. PLF
DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
BENEDETTI V. COUNTY OF MARIN, CALIFORNIA. PLF REPRESENTS	A WILLIE
BENEDETTI IN A CHALLENGE TO MARIN COUNTY'S RECENTLY ADOPT	ED LAND USE
PLAN AMENDMENT, WHICH REQUIRES CURRENT AGRICULTURAL USES	OF LAND TO
REMAIN SUCH IN PERPETUITY. TRIAL LEVEL LITIGATION WAS STA	YED PENDING
ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY LAND USE PLAN. MR. B	ENEDETTI
PASSED AWAY AND PLF NOW REPRESENTS HIS SONS, EXECUTORS OF	HIS ESTATE,
TO PURSUE THE LITIGATION. ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE, PLF SU	BMITTED
COMMENTS TO THE COUNTY REGARDING PENDING LAND USE PLAN AM	ENDMENTS. THE
COASTAL COMMISSION ADOPTED FAVORABLE POLICY CHANGES AND B	OTH THE
COMMISSION AND THE COUNTY AGREED TO TOLL ANY STATUTES OF	LIMITATIONS
AND NOT TO ENFORCE THE CHALLENGED PROVISIONS. THE PARTIES	STIPULATED TO
DISMISS THE CASE. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
CALIFORNIA V. WHEELER/WATERKEEPER ALLIANCE V. WHEELER. PL	F REPRESENTS
MIKE AND CHANTELL SACKETT (SEE SACKETT V. U.S. ENVIRONMEN	TAL PROTECTION
AGENCY, BELOW) AS PROPOSED DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS IN LAWSU	ITS
CHALLENGING THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION'S NEW DEFINITION OF	WATERS OF THE
UNITED STATES. PLF WOULD ARGUE THAT A NATIONWIDE INJUNCTI	
032212 11-20-20 Sche 42 060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNI	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 202

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PREVENT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RULE. IN THE CALIFORNIA CAS DISTRICT COURT DENIED THE MOTION TO INTERVENE AND PLF AP	94-2197343 SE, THE
	•
	PEALED TO THE
NINTH CIRCUIT AND FILED BRIEFS. THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COU	RT GRANTED THE
SACKETTS' MOTION TO INTERVENE IN THE WATERKEEPER CASE ANI	D DENIED THE
MOTION IN THE CALIFORNIA CASE. PLF APPEALED THE DENIAL TO	O THE NINTH
CIRCUIT. THE CALIFORNIA CASE IS STAYED PENDING POTENTIAL	GOVERNMENT
ACTION. BECAUSE THESE CASES ARE PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREN	MATURE TO SEEK
FEES.	
CEDAR POINT NURSERY V. GOULD. REPRESENTING A CALIFORNIA N	NURSERY AND
PACKING COMPANY, PLF SUED TO CHALLENGE A STATE REGULATION	N ISSUED BY THE
AGRICULTURAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD THAT ALLOWS UNION ORGA	ANIZERS TO
ACCESS AN EMPLOYER'S PREMISES FOR THE PURPOSE OF SOLICIT:	ING EMPLOYEES
TO JOIN THE UNION. PLF ARGUES THAT THIS IS AN UNCONSTITU	FIONAL TAKING
AND FURTHER VIOLATES THE FOURTH AMENDMENT'S PROHIBITION (	ON UNREASONABLE
SEIZURES. AFTER A LOSS IN THE TRIAL COURT, PLF APPEALED	TO THE NINTH
CIRCUIT, FILED BRIEFS, AND CONDUCTED ORAL ARGUMENT. AFTER	R AN ADVERSE
DECISION, PLF FILED A PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC, WH	ICH WAS DENIED
WITH A DISSENT. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIOR	ARI, WHICH WAS
GRANTED. VICTORY! THE SUPREME COURT RULED 6-3 THAT THE AG	CCESS
REGULATION WAS A PHYSICAL TAKING. THE COURT REMANDED TO I	LOWER COURTS
FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS CONSISTENT WITH THE RULING. BECAU	JSE THE CASE IS
ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CHESAPEAKE BAY FOUNDATION, INC. V. WHEELER/SOUTH CAROLINA	A COASTAL
CONSERVATION LEAGUE V. REGAN. ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCACY GROU	JPS ARE SUING
THE EPA AND ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS IN MARYLAND AND SOUTH	H CAROLINA TO
INVALIDATE THE TRUMP ADMINISTRATION'S REGULATIONS REDEFIN	NING "NAVIGABLE
WATERS" UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT. PLF REPRESENTS CHANTE	LL AND MIKE
SACKETT AS PROPOSED DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS IN BOTH LAWSUI	IS TO DEFEND

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification numbe 94-2197343
THE PORTION OF THE NEW RULE THAT REMOVES THEIR IDAHO PROF	PERTY FROM
CLEAN WATER ACT REGULATION AND WOULD ALLOW THEM TO BUILD	THEIR HOME ON
THEIR RESIDENTIALLY-ZONED PROPERTY. DUE TO BIDEN ADMINIST	RATION ACTION,
THE MARYLAND CASE IS STAYED. PLF FILED A PROPOSED MOTION	FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT IN THE SOUTH CAROLINA CASE. BECAUSE THESE CASES	ARE ONGOING,
IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CHRISTENSEN V. CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL COUNCIL. LIKE MILLIONS	OF AMERICANS,
INDIVIDUAL LANDLORDS HAVE BEEN THROWN INTO FINANCIAL TURM	IOIL BY THE
PANDEMIC AND RESULTING LOCKDOWNS. NONETHELESS, THE CALIFO	RNIA JUDICIAL
COUNCIL ENACTED AN "EMERGENCY RULE" DECLARING THAT COURTS	WOULD NOT
CONSIDER EVICTION CASES FOR THE DURATION OF GOV. GAVIN NE	EWSOM'S
STATE-OF-EMERGENCY DECLARATION PLUS 90 DAYS. AS A PRACTIC	CAL MATTER,
THIS BANS EVICTIONS, FORCING LANDLORDS TO TURN AWAY CONSC	CIENTIOUS
INDIVIDUALS SEEKING HOUSING IN ORDER TO CONTINUE TO HOUSE	E TENANTS WHO
HARASS NEIGHBORS, CONDUCT CRIMES ON THE PREMISES, DAMAGE	THE PROPERTY,
AND REFUSE TO PAY RENT. REPRESENTING TWO RETIREES WHO LEA	SE THEIR
PROPERTIES AT MODEST RATES, PLF FILED A LAWSUIT CHALLENGI	NG CALIFORNIA
COURTS' REFUSAL TO HEAR EVICTION PROCEEDINGS. SUBSEQUENTL	Y, THE
JUDICIAL COUNCIL RESCINDED THE RULE, ADOPTING SOME OF PLF	'S SEPARATION
OF POWERS ARGUMENTS AS A REASON FOR THE RESCISSION. PLF D	ISMISSED THE
CASE AND DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
DONNELLY V. CITY OF SAN MARINO, CALIFORNIA. ACCESSORY DWE	LLING UNITS
(ADUS) ARE RECOGNIZED AS A VALUABLE AND ESSENTIAL COMPONE	INT OF
CALIFORNIA'S HOUSING SUPPLY. IN 2019, THE STATE LEGISLATU	IRE AMENDED A
LAW TO ENCOURAGE DEVELOPMENT OF NEW ADUS BY SETTING STAND	ARDS THAT
SEVERELY RESTRICT THE GROUNDS ON WHICH AN ADU PERMIT MAY	BE DENIED.
NOTWITHSTANDING THIS STATE LAW, THE CITY OF SAN MARINO EN	IACTED
RESTRICTIVE DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS THAT BAR ORDINARY ADU	
44	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 202

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343
REPRESENTING HOMEOWNER CORDELIA DONNELLY, PLF ASKED THE C.	ALIFORNIA
SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW DONNELLY'S CHALLENGE TO THE SAN MARINO LAW	
BECAUSE IT IS MORE RESTRICTIVE THAN ALLOWED BY STATE LAW	AND NOT
JUSTIFIED BY HEALTH, SAFETY, OR NUISANCE CONCERNS. THE PE	TITION WAS
DENIED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
PART III, LINE 4A	
DUPERE V. CITY OF DARTMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS. IN 1996, TINA	DUPERE MOVED
BACK INTO HER CHILDHOOD HOME IN DARTMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS,	TO LIVE WITH
HER MOTHER, MARY ANN. IN 2011, MARY ANN SUFFERED A PERMAN	ENTLY
DEBILITATING STROKE. TINA'S INCOME AS AN ADVOCATE AND CAR	ETAKER FOR
PEOPLE WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES WAS NO MATCH FOR H	ER MOM'S
COSTLY MEDICAL CARE, AND THEY FELL SHORT \$2,500 ON THEIR	2016 PROPERTY
TAXES. THE TOWN OF DARTMOUTH PLACED A TAX LIEN ON THE DUP	ERES' HOME
THEN SOLD ITS LIEN TO A PRIVATE COMPANY, TALLAGE DAVIS, L	LC FOR ROUGHLY
\$7,000. A YEAR LATER, TALLAGE FORECLOSED ON THE HOME, TAK	ING THE ENTIRE
VALUE OF THE \$330,000 HOME FOR ITSELF AND LEAVING THE DUP	ERES WITH
NOTHING. PLF ATTORNEYS REPRESENT THE DUPERES IN MASSACHUS	ETTS STATE
COURT ARGUING THAT A FORECLOSURE INITIATED TO COLLECT A D	ELINQUENT TAX
DEBT TRANSFERRED OVER \$300,000 OF PROPERTY EQUITY TO A PR	IVATE INVESTOR
IN VIOLATION OF THE STATE AND FEDERAL JUST COMPENSATION C	LAUSES. THE
PARTIES SETTLED AND THE DUPERES VOLUNTARILY DISMISSED THE	CASE. PLF DID
NOT RECOVER COSTS OR FEES.	
EL PAPEL V. CITY OF SEATTLE. PLF REPRESENTS SEVERAL SEATT	LE LANDLORDS
IN A FEDERAL LAWSUIT CHALLENGING STATE AND CITY RULES THA	T PROHIBIT
LANDLORDS FROM EVICTING TENANTS. THE RULES, ADOPTED IN RE	SPONSE TO THE
PANDEMIC, VIOLATE LANDLORDS' RIGHTS TO FREELY USE AND OCC	UPY THEIR
PROPERTY. GOVERNMENTS SHOULDN'T USE OVERLY BROAD EMERGENC 032212 11-20-20 Sche 45	Y ACTION TO edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 202
060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUND	DATION PACIFI21

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification nun 94-2197343
FORCE LANDLORDS-OR ANY BUSINESSES-TO HOUSE NON-PAYING OR	DISRUPTIVE
TENANTS AGAINST THEIR WILL. THERE ARE OTHER SOLUTIONS THAT	AT THE
GOVERNMENT CAN LEVERAGE, SUCH AS RENTAL ASSISTANCE, THAT	RESPECT THE
RIGHTS OF PROPERTY OWNERS WHILE RESPONDING TO THE NEEDS (	OF TENANTS. THE
PARTIES FILED CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. BECAUSI	E THIS CASE IS
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
FELTNER V. CUYAHOGA COUNTY BOARD OF REVISION. PLF FILED A	A PETITION FOR
WRIT OF CERTIORARI ON BEHALF OF ELLIOT FELTNER AGAINST CU	JYAHOGA COUNTY
BOARD OF REVISION, ET. AL. IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UN	NITED STATES.
FELTNER INHERITED AN AUTO BODY SHOP THAT INCLUDED DELINQU	JENT TAXES
EQUAL TO ABOUT ONE-THIRD OF THE PROPERTY. THE COUNTY LANI	D BANK WANTED
THE PROPERTY AND OHIO LAW PERMITS IT TO ACQUIRE THE PROPI	ERTY-INCLUDING
ALL EQUITY BEYOND THE AMOUNT OF THE TAX DEBT-WITHOUT ANY	OF THE DUE
PROCESS PROTECTIONS THAT NORMALLY APPLY. THE COUNTY TOOK	THE ENTIRE
WORTH OF THE PROPERTY AND GAVE IT TO THE LAND BANK FOR FI	REE, WHICH THEN
SOLD IT TO A NEIGHBORING BUSINESS FOR A SMALL FRACTION OF	7 THE
PROPERTY'S WORTH. THE COUNTY'S ACTION IN TAKING THE PROPI	ERTY AND
DEPRIVING FELTNER OF HIS SURPLUS EQUITY VIOLATE THE CONS	FITUTION. THE
PETITION WAS DENIED AND THIS CASE IS CLOSED. PLF DID NOT	SEEK OR
RECOVER FEES.	
FOSTER V. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. ARLEN AND CINDY	FOSTER ARE
THIRD-GENERATION FARMERS IN MINER COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA.	THEY HAVE A
LONG HISTORY OF RESPONSIBLE LAND CONSERVATION, INCLUDING	THE TREE LINE
ARLEN'S FATHER PLANTED TO PREVENT EROSION. IN THE WINTER	, DEEP SNOW
DRIFTS PILE IN THE TREE BELT AND COME SPRING, THE MELTING	G SNOW COLLECTS
IN A FARM FIELD. A FEDERAL AGENCY RULED THAT THE RESULTIN	NG MUD PUDDLE
IS A FEDERALLY PROTECTED WETLAND, A DETERMINATION THAT FO	DRCES THE
FOSTERS TO CHOOSE BETWEEN FARMING THEIR PROPERTY AND MAIN	TAINING
032212 11-20-20 Sch 46	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization	Page 2 Employer identification number
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION ELIGIBILITY FOR FEDERAL BENEFITS SUCH AS CROP INSURANCE.	94-2197343
THE FOSTERS IN FEDERAL COURT TO CHALLENGE THE NATURAL RES	
CONSERVATION SERVICE'S REFUSAL TO REVIEW WHETHER ONE OF T	
FARM FIELDS CONTAINS A FEDERALLY REGULATED WETLAND. PLF C	ONDUCTED
DISCOVERY AND MOVED FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. BECAUSE THIS CA	SE IS PENDING
IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
FRIENDS OF THE CRAZY MOUNTAINS V. ERICKSON. SEVERAL ACTIV	IST GROUPS
SUED THE FOREST SERVICE AND A PRIVATE LANDOWNER IN FEDERA	L COURT,
SEEKING TO CANCEL A VOLUNTARY AGREEMENT TO RESOLVE CONFLI	CT OVER PUBLIC
ACCESS TO THE CRAZY MOUNTAINS ACROSS PRIVATE PROPERTY. TH	ESE GROUPS
SEEK TO COMPEL THE FOREST SERVICE TO AGGRESSIVELY PURSUE	CLAIMS OF A
POSSIBLE EASEMENT ACROSS THE LANDOWNERS' PROPERTY, EVEN T	HOUGH THE
AGENCY HAS NEVER FORMALLY ESTABLISHED ITS EXISTENCE. PLF	REPRESENTS
PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS M HANGING LAZY 3, LLC AND HENRY G	UTH, INC. TO
DEFEND PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS BY ESTABLISHING, AMONG OTH	ER THINGS,
THAT THE PROCESS OF FORMALLY ESTABLISHING A PUBLIC EASEME	NT CANNOT BE
CIRCUMVENTED BY SUING AN AGENCY UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE	PROCEDURES ACT
AND THAT ESTABLISHMENT OF AN EASEMENT BY PRESCRIPTION IS	A TAKING
REQUIRING JUST COMPENSATION. THE PARTIES FILED CROSS-MOTI	ONS FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PRE	MATURE TO SEEK
FEES.	
GARRETT V. CITY OF NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA. PLF REPRESENTS	HOMEOWNERS IN
A FEDERAL TAKINGS/DUE PROCESS LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY OF	
WHICH DEMOLISHED THEIR PROPERTY WITHOUT NOTICE, HEARING,	
COMPENSATION. THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED THEIR	
GROUNDS THAT THE NOW-DEFUNCT WILLIAMSON COUNTY DOCTRINE R	
TO EXHAUST STATE REMEDIES BEFORE BRINGING A FEDERAL CASE.	
	WHICH edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020
47 060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNI	DATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343
REVERSED AND REMANDED FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS IN THE DIST	RICT COURT.
BECAUSE THE CASE REMAINS PENDING ON REMAND, PLF HAS NOT Y	ET SOUGHT OR
RECOVERED FEES.	
ITEN V. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. HOWARD ITEN IS A RETIRED A	UTO MECHANIC
WHO DEPENDS ON RENTAL INCOME FROM A SINGLE COMMERCIAL PRO	PERTY IN
LAWNDALE, CALIFORNIA. HIS CURRENT TENANT IS AN AUTO REPAI	R FRANCHISEE
WHO HAS REFUSED TO PAY MUCH OF HIS RENT DURING THE COVID-	19 PANDEMIC,
EVEN THOUGH HIS BUSINESS REMAINED OPEN THE ENTIRE TIME. H	E OWES ITEN
THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN BACK RENT BUT ITEN CANNOT EVICT H	IM UNDER LOS
ANGELES COUNTY'S COMMERCIAL EVICTION MORATORIUM. THE FRAN	CHISEE CAN
AVOID PAYING ANY CURRENT OR BACK-RENT UNTIL A FULL YEAR A	FTER THE
MORATORIUM EXPIRES AND NEED NEVER PAY INTEREST OR FEES. I	TEN MUST
ACCEPT THE FRANCHISEE'S WORD THAT HE IS SUFFERING A PANDE	MIC HARDSHIP.
THE MORATORIUM UNDERMINES THE LEASE CONTRACT WITHOUT ACCO	MPLISHING
ANYTHING TO CURB THE EMERGENCY THAT SUPPOSEDLY JUSTIFIED	ITS ENACTMENT.
PLF REPRESENTS ITEN IN A FEDERAL LAWSUIT TO ASSERT HIS RI	GHTS UNDER THE
FEDERAL CONSTITUTION'S CONTRACT CLAUSE. BECAUSE THIS CASE	IS ONGOING,
IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
LENT V. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION. PLF ATTORNEYS TOOK	OVER THIS
CASE ON APPEAL TO CHALLENGE A \$4.2 MILLION FINE IMPOSED B	Y THE
CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION FOR AN ALLEGED ACCESS VIOLA	TION. WHEN
GOVERNMENT DEMANDS THAT PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS PROVIDE P	UBLIC ACCESS
ACROSS AND ON THEIR LAND, THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT	THE GOVERNMENT
PAY FOR IT. PLF COMPLETED BRIEFING IN THE CALIFORNIA COUR	T OF APPEAL.
THE COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE RULING AND PLF FILED A PETITI	ON FOR
REHEARING. THE COURT MODIFIED ITS OPINION BUT DENIED REHE	ARING. PLF
FILED A PETITION FOR REVIEW IN THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COU	RT. BECAUSE
THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
032212 11-20-20 Scho 48	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification num 94-2197343
MUCCIACCIO V. TOWN OF EASTON AND TALLAGE LINCOLN, LLC. MA	RK AND NEIL
MUCCIACCIO TREASURE THEIR DEEP FAMILY ROOTS IN EASTON, MA	SSACHUSETTS.
IN FACT, THE BROTHERS STILL LIVE IN THEIR CHILDHOOD HOME	WITH MARK'S
WIFE, STEPDAUGHTER, AND TWO GRANDCHILDREN. A STREAK OF FI	NANCIAL
HARDSHIP AND FAMILY MEDICAL TROUBLES THAT BEGAN IN 2013 L	EFT THEM
STRUGGLING TO KEEP UP WITH THEIR PROPERTY TAX BILLS. IN 2	016, THE TOWN
OF EASTON TOOK THE MUCCIACCIOS' HOME-WORTH \$276,500-AND G	AVE IT TO
TALLAGE LINCOLN, LLC, A PRIVATE COMPANY THAT BOUGHT THE T.	AX LIEN FOR
ABOUT \$4,300. PLF REPRESENTS THE MUCCIACCIOS IN A LAWSUIT	FILED IN THE
MASSACHUSETTS SUPERIOR COURT TO CHALLENGE MASSACHUSETTS'	THEFT OF HOME
EQUITY. TALLAGE SUBSEQUENTLY AGREED TO ALLOW THE MUCCIACC	IOS TO PAY THE
DEBT AND RECOVER THEIR HOME AND THE CASE WAS DISMISSED. P	LF DID NOT
SEEK OR RECOVER ANY FEES.	
NEW MEXICO FARM AND LIVESTOCK BUREAU V. ZINKE. PLF CHALLE	NGED A
CRITICAL HABITAT DESIGNATION FOR JAGUAR IN NEW MEXICO ON	BEHALF OF
PROPERTY OWNERS, FARMERS, AND RANCHERS WHOSE PROPERTY WAS	ADVERSELY
AFFECTED BY THE DESIGNATION. THE DESIGNATION DID NOT COMP	LY WITH
GOVERNING STATUTES BECAUSE THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE THAT THE	JAGUAR LIVED
IN THE DESIGNATED AREAS AT THE TIME OF THE LISTING. IN 20	17, PLF
CONCLUDED BRIEFING ON A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN TH	E TRIAL COURT.
AFTER THE DISTRICT COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION, PLF	APPEALED. THE
CASE WAS STAYED PENDING THE SUPREME COURT DECISION IN WEY	ERHAEUSER V.
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. WHEN THE STAY WAS LIFTED,	PLF COMPLETED
BRIEFING AND ARGUED IN THE TENTH CIRCUIT, WHICH ISSUED A	FAVORABLE
DECISION AND REMANDED ON ONE ISSUE RELATED TO THE REMEDY.	PLF SETTLED
WITH THE GOVERNMENT FOR \$205,000 IN FEES AND \$600 COSTS R	ELATED TO WORK
DONE PRIOR TO THE TENTH CIRCUIT DECISION AND BRIEFING ON	REMAND. PLF
LATER PREVAILED ON REMAND, WITH THE COURT VACATING THE DE	SIGNATION. PLF
032212 11-20-20 Sche 49	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES FOR TIME SPENT ON REMAND.

