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## INTRODUCTION

The right to demand a warrant is fundamental to the security guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment. The City of Billings ("City") has taken this right and security from massage therapists by imposing unannounced, warrantless, and virtually unconstrained searches on their homes and businesses to try and catch criminals engaged in sex trafficking. That laudable goal does not allow Billings to violate the Fourth Amendment. Plaintiffs are a group of massage therapists, and one patient, whom the City compelled to surrender their Fourth Amendment rights to exclude the government from their houses, papers, and other properties in exchange for a City facility license. Absent a license, Plaintiffs face criminal prosecution and fines for continuing to treat their patients. With no reasonable alternative, Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit and now ask this Court to disentangle the unconstitutional bargain the City has forced on them, declare the Ordinance unconstitutional, and enjoin its enforcement. ${ }^{1}$

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

Many modern-day criminal enterprises, specifically those trafficking in sex, have chosen to disguise themselves as massage businesses. Instead of funding the police department to assign more officers, gather evidence, and execute warrants to catch these criminals and combat this illegal activity, the City adopted an ordinance

[^0]that strips law-abiding massage therapists of their Fourth Amendment rights. This ordinance exposes all massage therapy businesses to warrantless, unannounced searches of their entire premises, including treatment logs. See generally Ord. 215757 ("Ordinance"). The Ordinance's purpose is plain as day: shut down criminals' illicit sex operations with scant regard for the security and privacy of law-abiding massage therapists' livelihoods, employees, patients, properties, and homes.

## Theresa Vondra and Adam Poulos

Plaintiff Theresa Vondra is a state-licensed massage therapist and business owner of The Massage Company, who employs one receptionist and four massage therapists at her 11th Street West location in the City. She personally treats approximately 45 to 55 patients each month. (Exh. 17, 12:23-13:8). One of her longtime patients is Adam Poulos, who began seeing Theresa for therapeutic massage after suffering a neck injury. He saw her several times per week at first and now approximately once per month. (Exh. 15, 45:19-48:17).

Theresa applied for a facility license as required by the Ordinance after it went into effect, (Exh. 1), the requirements of which she protested by way of letter drafted by counsel. The letter rejects explicitly the requirement for her to "attest" that she would "allow warrantless searches and seizures of her private property and client records as a licensing condition." (Exh. 1). As part of the licensing process, the City initially inspected The Massage Company on March 3, 2022. (Exh. 17, 90:1-95:13).

A City official searched areas of the business beyond the lobby, including massage rooms, storage areas, and lockers used to store the personal belongings of patients and employees. (Exh. 1); (Exh. 25)); (Exh. 17, 32:25-33:09, 94:21-95:13). The City issued Theresa a facility license on March 8, 2022. (Doc. 36).

Because of the licensing scheme, Theresa's business now maintains a separate paper treatment log, in addition to the business's electronic database system, to comply with § 7-1911 of the Ordinance's mandate to keep a massage therapy treatment log with a record of the "date, time and type of each massage therapy administered, and the name of employee administering massage therapy." (Exh. 17, 96:15-97:7). Adam, as Theresa's patient, has the times and dates of his massage therapy treatments recorded in this log, which the Ordinance exposes to unannounced, warrantless searches without cause. § 7-1911.

## Donna Podolak

Plaintiff Donna Podolak is a state-licensed massage therapist practicing in the City, treating patients as a home-practitioner from her apartment in an over-55 community. She utilizes one of the rooms in her home for therapies, in which she also stores confidential treatment records, patients' insurance information, a massage table, and all the equipment necessary for her work. However, her patients often use the bathroom across the hall from her massage room and get a glass of water in her kitchen. Upon immediate entry to Donna's home apartment, the kitchen, living
room, and Donna's bedroom are visible. To avoid criminal liability for practicing her chosen profession, Donna applied in person to the City for a solo practitioner certification. For the reason stated above, she also maintains a separate treatment log. (Exh. 22); (Exh. 14, 102:21-103:4).

## Lynda Larvie

Finally, Plaintiff Lynda Larvie is a state-licensed massage therapist who practices from a property she owns in the City under the company name of Bella Spa: Body, Mind, Spirit, where she has previously rented space to estheticians and hairstylists. (Exh. 13, 37:1-16). The City approved her as a solo practitioner and issued a licensing certificate on September 8, 2021. (Exh. 2). She too maintains a separate treatment log. (Exh. 23); (Exh. 23).

## STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This Court possesses federal-question jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under the Fourth Amendment, incorporated against the State through the Fourteenth Amendment; Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. § 1983; Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201; 28 U.S.C. § 1331; and 28 U.S.C. § 1343. The City is located within Billings, MT, and most Plaintiffs are domiciled here, thus venue is proper. Also, Billings is the location in which the alleged constitutional violation underlying this lawsuit has occurred and remains ongoing. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1); L.R. 1.2(c)(1), 3.2(b).

## ARGUMENT

## I. THE ORDINANCE FACIALLY VIOLATES THE FOURTH AMENDMENT

The Fourth Amendment defends the "right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures." U.S. Const. amend. IV. A court's threshold task in a Fourth Amendment action involves determining whether the challenged conduct was a "search." U.S. Const. amend. IV. Two lines of precedent have emerged from the Supreme Court to answer the "search" question: one grounded on the security of self and property against physical government trespasses, United States v. Jones, 565 U.S. 400, 411 (2012), and another that focuses on societal expectations of privacy. Katz v. United States, 389 U.S. 347, 361 (1967). Plaintiffs assert causes of action against the Ordinance in two separate counts. See (Doc. 1 at 26) (Complaint) ("Count I: Facial claim ... for physical invasion of property); id. at 30 ("Count II: Facial claim ... for invasion of privacy"). Plaintiffs' third count asserts that the warrantless search conditions attached to their licenses violate the unconstitutional conditions doctrine by requiring them to relinquish their Fourth Amendment rights against unreasonable Katz and Jones searches of their homes, businesses, papers, and effects. (Doc. 1 at 34-37).

First, the Ordinance authorizes physical trespassory "Jones" searches by providing that a government officer may (i) enter physically the private area of a home and a business property and, (ii) access treatment logs.

Second, the Ordinance authorizes invasion-of-privacy "Katz" searches because society recognizes a reasonable expectation of privacy against arbitrary intrusions into both a home and a businesses' private areas, and the information contained in the treatment logs required to be kept under the Ordinance.

## A. Warrantless searches of homes and businesses are presumed unconstitutional.

"Searches and seizures inside a home without a warrant are presumptively unreasonable." Payton v. New York, 445 U.S. 573, 586 (1980) (quoting Coolidge v. New Hampshire, 403 U.S. 443, 477-78 (1971)). It is well settled that this rule extends to businesses. See v. City of Seattle, 387 U.S. 541, 543-45 (1967) ("The businessman, like the occupant of a residence, has a constitutional right to go about his business free from unreasonable official entries upon his private commercial property ... without official authority evidenced by warrant.").

Thus, the right to security from unreasonable searches is fundamental to commercial property owners like Theresa Vondra and Lynda Larvie, just as it is to Donna Podolak, who practices from home. It is the government's burden to establish that a warrant exception applies and is satisfied. City of Los Angeles v. Patel, 576 U.S. 409, 419-20 (2015).

## B. The Ordinance authorizes warrantless searches of businesses, houses, and papers.

The Ordinance authorizes searches of massage therapists' private properties and records without a warrant. Removing all doubt, the Ordinance imposes criminal sanctions on massage therapists who refuse (1) to permit government access; (2) to accede to government officials' demands to open cabinets, rooms, and other storage areas; and (3) to produce treatment logs promptly on demand. Because the Ordinance's sweeping search powers depend neither on a warrant nor consent and because they provide no procedure for judicial pre-compliance review, as a matter of law the Ordinance must be presumed unconstitutional. Coolidge, 403 U.S. at 45455. (citation omitted) ("The burden is on those seeking the exemption" from the Warrant Clause); Patel, 576 U.S. at 419.

Only one section is titled "Inspections," but three sections within the Ordinance authorize searches of massage therapists' properties. The first, Section 71910, prohibits "refus[al] to provide access to government inspectors or law enforcement personnel who provide proof of identity." The second, Section 7-1911, requires that massage therapists "[i]mmediately open any locked door, including any exterior door, upon request by an inspector or law enforcement officer who provides proof of identity." It also requires massage therapists to furnish a log of all massage therapy administered to any inspector that demands it. § 7-1911(c). And the third, section 7-1912, provides that "a law enforcement officer may enter ... at any time
during business hours to determine compliance with any law under that person's jurisdiction, including but not limited to this article or provisions of the Massage Therapy and Licensing Act," § 7-1912(c), and also mandates that "[a]ll rooms, cabinets, and storage areas shall be subject to inspection and any locked rooms, cabinets, or storage areas shall be promptly opened for inspection." § 7-1912(d).

Section 7-1911 requires a log containing the "date, time, and type of each massage therapy administered, and name of employee administering" to be kept for one year-and "shall be subject to inspection upon request." Such records are unequivocally "papers" within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment. Patel v. City of Los Angeles, 738 F.3d 1058, 1061 (9th Cir. 2013) (en banc).

The Ordinance unconstitutionally lacks any mechanism for precompliance judicial review of the search demands before imposing criminal liability for refusal of searches. See Patel, 576 U.S. at 420 ("[T]o be constitutional, the subject of the [administrative] search must be afforded an opportunity to obtain precompliance review before a neutral decisionmaker."). On the contrary, Sections 7-1912(d) and 7-1911(c) compel "prompt[]" obedience "upon request," while exposing a violator to both criminal and civil penalties for noncompliance. § 7-1919.

Physical intrusions authorized by the Ordinance operate against the property interests enumerated by the Fourth Amendment, constituting trespassory searches. Jones, 565 U.S. at 411. Likewise, they intrude on the reasonable expectations of
privacy of patients, massage therapists, and business owners in their homes, commercial properties, and papers, including the information required to be kept in treatment logs. Katz, 389 U.S. at 361 (Harlan, J., concurring).