## PART III, LINE 4A

NORTHERN NEW MEXICO STOCKMAN'S ASSOCIATION V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE. CHALLENGING THE FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE'S DESIGNATION OF CRITICAL HABITAT FOR THE NEW MEXICO MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE, IN VIOLATION OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT AND THE APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE OF THE CONSTITUTION. THE DESIGNATION IS ILLEGAL BECAUSE THE SERVICE FAILED TO PROPERLY CONSIDER THE ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF THE DESIGNATION PRIOR TO ADOPTING THE FINAL RULE. THE SERVICE ALSO FAILED TO EXCLUDE ANY AREAS FROM THE DESIGNATION BASED ON THE SUBSET OF ECONOMIC IMPACTS THAT IT DID CONSIDER. PLF FILED A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL COURT. THE TRIAL COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF THE GOVERNMENT AND PLF FILED A MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND THE JUDGMENT. WHEN THIS WAS DENIED, PLF APPEALED TO THE TENTH CIRCUIT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. PAKDEL V. CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO. A CITY ORDINANCE REQUIRES ANYONE WHO CONVERTS A TENANCY-IN-COMMON APARTMENT INTEREST INTO A CONDOMINIUM INTEREST TO GIVE ANY EXISTING NON-OWNING TENANT A RIGHT TO A LIFETIME LEASE. ON BEHALF OF APARTMENT OWNERS PEYMAN PAKDEL AND SIMA CHEGINI, PLF IS CHALLENGING THE LAW AS AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING AND A VIOLATION OF PRIVACY INTERESTS PROTECTED BY SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. BRIEFING WAS COMPLETED AND ARGUED. THE COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION AND PLF FILED A PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC, WHICH WAS DENIED BUT RECEIVED NINE VOTES IN DISSENT. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. VICTORY! THE SUPREME COURT GRANTED THE PETITION, REVERSED THE NINTH CIRCUIT, AND REMANDED THE CASE FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS ON THE MERITS, SPECIFICALLY DIRECTING THE LOWER COURTS TO REVIEW THE PAKDELS' CLAIMS 032212 11-20-20 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 50

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification num 94-2197343
UNDER THE DOCTRINE ESTABLISHED IN CEDAR POINT NURSERY V.	HASSID (SEE
ABOVE). BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING ON REMAND, IT IS PR	EMATURE TO SEEK
FEES.	
PAVLOCK V. INDIANA. THE PAVLOCK FAMILY HAS OWNED PROPERT	Y ALONG
INDIANA'S LAKE MICHIGAN SHORELINE FOR GENERATIONS. LAST	YEAR, A RULING
BY THE INDIANA SUPREME COURT REDEFINED STATE LAW TO MOVE	LAKEFRONT
OWNERS' PROPERTY LINES FROM THE WATER'S EDGE OR BELOW TO	
ORDINARY HIGH-WATER MARK, TURNING LARGE SWATHS OF PRIVAT	
PUBLIC PROPERTY WITHOUT COMPENSATION. THAT JUDICIAL DECI	
PROPERTY EVEN THOUGH THE PAVLOCKS WERE NOT PARTIES TO TH	
BECAUSE A COURT, LIKE THE REST OF THE GOVERNMENT, CANNOT	
PROPERTY WITHOUT PAYING FOR IT, THE PAVLOCKS ARE FIGHTIN	
REPRESENTING THE PAVLOCKS, PLF FILED A FEDERAL LAWSUIT F	
BEACHFRONT PROPERTY RIGHTS. THE TRIAL COURT GRANTED THE	
TO DISMISS AND PLF APPEALED TO THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT AND F	
OPENING BRIEF. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMA	
FEES.	
PEREZ V. WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN. IN 2012, ERICA PEREZ AN	ID HER FATHER
BOUGHT A PROPERTY CONTAINING A FOUR-UNIT APARTMENT HOME	
DILAPIDATED SINGLE-FAMILY HOME IN DETROIT FOR \$60,000. T	
YEARS FIXING UP THE PROPERTY FOR RENTERS, WITH PLANS TO	
THEMSELVES WHEN HER FATHER RETIRED. THOUGH THEY PAID PRO	
EACH YEAR, THEY UNKNOWINGLY UNDERPAID THEIR 2014 TAXES B	
2017, WAYNE COUNTY TACKED ON ANOTHER \$359 IN INTEREST, P	
FEES, FORECLOSED ON THEIR PROPERTY, SOLD IT FOR \$108,000	
CENT. PLF FILED A COMPLAINT FILED IN FEDERAL COURT CHALL	
SURPLUS FORFEITURE LAW AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL UNDER THE TAK	
EXCESSIVE FINES CLAUSES. AFTER THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COUR	
	chedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>	
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343	
DECISION IN RAFAELI V. OAKLAND COUNTY (SEE BELOW), PLF MO	VED FOR	
SUMMARY DISPOSITION. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS	PREMATURE TO	
SEEK FEES.		
PRESERVE RESPONSIBLE SHORELINE MANAGEMENT V. CITY OF BAIN	BRIDGE ISLAND,	
WASHINGTON. PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF A COALITION OF BAINBRIDGE		
ISLAND HOMEOWNERS TO CHALLENGE THE CITY'S SHORELINE REGUL	ATIONS AS A	
VIOLATION OF MULTIPLE STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL PROVIS	IONS. BECAUSE	
THE CASE PRESENTS MANY OF THE ISSUES TO BE HEARD IN OLYMP	IC STEWARDSHIP	
FOUNDATION V. DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY IN THE WASHINGTON COU	RT OF APPEALS,	
PLF SOUGHT AND RECEIVED A STAY OF THE LITIGATION. THE STA	Y WAS LIFTED	
IN 2017 AFTER THE COURT RULED AGAINST PROPERTY OWNERS IN	OLYMPIC	
STEWARDSHIP FOUNDATION AND LITIGATION IS ONGOING. PLF SUC	CESSFULLY	
MOVED TO MODIFY THE RULING, SUBMITTED BRIEFING AND ARGUED	THE CASE.	
AFTER AN ADVERSE DECISION, PLF FILED A PETITION FOR REVIE	W IN THE	
WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT, WHICH WAS DENIED. PLF THEN FILE	D A PETITION	
FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI. THE PETITION WAS DENIED AND THE CASE RETURNED		
TO THE TRIAL COURT FOR LITIGATION ON THE MERITS. BECAUSE	THIS CASE IS	
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.		
RAFAELI, LLC V. OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN. AFTER FILING AN	AMICUS BRIEF	
IN THE APPELLATE COURT, PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF R	AFAELI, LLC,	
AND ANDRE OHANESSIAN TO ASK THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT TO	REVIEW A	
LOWER COURT DECISION THAT PERMITS COUNTIES TO CONFISCATE	ENTIRE	
PROPERTIES TO SATISFY TAX DEBTS WITHOUT REFUNDING ANY OF THE SURPLUS		
PROCEEDS OF THE SALE TO THE FORMER OWNER. THIS CONFISCATION VIOLATES		
THE FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS THAT PROH	IBIT THE	
GOVERNMENT FROM TAKING PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR PUBLIC USE WI	THOUT JUST	
COMPENSATION. THE COURT UNANIMOUSLY RULED IN FAVOR OF RAF	AELI,	
ELIMINATING THE ABILITY OF THE STATE TO STEAL ITS CITIZEN		
032212 11-20-20 Sche 52	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343	
EQUITY. THE CASE WAS REMANDED AND LITIGATION CONTINUES AS		
ACTION IN TRIAL COURT, LED BY LOCAL COUNSEL. BECAUSE THIS		
PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.		
SACKETT V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY. AFTER WINNING	THE RIGHT FOR	
THE SACKETTS TO GO TO COURT TO CHALLENGE THE EPA'S ASSERT	ION OF	
JURISDICTION OVER ALLEGED WETLANDS ON THEIR PROPERTY IN T	HE U.S.	
SUPREME COURT, PLF CONTINUED IN 2017 TO REPRESENT THE SAC	KETTS ON	
REMAND. PLF FILED A MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT SEEKING A	RULING THAT	
THE SACKETTS' PROPERTY DOES NOT CONTAIN WETLANDS SUBJECT	TO REGULATION	
UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT. THE TRIAL COURT ISSUED AN ADVE	RSE DECISION	
AND PLF APPEALED ON BEHALF OF THE SACKETTS. THE EPA WITHD	REW ITS	
COMPLIANCE ORDER ON MARCH 13, 2020. IT REMAINS UNCLEAR WH	ETHER THE EPA	
STILL ASSERTS THAT SACKETTS' PROPERTY IS UNDER AGENCY'S J	URISDICTION.	
PLF ASKED THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS TO REVIEW TH	IS, FILED	
BRIEFS, AND CONDUCTED ORAL ARGUMENT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT		
IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.		
SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER V. STATE WATER RESOURCES BOAR	D. PLF IS	
DEFENDING THE GROUNDWATER RIGHTS OF ROBIN BERNHOFT AND OT	HER HOMEOWNERS	
IN OJAI, CALIFORNIA, AGAINST LITIGATION BY THE CITY OF VE	NTURA, 20	
MILES AWAY, TO TAKE OR CURTAIL THOSE RIGHTS WITHOUT DUE P	ROCESS OR JUST	
COMPENSATION. THE CASE IS IN PRELIMINARY STAGE WITH CITY OF VENTURA		
COMPLETING SERVICE ON SEVERAL THOUSAND LANDOWNERS IN THE WATERSHED. THE		
COURT WILL THEN HOLD AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON WHETHER THE GROUNDWATER		
BASINS IN THE VARIOUS PARTS OF THE WATERSHED ARE CONNECTED TO EACH		
OTHER. LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDIN	G, IT IS	
PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.		
SEIDER V. CITY OF MALIBU, CALIFORNIA. DENNIS AND LEAH SEI	DER WERE	
CONFRONTED BY TRESPASSERS CONSTANTLY TRAVERSING THEIR BEA		
032212 11-20-20 Sche 53	dule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	

53

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>	
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343	
PROPERTY ALONG THE CALIFORNIA COAST AND THEN REFUSING TO	LEAVE BECAUSE	
THE LAND IS NOT MARKED AS PRIVATE PROPERTY. WHEN THE SEID	ERS SOUGHT TO	
PUT UP A SIGN, THE CITY SAID IT WAS NOT PERMITTED. REPRES	ENTED BY PLF,	
THEY FILED A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL COURT AGAINST THE CITY	OF MALIBU,	
CHALLENGING THE RESTRICTION AGAINST SIGNS TO CLEARLY MARK	WHERE PUBLIC	
ACCESS ENDS AND PRIVATE PROPERTY BEGINS AT THEIR BEACHFRO	NT HOME.	
AMERICANS SHOULD NOT-AND DO NOT-NEED GOVERNMENT PERMISSION TO MARK THE		
BOUNDARIES OF THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY IN ORDER TO ENFORCE THEIR		
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO EXCLUDE TRESPASSERS. YET, MALIBU'S L	AND USE PLAN	
UNCONSTITUTIONALLY DEMANDS THAT COASTAL PROPERTY OWNERS LIKE THE		
SEIDERS FORFEIT BOTH THEIR FIRST AMENDMENT AND PROPERTY RIGHTS. THE		
COURT GRANTED THE CITY'S MOTION TO DISMISS. PLF APPEALED AND FILED THE		
OPENING BRIEF. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK		
FEES.		

PART III, LINE 4A

SHANDS V. CITY OF MARATHON, FLORIDA. THE CITY OF MARATHON IMPLEMENTED A SCHEME THAT ALLOWED THE CITY TO TAKE THE SHANDS FAMILY'S PROPERTY AND AVOID LIABILITY BY PROMISING CREDITS TOWARDS SOME POSSIBLE BUILDING PERMIT SOMEWHERE ELSE IN MONROE COUNTY AT SOME INDETERMINATE TIME IN THE FUTURE, PERHAPS TO BE ENJOYED BY SOME THIRD PARTY. REPRESENTING THE SHANDS FAMILY, PLF FILED A LAWSUIT IN FLORIDA STATE TRIAL COURT CHALLENGING THE CITY'S TOTAL TAKING OF THE FAMILY'S REAL PROPERTY IN THE FLORIDA KEYS WITHOUT THE PAYMENT OF JUST COMPENSATION. PLF SEEKS TO ESTABLISH A PRECEDENT HOLDING THAT "TRANSFERABLE DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS" DO NOT ALLOW A GOVERNMENT TO AVOID A FINDING OF A TAKING, AND, MOREOVER, THAT THEY ARE NOT JUST COMPENSATION BECAUSE "JUST COMPENSATION" EQUALS FINANCIAL COMPENSATION, NOT A CHIT TO BE TRADED FOR HARD-TO-DEFINE 032212 11-20-20 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 54 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification nu 94-2197343
VALUE. PLF REPRESENTED THE SHANDS FAMILY AT TRIAL. BECAUS	SE THIS CASE IS
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
SHEFFIELD V. BUSH. CHARLES SHEFFIELD IS A LONG-TIME TEXAN	N AND SURFER
WHO BOUGHT BEACHFRONT HOMES IN SURFSIDE BEACH AS A RETIRE	IMENT
INVESTMENT. MERRY PORTER IS A NATIVE TEXAN AND RESIDENT (	OF SURFSIDE
BEACH WHO OWNS AND USES A SMALL BEACHFRONT HOME FOR RENTA	AL INCOME. IN
MARCH 2021, WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE OR COMPENSATION, THE TEX	KAS GENERAL
LAND OFFICE MOVED THE PUBLIC BEACH BOUNDARY AT SURFSIDE F	BEACH TO 200
FEET INLAND OF THE LOW TIDE. THIS EXPANSION OF THE BEACH	CONVERTS
CHARLES' AND MERRY'S RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES INTO PUBLIC P	PROPERTY,
TAKING AWAY THEIR PRIVACY RIGHTS AND ABILITY TO USE AND F	REPAIR THEIR
PROPERTIES. GOVERNMENT CANNOT TURN PRIVATE LAND INTO A PU	JBLIC PARK
WITHOUT JUST COMPENSATION OR DUE PROCESS, PLF REPRESENTS	CHARLES AND
MERRY IN A FEDERAL LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THE TEXAS GLO ORDE	ER THAT
CONVERTS THEIR PRIVATE BEACHFRONT PROPERTY INTO PUBLIC PR	ROPERTY.
BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEE	ES.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA V. BERNHARDT/CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DI	IVERSITY V.
BERNHARDT/ANIMAL LEGAL DEFENSE FUND V. BERNHARDT. IN 2019	), THE
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR CHANGED THE WAY THAT IT APPLIES TH	HE ENDANGERED
SPECIES ACT BY RESCINDING AN ILLEGAL RULE. THE CHANGES OF	FERED
ADDITIONAL PROTECTIONS FOR PROPERTY OWNERS AND INCENTIVIZ	ZED PROPERTY
OWNERS TO ASSIST IN THE RECOVERY OF SPECIES BY LOOSENING	RESTRICTIONS
ON THE WAYS THAT THEY CAN PRODUCTIVELY USE THEIR PROPERTY	. SEVENTEEN
STATES AND ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS PROMPTLY SUED TO OVERTURN	N THE CHANGES.
REPRESENTING RANCHER KEN KLEMM, HIS COMPANY BEAVER CREEK	BUFFALO CO.,
AND THE WASHINGTON CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATION, PLF SUCCESSFU	JLLY INTERVENED
IN THE LAWSUITS TO MAINTAIN THESE PROTECTIONS FOR PROPERT	TY OWNERS. IN
THE CBD AND ADLF CASES, THE COURT GRANTED THE DEFENDANTS	
Sch 55 )60103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUN	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification nun 94-2197343
DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND. IN STATE OF CALIFORNIA CASE,	COURT DENIED
THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS. THE CASES ARE STAYED W	HILE THE
AGENCIES ENGAGE IN FURTHER RULEMAKING. BECAUSE THESE CASE	S ARE PENDING,
IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
STATE OF COLORADO V. U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	• REPRESENTING
PLF CLIENTS MIKE AND CHANTELL SACKETT (SEE SACKETT V. ENV	IRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY, ABOVE), PLF INTERVENED IN A LAWSUIT BR	OUGHT BY THE
STATE OF COLORADO TO CHALLENGE EPA'S NAVIGABLE WATERS RUL	E. THE
SACKETTS SEEK TO INTERVENE TO DEFEND THE PORTION OF THE R	ULE THAT
DEFINES "ADJACENT WETLANDS." UNDER THE CHALLENGED RULE'S	DEFINITION OF
"ADJACENT WETLANDS," THE SACKETTS' IDAHO PROPERTY IS EXCL	UDED FROM
AGENCY AUTHORITY UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT. ITS LACK OF S	URFACE WATER
CONNECTION TO ANY OTHER JURISDICTIONAL WATER AND ITS SEPA	RATION FROM
THE CLOSEST SURFACE WATER BY AN IMPERMEABLE ARTIFICIAL BA	RRIER ARE
FEATURES WHICH PRECLUDE CLEAN WATER ACT JURISDICTION UNDE	R THE NEW
RULE. THIS EXCLUSION AFFECTS LANDOWNERS ACROSS THE UNITED	STATES. THE
TENTH CIRCUIT RULED IN FAVOR OF THE LANDOWNERS BUT DID NO	T REACH THE
STATUTORY OR CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES. BRIEFING CONTINUES IN	THE DISTRICT
COURT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO S	EEK FEES.
STATE OF HAWAII V. WILLIAMS. DON WILLIAMS IS AN ELDERLY S	INGLE FATHER,
RAISING A SON, SEBASTIAN. HE PURCHASED PROPERTY IN MAUI I	N 1994 AND
THEN RENTED IT TO THE STATE. THE RENTAL INCOME FROM THE P	ROPERTY WAS
INTENDED TO PROVIDE FOR SEBASTIAN'S FUTURE, BUT THE HAWAI	I'S HARBORS
DIVISION EXERCISED ITS EMINENT DOMAIN POWER TO TAKE THE P	ROPERTY THAT
THE STATE WAS ALREADY LEASING. THEN THE STATE IMPROPERLY	USED THE
"UNDIVIDED FEE" RULE WHEN IT APPRAISED WILLIAM'S PROPERTY	AT \$2.67
MILLION AND EXCLUDED INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPERTY'S INC	OME-GENERATING
POTENTIAL. AS THE RESULT OF TWO TRIAL COURT RULINGS, WILL	
032212 11-20-20 Sche 56	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
THE STATE MORE THAN \$1 MILLION FOR THE TAKING OF HIS OWN	PROPERTY. PLF
FILED A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE TO REPRESENT DON WILLIAMS IN	THE HAWAII
COURT OF APPEALS. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PRE	EMATURE TO SEEK
FEES.	
STAVRIANOUDAKIS V. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILL	DLIFE. PLF
REPRESENTS FALCONERS AND A FALCONRY CONSERVANCY ORGANIZAT	LION TO
CHALLENGE STATE AND FEDERAL RULES REQUIRING WARRANTLESS I	INSPECTION OF
THEIR HOMES (A FOURTH AMENDMENT VIOLATION) AND PROHIBITIN	IG PHOTOGRAPHY
OR FILMING OF FALCONS FOR COMMERCIAL PURPOSES (A FIRST AM	IENDMENT
VIOLATION). THE LAWSUIT ALSO CHALLENGES THE PROMULGATION	OF THESE RULES
BY A SUB-LEVEL BUREAUCRAT AS A VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUT	ION'S
APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE. PLF FILED A COMPLAINT AND A MOTION F	FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT AND LITIGATION IS ON	IGOING. THE
STATE FILED MOTIONS TO DISMISS. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PEND	DING, IT WOULD
BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
TALLAGE LINCOLN, LLC V. FRANCIS P. GARDZINA, JR., ET AL.	THE CITY OF
NORTH ADAMS PLACED A LIEN ON TRACY AND FRANCIS GARDZINA'S	5
TAX-DELINQUENT HOME WORTH OVER \$100,000. WHEN IT DID NOT	RECEIVE
PAYMENT ON THE TAX DEBT, IT SOLD THE LIEN TO A PRIVATE IN	IVESTOR ,
TALLAGE LINCOLN, LLC, FOR \$15,000. THE PRIVATE INVESTOR F	FORECLOSED ON
THE LIEN TAKING ABSOLUTE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY, CAPTURING	GOVER \$85,000
IN EQUITY AND EXTINGUISHING A VALUABLE MORTGAGE INTEREST	HELD BY A
SMALL, NON-PROFIT CORPORATION. REPRESENTING THE GARDZINAS	G, PLF SUED TO
VACATE THE FORECLOSURE JUDGMENT ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE M	IASSACHUSETTS
TAX FORECLOSURE STATUTE VIOLATES THE TAKINGS CLAUSES OF T	THE U.S. AND
MASSACHUSETTS CONSTITUTION. THE CASE SETTLED AND IS NOW C	CLOSED. PLF DID
NOT SEEK OR RECOVER ATTORNEYS' FEES.	
UNITED STATES V. LAPANT. PLF JOINED THIS LITIGATION ALONG	SIDE LAPANT'S

032212 11-20-20

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343
PRIVATE COUNSEL. JACK LAPANT IS AN ELDERLY PROPERTY OWNER	AND FARMER
TARGETED BY A CLEAN WATER ACT ENFORCEMENT SUIT FOR ALLEGE	DLY ILLEGAL
PLOWING. PLF ARGUES THAT PLOWING FARMLAND TO GROW WHEAT,	USING NORMAL
FARMING PRACTICES, WITHOUT AN ARMY CORPS WETLAND PERMIT D	OOES NOT
VIOLATE THE CLEAN WATER ACT IF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATE	S ARE PLOWED,
AND, EVEN IF IT IS A TECHNICAL VIOLATION, SHOULD NOT INCU	IR ANY
SIGNIFICANT PENALTY. THE PARTIES FILED A NOTICE OF LODGIN	IG PROPOSED
CONSENT DECREE AND SETTLEMENT, THE CONDITIONS OF WHICH AR	RE BEING
FULFILLED. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE	TO SEEK FEES.
UNITED STATES V. ROBERTSON. PLF TOOK OVER THIS CASE FOR T	HE PURPOSE OF
FILING A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPR	REME COURT. THE
MATTER INVOLVES THE DEFINITION OF "WATERS OF THE UNITED S	TATES" AND THE
EXTENT TO WHICH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN ASSERT JURISDI	CTION TO
REGULATE PRIVATE LAND. MR. ROBERTSON WAS PROSECUTED FOR W	ORKING HIS
LAND WITHOUT OBTAINING A FEDERAL PERMIT UNDER THE CLEAN W	NATER ACT. PLF
FILED THE PETITION IN NOVEMBER, 2018. MR. ROBERTSON PASSE	D AWAY IN
MARCH, 2019, AND HIS WIDOW SUBSTITUTED AS PETITIONER. THE	NEXT MONTH,
THE COURT GRANTED THE PETITION, VACATED THE NINTH CIRCUIT	DECISION, AND
REMANDED THE CASE FOR CONSIDERATION OF WHETHER IT IS MOOT	. THE NINTH
CIRCUIT ISSUED A FAVORABLE DECISION, VACATING THE CONVICT	ION AND
RESTITUTION ORDER. LITIGATION ON COLLATERAL MATTERS CONCL	UDED IN FAVOR
OF THE GOVERNMENT. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
WALL V. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION. IN 2018, THE WALL	FAMILY WANTED
TO BUILD A SWIMMING POOL NEXT TO THEIR HOME ON THEIR PROP	PERTY IN
HOLLISTER RANCH, CALIFORNIA. LIKE ALL LANDOWNERS WITHIN T	HE
14,500-ACRE, CENTURY-OLD WORKING CATTLE RANCH, THE WALLS	NEEDED A
PERMIT. SANTA BARBARA COUNTY APPROVED THE PROJECT; HOWEVE	R, THE
CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION DENIED THE PERMIT. THE COMM	
032212 11-20-20 58 2060103 759263 PACTETCLEGAL 2020 05010 PACTETC LEGAL FOUNT	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number $94 - 2197343$
THE CONSTRUCTION WOULD VIOLATE THE COASTAL ACT'S PUBLIC A	CCESS RULES,
EVEN THOUGH THE WALLS' PROPERTY IS NEARLY A MILE FROM THE	SHORELINE AND
NO ONE HAS EVER USED THEIR PROPERTY TO GET TO THE COAST.	PLF FILED A
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE AND COMPLAINT IN SANTA BARBA	RA SUPERIOR
COURT. RULING ON A MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS,	THE COURT HELD
THAT APPROVAL OF THE WALLS' PERMIT SHOULD BE CONDITIONED	ON AN IN LIEU
PUBLIC ACCESS FEE. BECAUSE NO FEE IS CONSTITUTIONALLY PER	MISSIBLE, PLF
APPEALED. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMA	TURE TO SEEK
FEES.	

PART III, LINE 4A

WAYSIDE CHURCH V. COUNTY OF VAN BUREN. IN MICHIGAN, WHEN LANDOWNERS FAIL TO PAY THEIR PROPERTY TAXES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TAKE THE PROPERTY, SELL IT, AND KEEP ALL THE PROFITS-NO MATTER HOW SMALL THE DEBT OR HOW VALUABLE THE PROPERTY. AS A RESULT, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS PROFIT HANDSOMELY OVER THE MISFORTUNE OF THEIR RESIDENTS. FOR EXAMPLE, A FEW YEARS AGO, WAYSIDE CHURCH LOST A PIECE OF LAND WORTH A LITTLE OVER \$200,000. EVEN AFTER DEDUCTING OUTSTANDING TAX DEBTS, INTEREST, PENALTIES, AND FEES, VAN BUREN COUNTY MADE \$189,250 IN PROFIT BY FORECLOSING AND AUCTIONING THE PROPERTY. PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF WAYSIDE CHURCH AND OTHERS WHO HAVE LOST THEIR HOMES AND EQUITY TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE COURT DENIED THE PETITION. PLF SUCCESSFULLY MOVED TO REOPEN THE CASE IN THE TRIAL COURT AND FILED AN AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT. AFTER THE MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT'S FAVORABLE DECISION IN RAPHAELI (SEE ABOVE), THE CASE RETURNED TO THE TRIAL COURT, WHERE IT IS BEING LITIGATED BY LOCAL COUNSEL AS A CLASS ACTION. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES. 032212 11-20-20 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

59

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification num 94-2197343
WILKINS V. UNITED STATES. PLF REPRESENTS MONTANA RESIDENT	'S LARRY
WILKINS AND JANE STANTON, BOTH OF WHOM OWN PROPERTY ADJAC	ENT TO THE
BITTERROOT NATIONAL FOREST. THE GOVERNMENT INVADED THEIR	PROPERTY
INTERESTS BY ADVERTISING A PUBLIC ACCESS ROAD ACROSS THEI	R LAND,
RESULTING IN TRESPASSING, ILLEGAL HUNTING, AND OTHER INJU	IRIES. THEY
SUED IN A QUIET TITLE ACTION TO DETERMINE THE SCOPE OF AN	I EASEMENT HELD
BY THE UNITED STATES OVER THEIR PRIVATE LAND. THIS IS A S	SIGNIFICANT
ISSUE FOR ALL PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS WHOSE PROPERTY ABUT	S FEDERAL
LAND. REJECTING FAVORABLE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS BY	A MAGISTRATE,
THE TRIAL COURT DISMISSED THE CASE ON STATUTE OF LIMITATI	ONS GROUNDS.
THE COURT DENIED PLF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND THE JUDGM	IENT BUT ALSO
CLARIFIED ITS RULING FOR APPEAL. PLF APPEALED TO THE NINT	H CIRCUIT
COURT OF APPEALS. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD	BE PREMATURE
TO SEEK FEES.	
YAWN V. DORCHESTER COUNTY. PLF REPRESENTS MITCH RANDALL Y	AWN AND
JUANITA MAE STANLEY, WHO OWN THE FLOWERTOWN BEE FARM AND	SUPPLIES IN
DORCHESTER COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. IN 2016, THE COUNTY CO	NDUCTED AERIAL
SPRAYING OF PESTICIDES WHICH KILLED MILLIONS OF YAWN'S BE	ES. HE SUED
ALLEGING THE DESTRUCTION WAS A TAKING REQUIRING COMPENSAT	ION. THE
DISTRICT COURT REJECTED THAT CLAIM ON THE GROUNDS THAT TH	IE GOVERNMENT
IS NOT LIABLE UNDER THE TAKINGS CLAUSE FOR DESTRUCTIVE AC	TIONS TAKEN IN
PURSUIT OF PUBLIC HEALTH. PLF TOOK OVER THE APPEAL ON BEH	IALF OF YAWN
AND STANLEY TO ESTABLISH THE PRINCIPLE THAT WHILE GOVERNM	IENT CAN
CERTAINLY PROTECT PUBLIC HEALTH, WHEN IT DESTROYS NON-HAF	RMFUL
COMMODITIES IN THE PROCESS IT MUST PAY FOR THEM. PLF FILE	D BRIEFS AND
PRESENTED ORAL ARGUMENT IN THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF AF	PPEALS, WHICH
ISSUED A MIXED DECISION. THE CASE RETURNED TO STATE COURT	FOR FURTHER
PROCEEDINGS BY LOCAL COUNSEL. PLF'S ROLE IS CONCLUDED. PI	F DID NOT SEEK
032212 11-20-20 Sch	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
OR RECOVER FEES.	
YIM V. CITY OF SEATTLE. PLF REPRESENTS OWNERS OF SEVERAL	SMALL RENTAL
PROPERTIES TO CHALLENGE THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF SEATTLE'	S "FAIR CHANCE
HOUSING ORDINANCE, " WHICH RESTRICTS A RESIDENTIAL LANDLOR	D FROM
CONSIDERING A TENANT APPLICANT'S CRIMINAL HISTORY WHEN DE	CIDING TO WHOM
HE OR SHE WILL RENT THE PROPERTY. PLF FILED THE COMPLAINT	IN WASHINGTON
STATE COURT AND SEATTLE REMOVED IT TO FEDERAL COURT. THE	PARTIES FILED
CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. WHILE THESE WERE PEND	ING, SEATTLE
SUCCESSFULLY MOVED TO CERTIFY THE QUESTION OF WHAT STANDA	RD OF REVIEW
IS APPROPRIATE TO THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT AND THE FE	DERAL
LITIGATION WAS SUBSEQUENTLY STAYED. PLF MOVED TO LIFT THE	STAY
REGARDING THE FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIM THAT IS NOT INCLUDED	IN THE
CERTIFICATION TO THE WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT. THE COURT	ISSUED AN
ADVERSE DECISION AND THE CASE CONTINUES ON REMAND IN FEDE	RAL COURT. PLF
SUBMITTED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING ON DUE PROCESS ISSUES. BE	CAUSE THE CASE
IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
ZITO V. NORTH CAROLINA COASTAL RESOURCE COMMISSION. WHEN	MICHAEL AND
CATHY ZITO'S BEACH HOME BURNED TO THE GROUND, THEY WANTED	TO REBUILD IT
ON THE SAME FOOTPRINT AS THE OLD ONE. THE TOWN AND STATE	REFUSED
PERMISSION BECAUSE THE HOME WOULD BE TOO CLOSE TO THE OCE	AN TO MEET
MORE RECENT SETBACK REQUIREMENTS, EVEN THOUGH THEIR STREE	T IS LINED
WITH NEIGHBORING HOMES JUST AS CLOSE TO THE WATER. CURREN	TLY, THE ZITOS
CAN ONLY USE THEIR PROPERTY FOR TENT CAMPING, WHILE THE T	OWN ENJOYS
THEIR LOT AS PUBLIC BEACHFRONT OPEN SPACE. PLF SUED IN FE	DERAL COURT,
ARGUING THAT THIS VIOLATES THE FIFTH AMENDMENT AND THE NO	RTH CAROLINA
CONSTITUTION'S PROHIBITIONS ON GOVERNMENT TAKINGS OF PRIV	ATE PROPERTY
WITHOUT JUST COMPENSATION. THE CASE WAS DISMISSED ON SOVE	REIGN IMMUNITY
GROUNDS. PLF BRIEFED AN APPEAL TO THE FOURTH CIRCUIT AND 032212 11-20-20 School 61	PRESENTED ORAL edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020
060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNI	DATION PACIFI21