## II. THE ORDINANCE VIOLATES PLAINTIFFS' FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AS APPLIED TO THEM INDIVIDUALLY THROUGH THE CONDITIONAL LICENSING MANDATE

Though government may withhold a privilege or benefit outright, it "may not impose conditions" on those privileges or benefits "which require the relinquishment of constitutional rights." Frost v. R.R. Comm'n of California, 271 U.S. 583, 594 (1926). It is firmly settled that a government may not require people to surrender their constitutional rights in exchange for a license or benefit-even a completely gratuitous one. See, e.g., Koontz v. St. Johns River Water Mgmt. Dist., 570 U.S. 595 (2013) (Fifth Amendment Takings Clause); United States v. Scott, 450 F.3d 863 (9th Cir. 2006) (Fourth Amendment). Yet this is exactly what the Ordinance requires of massage therapists. The "unconstitutional conditions doctrine" prohibits such exchanges and is "especially important in the Fourth Amendment context" to enforce limits on the government's ability to induce waivers of constitutional rights. Scott, 450 F.3d at 867.

Under the unconstitutional conditions doctrine, a court first asks whether the challenged condition, if imposed outside the context of the transaction, would violate the person's constitutional right. Koontz, 570 U.S. at 612; Frost, 271 U.S. at 593.

Second, the court applies merits review to the condition in the context of the transaction. Frost, 271 U.S. at 599. For conditions that force a waiver of Fourth Amendment rights, this involves determining whether a warrant exception applies and whether that exception is satisfied. See Scott, 450 F.3d at $868-72$. With that in mind, an analysis of the Ordinance's scheme follows.

Under the Ordinance, a massage therapist's "refus[al] to provide access to government inspectors or law enforcement personnel" is a criminal offense. §§ 71910(k); 7-1919. On these facts, application of the doctrine of unconstitutional conditions is straightforward. First, the City could not-constitutionally-have imposed searches on the homes and commercial properties of Plaintiffs outside the licensing process. See See, 387 U.S. at 543-45. Second, because no warrant exception applies to the practice of massage therapy in Montana, the search conditions are unconstitutional as a matter of law. See Patel, 576 U.S. at 419-20; infra Part III.

Thus, the Court's analysis can end here with a grant of this motion in favor of the Plaintiffs. For purposes of a full briefing, however, Plaintiffs will show how the Billings' scheme fails to pass constitutional muster for additional dispositive reasons based on the City's warrant-exception defense.

## III. THE WARRANT EXCEPTION FOR CLOSELY REGULATED INDUSTRIES DOES NOT APPLY TO MASSAGE THERAPY IN MONTANA

The only specific warrant exception the City relies on in its Answer is the closely-regulated-industry exception, which falls within the administrative-search category of warrant exceptions. (Doc. 16 at 9 ब 4 ) (City's Answer). Before this Court may reach that exception's rigorous 3-pronged test for reasonableness, the City must first establish that (1) the Ordinance's primary purpose is not crime control within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment's "special needs" doctrine, and (2) massage therapy in Montana is a closely regulated industry.

## A. Crime control is the programmatic purpose of the Ordinance, thus the searches at issue do not fall within the "special needs" doctrine.

Administrative-search programs must have as their predominant purpose a government interest separate from crime control. Ferguson v. City of Charleston, 532 U.S. 67, 81-86 (2001). The City fails this threshold test for two reasons. First, it conceded the crime-control purpose in its Answer. See Doc. 16 at 9 9 5. Second, its officers have repeatedly affirmed that its massage ordinance's specific central purpose is combatting criminal sex trafficking enterprises. For example, a public letter from City Attorney Gina Dahl to the Billings City Council sets out the Ordinance's predominant purpose:

If properly implemented and enforced, this ordinance will reduce human trafficking by making it more difficult for illicit businesses to
operate under the guise of being massage or spa facilities. Additionally, it will save resources for law enforcement by providing an alternative process to shut down these illicit businesses, and it will drive away criminal enterprises masquerading as legitimate businesses in exchange for low cost, nominal regulation.
(Exh. 4, City 705).
Training materials for City staff confirm that crime control is not a side effect of the Ordinance, but its primary objective. They instruct that inspections should "[f]ocus on suspected illicit operations" and conduct "war not battle" against them. (Exh. 5, City 1065). Under a heading titled "Proposed Ordinance Goals," a June 8, 2021 training presentation for City personnel states the Ordinance would " $[\mathrm{p}]$ rohibit practices specific to illicit businesses" and "[e]asily spot and remove illicit businesses through inspections ... instead of expensive, risky, covert law enforcement operations for misdemeanor busts." (Exh. 5, City 1069). The City's Rule 30(b)(6) representative also admits that the "purpose" of the inspections is " $[\mathrm{t}] \mathrm{o}$ determine if there[] [are] any indicators for any illicit massage activity occurring"a reference to "prostitution or human trafficking." (Exh. 11, 9:4-9:25, 21:3-22:2). Likewise, the City's Code Enforcement Division Manager, Tina Hoeger, confirmed under oath that the City's inspections are designed to look for condoms, vibrators,
and things "of a sexual nature" that indicate a business is a front for sex crimes. (Exh. 12, 30:12-30:23).

One element of an administrative-search program that reveals its crimecontrol purpose is information-sharing with law enforcement. See Ferguson, 532 U.S. at 83 (hospital's policy of drug testing pregnant patients did not serve "special need" where results were shared with local police). Here, the Ordinance does not merely implement a program where information is shared with law enforcement, which would be unconstitutional standing alone. No, it goes further: It authorizes warrantless entries by police officers themselves "to determine compliance with any $\boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{w}$ under th[eir] jurisdiction." Id. (emphasis added). Even when code enforcement is the department conducting investigations, the Ordinance was designed to uncover criminality so that the police can follow up without the inconvenience of obtaining a warrant. At the City Council's April 12, 2021 session, Chief St. John stated that the Ordinance's search authorities provide the police "another tool to access the information and evidence [needed] to bring a case together," (Exh. 10, 225:1-259:3), and highlighted that code enforcement would share investigation evidence with the police. Id. at 100:13-101:16.

The text of the Ordinance is instructive here as well. In the "WHEREAS" clauses, it recognizes the scourge of "illicit businesses," "human trafficking," "unlawful sexual activities," and "other unlawful activity," Ordinance, at 1-2. The

Ordinance references and prohibits "erotic parlors," "nudity," and "sexual acts." § 71902. Furthermore, it targets practices and objects specifically associated with criminal sex trafficking operations. See § 7-1910(h) (sex toys, lubricants, etc.), (j) (sex for compensation), (k) ("elud[ing] law enforcement by exiting side or back doors"), (m) ("operate an erotic parlor"); (Exh. 16, 21:10-25:20) (identifying red flags associated with illicit sex trafficking); (Exh. 6: City FAQ re Ordinance) (describing Ordinance's focus on "red flags" associated with criminal sex trafficking operations).

Even if the Ordinance serves an additional government interest in helping the victims of sex trafficking, the Court must evaluate the Ordinance's "immediate" purpose. At a high level of abstraction, every crime-control law serves a broader ultimate public purpose, but "[s]uch an approach is inconsistent with the Fourth Amendment." Ferguson, 532 U.S. at 83 (rejecting the "ultimate purpose" lens). While many crimes harm the public's general health, safety, and wellnessincluding their victims-this does not immunize programmatic searches and seizures (like the ones here) designed to reveal evidence of criminality from the warrant requirement.

The City's warrant-exception defense fails here because administrative-search programs cannot be motivated by an interest in crime control. This alone is sufficient
for the Court to rule in Plaintiffs' favor. But there are additional dispositive reasons the City's warrant-exception defense fails.

## B. Massage therapy in Montana is not a closely regulated industry under either Jones or Katz.

First, Plaintiffs challenge the Ordinance under Jones, 565 U.S. 400, which applies the Fourth Amendment's irreducible protections against physical trespasses to property under the background law of property, customs, and search-and-seizure law as they existed in 1791. Id. at 411. They also assert a claim under Katz, 389 U.S. 347, which defends against the invasion of reasonable societal expectations of privacy. Id. at 361 (Harlan, J., concurring). Laws authorizing Jones searches are reviewed under a standard that asks whether they are reasonable under the background law in existence at the time the Fourth Amendment was ratified, e.g., common-law trespass. See Torres v. Madrid, 141 S.Ct. 989, 998 (2021) (Amendment defends "th[e] degree of privacy against government that existed when the Fourth Amendment was adopted."). Inquiries into societal expectations of privacy are properly relegated to Katz searches. Cf. Carpenter v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 2206, 2213-15 (2018) (applying Katz to privacy interests in cell phone locational data).

Under Plaintiffs' Jones cause of action, the Ordinance is unconstitutional because (1) the Ordinance authorizes physical trespasses to homes, the private areas of business properties, effects, and papers; (2) the practice of massage therapy existed prior to 1791; and (3) no background customs, practices, or principles of law
from the Founding Era subjected massage therapists to warrantless searches. See Patel, 576 U.S. at 424-26 (finding hotels were regulated at the Founding but not subject to unannounced warrantless searches and therefore not a closely regulated industry).

Under Plaintiffs' Katz cause of action, the Ordinance is unconstitutional because (1) the practice of massage therapy in Montana is not heavily regulated by intrusive restrictions, and (2) has not been regulated by the State for very long. See Killgore v. City of S. El Monte, 3 F.4th 1186, 1189 (9th Cir. 2021) (evaluating effect of history and intrusiveness of regulations on expectations of privacy to determine if an industry is closely regulated for a Katz claim).

## 1. Massage therapy has been in existence since the Founding and was not subject to warrantless searches at that time.

The meaning of the Constitution is fixed and must provide massage therapists the same right against unreasonable searches and seizures in their homes, businesses, effects, and papers as they enjoyed in 1791 when the Fourth Amendment was ratified. Jones, 565 U.S. at 411. When evaluating a regulatory scheme under the Jones test, a court must determine (1) whether the regulated activity existed at the time of the Founding, and then (2) whether it was subject to reasonable warrantless searches at that time. If the answer is in the positive, the court must then apply the doctrinal test for reasonableness that existed in 1791. Cf. Carroll v. United States, 267 U.S. 132, 150-55 (1925).