Page 2

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization	Page : Employer identification number
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343
ARGUMENT. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMA	TURE TO SEEK
FEES.	
SEPARATION OF POWERS: THE CONSTITUTION'S VERY STRUCTURE W	AS DESIGNED TO
PROTECT LIBERTY. IT IS A CHARTER OF ENUMERATED POWERS, LI	MITING THE
SCOPE OF FEDERAL AUTHORITY AND ESTABLISHING A SEPARATION	OF
LEGISLATIVE, EXECUTIVE, AND JUDICIAL POWERS. OTHER KEY PR	OVISIONS SUCH
AS THE NECESSARY AND PROPER CLAUSE, THE COMMERCE CLAUSE,	AND EXPRESS
GUARANTEES OF DUE PROCESS ARE MEANT TO ENSURE THAT THOSE	WHO GOVERN US
DO NOT EXCEED THEIR CONSTITUTIONALLY LIMITED AUTHORITY WH	EN ENACTING
AND ENFORCING THE LAW. PLF FIGHTS TO END THE MODERN ADMIN	ISTRATIVE
STATE, INCLUDING LIMITING JUDICIAL DEFERENCE TO LEGISLATI	VE AND
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGMENTS; RESTORE SEPARATION OF POWERS AG	AINST IMPROPER
DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO BUREAUCRATS AND ACCOUNTABILITY	WHEN THOSE
BUREAUCRATS EXCEED THEIR AUTHORITY; DEFINING THE LIMITED	SCOPE OF
FEDERAL POWER UNDER THE COMMERCE CLAUSE; REVIVING THE DOC	TRINE OF
ENUMERATED POWERS; AND ENSURING DUE PROCESS OF LAW.	
BIKEYAH V. TRUMP. REPRESENTING LANDOWNERS, HUNTERS, OUTDO	OR SPORTSMEN,
AND RANCHERS, PLF ATTORNEYS SUCCESSFULLY MOVED TO INTERVE	NE IN THIS
CASE BROUGHT BY ENVIRONMENTALISTS TO CHALLENGE THE PRESID	ENT'S
AUTHORITY TO RESCIND OR REDUCE PREVIOUSLY DESIGNATED NATI	ONAL MONUMENTS
AND FILED BRIEFS IN THE CASE. LITIGATION IS ONGOING. BECA	USE THIS CASE
IS PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CHAMBLESS ENTERPRISES, LLC V. CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL	. IN
SEPTEMBER, 2020, THE CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVE	NTION (CDC)
ADOPTED AN ORDER THAT PROHIBITS CERTAIN EVICTIONS FOR NON	-PAYMENT OF
RENT. HOWEVER, IN ITS HASTE TO ENACT AND ENFORCE A NATION	AL EVICTION
BAN, THE CDC OVERSTEPPED ITS LAWFUL AUTHORITY BY EXERCISI	NG LEGISLATIVE
POWER RESERVED TO CONGRESS, AND IT DID SO AT THE EXPENSE	
032212 11-20-20 Sche 62 060103 759263 PACTETCI.EGAL 2020.05010 PACTETC LEGAL FOINT	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 202

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
LANDLORDS WHO DEPEND ON RENTAL INCOME TO MAKE ENDS MEET.	PLF REPRESENTS
THE APARTMENT ASSOCIATION OF LOUISIANA AND CHAMBLESS ENTE	RPRISES, WHICH
OWNS AND MANAGES 725 RENTAL UNITS, INCLUDING 14 APARTMENT	COMPLEXES AND
SEVERAL SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES, IN THE CITIES OF MONROE, WES	T MONROE,
LAKESHORE AND CALHOUN, LOUISIANA. AFTER THE TRIAL COURT D	ENIED THE
REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, PLF APPEALED ON BEHAL	F OF THE
PROPERTY OWNERS AND FILED BRIEFS IN THE FIFTH CIRCUIT COU	NRT OF APPEALS.
IN PARALLEL LITIGATION, PLF OPPOSED THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTI	ON TO STAY AND
APPEALED THE TRIAL COURT'S GRANT OF THAT MOTION. BECAUSE	THIS CASE IS
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CLEMENTINE CO. V. CUOMO. PLF TOOK OVER REPRESENTATION OF	A GROUP OF
SMALL-VENUE LIVE-PERFORMANCE THEATERS (WITH FEWER THAN 20	0 SEATS EACH)
IN NEW YORK CITY THAT SUED IN FEDERAL COURT TO CHALLENGE	UNEQUAL
CAPACITY RESTRICTIONS IMPOSED BY GOVERNOR ANDREW CUOMO'S	EXECUTIVE
ORDERS. PLF WILL ARGUE THAT EXECUTIVE ORDERS THAT FORBID	THEATERS FROM
OPENING AT THE SAME CAPACITY AS OTHER VENUES THAT OFFER L	IVE
PERFORMANCES-INCLUDING RESTAURANTS, CATERINGS HALLS, GYMS	, CASINOS,
SHOPPING MALLS, AND CHURCHES-VIOLATE THE FIRST AND FOURTE	ENTH
AMENDMENTS. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE	TO SEEK FEES.
CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION V. EPA. SEVERAL ENVIRONMENTAL	GROUPS ARE
SUING EPA AND THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS TO INVALIDATE T	HE TRUMP
ADMINISTRATION'S REGULATIONS REDEFINING "NAVIGABLE WATERS	" UNDER THE
CLEAN WATER ACT. PLF REPRESENTS MIKE AND CHANTELL SACKETT	' AS
DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS TO DEFEND THE PORTION OF THE NEW RU	LE THAT
REMOVES THEIR IDAHO PROPERTY FROM CLEAN WATER ACT REGULAT	ION. THE
PARTIES FILED CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. BECAUSE	THIS CASE IS
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	

032212 11-20-20

63

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

PART III, LINE 4A

CONSERVATION LAW FOUNDATION, ET AL., V. BIDEN. PLF REPRESENTS A

COALITION OF FISHING TRADE ASSOCIATIONS AS PROPOSED

DEFENDANT-INTERVENORS TO DEFEND THE PRESIDENT'S PROCLAMATION LIFTING

FISHING RESTRICTIONS WITHIN A NATIONAL MONUMENT. THEY ARE COUNTERING

THE INTERESTS OF WHALE-WATCHING GROUPS THAT SEEK TO ELIMINATE ALL

COMMERCIAL FISHING WITHIN A 5000 SQUARE MILE AREA OF OCEAN THAT

COMMERCIAL FISHERIES HAVE HISTORICALLY RELIED UPON. IF THE PLAINTIFFS

SUCCEED, IT WILL HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL NEGATIVE EFFECT ON LOCAL ECONOMIES

THAT RELY UPON THE FISHERIES. THE TRADE ASSOCIATIONS' MOTION TO

INTERVENE IS PENDING. THE CASE IS STAYED PENDING GOVERNMENT ACTION. AS

LITIGATION IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.

GHOST GOLF V. NEWSOM. AT GHOST GOLF IN FRESNO, THE WEEKS LEADING UP TO

HALLOWEEN MARK THE PEAK SEASON FOR THE HAUNTED HOUSE-THEMED MINIATURE

GOLF CENTER, EARNING ENOUGH MONEY FOR OWNER DARYN COLEMAN AND HIS

FAMILY TO WEATHER THE SPRINGTIME SLOWDOWN. THIS YEAR, HOWEVER, GHOST

GOLF HAS BEEN CLOSED SINCE MARCH, HAUNTED BY GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM'S

COVID-RELATED BUSINESS SHUTDOWN ORDERS. THE COLEMAN FAMILY, IN TURN,

HAS GONE MORE THAN SIX MONTHS WITH NO INCOME AND LOSE TENS OF THOUSANDS

OF DOLLARS A MONTH IN REVENUE WHILE FACING RENTAL OBLIGATIONS AND OTHER

BUSINESS EXPENSES. WORSE, NEWSOM IMPLEMENTED HIS COMPLEX, ARBITRARY

COLOR-CODED SCHEME WITH NEITHER LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY NOR AN EXPIRATION

DATE. WITH THEIR LIVELIHOODS-AND LIFE SAVINGS-ON THE LINE, PLF

REPRESENTS DARYN AND ANOTHER CALIFORNIA SMALL BUSINESS OWNER IN A

LAWSUIT FILED IN CALIFORNIA STATE COURT. THE COURT DISMISSED THE CASE

AND PLF APPEALED. AS LITIGATION IS ONGOING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK

FEES.

GOODWOOD BREWING COMPANY, LLC V. BESHEAR. SINCE THE PANDEMIC BEGAN, 032212 11-20-20 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 64

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page 2
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
KENTUCKY GOVERNOR ANDY BESHEAR USED HIS EMERGENCY POWERS	ТО
UNILATERALLY ENACT COVID-19-RELATED POLICIES. IN FEBRUARY	2021, THE
LEGISLATURE PASSED THREE BILLS TO LIMIT THE GOVERNOR'S US	E OF
PANDEMIC-RELATED EMERGENCY ORDERS. GOV. BESHEAR IMMEDIATE	LY FILED SUIT,
CLAIMING THESE NEW LAWS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY INTERFERE WITH	HIS BROAD
EMERGENCY AUTHORITY. WHILE THE GOVERNOR ATTEMPTS TO IGNOR	E THE
CONSTITUTIONAL SEPARATION OF POWERS, LOCAL BUSINESSOWNERS	ARE PAYING
THE PRICE, STRUGGLING TO KEEP UP WITH THE EVER-CHANGING R	ESTRICTIONS
IMPACTING THEIR FINANCIAL LIVELIHOODS. REPRESENTING GOODW	OOD BREWING
COMPANY AND OTHER BREWERIES AND RESTAURANTS, PLF FILED A	LAWSUIT IN
STATE COURT CHALLENGING THE GOVERNOR'S ENFORCEMENT OF COV	ID-RELATED
ORDERS WHICH UNDER THE NEW LEGISLATION HAVE EXPIRED. EVEN	I DURING A
PANDEMIC, EACH BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT MUST ADHERE TO THE CO	NSTITUTIONAL
PROVISION OF SEPARATION OF POWERS, WHICH ARE THE MAIN PRO	TECTION OF
INDIVIDUAL LIBERTY. PLF PREVAILED AND OBTAINED A TEMPORAR	Y INJUNCTION
AND THE GOVERNOR APPEALED. THE APPELLATE COURT TRANSFERRE	D THE CASE TO
THE KENTUCKY SUPREME COURT, WHERE PLF PRESENTED ORAL ARGU	MENT. BECAUSE
THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
HAWKINS V. HAALAND. IN 2013, THE UNITED STATES BUREAU OF	INDIAN AFFAIRS
(BIA) AND THE KLAMATH TRIBES ENTERED INTO A PROTOCOL AGRE	EMENT IN WHICH
THE BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AGREED NOT VETO TRIBAL CALLS	FOR THE
ENFORCEMENT OF STATE WATER RIGHTS HELD BY THE UNITED STAT	'ES IN TRUST
FOR THE TRIBES. SINCE 2013, THE TRIBES HAVE MADE YEARLY C	ALLS, THE
ENFORCEMENT OF WHICH RESULTS IN THE NEAR-TOTAL CUT-OFF OF	' IRRIGATION
FOR PASTURE IN THE UPPER KLAMATH BASIN OF SOUTHERN OREGON	I. PLF
REPRESENTS AFFECTED LANDOWNERS IN A CHALLENGE TO THE PROT	OCOL
AGREEMENT. PLF ARGUES THAT THE DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO	THE TRIBES IS
AN UNLAWFUL SUBDELEGATION OF GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY FROM A	FEDERAL AGENCY
65	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020
65 060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUN	DATION PACIFI22

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
TO AN INDIAN TRIBE AND A "MAJOR FEDERAL ACTION" REQUIRING	AN
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT UNDER FEDERAL LAW. AFTER T	HE DISTRICT
COURT DISMISSED THE CASE, PLF APPEALED TO THE D.C. CIRCUI	T COURT OF
APPEALS, WHICH AFFIRMED THE DISMISSAL. PLF FILED A PETITI	ON FOR
REHEARING, WHICH WAS DENIED. PLF PLANS TO FILE A PETITION	FOR WRIT OF
CERTIORARI. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE	TO SEEK FEES.
JOOCE V. U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION/HOBAN V. U.S.	FOOD AND DRUG
ADMINISTRATION/ RAVE SALON, INC. V. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINIS	TRATION.
REPRESENTING VAPE SHOPS IN WASHINGTON D.C., MINNESOTA, AN	D TEXAS,
RESPECTIVELY, PLF SUED THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION T	O INVALIDATE
REGULATIONS ENACTED IN VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL RE	QUIREMENT THAT
REGULATIONS MUST BE ISSUED ONLY BY "OFFICERS OF THE UNITE	D STATES,"
THAT IS, PEOPLE APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT AND CONFIRMED	BY THE SENATE.
THESE REGULATIONS, ENACTED BY AN FDA BUREAUCRAT, DEEMS E-	CIGARETTES TO
BE TOBACCO PRODUCTS EVEN THOUGH THEY CONTAIN NO TOBACCO,	AND IMPOSE
NEW, SEVERE REGULATIONS THAT WILL ONLY HARM THIS BURGEONI	NG INDUSTRY
AND PERHAPS OVERALL PUBLIC HEALTH-CONTRARY TO THE AGENCY'	S VERY
MISSION. PLF FILED COMPLAINTS IN THREE DIFFERENT FEDERAL	DISTRICT
COURTS TO INVALIDATE THE REGULATIONS AS VIOLATING THE CON	STITUTION'S
APPOINTMENTS CLAUSE. THE GOVERNMENT SUCCESSFULLY SOUGHT T	O CONSOLIDATE
THE CASES, A RULING THAT PLF IS CHALLENGING IN THE EIGHTH	CIRCUIT COURT
OF APPEALS. ON THE MERITS IN JOOCE, THE LEAD CASE, THE GO	VERNMENT
PREVAILED ON SUMMARY JUDGMENT. PLF APPEALED TO THE D.C. C	IRCUIT COURT
OF APPEALS, WHICH AFFIRMED. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT	
CERTIORARI, WHICH WAS DENIED. THE CASES WILL BE CLOSED. P	
SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
KANSAS NATURAL RESOURCE COMM'N V. U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR.	IN 2003. THE
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CREATED THE "POLICY FOR EV	
	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

<sup>09060103 759263</sup> PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification numb 94-2197343
CONSERVATION EFFORTS WHEN MAKING LISTING DECISIONS." TH	IS VERY HELPFUL
RULE ENCOURAGES STATES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, PROPERTY OWN	ERS, AND
ENVIRONMENTALISTS TO COLLABORATE ON INNOVATIVE AND SUCC	ESSFUL
CONSERVATION PROGRAMS. ALTHOUGH THE RULE ENJOYS BIPARTI	SAN SUPPORT, THE
SERVICE NEVER SUBMITTED IT TO CONGRESS AS REQUIRED BY T	HE CONGRESSIONAL
REVIEW ACT. ON BEHALF OF THE KANSAS NATURAL RESOURCE CO	ALITION, WHICH
DEVELOPED A CONSERVATION PLAN TO KEEP THE LESSER PRAIRI	E CHICKEN OFF
THE ENDANGERED SPECIES LIST, PLF SUED THE SERVICE TO RE	QUIRE IT TO
SUBMIT ITS RULE TO CONGRESS SO IT CAN LEGALLY TAKE EFFE	CT AND ALLOW
GOOD CONSERVATION WORK TO CONTINUE. THE FEDERAL DISTRIC	T COURT
DISMISSED THE CASE AND PLF APPEALED TO THE TENTH CIRCUI	T, WHICH
AFFIRMED. PLF THEN FILED A PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BA	NC WHICH WAS
DENIED. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI, WH	ICH WAS DENIED.
PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
MASSACHUSETTS LOBSTERMEN'S ASSOCIATION V. ROSS. REPRESE	NTING FISHERMAN
AND LOBSTERMEN WHO ARE LOCKED OUT OF VALUABLE FISHING G	ROUNDS, PLF
FILED A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT TO CHALLENG	E PRESIDENT
OBAMA'S NORTHEAST CANYONS AND SEAMOUNTS MARINE NATIONAL	MONUMENT
DESIGNATION UNDER ANTIQUITIES ACT. AN AREA IN THE OCEAN	THE SIZE OF
CONNECTICUT CANNOT QUALIFY AS A MONUMENT - WHICH THE AC	T DESCRIBES AS
BEING THE SMALLEST POSSIBLE AREA OF LAND NECESSARY TO P	RESERVE AN
IMPORTANT RESOURCE. THE MONUMENT DESIGNATION ALSO THREA	TENS TO
UNDERMINE YEARS' WORTH OF EFFORT BY OUR CLIENTS TO PROM	OTE SUSTAINABLE
FISHING IN THE REGION. BY SHUTTING OFF THE AREA TO FISH	ERMEN, THE
PROCLAMATION FORCES THEM TO RELOCATE FROM THIS HEALTHY	FISHERY TO OTHER
AREAS THAT ARE LESS SUSTAINABLE. THE STATE SUCCESSFULLY	MOVED TO
DISMISS THE CASE AND THE D.C. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS	AFFIRMED. PLF'S
PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC WAS DENIED. PLF FILED A	

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
WRIT OF CERTIORARI IN THE SUPREME COURT. THE PETITION WAS	DENIED WITH A
DISSENT BY CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS. THE CASE IS CLOSED. PLF	DID NOT SEEK
OR RECOVER FEES.	
ROXY NAILS DESIGN, LLC V. LAMONT. IN EARLY MARCH 2020, LU	IS RAMIREZ
CLOSED HIS NAIL SALON IN HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT, FOLLOWING	GOVERNOR NED
LAMONT'S EXECUTIVE ORDERS FOR STATEWIDE SHUTDOWN DUE TO T	HE COVID-19
PANDEMIC. MR. RAMIREZ HAS SINCE STRUGGLED TO EARN INCOME	AND PAY RENT
ON THEIR SALON. WHEN HE THOUGHT HE'D BE ABLE TO REOPEN ON	MAY 20, HE
SCRAPED TOGETHER \$800 TO COMPLY WITH THE NECESSARY PRECAU	TIONS TO
SAFELY SERVE CUSTOMERS. BUT THE STATE, UNDER THE UNLAWFUL	AUTHORITY OF
THE GOVERNOR, INEXPLICABLY PUSHED BACK NAIL SALONS' REOPE	NING TO JUNE
17-OR LATER-DESPITE ALLOWING HAIR SALONS TO OPEN ON JUNE	1. REPRESENTED
BY PLF, ROXY NAILS DESIGN AND LUIS RAMIREZ FILED A LAWSUI	T AGAINST THE
GOVERNOR'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL ORDER THAT ROBBING THEM OF THE	EIR RIGHT TO
RESPONSIBLY OPEN THEIR BUSINESS. THE GOVERNMENT'S SHUTDOW	N AND
REOPENING ORDERS RELATED TO COVID-19 MUST TREAT SIMILAR B	USINESSES
EQUALLY AND FAIRLY. THE TRIAL COURT CONCLUDED THE CASE WA	S MOOT WHEN
THE ORDERS EXPIRED AND DISMISSED IT. THE CASE IS CLOSED.	PLF DID NOT
SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	

PART III, LINE 4A

 SKIPPER, ET AL. V. U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE, ET AL. THE SKIPPER

 FAMILY HAS OWNED FORESTLAND IN CLARKE COUNTY, ALABAMA, SINCE 1902,

 WHICH IT MANAGES FOR TIMBER PRODUCTION AND CONSERVATION. IN 1956 THEY

 ESTABLISHED THE SCOTCH WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA TO VOLUNTARILY OPEN

 THEIR LAND FOR THE STATE'S WILDLIFE CONSERVATION EFFORTS AND OUTDOOR

 RECREATION. IN FEBRUARY 2020, THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

 DESIGNATED THE SKIPPER FAMILY'S LAND AS CRITICAL HABITAT FOR THE BLACK

 032212 11-20-20
 68

 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION
 PACIFI21

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification num 94-2197343
PINESNAKE, THUS REDUCING THE LAND'S VALUE, TRIGGERING BU	IRDENSOME
REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, AND PENALIZING THEM FOR THEIR P	AST
CONSERVATION ACTIVITIES. THE AGENCY IMPOSED THESE BURDEN	IS BASED ON A
SINGLE SIGHTING OF A SINGLE SNAKE OVER A 25-YEAR PERIOD.	IT ALSO
SIDESTEPPED COST-BENEFIT REQUIREMENTS THAT CONGRESS IMPO	SED TO AVOID
IRRATIONAL REGULATIONS LIKE THIS. ON BEHALF OF THE SKIPP	PER FAMILY,
FOREST LANDOWNERS ASSOCIATION, AND GOODLOE FAMILY, PLF S	UED THE SERVICE
IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA. BECAUSE THIS CASE I	S PENDING, IT
IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
SKYWORKS, LTD. V. CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL. IN SEPTEM	IBER, 2020 THE
CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) ADOPTED	AN ORDER THAT
PROHIBITS CERTAIN EVICTIONS FOR NON-PAYMENT OF RENT. HOW	EVER, IN ITS
HASTE TO ENACT AND ENFORCE A NATIONAL EVICTION BAN, THE	CDC OVERSTEPPED
ITS LAWFUL AUTHORITY BY EXERCISING LEGISLATIVE POWER RES	SERVED TO
CONGRESS, AND IT DID SO AT THE EXPENSE OF STRUGGLING LAN	IDLORDS WHO
OFTEN DEPEND ON RENTAL INCOME TO MAKE ENDS MEET. PLF REP	PRESENTS
SKYWORKS LTDA COMPANY OWNED BY ERIC AND LILA WOHLWEND-	ALONG WITH
OTHER LANDLORDS AND MANAGEMENT COMPANIES, IN A FEDERAL L	AWSUIT
CHALLENGING THE CDC BAN IN ORDER TO PREVENT THE SAME UNL	AWFUL EXPANSION
OF POWER BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN THE FUTURE. THE TR	IAL COURT
AGREED WITH PLF THAT THE EVICTION BAN IS UNLAWFUL. THE C	DC APPEALED.
BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FE	ES.
TUGAW RANCHES, LLC V. U.S. DEPT. OF INTERIOR. THE BUREAU	J OF LAND
MANAGEMENT AND UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE ISSUED REGUL	ATIONS RELATED
TO THE SAGE GROUSE (A BIRD) ACROSS IDAHO, MONTANA, NEVAD	DA AND UTAH.
THESE CONTROVERSIAL SAGE GROUSE RULES IMPOSE STRICT RANG	ELAND
MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS ON BUREAU AND FOREST SERVICE LAN	IDS. THE
AGENCIES WERE REQUIRED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW ACT T	O SUBMIT THESE

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification nun $94 - 2197343$
RULES TO CONGRESS FOR APPROVAL BUT THEY FAILED TO DO SO	CHALLENGING
THIS FAILURE, PLF REPRESENTS TUGAW RANCHES, LLC, WHICH	RUNS CATTLE ON
SEVERAL BUREAU AND FOREST SERVICE GRAZING ALLOTMENTS CO	OVERED BY THE
SAGE GROUSE RULES. IT HAS ALREADY BEEN SUBJECT TO FORES	ST SERVICE
MONITORING PURSUANT TO THE RULE AND, AS THE RULE IS FUI	LLY IMPLEMENTED,
WILL SUFFER FURTHER RESTRICTIONS ON ITS GRAZING ACTIVIT	TIES, DRIVING UP
THE COST OF DOING BUSINESS. THE PARTIES AGREED TO STAY	THE LITIGATION
PENDING SUBMISSION OF SAGE GROUSE PLAN AMENDMENTS TO CO	ONGRESS. THE
AMENDMENTS WERE SUBMITTED IN FEBRUARY AND THE PARTIES S	STIPULATED TO
DISMISSAL. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
WASHINGTON CATTLEMEN'S ASS'N V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTIO	ON AGENCY/OREGON
CATTLEMEN'S ASS'N V. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY/NO	ORTH DAKOTA V.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY/PIERCE V. ENVIRONMENTAL	D PROTECTION
AGENCY/ NEW MEXICO CATTLE GROWERS' ASSOCIATION V. EPA/H	PASQUA YAQUI
TRIBE V. EPA. THE EPA ISSUED AN "INTERNAL GUIDANCE" DOC	CUMENT REDEFINING
JURISDICTIONAL WATERS UNDER THE CLEAN WATER ACT IN VIOI	LATION OF
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT RULE-MAKING PROCEDURES ANI	O THE U.S.
CONSTITUTION. REPRESENTING CATTLEMEN'S ASSOCIATIONS WHO	DSE MEMBERS ARE
ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE OVERLY-EXPANSIVE REACH OF THE	E EPA'S
"NAVIGABLE WATERS RULE," PLF FILED COMPLAINTS IN WASHIN	NGTON, OREGON,
MINNESOTA (PIERCE) AND NEW MEXICO, AND INTERVENED IN EX	KISTING
LITIGATION IN NORTH DAKOTA TO OVERTURN IT. PLF SUCCESSE	FULLY OBTAINED A
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION IN THE OREGON CASE. THE PARTIES	AGREED TO
DISMISS THE PIERCE CASE. EPA ISSUED A NEW RULE ON APRII	21, 2020. PLF
FILED SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINTS AND A MOTION FOR PRELIMIN	NARY INJUNCTION.
REPRESENTING MIKE AND CHANTELL SACKETT, PLF INTERVENED	IN THE PASQUA
YAQUI CASE ON THE SAME ISSUE IN FEDERAL COURT IN ARIZON	NA. BECAUSE
LITIGATION IS ONGOING IN ALL THESE CASES, IT IS PREMATU	

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification nur 94-2197343
ECONOMIC LIBERTY: PLF SEEKS TO ESTABLISH PRECEDENT GIVI	ING MEANINGFUL
PROTECTION TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING	G. PLF CONSTRUES
THAT RIGHT BROADLY, INCLUDING THE RIGHT TO START A BUS	INESS, ENGAGE IN
AN OCCUPATION, AND FREELY BUY AND SELL GOODS AND SERVIC	CES. RELATEDLY,
PLF OPPOSES THE MISUSE OF GOVERNMENT POWER TO FAVOR SOM	ME BUSINESSES AT
THE EXPENSE OF OTHERS, I.E., ECONOMIC PROTECTIONISM.	
ABAD V. BONHAM/BURKE V. BONHAM/ BURKE V. RAIMUNDO (FORM	MERLY WILLIAMS V.
NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE). SEVERAL STATE AND E	FEDERAL LAWS AND
REGULATIONS PROTECT ENDANGERED SPECIES AFFECTED BY COMM	MERCIAL SWORDFISH
FISHING. THE GOVERNMENT ISSUED NEW RULES, HOWEVER, THAT	I THREATEN TO
DESTROY THE FREEDOM OF RESPONSIBLE FISHERMAN TO EARN A	LIVING. PLF
REPRESENTS COMMERCIAL FISHERMEN IN FEDERAL LAWSUITS CHA	ALLENGING
CALIFORNIA'S BAN ON THE CATCH OF SWORDFISH BY DRIFT GII	LL NETS IN
FEDERAL WATERS PURSUANT TO A FEDERAL PERMIT, AND THE BA	AN ON LANDING AND
SALE OF SUCH SWORDFISH IN THE STATE AS PREEMPTED BY FEI	DERAL LAW UNDER
THE U.S. CONSTITUTION'S SUPREMACY CLAUSE. IN ABAD V. BO	ONHAM, THE
PARTIES AWAIT THE COURT'S RULING ON THE STATE DEFENDANT	IS' MOTION TO
DISMISS AND PLF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION. BU	JRKE V. BONHAM IS
STAYED PENDING RULINGS IN ABAD. CHRIS WILLIAMS' CASE WA	AS VOLUNTARILY
DISMISSED AND PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES. THE DIS	STRICT COURT IN
BURKE V. RAIMUNDO ISSUED A FAVORABLE DECISION AND AWARI	DED PLF \$400 IN
COSTS. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT APPEALED BUT THEN DISMISS	SED ITS OWN
APPEAL, MAKING THE DISTRICT COURT RULING FINAL. PLF DII	D NOT SEEK OR
RECOVER ATTORNEYS' FEES.	
BARILLA V. CITY OF HOUSTON. TONY BARILLA IS AN ACCOMPLI	ISHED
ACCORDIONIST WHO WISHES TO BUSK-THAT IS, PLAY IN PUBLIC	C FOR TIPS-IN THE
STREETS OF HOUSTON. BUT HOUSTON BANS PERFORMING FOR TIP	PS IN MOST OF THE
CITY. AND IN THE FEW BLOCKS WHERE PERFORMING FOR TIPS I	IS ALLOWED,

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification num 94-2197343
PERFORMERS MUST SECURE A PERMIT AND PERMISSION FROM ABU	JTTING PROPERTY
OWNERS OF THE PERFORMANCE SITE, ESTABLISHING A "HECKLEF	R'S VETO" OVER
THE BUSKER'S SPEECH. THE FIRST AMENDMENT, HOWEVER, PROT	TECTS TONY'S
RIGHT TO EARN EXTRA MONEY WHILE ENGAGING IN FREE EXPRES	SSION.
REPRESENTING BARILLA, PLF FILED A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL	DISTRICT COURT
TO VINDICATE HIS FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND ESTABLISH T	THE PRINCIPLE
THAT SPEECH THAT IS MOTIVATED BY MONEY IS JUST AS PROTE	CTED BY THE
CONSTITUTION AS ANY OTHER KIND OF SPEECH. THE COURT GRA	ANTED THE CITY'S
MOTION TO DISMISS. PLF APPEALED TO THE FIFTH CIRCUIT CO	OURT OF APPEALS,
BRIEFED THE CASE AND PRESENTED ORAL ARGUMENT. BECAUSE I	THIS CASE IS
PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CLUB 519 V. COOPER. WHEN THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC STRUCK,	NORTH CAROLINA
GOVERNOR ROY COOPER UNILATERALLY DECLARED A STATE OF EM	IERGENCY THAT
ONLY HE IS AUTHORIZED TO END. SINCE THEN, THE GOVERNOR	HAS ISSUED A
SERIES OF EXECUTIVE ORDERS THAT ALLOW NEARLY EVERY ESTA	BLISHMENT THAT
SELLS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES TO REMAIN OPEN BUT THAT FORCE	E MOST PRIVATE
BARS (ESTABLISHMENTS WHICH SERVE ALCOHOL BUT NOT FOOD)	TO REMAIN
CLOSED. THIS INCLUDES CLUB 519, A POPULAR BAR THAT HAS	BEEN IN BUSINESS
FOR MORE THAN 18 YEARS. ALTHOUGH THERE IS A ROLE FOR TH	IE STATE TO
REGULATE FOR THE SAKE OF PUBLIC HEALTH, GOVERNMENT MUSI	I ACT
CONSTITUTIONALLY. THE LEGISLATURE SHOULD BE MAKING THE	RULES, AND
SIMILAR BUSINESSES SHOULD BE TREATED SIMILARLY. REPRESE	ENTED BY PLF,
CLUB 519'S OWNERS, CRYSTAL AND KENNETH WALDRON, SUED IN	N STATE COURT TO
CHALLENGE THIS ARBITRARY TREATMENT OF BARS AS UNCONSTIT	UTIONAL UNDER
THE STATE AND FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS. AFTER A HEARING WH	IERE THE JUDGE
SEEMED INCLINE TO GRANT PLF'S REQUEST FOR A PRELIMINARY	INJUNCTION, THE
GOVERNOR QUICKLY REVERSED COURSE AND RESCINDED THE ORDE	ER CLOSING
PRIVATE BARS. LITIGATION CONTINUES TO DETERMINE WHETHER	R THE GOVERNOR

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
HAS CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO DICTATE THE TERMS OF REOP	ENING A YEAR
INTO THE PANDEMIC. BECAUSE LITIGATION IS PENDING, IT IS P	REMATURE TO
SEEK FEES.	
FONTENOT V. SCHMITT. PLF REPRESENTS PEGGY FONTENOT, A NAT	IVE AMERICAN
ARTIST WHO SELLS HER HAND-MADE JEWELRY AND ART AT ART SHO	WS THROUGHOUT
THE COUNTRY. AT THE BEHEST OF POLITICALLY POWERFUL TRIBES	, MISSOURI
PASSED A LAW THAT PERMITS ONLY MEMBERS OF FEDERALLY RECOG	NIZED TRIBES
TO DESCRIBE THEMSELVES OR THEIR ART AS NATIVE AMERICAN. BECAUSE	
FONTENOT IS A MEMBER OF A VIRGINIA STATE-RECOGNIZED TRIBE	, SHE MAY NOT
MARKET HER ART AS "INDIAN MADE." PLF FILED A LAWSUIT ON T	HE GROUNDS
THAT THIS LAW VIOLATES THE FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT	S. ON
CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, THE COURT GAVE THE ST	ATUTE A NARROW
CONSTRUCTION AND HELD THAT IT DID NOT APPLY TO FONTENOT,	WHO COULD USE
ANY NUMBER OF SYNONYMS TO DESCRIBE HER ART, AND THEREFORE	DETERMINED
THAT HER CASE WAS NOT RIPE FOR DECISION AND DISMISSED IT.	THE CLIENT
DECLINED TO APPEAL. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
PART III, LINE 4A	
NEWELL-DAVIS & SIVAD HOME AND COMMUNITY SERVICES, LLC V.	PHILLIPS.