Massage therapy has been practiced in various parts of the world since ancient times. See Andrew Vickers \& Catherine Zollman, ABC of Complementary Medicine: Massage Therapies, 319 British Medical J. 1254, 1254 (1999). Massage therapists in England and colonial America during the 1700s would often massage patients following surgeries or to help stimulate movement in atrophied limbs. Patricia J. Benjamin, Brush Up on the History of the Massage Therapy Profession, American Massage Therapy Ass’n (Aug. 27, 2015); Abraham Colles, On Massage, 2 British Medical J. 175 (1888). Ohio was the first state to regulate and require a license to practice, but not until 1915. Thornton et al., 56 J.L. \& Econ. At 374; see also id. (only 10 states licensed massage through 1975).

The City simply cannot show that massage therapy was an activity subjected to warrantless searches and seizures as a matter of background law at the time of the Founding. Thus, even if the City can establish that the Ordinance serves a primary purpose other than crime control, its closely-regulated-industry defense to Plaintiffs' Count I Jones claim and Count III unconstitutional conditions doctrine claim fail at this stage.

Nor does the City's closely-regulated-industry defense fare any better against Plaintiffs' Count II claim that the Ordinance violates Katz expectations of privacy, as will be shown below.

## 2. Montana's massage regulations do not significantly diminish privacy expectations under Katz.

Whether an industry is closely regulated under Katz depends on three factors: (1) the historical duration of regulation, (2) the effect of pervasive industrial regulations on expectations of privacy, and (3) whether the activity "poses a clear and significant risk to the public welfare." Patel, 576 U.S. at 424.

Massage therapists in Montana have only been licensed since July 1, 2010. Montana Laws 2009, ch. 451, §6(1)(H.B. 662). But the mere licensing of an activity is not pervasive industrial regulation. It was not until 2019 that Montana imposed its first regulation on massage therapy businesses themselves. See Mont. Laws 2019, ch. 419 (H.B. 749) (imposing business requirement to conspicuously display state licenses). Thus, state regulations on the operation of massage therapy businesses had been in place for less than 3 years when the City adopted its Ordinance. This should be contrasted with the California massage industry, which had been regulated for over 30 years when the Ninth Circuit ruled that it was closely regulated under the Katz line of industrial-search cases. Killgore, 3 F.4th at 1191. The Billings Ordinance became effective 10 months prior to Plaintiffs' suing the City. (Doc. 1); Ordinance, at $16, \S 4$. Thus, the duration element weighs heavily in Plaintiffs' favor.

Next, for an industry to be "closely regulated" under Katz, the regulations imposed on businesses must be particularly pervasive. For example, in Killgore, the Ninth Circuit found that California had "completely regulate[d] the environment in
which massages are provided." 3 F.4th at 1190 (quoting Rush v. Obledo, 756 F.2d 713, 720 (9th Cir. 1985)) (cleaned up). Such regulations "must be sufficiently pervasive and defined that the owner of such a facility cannot help but be aware that he 'will be subject to effective inspection.'" Donovan v. Dewey, 452 U.S. 594, 603 (1981) (quotation omitted). No such state regulations existed at the time of the Ordinance's adoption. The only state-imposed inspection power-indeed the only requirement imposed on businesses rather than practitioners themselves-was a 2019 law that allows state and local officers to enter a massage therapy business for the limited purpose of ensuring that each practicing massage therapist's license is conspicuously displayed. MCA § 37-33-406. Because this activity does not invade the private areas of businesses, it fails to intrude on the reasonable expectation of privacy that business owners have in the employee-only areas of their businesses, unlike the Ordinance. See Marshall v. Barlow's, Inc., 436 U.S. 307, 314 (1978) (striking down OSHA inspection power as to private areas of businesses).

Finally, there is no evidence in the record that massage poses any danger to the public. On the contrary, it is a therapeutic practice. If the City relies on a non-crime-control purpose for the Ordinance's inspection powers, it must prove that the proffered purpose attempts to control a dangerous activity. Patel, 576 U.S. at 424. That burden falls on the Defendant and examination of the record reveals that it is not met.

The City cannot meet its burden of establishing that massage therapy was closely regulated-even under the more relaxed Katz standard.

This Court need not apply the Katz warrant exception for closely regulated industries because massage therapy in Montana is not closely regulated. Nonetheless, the Ordinance would fail this analysis, as detailed below.

## IV. THE ORDINANCE DOES NOT PASS MUSTER UNDER THE CLOSELY-REGULATED-INDUSTRY EXCEPTION, EVEN IF IT APPLIED

If the City's defense makes it past the "special needs" and closely-regulatedindustry tests, it nonetheless fails here because (1) the Ordinance does not impose a "comprehensive regulatory scheme," (2) warrantless searches are not necessary to its purpose, and (3) the Ordinance places no significant limitations on the scope of searches or discretion of the officers executing them. See Patel, 576 U.S. at 425-26 (citation omitted). There is one additional prong to this analysis, which Plaintiffs need not address, and that is the substantial-government-interest prong. Without doubt, investigating criminal sex traffickers is a substantial government interest. However, crime control is not a legitimate interest for purposes of an administrativesearch scheme. See supra Part III-A. If the City elects to rely on a government interest other than "curtailing prostitution and human trafficking," as set out in its Answer, (Doc. 16 at 9 『 5), Plaintiffs will address it in their responsive brief.

## A. The Ordinance does not create a comprehensive regulatory scheme.

To apply the closely-regulated-industry test, there must first be a "comprehensive scheme of regulation" for a court to review. See Patel, 576 U.S. at 425. The City's Ordinance is neither regulatory nor comprehensive. The section imposing "prohibitions" for businesses is simply a list of practices strongly associated with sex trafficking crimes accompanied by mandates to comply with the government when it arrives to search without a warrant. See § 7-1910. Its "requirements" section follows this same theme. § 7-1911. These "nominal" ${ }^{2}$ regulations as well as the section titled "Inspections," § 7-1912, are backed by threats of criminal and civil sanctions for disobedience, rather than merely revocation of licensure. See supra Part I-B. Indeed, the City's published FAQ document concerning the Ordinance even places the word "regulations" in quotation marks when referencing the requirements it imposes on massage therapy businesses. (Exh. $6)$.

At the Billings City Council meeting concerning the Ordinance on April 12, 2021, local attorney Stephanie Baucus, by whom "[t]he initial draft [of the Ordinance] was refined, edited, and shaped," (Exh. 6, City 964), related how the regulatory "burden" imposed on massage therapists by the Ordinance "pales in

[^1]comparison to regulations on ... cosmetologists." (Exh. 10, 211:14-212:1); see also id. ("[A]ll the burden amounts to is basically some paperwork[.]"); (Exh. 26). She was correct. ${ }^{3}$ Cosmetologists in Montana are licensed and regulated at the state level—and closely. State laws and regulations impose strict sanitary requirements, restrictions on which animals can be present and for what purposes, rules concerning the retention of equipment manuals, and prescribed practices for the use of abrasive liquids and tools, just to name a few. MCA § 37-31-204; Mont. Admin. Rules 24.121.1505, 24.121.407, 24.121.1507, 24.121.1509; see also (Exh. 18) (extensive cosmetology inspection checklist). Such restrictions are the hallmarks of a comprehensive regulatory scheme. These regulations, unlike those contained in the Ordinance, relate to industrial practices rather than serving as a transparent excuse to investigate crime.

Admittedly, in Killgore the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld an ordinance imposing inspection regulations on massage therapists in the face of a Katz claim. A review of the ordinance in Killgore, adopted by the City of South El Monte, California, reveals just how incomprehensive the Billings Ordinance is by comparison. The Killgore ordinance imposed a laundry list of facility requirements, including restrictions and prohibitions concerning: the size, materials, and number

[^2]of signage; the conspicuous display of photographs of each practicing massage therapist; the adequacy of ventilation systems; requirements for wash basins and restrooms; the availability of sanitary towels, bathrobes, sheets, and linens; laundering rules; the repair of fixtures and appliances; general cleaning, and the frequency thereof; sterilization of instruments; the use of liquids, powders, and creams so as to prevent contamination; and restrictions on the size and usage of foam pads. City of South El Monte, Ordinance 1195 § 5 (2014).

By contrast, the Billings Ordinance imposes only 13 " $[p]$ rohibitions during operation." § 7-1910. Eight of them relate to sex and nudity. Id. Three more simply qualify the state and City's requirement of a license. Id. Another mandates compliance with unannounced searches and prohibits eluding law enforcement. Id. This leaves one true regulatory prohibition, which is more of a zoning rule than anything else: it prevents the use of a massage therapy business as a dwelling. ${ }^{4}$ Id.

The Ordinance's "[r]equirements during operation" section is likewise devoid of substantive regulations of massages and the equipment or facilities strongly associated with them. § 7-1911. Instead, it imposes 11 rules that prioritize tracking down and frustrating criminal sex traffickers, like the maintenance of employee and contractor lists, retention of books and logs, restrictions on hours of operation, and compliance with unannounced searches. Id. The Ordinance's requirements and

[^3]prohibitions closely track the extensive testimony about indicia of human trafficking and criminal sex operations during the April 12, 2021 Billings City Council hearing delivered by FBI Agent Brandon Walter, Detective Scaramucci, and Police Chief Rich St. John. (Exh. 10, 100:13-16, 105:18-108:11, 109:25-115:1).

The Ordinance is not a comprehensive regulatory scheme but a list of rules the City believed would help it investigate and root out crime without warrants. The City's repeated justification for imposing warrantless searches on massage businesses is not to ensure that massage therapy is safe, sanitary, and well-practiced, but because "[c]riminal investigations of human trafficking are expensive and timeconsuming." (Exh. 4) (Dahl Letter 4/26/21). Police Chief St. John has repeatedly described the Ordinance as a "tool" for law enforcement. (Exh. 10, 258:7-15) ("[A]gain, it's a tool for us."). Not only is this an illegitimate purpose for administrative searches, but it illuminates why the Ordinance is devoid of substantive regulations of the practice of massage therapy: It isn't a regulatory scheme at all.