AFTER TWO DECADES OF WORKING WITH SPECIAL NEEDS CHILDREN, URSULA

NEWELL-DAVIS LAUNCHED A COMPANY TO PROVIDE RESPITE SERVICES TO THIS

VULNERABLE POPULATION. BUT THE STATE'S FACILITY NEED REVIEW PROCESS

STOPPED HER ON THE GROUNDS THAT SHE FAILED TO PROVE HER PROPOSED

BUSINESS WAS "NECESSARY." DESPITE EVIDENCE SHOWING AN INCREASE IN

CRIMES BY JUVENILES, PLEAS BY CITY OFFICIALS FOR MORE EARLY

INTERVENTION EFFORTS FOR JUVENILES, AND STUDIES SHOWING THAT RESPITE

CARE CAN IMPROVE OUTCOMES FOR BOTH CHILDREN AND THEIR

 FAMILIES-INCLUDING LOWER INCIDENCE OF NEGATIVE BEHAVIOR IN THE

 O32212 11-20-20

 73

 09060103
 759263
 PACIFICLEGAL
 2020.05010
 PACIFIC
 LEGAL FOUNDATION
 PACIFI21

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
COMMUNITY-STATE HEALTH OFFICIALS DENIED URSULA'S APPL	ICATION AND
PROHIBITED HER FROM STARTING HER BUSINESS. THIS ARTIF	ICIALLY REDUCES
SUPPLY OF CRITICALLY NECESSARY SERVICES, DRIVES UP CO	STS, AND WORSENS
OUTCOMES-ALL TO PROTECT EXISTING BUSINESSES FROM COMP	ETITION. PLF
REPRESENTS URSULA IN A FEDERAL LAWSUIT TO CHALLENGE T	HESE ARBITRARY
GOVERNMENT RESTRICTIONS. BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING,	IT IS PREMATURE
TO SEEK FEES.	
TAYLOR V. POLHILL. REPRESENTING DAN TAYLOR, AN EXPERI	ENCED BUT
UNLICENSED SELLER OF HEARING AIDS, PLF SUED TO CHALLE	NGE A FLORIDA
OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING STATUTE THAT PROHIBITS THE DIS	PENSING OF HEARING
AIDS BY UNLICENSED PERSONS. FLORIDA'S LICENSING SCHEM	E, DEVELOPED FOR
LESS ADVANCED DEVISES COMMON DECADES AGO, INCREASES C	OST AND REDUCES
ACCESS TO MODERN HEARING AIDS. TAYLOR'S LAWSUIT ARGUE	S THAT THE
REGULATIONS ARE PREEMPTED BY FEDERAL LAWS AIMED AT RE	DUCING UNNECESSARY
REGULATION AND A VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGH	T TO EARN A
LIVING. THE TRIAL COURT DISMISSED THE CASE AND PLF SU	ICCESSFULLY
APPEALED TO THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, WH	IICH REVERSED AND
REMANDED FOR FURTHER PROCEEDINGS. THE CASE IS CLOSED.	PLF DID NOT SEEK
OR RECOVER FEES.	
THETELEDENTISTS LLC AND CHRISTINE MOHR V. TEXAS STATE	BOARD OF DENTAL
EXAMINERS. DR. CELESTE MOHR BEGAN PRACTICING TELEDENT	ISTRY TO PURSUE
HER LIVELIHOOD WHILE ALSO STAYING AT HOME TO CARE FOR	HER TWO AUTISTIC
CHILDREN. SHE OFFERS REMOTE DENTAL CONSULTATIONS VIA	THETELEDENTISTS, A
WEB-BASED PLATFORM THAT OFFERS DIRECT-TO-CONSUMER SER	VICES.
TELEDENTISTRY USES VIDEO, PHONE, AND OTHER TECHNOLOGY	TO PROVIDE REMOTE
DENTAL CARE SERVICE, RESULTING IN LOWER COSTS, IMPROV	ED ACCESS TO CARE
AND FEWER EMERGENCY ROOM VISITS. IN 2020, THE TEXAS S	TATE BOARD OF
DENTAL EXAMINERS PROHIBITED THE USE OF TELEDENTISTRY	TECHNOLOGY,

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number $94 - 2197343$
CRIPPLING DR. MOHR'S PRACTICE. THE BAN SERVES NO PUBLIC H	IEALTH OR
SAFETY PURPOSE; INSTEAD, IT PROTECTS TRADITIONAL DENTAL F	PRACTICES FROM
EMERGING, COMPETITIVE TECHNOLOGIES. BECAUSE THE TEXAS AND	UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTIONS PROTECT THE RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING	FREE OF
IRRATIONAL GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE, PLF REPRESENTS DR. MC	HR AND
THETELEDENTISTS IN A LAWSUIT FILED IN STATE COURT TO CHAI	LENGE THE BAN.
FOLLOWING THE LAWSUIT, GOV. GREG ABBOTT SIGNED A LAW AUTH	IORIZING THE
PRACTICE OF TELEDENTISTRY, PREVENTING THE TEXAS STATE BOA	RD OF DENTAL
EXAMINERS FROM BANNING TELEDENTISTRY AND BRINGING TELEDEN	TISTRY IN LINE
WITH OTHER TELEMEDICINE PRACTICES. THE CASE WILL BE DISMI	SSED. PLF WILL
NOT SEEK FEES AND COSTS WILL BE RECOVERED NEXT YEAR.	
TRUESDELL V. FRIEDLANDER. PHILLIP TRUESDELL AND HIS FAMIL	Y LAUNCHED
LEGACY MEDICAL TRANSPORT, NON-EMERGENCY AMBULANCE COMPANY	IN ABERDEEN,
OHIO, IN 2017. THE BUSINESS HAS GROWN FROM ONE TO SEVEN V	EHICLES.
LOCATED JUST MILES FROM THE KENTUCKY BORDER, THE COMPANY	OFTEN TAKES
CLIENTS FROM OHIO TO KENTUCKY. KENTUCKY LAW, HOWEVER, PRO	HIBITS LEGACY
FROM RETURNING THOSE CLIENTS TO OHIO WITHOUT FIRST OBTAIN	IING A
CERTIFICATE OF NEED. CERTIFICATE OF NEED LAWS GRANT EXIST	ING BUSINESSES
A VETO POWER OVER ANY NEW COMPETITION. REPRESENTED BY PLF	', THE
TRUESDELLS ARE FIGHTING FOR THE RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING FR	EE OF
IRRATIONAL GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE. PLF FILED A COMPLAINT	FILED IN
FEDERAL COURT. RULING ON THE STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS, T	HE TRIAL COURT
HELD THAT THE CASE COULD CONTINUE ON ONE OF THE CLAIMS AN	ID PLF FILED A
MOTION TO FILE A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. BECAUSE THIS C	ASE IS
PENDING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND ASSOCIATION: PLF PROTECTS THE INDIV	IDUAL RIGHT TO
SPEAK AND ASSOCIATE AS WELL AS THE RIGHT TO REFRAIN FROM	SPEAKING AND
ASSOCIATING. LAWS THAT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST SPEECH BASED	ON ITS CONTENT edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020
060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUN	DATION PACIFI21

Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification numbe 94-2197343
OR VIEWPOINT, WHETHER ON THEIR FACE, AS-APPLIED, OR WHERE	INCAPABLE OF
REASONED APPLICATION VIOLATE THE FIRST AMENDMENT UNLESS T	HEY CAN
SATISFY STRICT SCRUTINY. MOREOVER, PLF BELIEVES THAT THE	SPEECH
PROTECTION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT SHOULD BE CONSISTENTLY	APPLIED,
REGARDLESS OF THE SPEAKER'S CORPORATE IDENTITY OR THE SPE	ECH'S
COMMERCIAL NATURE. FINALLY, OTHERWISE PROTECTED SPEECH CA	NNOT BE
REGULATED DIFFERENTLY BECAUSE IT IS EXPRESSED FOR COMPENS	ATION AND
CANNOT BE REGULATED AS "CONDUCT" TO PREVENT HONEST PEOPLE	FROM
EXERCISING THEIR RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING.	
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF JOURNALISTS AND AUTHORS V. BECERRA. S	EEKING TO
REGULATE THE EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS	, CALIFORNIA
PASSED A LAW FORCING COMPANIES IN THE STATE TO RECLASSIFY	MOST
FREELANCERS AS EMPLOYEES. UNDER AB 5, FREELANCE JOURNALIS	TS AND
PHOTOGRAPHERS MUST CAP THEIR SUBMISSIONS AT 35 PER YEAR,	PER PUBLISHER.
OTHER PROFESSIONS, LIKE MARKETING AND GRAPHIC DESIGN, FAC	E NO SUCH
RESTRICTIONS ON FREELANCING. SUCH SELECTIVE AND UNEQUAL T	REATMENT AMONG
MEMBERS OF SPEAKING PROFESSIONS VIOLATES THE RIGHT TO EAR	N AN HONEST
LIVING FREE FROM BOTH IRRATIONAL GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE	AND REGULATION
BASED SOLELY ON THE CONTENT OF THEIR SPEECH. REPRESENTING	ASSOCIATIONS
OF FREELANCE JOURNALISTS AND PHOTOGRAPHERS, PLF FILED A F	EDERAL LAWSUIT
CHALLENGING AB 5'S UNLAWFUL CARVEOUTS THAT RESTRICT THEIR	MEMBERS'
PROFESSIONAL SPEECH AND PREVENT THEM FROM MAKING A LIVING	AS
FREELANCERS. THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS WAS GRANT	ED. PLF
APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, FILED BRI	EFS, AND
ORALLY ARGUED THE CASE. BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT	IS PREMATURE
TO SEEK FEES.	
ART AND ANTIQUE DEALERS V. SEGGOS. THE FEDERAL ENDANGERED	SPECIES ACT
ALLOWS FOR THE SALE OF CERTAIN ANTIQUES CONTAINING IVORY,	AS WELL AS

032212 11-20-20

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page 2
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
NON-ANTIQUES CONTAINING A DE MINIMIS AMOUNT OF IVORY, IN	INTERSTATE AND
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE. NEW YORK STATE LIMITS INTRASTATE	SALES OF ITEMS
CONTAINING IVORY TO ONLY ANTIQUES CONTAINING NO MORE THAN	1 20% IVORY.
RECOGNIZING THAT IT CANNOT BAN WHAT IS AUTHORIZED BY FEDE	ERAL LAW, NEW
YORK HAS SOUGHT TO SHUT DOWN THE SALE OF IVORY ANTIQUES E	BY PROHIBITING
THEIR DISPLAY IN NEW YORK ANTIQUE DEALERS' STORES. THE NE	EW YORK LAW
ALLOWS THE DEALER TO SHOW CATALOGS AND PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE	E ANTIQUES TO
PROSPECTIVE INTERSTATE BUYERS WHO VISIT THEIR STORES, SO	LONG AS IT
INCLUDES A DISCLAIMER OF "NOT FOR SALE IN NEW YORK." THE	DEALERS
ALLEGED A RIGHT TO DISPLAY THE ACTUAL ITEMS WITH THAT SAM	IE DISCLAIMER,
ON FIRST AMENDMENT GROUNDS, BUT WERE REJECTED BY A FEDERA	AL TRIAL COURT.
PLF REPRESENTS TWO ANTIQUE DEALER TRADE ASSOCIATIONS TO A	APPEAL THE
ADVERSE DISTRICT COURT RULING AND FILED THE OPENING BRIEF	. BECAUSE THIS
CASE IS PENDING IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
COLORADO UNION OF TAXPAYERS FOUNDATION V. CITY OF DENVER.	A PLF
ATTORNEY IS ACTING AS LOCAL COUNSEL IN A GOLDWATER INSTIT	UTE LAWSUIT TO
ALLOW NONPROFIT GROUPS THAT ADVOCATE FOR OR AGAINST BALLO	T ISSUES TO
SHIELD THE IDENTITY OF THEIR DONORS, CONSISTENT WITH THE	FIRST
AMENDMENT. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS WERE FILED IN 2018, FOLLOW	VED BY A TRIAL
IN 2019. THE TRIAL COURT DISMISSED THE CASE FOR LACK OF S	TANDING AND
THE NONPROFIT GROUPS APPEALED TO THE COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS. THE	
APPELLATE COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION AND THE CASE CONCLUDED. PLF	
DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
FREEDOM FOUNDATION V. WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOL	OGY. PLF
REPRESENTS A NON-PROFIT FOUNDATION THAT SOUGHT TO ENGAGE	IN LEAFLETTING
IN THE LOBBY OF A BUILDING HOUSING A STATE AGENCY TO INFORM PUBLIC	
EMPLOYEES OF THEIR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO REFRAIN FROM SUBSIDIZING	
PUBLIC EMPLOYEE UNIONS. THE AGENCY PREVIOUSLY PERMITTED C	
032212 11-20-20 77 060103 759263 DACTETCLECAL 2020 05010 DACTETC LECAL EQUINI	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

lame of the organization	Employer identification number
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343
DRGANIZATIONS TO ENGAGE IN EXPRESSIVE ACTIVITIES ON THE	PREMISES AND
TTS SELECTIVE, CONTENT-BASED REFUSAL TO ALLOW THE FREED	OM FOUNDATION TO
OO SO VIOLATES THE SPEAKERS' FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. TH	E FEDERAL
DISTRICT COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION AND PLF APPEAD	LED TO THE NINTH
CIRCUIT, WHICH AFFIRMED. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR REHEAD	RING EN BANC,
WHICH WAS DENIED. PLF PLANS TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT	OF CERTIORARI.
BECAUSE THIS CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FI	EES.
PART III, LINE 4A	
JACKSON V. NAPOLITANO. PLF REPRESENTS TWO WORKERS AT THI	E UNIVERSITY OF
CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO WHO WISH TO EXERCISE THEIR FIRST AN	MENDMENT RIGHTS
TO REFRAIN FROM PAYING DUES TO A PUBLIC EMPLOYEE UNION.	CALIFORNIA
ENACTED SEVERAL LAWS TO BLUNT THE IMPACT OF THE FIRST AN	MENDMENT VICTORY
IN JANUS V. AFSCME, COUNCIL 31, BY MAKING IT ILLEGAL FOR	R PUBLIC
EMPLOYERS TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEIR OWN EMPLOYEES ABOUT	THE EXERCISE OF
THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL SPEECH AND ASSOCIATIONAL RIGHTS. PI	LF FILED A
COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL COURT. THE TRIAL COURT DISMISSED TH	HE CASE AS MOOT
AFTER THE UNION REFUNDED ALL THE PLAINTIFFS' DUES. THE 1	PLAINTIFFS
ELECTED NOT TO APPEAL. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES	
XISSEL V. SEAGULL. ADAM KISSEL SOUGHT TO HELP RAISE MONI	
NONPROFIT JACK MILLER CENTER'S LIBERTY-BASED CIVIC EDUCA	
BUT HE SOON DISCOVERED SEVERAL STATES HAVE OVERLY BURDEN	
REGISTRATION AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR PAID SOLICI	
CONNECTICUT REQUIRES ADAM TO TELL THE STATE THREE WEEKS	
HE PLANS TO TALK TO A POTENTIAL DONOR AND WHAT EXACTLY H	
THEN HE MUST REPORT TO THE GOVERNMENT THE NAME OF EVERY	
GIVES-EVEN JUST A \$1 GIFT. IF HE DIVERGES FROM THE SCRI	
CAN LEVY A \$5,000 FINE AND SENTENCE HIM TO ONE YEAR IN 1	PRISON。 PLF chedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 20

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
REPRESENTS IN A FEDERAL LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THIS LAW, WHI	CH ELIMINATES
FUNDRAISERS' ABILITY TO ENGAGE IN TIMELY, TOPICAL, AND SP	ONTANEOUS
SPEECH, AS WELL AS DONORS' ABILITY TO GIVE ANONYMOUSLY. T	HIS VIOLATES
THE FIRST AMENDMENT'S PROHIBITION ON PRIOR RESTRAINT. BEC	AUSE THIS CASE
IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
OGILVIE V. GORDON. PLF REPRESENTS SEVERAL CALIFORNIA MOTO	R VEHICLE
OWNERS WHO WISH TO HAVE PERSONALIZED LICENSE PLATES. THE	DEPARTMENT OF
MOTOR VEHICLES DENIED THEIR REQUESTS BASED ON A STATE REG	ULATION THAT
BANS PERSONALIZED PLATE CONFIGURATIONS THAT DMV OFFICIALS	DETERMINE TO
BE "OFFENSIVE TO GOOD TASTE AND DECENCY." PLF FILED SUED	IN FEDERAL
DISTRICT COURT ON BEHALF OF THE VEHICLE OWNERS. THE TRIAL	COURT GRANTED
SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFFS. PLF RECOVERE	D \$4,223.65 IN
COSTS AND \$110,000 IN ATTORNEYS' FEES. THE CASE IS CLOSED	).
OSTREWICH V. HOLLINS. PLF REPRESENTS A TEXAS VOTER WHO WE	NT TO HER
POLLING PLACE WEARING A FIREFIGHTER UNION SHIRT. ELECTION	OFFICIALS
FORCED HER TO REMOVE THE APPAREL BEFORE BEING ALLOWED TO	VOTE BECAUSE
THE UNION SUPPORTED ONE OF THE INITIATIVE MEASURES ON THE	BALLOT. IN
THIS FOLLOW-UP CASE TO PLF'S SUPREME COURT VICTORY IN MIN	NESOTA VOTERS
ALLIANCE V. MANSKY, PLF FILED A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL DIST	RICT COURT
ARGUING THAT A STATUTE FORBIDDING VOTERS FROM WEARING APP	AREL RELATED
TO ANY CANDIDATE, POLITICAL PARTY, OR ISSUE VIOLATES THE	FIRST
AMENDMENT FREEDOM OF SPEECH. THE COURT DENIED THE GOVERNM	ENT'S MOTIONS
TO DISMISS. AFTER DISCOVERY, BOTH PARTIES MOVED FOR SUMMA	RY JUDGMENT,
FILED MULTIPLE BRIEFS AND PRESENTED ORAL ARGUMENT. BECAUS	E LITIGATION
IS ONGOING, IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
PULLEY V. THOMPSON. DEBBIE PULLEY HAS BEEN A CERTIFIED PR	OFESSIONAL
MIDWIFE (CPM) SINCE 1995, GUIDING MOTHERS THROUGH UNCOMPL	ICATED
PREGNANCIES AND HELPING THEM DELIVER THEIR BABIES, OFTEN	
032212 11-20-20 79 2060103 759263 DACTETCLEGAL 2020 05010 DACTETC LEGAL FOUNT	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