## B. Warrantless searches are far from necessary to effectuate the Ordinance's goals.

Warrantless searches must be necessary to further the regulatory goals underlying a comprehensive regulatory scheme. New York v. Burger, 482 U.S. 691, 710 (1987). While there is no comprehensive scheme to speak of, nor does the

Ordinance serve any true regulatory goals, warrantless searches are still unnecessary to the scheme it does impose.

The warrantless search power is necessary in cases where it, for example, ensures that underground mines are safe or firearms distributors are doing their diligence tracing the origins of weapons. See Donovan v. Dewey, 452 U.S. 594, 60203 (1981) (mines); United States v. Biswell, 406 U.S. 311, 315-16 (1972) (firearms). The way searches have unfolded under the Billings Ordinance proves that unannounced searches are unnecessary and do not serve the purpose of industry regulation. In the words of the City's code enforcement manager, inspections are "usually complaint driven." (Exh. 12, 14:4-14:7). While the text of the Ordinance authorizes unannounced, post-licensure inspections, the City contemplated a complaint-driven policy of enforcement from the beginning. See (Exh. 6) ("Code Enforcement investigations occur on a complaint basis. Code enforcement only shows up when a complaint is filed."). If unannounced inspections of massage businesses were necessary to ensure compliance with health and safety, the City would be conducting them regularly-and not just against businesses suspected of crime. Its selective use of the unannounced inspection power is evidence that it is unnecessary.

Several additional reasons reveal the City cannot prove its necessity.

First, initial licensing inspections, which are scheduled ahead with license applicants, ${ }^{5}$ are (and have been) sufficient to reject noncompliant businesses during the application process and weed out illicit sex operations. As the record shows, any concerns that evidence of noncompliance can be easily covered up on short notice ${ }^{6}$ do not obtain here since the City has successfully weeded out criminal operations with initial inspections. See City 1277-45; 1246-1309; 1310-77; 1378-1419. Indeed, a presentation to the City by Code Enforcement Manager Tina Hoeger asserted that no "suspected illicit massage facilities" were operating in the City any longer. (Exh. 8).

Second, because warrants can be obtained quickly and ex parte for searches of suspected criminal sex trafficking operations, there is no need for the warrantless searches. If the City is waiting for evidence of criminality anyways, it could simply use the ex parte warrant process to search businesses suspected of wrongdoing. Billings Police Chief Rich St. John confirmed that his department has used telephonic means to acquire warrants in the past and that even a conventional warrant can be obtained ex parte within "a day or two." (Exh. 16, 15:9-16:11.)

Finally, if the City's unannounced, warrantless inspection power is necessary to ensure massage businesses remain in regulatory compliance, why has it only been

[^4]used so few times that the City's code enforcement manager can "count them on one hand"? (Exh. 12, 18:4-11). The reason is obvious: The unannounced search power is there to target suspected criminals, not legitimate massage businesses. It is unnecessary to any regulatory purpose.

## C. The Ordinance places no meaningful limits on the discretion of the police and code enforcement officers it empowers to search and seize homes and businesses.

The final prong of the closely-regulated-industry test asks whether the scheme places sufficient limits on the discretion of inspecting officers to serve as an adequate substitute for a judicial warrant. 576 U.S. at 426. Eliminating arbitrary discretion from officers in the field is one of the primary concerns of the Fourth Amendment. See Brown v. Texas, 443 U.S. 47, 50 (1979) ("A central concern ... has been ... the unfettered discretion of officers in the field."). To pass muster, a regulatory scheme's search powers "must be 'carefully limited in time, place, and scope.'" Burger, 482 U.S. at 703 (quotation omitted).

The warrantless-search regulation considered in Killgore included language limiting inspections to twice annually, 3 F.4th at 1188, and the ordinance specifically detailed objects to be reviewed for compliance. See City of South El Monte, Ordinance 1195 § 5 (2014). The Billings Ordinance is quite different in these respects. It places no limits on either the minimum or maximum frequency of searches. Likewise, the scope of searches is both vague, e.g., § 7-1910(m) (no "erotic
parlors"), and excessively broad. The scope of a search is defined by the object of the search, to include anywhere those objects could be found. Horton v. California, 496 U.S. 128, 140 (1990) (citing United States v. Ross, 456 U.S. 798, 824 (1982)). Thus, the Ordinance's scope encompasses any place within a business-or homewhere even a single condom could be found. See (Exh. 12, 30:6-23); (Exh. 9) (inspection checklist). The Ordinance's text confirms this nearly limitless scope by holding every single part of a business or home open to inspection for any law under a police or code-enforcement officer’s jurisdiction. §§ 7-1911, 7-1912, 7-1912(c).

The Ordinance contains only two limits on the searches it authorizes: they (1) must occur during business hours and (2) cannot interrupt a less-than-two-hour massage. §§ 7-1911(c), 7-1912(c). These are constitutionally inadequate.

## V. PLAINTIFFS ARE ENTITLED TO INJUNCTIVE AND DECLARATORY RELIEF, NOMINAL DAMAGES, AND REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES

Plaintiffs have met their burden of establishing that the Ordinance authorizes warrantless searches of massage therapy businesses, homes, and papers-including their own. Since no warrant exception applies to the challenged search powers authorized by the Ordinance, the law is facially unconstitutional under the Fourth Amendment. See Patel, 576 U.S. at 418 (In facial Fourth Amendment challenges, "the proper focus of the constitutional inquiry is searches that the law actually authorizes[.]"). Thus, Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory relief.

Further, because they were compelled by the Ordinance into surrendering their own Fourth Amendment rights, Plaintiffs are likewise entitled to nominal damages and injunctive relief in the form of enjoining the searches authorized by the search conditions attached to their licenses and certifications. 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Finally, Plaintiffs are entitled to permanent injunctive relief against enforcement of the Ordinance, particularly the searches authorized by it. They have suffered irreparable injury to their liberty in the form of being compelled to surrender their fundamental constitutional right against unreasonable, warrantless searches of their home, businesses, and papers. See Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo, 456 U.S. 305, 312 (1982) ("The Court has repeatedly held that the basis for injunctive relief in the federal courts has always been irreparable injury and the inadequacy of legal remedies.").

## CONCLUSION \& PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court declare the Ordinance unconstitutional both on its face and as applied to Plaintiffs, enjoin its enforcement against them and per se, in particular all warrantless searches it authorizes of homes, businesses, papers, and effects, and award nominal damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

DATED: November 28, 2023
Respectfully submitted:
/s/ Daniel T. Woislaw
DANIEL T. WOISLAW
ALEXANDER J. SMITH
ETHAN W. BLEVINS
MARK MILLER
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## EXHIBIT <br> 1

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

# Massage and Spa Facility License 

Finance Department
P.O. Box 1178 Billings, Montana 59103

406-657-8364
businesslicense@billingsmt.gov

A complete application will include all of the following items, for each owner of a non-exempt massage or spa facility.
$\checkmark$ Initial application fee of $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 . 0 0}$
$\checkmark$ Completed attached application
$\checkmark$ State background check from the Montana Department of Justice
$\checkmark$ Copy of Massage License issued from the Montana Board of Massage Therapy
$\checkmark$ A certificate of good standing, registration, or incorporation from Montana Secretary of State
Section I - Business Information
1.) Business Legal Name
2.) Business Name (DBA)
3.)


## Section II - Applicant Information

(This section is to be completed by all persons owning at least 5\% of the business entity)
1.) Applicant Name

2.) Applicant Aliases
3.) Applicant Ownership Percentage

4.) Date of Birth

6.) Race (optional)
7.) Sex (optional)
8.) Telephone numbers)

## (406)


9.) Email address

10.) Residential Address

a. If applicant has resided in this location for less than 2 years, list most recent previous address
11.) Has the applicant, either as an owner or employee, ever been refused any similar license or permit in the city or elsewhere?
YES $\square$ NO

12.) Has the applicant, either as an owner or employee, ever had any similar license or permit revoked or suspended in the city or elsewhere?
YES
 NO

13.) Is the applicant or any owner (owning at least $5 \%$ of the business) a registered sex offender? YES $\qquad$ NO
14.) Has the applicant or any owner (owning at least $5 \%$ of the business) been convicted of, or on diversion or deferred judgement for any felony, financial crime, or sexual offense?
YES $\qquad$ NO

a. If yes, please explain
15.) Is the applicant or any owner (owning at least $5 \%$ of the business) currently under indictment or charge for any felony, financial crime, or sexual offense?

YES $\qquad$ NO

16.) Please Provide: A state fingerprint background check from the Montana Department of Justice. Background checks can be obtained at: https://doimt.gov/enforcement/background-checks/
17.) Is the applicant attestation aware of the provisions of the ordinance and will comply with them?

YES $\qquad$ NO $\qquad$

## Section III - Applicant 5 Year Employment History

1.) Occupation and employment history for prior 5 years (use additional pages, if necessary)
Employer Contact Information: $\qquad$ (cell)

Employer Name: $\qquad$
Occupation: $\qquad$
Dates of Employment: $\qquad$
Employer Contact Information: $\qquad$

Employer Name: $\qquad$
Occupation: $\qquad$
Dates of Employment: $\qquad$
Employer Contact Information: $\qquad$

Section IV - Business Information
1.) Physical Business Address

2.) Business Mailing Address, if different same as alovere
3.) E-mail address

4.) Business telephone number
$(406) 318-0752-(406) 702-1128$
5.) Business entity legal structure (e.g, partnership, Corp, LLC, Sole Proprietor)

LC. (S - Corn $)$
a. Please Provide: A certificate of good standing, registration, or incorporation from Secretary of State
6.) Hours of Operation

We are by appointment but our hours we see clients are monSaturday 9 am-7.pm
7.) Hours of Operation during which the business entrance may be locked.