<sup>09060103 759263</sup> PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number $94 - 2197343$
MOTHER'S HOME OR A BIRTHING CENTER. IN 2015, GEORGIA GAVE	THE BOARD OF
NURSING POWER TO DECIDE WHO CAN PRACTICE MIDWIFERY AND TH	E BOARD SAID
YOU NEEDED A NURSING LICENSE TO WORK AS A MIDWIFE. BECAUS	E PULLEY IS
NOT A NURSE, SHE NO LONGER CAN PRACTICE MIDWIFERY IN GEOR	GIA. INSTEAD,
SHE IS ADVOCATING FOR LEGAL REFORMS AND PUBLIC EDUCATION	SO THAT
GEORGIA MOTHERS HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY TO CHOOSE A CPM. THE	BOARD
RESPONDED BY ISSUING A GAG ORDER THAT PROHIBITS PULLEY FR	OM DESCRIBING
HERSELF TRUTHFULLY AS A MIDWIFE. PLF FILED A FEDERAL LAWS	UIT ON HER
BEHALF TO PROTECT HER RIGHT TO SPEAK THE TRUTH. THE CASE	SETTLED AND
PLF RECEIVED \$10,000 IN ATTORNEYS' FEES. THE CASE IS CLOS	ED.
RENTBERRY V. CITY OF SEATTLE, WASHINGTON. SEATTLE ENACTED	A LAW
FORBIDDING LANDLORDS AND POTENTIAL TENANTS FROM USING ONL	INE
RENT-BIDDING PLATFORMS FOR AT LEAST ONE YEAR, WHILE THE C	ITY STUDIES
WHETHER THE PLATFORMS RUN AFOUL OF ANY MUNICIPAL RENTAL H	OUSING
ORDINANCES. REPRESENTING DELANEY WYSINGLE, A LANDLORD WHO	RENTS ONE
SINGLE-FAMILY HOME IN THE CITY WHO WOULD LIKE TO USE RENT	-BIDDING
PLATFORMS AS A MEANS TO IDENTIFY AND RENT TO POTENTIAL TE	NANTS, AND
RENTBERRY, A PLATFORM COMPANY, PLF SUED TO INVALIDATE THE	MORATORIUM AS
A VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT'S PROTECTION FOR FREE	SPEECH. THE
TRIAL COURT ISSUED AN ADVERSE DECISION AND PLF APPEALED.	ON THE EVE OF
ORAL ARGUMENT, THE CITY REPEALED THE ORDINANCE AND REPLAC	ED IT WITH A
NEW ORDINANCE SEEKING TO STUDY THE ISSUE AS A PREDICATE T	O A NEW BAN OR
LIMITATION ON RENT-BIDDING WEBSITES. THE NINTH CIRCUIT DI	SMISSED THE
CASE AS MOOT. PLF FILED A PETITION FOR CERTIORARI, WHICH	WAS DENIED.
PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
EQUALITY BEFORE THE LAW: PLF'S OBJECTIVE IS TO END GOVERN	MENT
DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF RACE AND ETHNICITY. COURTS	SHOULD VIEW
RACIAL CLASSIFICATIONS WITH EXTREME SKEPTICISM WITH THE U	
032212 11-20-20 80 9060103 759263 PACTETCLEGAL 2020 05010 PACTETC LEGAL FOINT	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page : Employer identification number 94-2197343
OF ABOLISHING RACE-BASED DISCRIMINATION BY GOVERNMENTS.	91 2197010
AFEF V. MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS. PLF REPRESENTS	ASSOCIATION
FOR EDUCATION FAIRNESS, A GROUP OF MOSTLY ASIAN-AMERICAN	
HAVE SEEN MANY OF THEIR CHILDREN SHUT OUT OF THE MONTGOM	
(MARYLAND) MAGNET SCHOOL PROGRAM BECAUSE OF CHANGING CRI	
TO MAKE THE MAGNET SCHOOLS LOOK LIKE THE COUNTY AS A WHO	
FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT TO CHALLENGE THE COUNTY'S ADMISSI	
UNCONSTITUTIONAL RACIAL DISCRIMINATION. OVER THE LAST TWO	O ADMISSIONS
CYCLES, THE COUNTY HAS ALTERED ITS ADMISSIONS CRITERIA T	HAT EFFECTIVELY
DENIES ADMISSION TO MANY OF THE HIGHEST-SCORING ASIAN-AM	ERICAN
CHILDREN. THUS WHILE ASIAN-AMERICAN ADMISSIONS SIGNIFICAN	NTLY DECLINE,
EVERY OTHER RACIAL GROUP HAS SEEN AN UPTICK. THIS VIOLATES THE EQUAL	
PROTECTION CLAUSE. RACIAL BALANCING IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL	WHETHER DONE
THROUGH OVERT OR COVERT MEANS. SCHOOL DISTRICTS SHOULDN'	T CONSIDER RACE
WHEN DETERMINING WHO GETS INTO THE BEST SCHOOLS. ONE RAC	IAL GROUP'S
SUCCESS AT GETTING INTO COMPETITIVE SCHOOLS DOES NOT GIV	E A SCHOOL
DISTRICT A LICENSE TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THAT GROUP. B	ECAUSE THE CASE
IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CHRISTA MCAULIFFE INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL PTO, INC. V. DE BL	ASIO. PLF
REPRESENTS ASIAN-AMERICAN FAMILIES IN A CHALLENGE TO THE	NEW YORK CITY
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S RACIALLY DISCRIMINATORY DECISION	ON TO ALTER THE
ADMISSIONS CRITERIA TO THE CITY'S SPECIALIZED HIGH SCHOO	LS. PLF FILED A
COMPLAINT AND MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION IN FEDER.	AL DISTRICT
COURT. THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION WAS DENIED. PLF APPEAL	ED THE DENIAL
TO SECOND CIRCUIT, WHICH DENIED RELIEF. PLF FILED A PETI	
REHEARING EN BANC, WHICH WAS DENIED. MEANWHILE, LITIGATIO	
THE DISTRICT COURT. BECAUSE THE CASE IS PENDING, IT IS P	
SEEK FEES.	
	hedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 202

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number 94-2197343
COALITION FOR TJ V. FAIRFAX COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD. VIRGINIA	'S THOMAS
JEFFERSON HIGH SCHOOL FOR SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OR TJ,	IS THE
NATION'S TOP-RANKED PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL. FAIRFAX COUNTY PU	BLIC SCHOOLS'
(FCPS) RECENT CHANGES TO TJ'S ADMISSIONS PROCESS SPECIFIC	ALLY AIM TO
REDUCE THE NUMBER OF ASIAN-AMERICAN CHILDREN-AND ONLY ASI	AN-AMERICAN
CHILDREN-WHO CAN ATTEND TJ. THE SCHOOL DISTRICT'S RACE-BA	SED ADMISSIONS
SCHEME GARNERED NATIONWIDE INTEREST AND STRONG OPPOSITION	FROM THE
COALITION FOR TJ, A GROUP OF OVER 5,000 PARENTS, STUDENTS	, ALUMNI,
STAFF, AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO ADVOCATE FOR SCHOOL DIVE	RSITY AND
EXCELLENCE THROUGH RACE-BLIND, MERIT-BASED ADMISSIONS. RE	PRESENTED BY
PLF IN FEDERAL COURT, THE COALITION CHALLENGES FCPS' RACE	BASED
ADMISSIONS SCHEME AS VIOLATING THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.	PLF DEFEATED
THE COUNTY'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND LITIGATION IS ONGOING.	BECAUSE THE
CASE IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	
CONNECTICUT PARENTS UNION V. CARDONA. PLF REPRESENTS A PA	RENT
ORGANIZATION TO CHALLENGE A STATE STATUTE THAT REQUIRES A	LL MAGNET
SCHOOLS IN CONNECTICUT TO MAINTAIN A RACIAL BALANCE OF AT LEAST 25%	
WHITE STUDENTS. THIS MEANS THAT IN SCHOOLS THAT DO NOT ME	ET THIS QUOTA,
MINORITY STUDENTS ARE TURNED AWAY FROM EMPTY SEATS. THE S	TATE
SUCCESSFULLY MOVED TO DISMISS THE CASE ON THE GROUNDS THA	T THE PARENT
ORGANIZATION LACKED STANDING. PLF APPEALED TO THE SECOND	CIRCUIT COURT
OF APPEALS, FILED BRIEFS, AND ORALLY ARGUED THE CASE. BEC	AUSE THIS CASE
IS PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.	

PART III, LINE 4A

HARDRE V. COLORADO OFFICE OF MINORITY BUSINESS. COLORADO RECENTLY

ENACTED LEGISLATION TO FUNNEL FOUR MILLION DOLLARS IN "RELIEF PAYMENTS,

GRANTS AND LOANS TO MINORITY-OWNED BUSINESSES." ETIENNE HARDRE, OWNERSchedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020032212 11-20-208209060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATIONPACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization	Page 2 Employer identification number
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343
OF LOCALS BARBERSHOP, HAS SUFFERED FINANCIALLY LIKE SO MA	ANY SMALL
BUSINESSES DUE TO OCCUPANCY LIMITATIONS THAT SEVERELY DEC	CREASED HIS
ABILITY TO SERVE CUSTOMERS. HE IS IN FINANCIAL DISTRESS E	BUT, AS A WHITE
MALE, IS INELIGIBLE TO APPLY FOR RELIEF UNDER THE NEW LAW	. ON DECEMBER
8, 2020, HARDRE SUED IN FEDERAL COURT ARGUING THAT THIS A	ASPECT OF
COLORADO'S COVID-RELIEF PROGRAM VIOLATES THE EQUAL PROTEC	CTION CLAUSE OF
THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. PLF HAS JOINED LOCAL COUNSEL IN	I REPRESENTING
HARDRE AND HIS BUSINESS TO CHALLENGE THIS UNCONSTITUTIONA	AL PROGRAM.
HOWEVER, THE COURT DISMISSED THE CASE AS UNRIPE. THE CLIE	ENT OPTED NOT
TO APPEAL. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER FEES.	
WYNN V. VILSACK/KENT V. VILSACK/MCKINNEY V. VILSACK/DUNLA	AP V. VILSACK.
PLF REPRESENTS INDIVIDUAL FARMERS IN FEDERAL COURT IN A S	SERIES OF CASES
CHALLENGING A PROVISION OF THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT C	DF 2021 THAT
ALLOWS LOAN FORGIVENESS OF UP TO 120%, BUT ONLY FOR MINOF	ITY FARMERS
AND RANCHERS, WHOM THE LAW AUTOMATICALLY TREATS AS "SOCIA	ALLY
DISADVANTAGED, " REGARDLESS OF THEIR INDIVIDUAL CIRCUMSTAN	ICES. BECAUSE
GOVERNMENT CANNOT USE RACIAL CLASSIFICATIONS TO DECIDE WE	IO GETS
GOVERNMENT BENEFITS AND BURDENS, PLF FILED CASES IN FEDER	AL DISTRICT
COURTS IN FLORIDA, ILLINOIS, TEXAS, AND OREGON, AND SOUGH	IT TO ENJOIN
THE GOVERNMENT'S ENFORCEMENT OF THE DISCRIMINATORY STATUT	ΓE.
WYNN V. VILSACK: SCOTT WYNN IS A LIFELONG FARMER WHO HAS	RUN WYNN FARMS
IN JENNINGS, FLORIDA, PRODUCING SWEET POTATOES, CORN, AND	O CATTLE SINCE
2006. COVID-19, HOWEVER, HIT THE FAMILY'S FINANCES HARD.	STEEP DROPS IN
BEEF PRICES AND TOO LITTLE HELP AND SUPPLIES TO GROW SWEE	T POTATOES
MEANT LESS INCOME, NEARLY ALL OF WHICH WENT TOWARD FEDERA	L FARM LOAN
REPAYMENT. WYNN IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR FARM LOAN FORGIVENESS	G UNDER THE
AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN BECAUSE HE IS WHITE AND THEREFORE DE	EEMED NOT
"SOCIALLY DISADVANTAGED."	
032212 11-20-20 Sch 83	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343	
KENT V. VILSACK: RYAN KENT IS A FULL-TIME FARMER IN CENTR		
ILLINOIS, AND OWNER OF A 5,000-ACRE FARM STARTED BY HIS F		
MANY FARMERS ACROSS THE COUNTRY, HE HAS A FEDERAL FARM LO		
OUTSTANDING BALANCE THAT CAUSED ECONOMIC HARDSHIP DURING THE COVID-19		
PANDEMIC. AT FIRST ENCOURAGED ABOUT A FARM LOAN FORGIVENE	SS PROVISION	
IN THE AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT OF 2021, RYAN WAS SURPRIS	ED TO LEARN HE	
IS NOT ELIGIBLE, BECAUSE HE IS WHITE.		
MCKINNEY V. VILSACK: JARROD MCKINNEY BEGAN RAISING CATTLE	IN THE	
TEXARKANA REGION EIGHT YEARS AGO WITH HELP FROM A FEDERAL	LOAN FOR	
BEGINNING FARMERS. LIKE MANY FARMERS FACING ECONOMIC HARD	SHIP IN THE	
PANDEMIC'S AFTERMATH, JARROD WOULD APPLY FOR FARM LOAN FO	RGIVENESS BUT	
HE IS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR THE FEDERAL PROGRAM-BECAUSE HE IS	WHITE.	
DUNLAP V. VILSACK: KATIE AND JAMES DUNLAP ARE FARMERS IN	OREGON WHO	
BOTH WORK TWO JOBS IN ADDITION TO RAISING THEIR TODDLER.	THE COUPLE	
RENT LAND FROM HIS PARENTS WHERE THEY RAISE CATTLE AND HA	Y-AN ENDEAVOR	
THAT REQUIRED TWO FARM LOANS TO BUY CATTLE AND EQUIPMENT.	LIKE MANY	
OTHER FARMERS, THE DUNLAPS WERE NEGATIVELY AFFECTED BY CO	VID AND WERE	
RELIEVED WHEN THEY HEARD ABOUT A FARM LOAN FORGIVENESS PR	OVISION IN	
CONGRESS' COVID-19-DRIVEN AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT OF 202	1. BUT THEY	
WERE INELIGIBLE FOR THE PROGRAM BECAUSE THEY ARE BOTH WHI	TE. THE	
DUNLAPS ARE NOW FIGHTING FOR EQUAL TREATMENT FOR ALL FARM	ERS IN A	
FEDERAL LAWSUIT.		
THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT IN THE WYNN CASE ISSUED A PREL	IMINARY	
INJUNCTION ENJOINING THE RACE-BASED PROGRAM NATIONWIDE. B	ECAUSE THESE	
CASES ARE PENDING, IT IS PREMATURE TO SEEK FEES.		
IN THE MATTER OF [MINOR BOY]. REPRESENTING FOSTER PARENTS	IN AN EQUAL	
PROTECTION CHALLENGE TO THE FEDERAL INDIAN CHILD WELFARE	ACT, WHICH	
COMPELS STATE COURTS TO FAVOR THE PLACEMENT OF INDIAN CHI	LDREN WITH	
84	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343
INDIAN RELATIVES, SOLELY BECAUSE OF THE CHILD'S AND FOSTE	
RACES. THE JUVENILE-COURT MAGISTRATE OVERSEEING MINOR BOY	
THAT A NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBE TESTIFIED THAT MINOR BOY'S F.	ATHER WAS AN
ENROLLED MEMBER. MINOR BOY WAS BORN IN A STATE 2,000 MILE	S FROM THIS
NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBE'S RESERVATION, AND HE HAS LIVED IN	THAT STATE HIS
WHOLE LIFE. MINOR BOY'S MOTHER IS DECEASED, AND HIS FATHE	R STRUGGLED
WITH ADDICTIONS. THE TRIBE INTERVENED IN THE CASE AND SOU	GHT TO PLACE
MINOR BOY ON ITS RESERVATION WITH RELATIVES WHOM MINOR BO	Y HAD NEVER
MET. MINOR BOY'S FOSTER PARENTS, REPRESENTED BY PLF, SOUG	HT LEGAL
CUSTODY OF MINOR BOY. THE JUVENILE COURT ISSUED A FAVORAB	LE DECISION
GRANTING LEGAL CUSTODY TO THE FOSTER FAMILY AND THE CASE	IS CLOSED.
THERE WAS NO POTENTIAL TO RECOVER FEES IN THIS CASE.	
MELAND V. PADILLA. IN 2018, CALIFORNIA ENACTED A WOMAN QU	OTA LAW, WHICH
REQUIRES ALL PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANIES THAT ARE INCORPORA	TED OR
HEADQUARTERED IN THE STATE TO HAVE A CERTAIN NUMBER OF FE	MALES ON THEIR
BOARDS OF DIRECTORS. THIS LAW IGNORES THAT WOMEN ARE MAKIN	NG GREAT
STRIDES IN THE BOARDROOM WITHOUT A GOVERNMENT MANDATE, AND	D PERPETUATES
THE MYTH THAT WOMEN CAN'T MAKE IT TO THE BOARDROOM WITHOUT	T GOVERNMENT
HELP. MORE IMPORTANTLY, THE LAW FORCES ANYONE SELECTING B	OARD MEMBERS
TO CONSIDER THEM AS MEMBERS OF A SEX-BASED GROUP, RATHER	THAN AS
INDIVIDUALS. PLF'S LAWSUIT ON BEHALF OF CREIGHTON MELAND	CHALLENGES THE
STATE LAW AS A BLATANT VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION'S EQ	UAL PROTECTION
GUARANTEE. THE DISTRICT COURT GRANTED THE STATE'S MOTION	TO DISMISS AND
PLF APPEALED TO THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. THE N	INTH CIRCUIT
REVERSED AND REMANDED TO THE DISTRICT COURT FOR CONSIDERA	TION OF THE
MERITS. THE CASE REMAINS PENDING, SO IT IS PREMATURE TO S	EEK FEES.
QUAD KNOPF, INC. V. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION. PLF REPR	ESENTS QUAD
KNOPF, AN ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND CONSULTING FIRM IN C. 032212 11-20-20 Sche 85	ALIFORNIA THAT edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 202
060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUND	DATION PACIFI21