8.) Sec. 7-1911 Requires all interior and exterior doors to remain unlocked unless an exception or variance exists. If a variance to this requirement is desired, please use this space to provide information demonstrating that a variance is necessary for the security of employees, contractors, or property of the licensee.
There are times when we done have someone covering the front desk and in those instances we have our doors locked wi time listed to when we will be out of an appointment.
9.) List of services to be provided at the facility


## Section $V$ - Business Location Premises Information


2.) Physical Address of Business Premises Owner

3.) Phone Number of Owner of Premises
$(406)(098-7880$
4.) E-mail address of building owner Tobinld 334 (0) gmoul.Com

## Section VI - Applicant Attestation

## 1.) Every licensed massage facility shall be required to:

(a) Maintain a current list of employees and contractors on site with start dates of employment or contracted service, full legal name, date of birth, place of birth, home address and telephone number, employment position, date first began service and the date when services were terminated, if applicable.
(b) Maintain a copy of each massage therapist's Montana license for each employee and contractor performing massages.
(c) Operate under or conduct business under only the designation specified in the license.
(d) Massage therapists shall remain fully clothed in professional attire while administering massage to clients on business premises, including premises designated by the client through an outcall massage service.
(e) Massage facilities interior and exterior doors shall remain unlocked while the massage facility is open except as follows:

1. Restroom doors may be locked.
2. Exterior doors may remain locked if permitted by applicable building or zoning codes and if the massage facility is owned by one individual with no more than one employee or independent contractor present in the facility. Exceptions may be granted by the license administrator.
3. Internal doors may be locked to protect confidential client or business information except that internal doors may not be locked on rooms when a massage is being performed
(f) All massage facilities are required to have and maintain clear glass which is not painted over, darkened, or blocked by any cloth or obstruction, at the entrance to such establishment so that the front area where patrons are greeted is visible from the outside. A massage facility located in a building or residence that does not have glass on the front of the premises is required to notify the City in writing at the time of application or renewal.
(g) List the address of the facility in any advertisement.
(h) Provide a written list of prices for all services (through signage or written materials) of the massage facility available to all prospective customers.
(i) Upon the sale or transfer of any ownership interest in a massage facility, the license issued pursuant to this Article shall be null and void, and a new application shall be required.

## 2.) Inspections

(a) Any person operating a business within the City of Billings is subject to reasonable inspection provided in Section 13-432 to determine compliance with all laws and ordinances, including with this Section.
(b) Each massage facility shall conspicuously display on the premises the license of each massage therapist working at the business or, for a mobile practice, make the license readily available as required by MCA §37-33-406(1), or as amended.
(c) The license administrator, a designee of the Board of Massage Therapy, or a local law enforcement officer may enter a massage therapy business at any time during business hours to determine compliance with MCA §37-33-406(1), as provided in MCA §37-33-406(2).
(d) All owners, managers, massage therapists, employees, contractors or persons occupying the facility other than clients shall present identification and shall not elude identification.
3.) Denial, suspension, or revocation of license

The licensing administrator may suspend or revoke a massage facility license if he or she finds:
(a) The licensee has violated any of the provisions of this Article; or
(b) That the qualifications set forth in the application utilized to obtain a massage facility license were likely obtained through fraud, deceit or misrepresentation; or
(c) The State has revoked the massage therapy license of the licensee.

I have read the required acts and limitations of operation and understand that violation of these provisions may lead to disciplinary action up to and including revocation of my license. A pattern of facts indicating operation of an erotic business, house of prostitution, or human trafficking will lead to immediate summary suspension of the license. I have complete the application and to the best of my knowledge the application is accurate. False or omitted information may lead to a denial of the license.

Signature of Applicant


Date


Printed Name and Title:


## Office Use Only:

Date Rec'd: $\qquad$
Form of ID Presented: $\qquad$
Name Match (Initial): $\qquad$
Tender: Cash $\qquad$ Credit $\qquad$ Check $\qquad$

January 5, 2022

Ethan Blevins<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>555 Capitol Mall, Ste 1290<br>Sacramento, CA 95814

Attn:
Finance Department, City of Billings 210 N $27^{\text {th }}$ St.
Billings, MT 59101

## To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing on behalf of my client, Theresa Vondra, regarding her attached application for a Massage and Spa Facility License. The City's recent passage of Ordinance 21-5757 has placed Ms. Vondra in an unjust dilemma, forcing her to choose between her livelihood, a business she has built from the ground up, and her constitutional rights. This letter serves as notice that she submits the attached application under protest.

The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution protects Ms. Vondra from unreasonable searches and seizures of "persons, houses, papers, and effects" and guarantees that "no warrant shall issue but upon probable cause." ${ }^{1}$ Forcing her to "attest" that she will allow warrantless searches and seizures of her private property and client records as a licensing condition is a transparent attempt to evade her constitutional right to insist on a warrant.

The surrender of a fundamental constitutional right must be voluntary. ${ }^{2}$ By enacting an ordinance that compels individuals to waive the protections guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment, and by criminalizing the refusal of a warrantless search, the Ordinance removes volition from the equation.

Hence, Ms. Vondra submits the attached application and the required attestation of acknowledgment concerning the City's claimed search powers under protest.

[^5]Sincerely,

Finance Department, City of Billings January 5, 2022
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Ethan Blevins
Attorney*
Pacific Legal Foundation
*Licensed in the State of Montana

CITY 001539

## State of Montana

 Business Standards Division Board of Massage TherapyTHE MASSAGE COMPANY THERESA M VONDRA 321 PROSPECTORS LN BILLINGS, MT 59105

Renew online at https://ebiz.mt.gov/pol by signing in with your username and password.
The renewal cycle for your board opens 60 days prior to the expiration date on your current license.
Renew your license prior to your expiration date to avoid being charged a late fee(s).
Remember to maintain your online account information with a password, security question and a valid email address. You can update your account information by accessing the 'Account Management' link when logged in.

# STATE OF MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION <br> Crime Information Bureau 

## AUSTIN KNUDSEN

ATTORNEY GENERAL
PO BOX 201403
2225 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ AVENUE
HELENA MT 59620-1403

November 1, 2021

Theresa Vondra
321 Prospectors Ln
Billings MT 59105

Dear: Theresa Vondra

RE: NAME/ VONDRA, THERESA
ALIASES/
DOB/
1986
SOC/
On the basis of the information furnished, a check of the records of the Montana Criminal History Records Program discloses that either the individual(s) in question has no prior criminal record or that, if, in fact, such a criminal record exists, it does not meet the criteria for dissemination (Montana Criminal Code Annotated 44-5-301).

Sincerely,

Sue Splain Parks
Civil Applicant Technician
Montana Department of Justice
Criminal Records and Identification Services Section
State of Montana
County of Lewis and Clark
This instrument was acknowledged before me on November 1, 2021 by Sue Splain Parks.


## Punt, Tanya



| From: | Rindahl, Joanne |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, March 2, 2022 2:09 PM |
| To: | Punt, Tanya |
| Subject: | RE: apps |
| Attachments: | The Massage Company LLC.pdf; Color0558.pdf |

Also attached is a the expired license for Lima Han Massage Education Center. They are refusing to apply for the new massage license, after several letters. If you forward on the violation notice I will add some information letting them know they can not renew the existing license. They tried to just renew. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

| city of | Joanne Rindahl <br> Business License Administrator <br> rindahlj@billingsmt.gov |
| :--- | :--- |
| billingsmt.gov | P.O. Box 1178 • Billings, MT 59103 <br> P 406.657.8364 F 406.247.8608 |

City of Billings email messages and attachments are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 9) and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 6, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information such as information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

From: Punt, Tanya [puntt@billingsmt.gov](mailto:puntt@billingsmt.gov)
Sent: Wednesday, March 02, 2022 2:03 PM
To: Rindahl, Joanne [rindahlj@billingsmt.gov](mailto:rindahlj@billingsmt.gov)
Subject: apps

So can you email me the application for the Theresa Vondra , The Massage Company
I am going to call her in advance and set up a day and time next week to get this inspection scheduled.
Thank you

Tanya Punt
Code Enforcement Officer
puntt@billingsmt.gov


## Facility Check List - BMCC 7-1900

## BMCC 7-1910. Prohibitions during Operation:

$\square$ No licensed massage therapist is in the facility during open hours;
$\square$ Evidence the facility is used for living or sleeping; none
$\square$ Employees are not fully clothed or are wearing transparent clothing; aelyood
$\square$ Advertising to suggest sexual services are available at the facility; none
$\square$ Possession or display of sexually oriented toys, merchandise, sexual aids or none contraceptives
$\square$ Employees remain behind a locked door during the inspection; $\wedge 0$

## BMCC 7-1911. Requirements during operation

List of current employees and independent contractors providing services at the facility; $\qquad$
$\square$ Copies of each massage therapists license from the State of MT
$\square$ Operate only under the business name on the COB OL License
$\square$ Exterior (entry) door must be unlocked during hours of operation, except when $Y$ es permitted to remain locked through a Variance from the license administrator
$\square$ Fail to open a locked interior door upon request that is not locked to protect client confidentiality/privacy or business information. $\qquad$ op er
$\square$ Reception area visible from the exterior of the building (building glass may not be fully covered); Yes
$\square$ Advertising lists the physical address of the facility 4
$\square$ A written list of services and prices for all services available in the reception area Displayed on Screen
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- Hours of operation allowed between 6 am and 10 pm pes
$\square$ Confirm the name of the business owner is the name of the person who holds or applied for the license Yes
$\square$ Location is in a zone district that allows the spa/massage facility $\psi \bumpeq s$

BMCC 7-1912. Inspections
$\square$ Conspicuous display of each massage therapists' license from the State of MT Ya $S$
$\square$ Upon request, open all locked cabinet, storage areas or rooms for inspection $\mathcal{Y}_{\sim}$.

Inspector Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## Tracy, Karen

| From: | Punt, Tanya |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, March 07, 2022 10:31 AM |
| To: | Rindahl, Joanne |
| Cc: | Hoeger, Tina; Glunt, Marshall |
| Subject: | Emailing: IMG_4690, IMG_4691, IMG_4673, IMG_4674, IMG_4675, IMG_4676, IMG_4677, |
|  | IMG_4678, IMG_4679, IMG_4680, IMG_4681, IMG_4683, IMG_4684, IMG_4685, IMG_ |
|  | 4686, IMG_4687, IMG_4688, IMG_4689 |
| Attachments: | IMG_4690.jpg; IMG_4691.jpg; IMG_4673.jpg; IMG_4674.jpg; IMG_4675.jpg; IMG_- |
|  | 4676.jpg; IMG_4677.jpg; IMG_4678.jpg; IMG_4679.jpg; IMG_4680.jpg; IMG_4681.jpg; |
|  | IMG_4683.jpg; IMG_4684.jpg; IMG_4685.jpg; IMG_4686.jpg; IMG_4687.jpg; IMG_- |
|  | 4688.jpg; IMG_4689.jpg |

Massage Company, LLC
1311 11th St West
406-208-4072
Business inspection done by Marshall Glunt and myself, Tanya Punt

Theresa M Vondra identified with Mt DL owner of the Business

This property is a Business, addressed to Massage Company, LLC Operating by appointment only. The web site is listed at Monday-Friday 9-5.
We scheduled the inspection at 9am and arrived 10 mins early. No display of sexual services, also no display of facility used for living or sleeping. There were no employee's remaining locked behind closed doors.
All LMT were available and photo'd listed.
The reception area was visible and open. Physical address of the facility was visible from the outside.
All massage options were listed via a desktop screen at the reception desk.
There were three (3) massage rooms, all very clean. Rooms included the massage table, towels and massage oils/lotions Every room was separated by walls and doors for security.
There was a restroom open to view at request There are lockers in the back area, by the hot sauna. Personal items of the customers could be placed inside the lockers. There were also a few employee lockers, and at a request they were opened to view and most has purses or coats.
All doors were opened on request.
Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

```
IMG_4690
IMG_4691
IMG_4673
IMG_4674
IMG_4675
IMG_4676
IMG_4677
IMG_4678
IMG_4679
IMG_4680
IMG_4681
IMG_4683
IMG_4684
```

IMG_4685
IMG_4686
IMG_4687
IMG_4688
IMG_4689

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.
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SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR OPENING INSTRUCTIONS


CITY OF BILLINGS
ADMINISTRATION
FINANCE DIVISION
P.O. BOX 1178

BILLINGS, MT 59103-1178
Billings Pride City-wide

THE MASSAGE COMPANY LLC
321 PROSPECTORS LN
BILLINGS, MT 59105

## EXHIBIT <br> 2

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD
Solo Practitioner Exemption Form
Finance Department
P.O. Box 1178 Billings, Montana 59103
$406-657-8364$
businesslicense@billingsmt.gov

Section 7-1900 (Ordinance 21-5757) of the Billings City Code requires that no person shall operate a massage facility without a massage facility license. The definition of the massage facility in Sec. 7-1902 excludes solo practitioners that advertise with either the therapist's name or license number and do not operate a table shower and follow the required acts and limitations of operation in. These requirements are summarized below.

If your business will be operated as a solo practitioner of massage therapy within the definition in the ordinance, please fill out this exemption form and provide the requested information.


State of Montana Massage Therapist License Number: LMTT LC 1085
Legal Entity Name of Business:


Trade Name if Different:


## Any other massage businesses owned previously or currently:

## TABLE SHOWER

Table showers or vichy showers are only permitted if a Massage Facility License is obtained and the table shower meets the qualifications to be permitted. Any business operating a table shower, or vichy shower, is not eligible for exemption using this form.

## OTHER REQUIREMENTS

Solo practitioners must also operate under the following requirements:

- No use of the facility for housing or as living quarters unless the massage or spa facility is operated as a home occupation.
- May not provide or administer massage or spa in a manner intended to arouse or gratify the sexual response or desire of either party.
- Remain clothed while administering massage services.
- List the address of the business in any advertisement.
- Doors to business to remain unlocked unless only one individual is working, or variance has been granted.
- Restroom doors may be locked.
- Internal doors may be locked to protect confidential client or business information.
- Must maintain valid state massage therapy license posted at the business.
- Where windows or glass are adjacent to an entrance, maintain a sufficient portion of clear glass that the entrance to such establishment so that the front area where patrons are greeted is visible from the outside.
- Maintain a log of the date, time, and type of massage administered.
- May not allow anyone to perform massage therapy without a valid massage therapist license.
- May only operate between 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.

I understand that I am claiming that I am exempt from the Massage Facility License requirement as a solo practitioner, or other exempt facility. Failing to have a Facility License when one is required may result in revocation of the general business license issued under BMCC Sec. 13-425. I have read the requirements of the ordinance and understand that violation of any of these requirements may result in sanctions up to and including suspension and revocation of my business license.


Signature of Business License Applicant
check LIMT


Date
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CITY OF BILLINGS
ADMINISTRATION
FINANCE DIVISION
POO. BOX 1178
BILLINGS, MT 59103-1178
Billings Pride City-wide

BELLA SPA BODY MIND SPIRIT
629 GRAND AVE
BILLINGS, MT 59101

## EXHIBIT <br> 3

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Solo Practitioner Exemption Form
Finance Department
P.O. Box 1178 Billings, Montana 59103

406-657-8364
businesslicense@billingsmt.gov

Section 7-1900 (Ordinance 21-5757) of the Billings City Code requires that no person shall operate a massage facility without a massage facility license. The definition of the massage facility in Sec. 7-1902 excludes solo practitioners that advertise with either the therapist's name or license number and do not operate a table shower and follow the required acts and limitations of operation in. These requirements are summarized below.

If your business will be operated as a solo practitioner of massage therapy within the definition in the ordinance, please fill out this exemption form and provide the requested information.

First Name:
 Last Name:


State of Montana Massage Therapist License Number:
Legal Entity Name of Business:
 Trade Name if Different: $\qquad$

Any other massage businesses owned previously or currently:

## TABLE SHOWER

Table showers or vichy showers are only permitted if a Massage Facility License is obtained and the table shower meets the qualifications to be permitted. Any business operating a table shower, or vichy shower, is not eligible for exemption using this form.

## OTHER REQUIREMENTS

Solo practitioners must also operate under the following requirements:

- No use of the facility for housing or as living quarters unless the massage or spa facility is operated as a home occupation.
- May not provide or administer massage or spa in a manner intended to arouse or gratify the sexual response or desire of either party.
- Remain clothed while administering massage services.
- List the address of the business in any advertisement.
- Doors to business to remain unlocked unless only one individual is working, or variance has been granted.
- Restroom doors may be locked.
- internal doors may be locked to protect confidential client or business information.
- Must maintain valid state massage therapy license posted at the business.
- Where windows or glass are adjacent to an entrance, maintain a sufficient portion of 'clear glass that the entrance to such establishment so that the front area where patrons are greeted is visible from the outside.
- Maintain a log of the date, time, and type of massage administered.
- May not allow anyone to perform massage therapy without a valid massage therapist license.
- May only operate between 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.

I understand that I am claiming that I am exempt from the Massage Facility License requirement as a solo practitioner, or other exempt facility. Failing to have a Facility License when one is required may result in revocation of the general business license issued under BMCC Sec. 13-425. I have read the requirements of the ordinance and understand that violation of any of these requirements may result in sanctions up to and including suspension and revocation of my business license.


Signature of Business License Applicant


Date

## checked LIMT <br> 9/21/22

Carne
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CITY OF BILLINGS
ADMINISTRATION
FINANCE DIVISION
P.O. BOX 1178

BILLINGS, MT 59103-1178
Billings Pride City-wide

DONNA'S MASSAGE THERAPY
4215 MONTANA SAPPHIRE DR APT 333
BILLINGS, MT 59106

## EXHIBIT <br> 4

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Item 1. K.

| City Council Regular |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | $04 / 26 / 2021$ |
| Title: | Massage Therapy Business License Ordinance - Second Reading |
| Presented by: | Gina Dahl |
| Department: | Legal |
| Presentation: | No |

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends Council approve the proposed ordinance regulating massage and spa facilities through business licensing criteria on second reading.

## BACKGROUND (Consistency with Adopted Plans and Policies, if applicable)

On April 12, 2021, Council held a public hearing on the First Reading of An Ordinance of the City of Billings, Montana, Regulating Massage and Spa Facilities Through Business License Criteria, Providing Exemptions, Authorizing the City Administrator or Designee to Administratively Deny, Suspend, or Revoke Business Licenses and Allowing an Appeal From Such Action and Providing for Criminal and Civil Penalties Upon Conviction of a Violation in Order to Combat Human Trafficking and to Promote the Health and Welfare of the Billings Community. This Ordinance was passed on first reading following a public hearing.

Billings has a significant problem with illicit businesses operating under the guise of being massage or spa facilities. Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) data from 2017 tracked commercial sex ads in Montana. Bozeman and Missoula had nearly 5,000 ads, while other MT cities had between 2,000 and 3,000 and Billings had nearly 20,000. These illicit businesses are considered to be a primary source of these ads. Over the past five years, there have been between 15 and 27 illicit businesses disguised as massage facilities operating within our City on any given night.

This illegal activity is contributing to the City's crime problems. Criminal investigations of human trafficking are expensive and time-consuming. The proposed ordinance requires a massage or spa facility to submit an application for a business license. The proposed ordinance contains requirements for operation of the facility and any violation of the terms and conditions of the ordinance may result in fines or suspension/revocation of the business license. This ordinance is a low cost, yet effective, tool to chase these illegal businesses out of our community. There is no simple solution or approach that will eradicate this from our community. However, with proper implementation and enforcement, this ordinance will result in a significant reduction of these illegal businesses in our community.

## ALTERNATIVES

City Council may:

- Approve; or,
- Not Approve


## FISCAL EFFECTS

## SUMMARY

If properly implemented and enforced, this ordinance will reduce human trafficking by making it more difficult for illicit businesses to operate under the guise of being massage or spa facilities. Additionally, it will save resources for law enforcement by providing the City an alternative process to shut down these illicit businesses, and it will drive away criminal enterprises masquerading as legitimate businesses in exchange for low cost, nominal regulation.

## Attachments

Massage and Spa Facility Licensing Ordinance

## EXHIBIT 5

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov>
Friday, May 14, 2021 12:58 PM
Rochelle Keyhan [rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org](mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org); Meghan Carton [mcarton@collectiveliberty.org](mailto:mcarton@collectiveliberty.org)
Cromwell, Nicole [CromwellN@billingsmt.gov](mailto:CromwellN@billingsmt.gov); Zoeller, Andy [zoellera@billingsmt.gov](mailto:zoellera@billingsmt.gov); Dahl, Gina [dahlg@billingsmt.gov](mailto:dahlg@billingsmt.gov); Korell, Brian [korellb@billingsmt.gov](mailto:korellb@billingsmt.gov)

RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Staff Training Options for IMB Ordinance Implementation?