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 Name of the organization	Page 2 Employer identification number
PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	94-2197343
CONTRACTS TO PERFORM SERVICES WITH CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTIL	ITIES
COMMISSION. BECAUSE OF THE COMMISSION'S REGULATIONS REQUI	RING THAT
CONTRACTORS UTILIZE A SET PERCENTAGE OF WOMEN- AND MINORI	TY-OWNED
SUBCONTRACTORS, QUAD KNOPF IS REQUIRED TO SUBCONTRACT WOR	K THAT COULD
BE PERFORMED BY ITS OWN EMPLOYEES. THESE REGULATIONS VIOL	ATE THE STATE
CONSTITUTION'S COMMITMENT TO PUBLIC CONTRACTING WITHOUT R	EGARD TO THE
RACE OR SEX OF CONTRACTORS, ENACTED AS PROPOSITION 209 IN	1996. PLF
PETITIONED THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION TO RESCIND ITS	REGULATIONS
TO THE EXTENT THEY OFFER PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT BASED ON	RACE AND SEX.
THE PETITION WAS DENIED AND PLF APPLIED FOR REHEARING, WH	ICH WAS ALSO
DENIED. THE CASE IS CLOSED. PLF DID NOT SEEK OR RECOVER F	EES.
AMICUS CASES: PLF FILED AMICUS BRIEFS IN THE FOLLOWING CA	SES,
FURTHERING THE OBJECTIVES DESCRIBED ABOVE.	
11 LAGUNITA, LLC V. CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION (CALIFO	RNIA SUPREME
COURT)	
301, 712, 2103 AND 3151 LLC, ET AL V. CITY OF MINNEAPOLIS	(EIGHTH
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)	
AGUILA V. DUCEY (ARIZONA SUPREME COURT)	
AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY FOUNDATION V. BECERRA (U.S. SUPR	EME COURT)
ANDERSON CREEK PARTNERS V. COUNTY OF HARNETT (NORTH CAROL	INA SUPREME
COURT)	
APARTMENT ASS'N OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, INC. V. CITY OF LO	S ANGELES
(NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)	
APOSHIAN V. BARR (TENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)	
BOARDMAN V. INSLEE (U.S. SUPREME COURT)	
BRIDGE AINA LE'A LLC V. LAND USE COMM'N (U.S. SUPREME COU	RT)
BRNOVICH V. DNC (U.S. SUPREME COURT)	
CANIGLIA V. STROM (U.S. SUPREME COURT)	
	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020
60103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNI	DATION PACIFI2

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Page 2 Employer identification number 94-2197343

CARGILL V. GARLAND (FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

CARR V. SAUL (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

CFPB V. ALL AMERICAN CHECK CASHING, INC. (FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF

APPEALS)

CLEMENTINE CO. V. CUOMO (SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK)

COLLINS V. MNUCHIN (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

COMMUNITY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT V. CITY OF NEW YORK (SECOND CIRCUIT COURT

OF APPEALS)

CROWE V. OREGON STATE BAR (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

DIAMOND NAT. RES. PROT. & CONSERVATION ASS'N V. DIAMOND VALLEY RANCH

(NEVADA SUPREME COURT)

DORCE V. CITY OF NEW YORK (SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

DOUGLAS V. ROPER (ALABAMA SUPREME COURT)

DOUGLASS PROPERTIES II, LLC V. CITY OF OLYMPIA (WASHINGTON SUPREME

COURT)

EYCHANER V. CITY OF CHICAGO (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

F.P. DEVELOPMENT, LLC V. CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF CANTON, MICHIGAN (SIXTH

CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

FDRLST MEDIA, LLC V. NLRB (THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

GIBSON V. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMM'N (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

GURROLA V. DUNCAN (NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

HARRISON V. MONTGOMERY COUNTY, OHIO (SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

(BRIEF AND ORAL ARGUMENT)

INLAND EMPIRE WATERKEEPER V. CORONA CLAY CO. (NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF

APPEALS)

JOHNSON V. CITY OF SUFFOLK (VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT)

LEMON BAY COVE, LLC V. UNITED STATES (COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS)

MENDELSON V. COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA (NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF

032212 11-20-20

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

87

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Schedule O	(Form 990)	or 990-EZ	2020
--------------------------------------	------------	------------	-----------	------

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

APPEALS)

MAHANOY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT V. B.L. (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

MURPHY AUTO GROUP V. FLORIDA DEPT. OF TRANSP. (ARGUED FLORIDA COURT OF

APPEALS)

NEWSOM V. SUPERIOR COURT (CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL)

PHARMACEUTICAL RESEARCH AND MANUFACTURERS OF AMERICA V. WILLIAMS

(EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEA

PART III, LINE 4A

STATE OF COLORADO V. 5 STAR FEEDLOT, INC. (COLORADO SUPREME COURT)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA V. WATERFIELD (NORTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT)

STUDENT FOR FAIR ADMISSIONS, INC. V. PRESIDENT & FELLOWS OF HARVARD

COLLEGE (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

SULITZER V. TIPPINS (NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

TAYLOR V. CITY OF SAGINAW, MICHIGAN (SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

THE HIGH LONESOME RANCH, LLC V. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSION (TENTH

CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

THOMPSON V. MARIETTA EDUCATION ASSOCIATION (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

TRUMP V. SIERRA CLUB (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

UNITED STATES V. ARTHREX (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

UZUEGBUNAM V. PRECZEWSKI (U.S. SUPREME COURT)

WINBERRY V. BOROUGH OF RUTHERFORD (NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT) (ALSO

ORALLY ARGUED)

032212 11-20-20

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 11B:

THE TAX PREPARER AND PLF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PROVIDE THE FORM 990 TO THE

AUDIT COMMITTEE, ALONG WITH EACH TRUSTEE, GIVING THEM THE OPPORTUNITY TO

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

88

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer identification number $94-2197343$
RAISE ANY CONCERNS AND/OR ASK QUESTIONS PRIOR TO THE FILI	NG DATE. A
DEADLINE IS GIVEN TO THE TRUSTEES TO INSURE TIMELY FILING	OF THE TAX
RETURN.	

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 12C:

PLF'S BYLAWS PROVIDE THAT ANY SELF-DEALING TRANSACTION MUST BE APPROVED BY A MAJORITY OF THE BOARD, WITH THE INTERESTED TRUSTEE(S) EXCLUDED FROM VOTING. THE BOARD MUST ALSO CONDUCT REASONABLE INVESTIGATION AND DETERMINE IT COULD NOT HAVE OBTAINED A MORE ADVANTAGEOUS ARRANGEMENT. THE GOVERNANCE & NOMINATING COMMITTEE IS CHARGED WITH ANNUAL REVIEW OF TRUSTEES INCLUDING SECURING ANY DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH A WRITTEN FORM SIGNED ANNUALLY BY EACH TRUSTEE. EMPLOYEES ARE REQUIRED BY OUR CONFLICTS OF INTEREST POLICY TO DISCLOSE TO THE DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES ANY ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST WHICH ARE THEN RESOLVED BY THE PRESIDENT.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 15:

CEO COMPENSATION IS REVIEWED ANNUALLY BY THE GOVERNANCE & NOMINATING COMMITTEE WHICH MAKES RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE FULL BOARD TO DETERMINE COMPENSATION. JOB DESCRIPTIONS FOR THE CEO AND OTHER KEY EXECUTIVES ARE EVALUATED AGAINST INDEPENDENT MARKET SOURCES AND COMPENSATION DATA. PLF'S INDEPENDENT BOARD APPLIES THE "REBUTTABLE PRESUMPTION OF REASONABLENESS" PROCEDURES IN ITS EVALUATION OF THE COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS OF KEY EMPLOYEES.

FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 17, LIST OF STATES RECEIVING COPY OF FORM 990: CA,AK,AZ,FL,HI,IL,KS,MD,MA,MI,MN,NJ,NY,OH,OR,PA,SC,UT,VA,WA,AR,MO,NC,NH,AL CO,CT,GA,KY,LA,ME,MS,ND,NM,OK,TN,WV,WI,RI,DC 032212 11-20-20 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020 89 09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION PACIFI21

Name of the organization

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION C, LINE 19:

COPIES ARE AVAILABLE ON THE ORGANIZATIONS WEBSITE OR UPON REQUEST.

FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 9, CHANGES IN NET ASSETS:SFAS NO.247 ADJUSTMENT FOR SPLIT INTEREST AGREEMENTS441,395.RECEIVABLES DEEMED UNCOLLECTABLE-45,546.TOTAL TO FORM 990, PART XI, LINE 9395,849.

PART XII, LINE 2C

NO CHANGE FROM PRIOR YEAR

032212 11-20-20

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2020

09060103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

90

SCHEDULE R (Form 990)	► Complete if the organizations and Unrelated Partnerships Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33, 34, 35b, 36, or 37.	and Unrelated Par (es" on Form 990, Part IV, li	<b>tnerships</b> ne 33, 34, 35b, 36, or	.37.	NO	OMB No. 1545-0047
Department of the Treasury Internal Revienue Service	Attach to Form 990. Attach to Form 990. Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.	<ul> <li>Attach to Form 990.</li> <li>Aftach instructions and the lates</li> </ul>	: information.		d O	Open to Public Inspection
Name of the organization PACIFIC LEGAL	FOUNDATION				Employer identification number $94-2197343$	ation number 4 3
Part I Identification of Disregarded Entities. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 33.	te if the organization answered "Yes".	on Form 990, Part IV, line 33.				
<b>(a)</b> Name, address, and EIN (if applicable) of disregarded entity	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Total income	(e) End-of-year assets		(f) Direct controlling entity
PLF BUILDING, LLC - 47-1126088 930 G STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814	COMMERCIAL PROPERTY	CALIFORNIA		0.2,910,46	PACIFIC LEGAL 2,910,465.FOUNDATION	г
Part II Identification of Related Tax-Exempt Organizations. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, because it had one or more related tax-exempt organizations during the tax year.	ations. Complete if the organization a	nswered "Yes" on Form 990,	Part IV, line 34, beca	use it had one or m	iore related tax-exer	npt
(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	<b>(b)</b> Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Exempt Code Pr section sta	(e) Public charity status (if section 501(c)(3))	(f) Direct controlling entity	(g) Section 512(b)(13) controlled entity? Yes No
For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990. SEE PART VII FOR CO	ns for Form 990. LI FOR CONTINUATIONS	2	-	-	Schedule R (I	Schedule R (Form 990) 2020

91

SEE FAKT 032161 10-28-20 LHA

94-2197343 Page 2 t had one or more related	(i) (i) (i) (k) Code V-UBI General or Percentage amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) Yes No	or more than the second	(g)     (h)     (i)       Share of end-of-year     Percentage station     \$12(b)(13) section       assets     ownership     entrity?       assets     Yes     No		Schedule R (Form 990) 2020
ne 34, because it	Disproportionate allocations?	Part IV, line 34, t	(f) Share of total Sl income end a		
n 990, Part IV, li	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	s" on Form 990,			
ed "Yes" on Forr	(f) Share of total income	answered "Yes	(e) Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust)		
nization answer	(e) Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512-514)	the organization	b Direct controlling entity		
e if the organ	ng Predomi (relateo excluded section	Complete if	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)		92
ATION nership. Complet	(d) Direct controlling entity	oration or Trust.	(b) Primary activity		
FOUNDATION e as a Partnership. ( tax year.	(c) Legal domicial domicial foreign country)	as a Corpc	, E I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
LFIC LEGAL rganizations Taxabl artnership during the	(b) Primary activity	anizations Taxable	7_		
Schedule R (Form 990) 2020       PACIFIC       LEGAL       FOUNDATION       94 – 2197343         Part III       Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Partnership. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, because it had one or more related organizations treated as a partnership during the tax year.	(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization	Part IV Identification of Related Orgo	(a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization		032162 10-28-20

FOUNDATION	
LEGAL	
PACIFIC	
Schedule R (Form 990) 2020	

94-2197343 Page 3

Part V Transactions With Related Organizations. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 34, 35b, or 36.

Note: Complete line 1 if any entity is listed in Parts II, III, or IV of this schedule.				۶	Yes No
1 During the tax year, did the organization engage in any of the following transactions with one or more related organizations listed in Parts II-IV?	ons with one or more re	lated organizations listed in	h Parts II-IV?		
a Receipt of (i) interest, (ii) annuities, (iii) royalties, or (iv) rent from a controlled entity	tity			<b>1</b> a	
<b>b</b> Gift, grant, or capital contribution to related organization(s)				1b	
S				1c	
d I oans or loan guarantees to or for related organization(s)				19	
				: -	
Evals of loan gradances by related of gainzanon of a mean of some sector of some sector of the se				2	
E Dividende from related eventing (a)				¥	
				=	
g Sale of assets to related organization(s)				1g	
h Purchase of assets from related organization(s)				Чh	_
i Exchange of assets with related organization(s)				÷	
j Lease of facilities, equipment, or other assets to related organization(s)				į	
<ul> <li>k Lease of facilities. equipment, or other assets from related organization(s)</li> </ul>				¥	
<ol> <li>Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations for related organization(s)</li> </ol>	aanization(s)			=	
m Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations by related or	related organization(s)			<u>1</u>	
	ation(s)			4	
<b>o</b> Sharing of paid employees with related organization(s)				9	
<b>b</b> Reimbursement paid to related organization(s) for expenses				ę	
				1a	
				•	
r Other transfer of cash or property to related organization(s)				+	
s Other transfer of cash or property from related organization(s)				1s	
2 If the answer to any of the above is "Yes," see the instructions for information on who must complete this line, including covered relationships and transaction thresholds.	n who must complete th	is line, including covered re	lationships and transaction thresholds.		
<b>(a)</b> Name of related organization	<b>(b)</b> Transaction type (a-s)	<b>(c)</b> Amount involved	<b>(d)</b> Method of determining amount involved	volved	
(1)					
(2)					
(3)					
(1)					
(5)					
(6)					
032163 10-28-20	93		Schedule	Schedule R (Form 990) 2020	90) 2020

Schedule R (Form 990) 2020       PACIFIC       LEGAL       FOUNDATI         Part VI       Unrelated Organizations Taxable as a Partnership. Complete if	IC LEGAL FOUNDATION able as a Partnership. Complete if the	DATION nplete if the organ	ON the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 37	n Form 96	90, Part IV, line (	37.		94-219	-2197343	Page 4
Provide the following information for each entity taxed as a partnership through which the organization conducted more than five percent of its activities (measured by total assets or gross revenue) that was not a related organization. See instructions regarding exclusion for certain investment partnerships.	entity taxed as a partnersh structions regarding exclu	iip through which t sion for certain inv	the organization conducte estment partnerships.	ed more th	han five percent	: of its activities (m	easured b	y total assets or	r gross r	evenue)
(a) Name, address, and EIN of entity	<b>(b)</b> Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Predominant income (related, unrelated, excluded from tax under sections 512-514)	(e) Are all 501(c)(3) orgs.? Yes No	(f) Share of total income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Dispropor- tionate allocations?	(i) (j) (k) Code V-UBI General or Percentage amount in box 20 managing of Schedule K-1 partner? (Form 1065) ves No	(j) General or managing partner? Yes No	<b>(k)</b> Percentage ownership
								Schedule	R (Forn	Schedule R (Form 990) 2020

PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

Part VII Supplemental Information

Provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule R. See instructions.

PART I, IDENTIFICATION OF DISREGARDED ENTITIES:

NAME, ADDRESS, AND EIN OF DISREGARDED ENTITY:

PLF BUILDING, LLC

EIN: 47-1126088

930 G STREET

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

PRIMARY ACTIVITY: COMMERCIAL PROPERTY

DIRECT CONTROLLING ENTITY: PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION

032165 10-28-20

95

PACIFI21

## **CARRYOVER DATA TO 2021**

Name PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION	Employer Identification N 94-2197343	umber
Based on the information provided with this return, the following are possible carryover amounts to next year.		
FEDERAL PRE-2018 NET OPERATING LOSS		45,15
	·	
19341 4-01-20		
95.1 60103 759263 PACIFICLEGAL 2020.05010 PACIFIC LEGAL	FOUNDATION	PACIFI