Rochelle,

That is all great news! We are looking forward to this workshop as well.

I am copying our Finance Director Andy Zoeller on the invoicing preference. I also am copying our City Attorney Gina Dahl and Police Captain Brian Korell so they can weigh in on the POST and CLE credit opportunity. I would think they would both appreciate this option for the workshop?

We will continue to be in touch with you and Meghan as we get the location set and other details.

Thank you.

*COVID-19 has changed the way the Planning and Community Services Department is conducting business. Our offices are open to the public utilizing masks and social distancing. Please visit our Department and Division webpages for more information at this link: https://www.ci.billings.mt.us/103/Planning-Community-Services

City of Billings email messages and attachments are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 9) and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 6, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information such as information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If
you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to
anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

Rochelle Keyhan [mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org]<br>Thursday, May 13, 2021 6:06 PM<br>Friday, Wyeth [FridayW@billingsmt.gov](mailto:FridayW@billingsmt.gov); Meghan Carton [mcarton@collectiveliberty.org](mailto:mcarton@collectiveliberty.org)<br>Cromwell, Nicole [CromwellN@billingsmt.gov](mailto:CromwellN@billingsmt.gov) Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Staff Training Options for IMB Ordinance Implementation?

Hi there,
This is great news! I let Detective Scaramucci and Amy Monsivais know, and they've both reconfirmed. We will work out travel logistics and everything within the next week so that things are locked in.

For us, next steps include finalizing a curriculum/agenda, and making sure all lecture content is updated with Montana case law and case studies (which we have already begun doing). We also would love to take the steps necessary to ensure POST credits for officers and CLE credits for attorneys. If you have any feedback on either of those, please let me know -- otherwise, we will proceed with filling out the paperwork I linked for each. By the way -- if you need any incentive for people not yet committed, they should all receive 14 credits from the two day training.

After that, I think the other logistics items to work out are (1) printing (how much we want to print, whether we want to digitize, and what the process should look like to not be burdensome on you all), (2) location (you are in the process), and (3) your preferred process for invoicing (I've learned every agency is different!)

I am CCing Meghan Carton, our Strategy and Business Operations Manager, to this email in case I've missed anything. If there is anything you are curious about or wanting to make sure is in one of the immediate (instead of later) next steps, please let us know. I will be conducting training institutes at the border in Texas next week, so Meghan may respond next week if I am delayed or offline as a result.

Such exciting news - we are very much looking forward to this! Since it's an intimate group, the faculty is really excited about tailoring the entire thing to Billings and Montana proper, including pulling some proactive intel and reports, deep-dive into the specific statutes, etc.

Have a great night!
Rochelle
On Thu, May 13, 2021 at 2:31 PM Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov> wrote:
Rochelle,

We have collected a great staff response to do a two-day training workshop on July 7 and 8 here in Billings.

We will have Code Enforcement, Finance, Fire, Police, Legal, and possibly City
Administration staff involved. About 12 people in total is out estimate right now.

Please add this to your calendar and let us know what we can do next to move this effort forward.

We are getting a location figured out as well.

Thank you.

${ }^{*}$ COVID-19 has changed the way the Planning and Community Services Department is conducting business. Our offices are open to the public utilizing masks and social distancing. Please visit our Department and Division webpages for more information at this link: https://www.ci.billings.mt.us/103/Planning-Community-Services

City of Billings email messages and attachments are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 9) and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 6, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information such as information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

Rochelle Keyhan [mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org]
Friday, May 7, 2021 11:04 AM
Friday, Wyeth [FridayW@billingsmt.gov](mailto:FridayW@billingsmt.gov)
Cromwell, Nicole [CromwellN@billingsmt.gov](mailto:CromwellN@billingsmt.gov)
[EXTERNAL] Re: Staff Training Options for IMB Ordinance Implementation?
Hi you two,
It was great connecting today! I wanted to let you know I checked in with Amy and Joe about availability and they are both available the week of July 5, and while Joe is available all August weeks I mentioned, Amy is only available weeks of August 23 or tentatively August 30.

I know case expense is an issue, I priced out expenses for flights in July and Montana government rate per diem for all three of us for lodging and it's around $\$ 3,500$. For just myself and Joe it would be around $\$ 2,300$. I think flight prices have gone up considerably in the last few weeks! And in August the collective flights are around $\$ 900$ cheaper - so it may also be the proximity to Fourth of July contributing to expenses.

I'm getting a sense that there is a slight preference for July because school for their children is
starting in August, so less convenient. But August dates will absolutely work if it is preferable for you all and will have the biggest impact, so please don't worry about telling us so!

Let me know if there's anything else I can do on my end to facilitate/support!
Have a great weekend,
Rochelle

On Tuesday, May 4, 2021, Rochelle Keyhan [rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org](mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org) wrote:
Hi Wyeth and Nicole,
Let's use our Google Conference Line:

```
(US)
+1 414-909-4068
PIN: 132 267 329#
```

Thank you both,
Rochelle
On Tue, May 4, 2021 at 10:59 AM Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@ billingsmt.gov> wrote:
Rochelle,

Okay, 10 am Friday, Eastern Daylight Time.

Let us know what number we should call, and we will call you at that time.

Thanks again.


[^6] may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

Rochelle Keyhan [mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org]
Tuesday, May 4, 2021 8:53 AM
Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov>
Cromwell, Nicole [CromwellN@billingsmt.gov](mailto:CromwellN@billingsmt.gov)
Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Staff Training Options for IMB Ordinance Implementation?
Hi there,
Let's do 10am.
Thank you, looking forward to it!
Rochelle
On Mon, May 3, 2021 at 3:30 PM Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov> wrote:
Rochelle,

Thank you for the reply.

Let's try for Friday - do you have 30 minutes we could schedule between 10 am and 12 pm your time?

Thank you.


## Wyeth Friday

Planning \& Community Services Director fridayw@billingsmt.gov

2825 3rd Ave. N
Billings, MT 59101
P 406.247.8676

[^7]Rochelle Keyhan [mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org]
Monday, May 3, 2021 12:44 PM
Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov>
Cromwell, Nicole [CromwellN@billingsmt.gov](mailto:CromwellN@billingsmt.gov)
Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Staff Training Options for IMB Ordinance Implementation?
Hi Wyeth and Nicole,
Yes, I am on Eastern time. I would be happy to connect later this week. My Thursday from 12:30-4:30 ET is booked with webinars so I cannot reschedule them. I'm free to meet any time before or after that time block. My Friday is completely flexible as I only have internal meetings that can be easily rescheduled.

Please let me know a time that works best for you this week. I look forward to connecting and seeing how we might collaborate!

Rochelle
On Mon, May 3, 2021 at 2:33 PM Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov> wrote:
Rochelle,

Thank you for the quick response.

A brief call might be the easiest so we can understand better what the specific code enforcement training session would be like and if there are others here Fire, Police, etc. that could benefit.

I am looping in our Code Enforcement Supervisor Nicole Cromwell as she and I should be on the call with you.

Are you on Eastern Time? Maybe we can schedule something for later this week if your schedule allows?

Thank you.


## billingsmt.gov

2825 3rd Ave. N
Billings, MT 59101
P 406.247.8676
*COVID-19 has changed the way the Planning and Community Services Department is conducting business. Our offices are open to the public utilizing masks and social distancing. Please visit our Department and Division webpages for more information at this link: https://www.ci.billings.mt.us/103/Planning-Community-Services

City of Billings email messages and attachments are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 9) and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 6, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information such as information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

Rochelle Keyhan [mailto:rkeyhan@collectiveliberty.org]
Thursday, April 29, 2021 10:42 AM
Friday, Wyeth [FridayW@billingsmt.gov](mailto:FridayW@billingsmt.gov)
[EXTERNAL] Re: Staff Training Options for IMB Ordinance Implementation?
Hi Wyeth,
Thank you for your message. Congratulations on the passage after several months of hard work!

We have in fact helped communities both with passage of ordinances as well as training investigators on how to build cases and enforce them. We have trainings catered specifically to code enforcement and fire marshalls, as well as to investigators and prosecutors. If it is focused specifically on massage parlor trafficking, the criminal and civil focus are easily merged for a mixed audience.

For example, we are hosting multiple 2 day massage parlor trafficking specific trainings this summer for civil and criminal investigators. The focus is on identifying human trafficking, open source intelligence analysis to build the case, and methods for supporting the victims throughout the process. In one jurisdiction, the 2 day training is being followed by a 1-2 day criminal operation with Detective Scaramucci's guidance and support, helping them put the ideas into practice.

I have attached a flyer with a bit more information. If any of this sounds helpful or of interest, I would be happy to answer any questions you may have or schedule a call to discuss more!

Thank you,
Rochelle

On Wednesday, April 28, 2021, Friday, Wyeth < FridayW@billingsmt.gov> wrote:
Rochelle Keyhan,

I am the Planning and Community Services Department Director in Billings and Code Enforcement is one of the Divisions I oversee.

Thank you for your compelling expert testimony at our City Council Meeting
on April 12 as our Council considered the first reading of our Massage and Spa Facility Licensing Ordinance. I am convinced your testimony helped to move this important piece of our local code forward. And our Council did adopt the ordinance on second reading this past Monday night. So, it now takes effect in 60 days on June 26.

Our City Administrator Chris Kukulski shared your email contact with me as I noted in your testimony that it sounded like your organization has helped many communities implement local regulations to crack down on IMBs and human trafficking activities? I have visited your website and I am not sure if you offer training that might help our Code Enforcement officers and staff, and possibly others that are going to be involved in our implementation strategy of the new ordinance? Or if you even offer presentations from you or your staff that would be helpful for us as we get set up here and begin to interact with illicit massage businesses in Billings and work to shut them down?

I would appreciate any guidance or suggestions you may have, either to access some of your organizations resources, or if there are others we should be looking into as we move forward.

Thank you.


[^8]$-$
Your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root! \#MasksForACause Find out how: www.collectiveliberty.org/donate Collectively, we can make a difference!


## Roddenberry Fellow 2021

SXSW Pitch Finalist $\underline{2020}$ and $\underline{2021}$
IAFCI Cyber Investigations Contributor of the Year 2019
Stop Slavery Hero Award Winner (Thomson Reuters Foundation 2018)

Your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root! \#MasksForACause Find out how:
www.collectiveliberty.org/donate Collectively, we can make a difference!


## \#MasksForACause

## This season, your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root! Find out how: www.collectiveliberty.org/donate <br> Collectively, we can make a difference!



## Roddenberry Fellow 2021

SXSW Pitch Finalist $\underline{2020}$ and $\underline{2021}$
IAFCI Cyber Investigations Contributor of the Year 2019
Stop Slavery Hero Award Winner (Thomson Reuters Foundation 2018)

Your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root! \#MasksForACause Find out how: www.collectiveliberty.org/donate Collectively, we can make a difference!

## This season，your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root！ <br> Find out how www．collectiveliberty．org／donate Collectively，we can make a difference！

\＃MasksForACause


Roddenberry Fellow 2021
SXSW Pitch Finalist $\underline{2020}$ and $\underline{2021}$
IAFCI Cyber Investigations Contributor of the Year 2019
Stop Slavery Hero Award Winner（Thomson Reuters Foundation 2018）

Your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root！\＃MasksForACause Find out how：www．collectiveliberty．org／donate Collectively，we can make a difference！


Rochelle Keyhan
Chief Executive officer
\＄430 Eontrecticut Ave NW 011167 Wabhingtan，DC 2900日
rkeyban＠collectiveliberty．org www callectivelibetly arg


> \#MasksForACause


Roddenberry Fellow 2021
SXSW Pitch Finalist $\underline{2020}$ and $\underline{2021}$
IAFCI Cyber Investigations Contributor of the Year 2019
Stop Slavery Hero Award Winner（Thomson Reuters Foundation 2018）

## －－

Your donation can help disrupt trafficking at its root！\＃MasksForACause Find out how：www．collectiveliberty．org／donate Collectively，we can make a difference！


Roddenberry Fellow 2021
SXSW Pitch Finalist $\underline{2020}$ and $\underline{2021}$
IAFCI Cyber Investigations Contributor of the Year 2019
Stop Slavery Hero Award Winner (Thomson Reuters Foundation 2018)



Training to utilize business regulation to root out illicit sex and human trafficking businesses disguising themselves as store front massage therapy businesses.

- Zero in on and work to screen out illicit businesses from obtaining license:
- A business applying for a license needs something from you and will be much more responsive to requests than when you have to take that license away, the burden of proof is on them at this time that they should get the license. Also, our ordinance has them request a hearing whereas a revocation, the hearing must be scheduled.
- Advantage with new program of knowing illicit operators
- Obtain rubmaps reviews - Heyrick Research can help
- Search web for advertising of operating businesses
- Search with phone number and also by address
- Search known sites advertising prostitution for local businesses, currently
- Rubratings
- Bedpage
- Adult Search
- Skipthegames
- Sites change regularly with Federal actions to close them down, may take some searching to find advertisements. Businesses will likely be advertising as that is what businesses have to do, illicit or not.
- Hire a hearing officer and explain the ordinance and its purpose. That is to close businesses operating illicit operations disguised as massage. Also, that massage therapist credentials are not necessarily to be trusted.
- Review qualifications of licensed operators helping to front illicit businesses
- Cheating on MBLEX - only provided in English and Spanish
- Fake schools closed down
- California unapproved list
- https://www.camtc.org/schools-info-find-a-school/\#unapproved
- NCBTMP School Compliance List
- Colorado - Majestic Vocational School, International Institute of Cosmetics, American International Beauty College
- Check other states vocational school credentialing
$\cdot$
- Individuals legitimately obtaining education are professionals and highly unlikely to engage in illicit activities.
- Ask basic anatomy questions for applicants to get an idea.
- Work with state licensing agency to deal with questionable licensed therapists- check the disciplinary record. Also search applicant for activity, discipline or denial in other states.
- Review business ownership
- Investigative Software
- Transunion TLO - cheaper about $\$ 50$ a month min and pay by search
- Thomson Reuters Clear - expensive but more comprehensive
- This software will search property ownership, other business registrations, licenses in other states. Other leads for more evidence
- Heyrick Research will also help with a data warehouse on individuals and connections to prior illicit operators.
- Review past business operations
- Check advertising of these operations
- Check if any local law enforcement or administrative operations
- Reviews on rubmaps
- Review financials
- We ask for six months of bank statements, to start and have them sign a consent to release financial information.
- Trace all source of funds. Look for large deposits. Make them back up sources with documentation.
- Check all "lenders" of large sums. Look for hidden ownership. Takes time and lots of back and forth, especially if they are hiding something.
- Check source of source if necessary, make sure some people are not using shell businesses for placement
- Read up on money laundering - web articles
- Do they keep good business records, where is their money going? Is cash deposited? How are employees and contractors paid? W-2? 1099?
- Use poor business practices as evidence of violating the law.
- Do not issue license if you cannot verify source of funds and business demonstrates illicit nature.
- Background investigation
- Instate background check unless state statute authorizes FBI check for specific licensing purpose.
- Utilize police if demonstrates criminal activity to check nationwide if possible
- Investigative software, web searches and Heyrick research
- Initial Inspection,
- Find the same violations you would if it were licensed.
- Focus on suspected illicit operations
- Goal is to disrupt the way they do business - war not battle
- Late in week in afternoon they are busiest
- Advantages to opening inspection with undercover request for massage
- Find out who is conducting massage
- Check rooms and ask customers who is giving them massage and what kind of massage
- Foot massage/reflexology is not massage but if someone is undressed then full massage
- May claim to be just doing foot when full massage
- Record the inspection, either body cam, cell phone, audio
- Claims of confusion later / language barrier
- Removes any doubt about what was seen
- Check massage therapist's licenses and IDs.
- Sometimes there will be "just a friend" in the business. - Someone working without ID
- Do follow ups if you suspect illicit activities
- Go with multiple people as some employees find ways to disappear, watch back door or lock doors.
- Have google translate ready.
$\checkmark$ Anyone providing massage licensed by state
$\checkmark$ Anyone avoiding identification - sneaking out back doors - ask questions
$\checkmark$ Be aware of being delayed or distracted
$\checkmark$ Signs of habitation - suitcases, beds, lots of clothes, full kitchen
$\checkmark$ Ask to demonstrate record keeping
$\checkmark$ Spend some time asking questions and getting clarification on who people are, why the are not licensed, get it on recording. They are off balance on the inspection.
$\checkmark$ Check for sex related items. Check all rooms and cabinets in service rooms
$\checkmark$ Clothing / attire - no lingerie
$\checkmark$ If no licensed personnel present, order they close the business
$\checkmark$ Ask them about advertisements they posted
$\checkmark$ Other violations, building, egress, alcohol, unpermitted construction
- Unlicensed practice of massage - public health risk --- summarily suspend their license
- Create a report and case file of evidence
- Note why you went to investigate business - Reviews/Advertising/Complaint
- Unlicensed practice + sex based ads - pursue revocation
- File complaints with the state for aiding and abetting unlicensed practice of massage
- Maintain records of the business and therapists associated with illicit parlors
- Reach out to landlord about red flags. Get them to help you close the case.
- Warn landlords about the public nuisance.
- Show them the reviews.
- Let them know that no legitimate massage business will want to move in after an illicit one.
CITY 001067
CITY 001068
Proposed Ordinance Goals
- Regulate business practices: not the practice or profession of massage
- Avoid impacts to legitimate massage businesses as much as possible
- Prohibit practices specific to illicit businesses
- Disruption of business operations, decreased profitability
- Making things that should be illegal - illegal

Proposed Ordinance Goals


CITY 001071
CITY 001072







- Who are the licensed therapists - do they have a residence?
- What other businesses do these people own
- What is their background
- Did they cheat? Massage knowledge, basic anatomy, schools
- Follow financials for hidden ownership
- They are asking for something from you.

[^9]CITY 001079
Significant Cheating

- mBLEX compromised - only in English and Spanish
- Fake Schools
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE Monday, June 3, 2019
Federal Indictment Charges Individuals With Long-Running Scheme To Help Applicants Fraudulently Obtain Massage
The defendants helped Chinese nationals in the United States unlawfully obtain work
DENVER - Two individuals who falsified education credentials and helped applicants cheat on tests to be massage license therapists were indicted by a federal grand jury and arrested, announced U.S. Attorney Jason Dunn and FBI Denver Special Agent in Charge Dean Phillips. Yongbo Shen, a/k/a Kevin Shen, age

 Oklahoma City and appeared in federal court there where she was released on bond. She is scheduled to


## Massage Schools

- https://highered.colorado.gov/DPOS/Students/directorycategory.aspx
- https://www.camtc.org/schools-info-find-a-school/\#approved
- https://www.camtc.org/schools-info-find-a-school/\#unapproved
- https://www.ncbtmb.org/disciplinary-actions/
- Colorado: Majestic, International Institute of Cosmetics, American
International Beauty College. All revoked
CITY 001081

Screening
- Check source of funds all the way to the beginning, beware of
laundering
- Check backgrounds of ownership
- Check people near or involved with ownership
- Check on history of licensed therapist. Is there any discipline in any
state. Did a state deny them a license.
- Check prior business operations
- Look for the evidence to deny an illicit spa a license


CITY 001084

## Screening:

"Can you confirm for me again that you will be the only employee at this business location

tice of unn
down. be shu "no人 »үиечц

Thankyou"

"Hi Trevor,
Happy new year, thank you for your email. Unfortunately I decide to terminate business license application. Sorry for wasting your time and thank you Linda for your great help You guys have a good day!





CITY 001089
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CITY 001093


Attire

CITY 001094

CITY 001095

Inspection Defenses

- Employees put on sweats or more attire
- Employees walk out of business to avoid ID

- May have preplanned routine to clean hands, put on added clothing,
ignore inspectors, walk out or run away
- Will contact pimp on their phones.
- If owner is not present, owner will claim no knowledge
Inspection Strategy
Inspection Strategy
- If owner is present:
- If no one licensed is present. Order the business to close.
- Confront them on reviews and advertising and unlicensed practice.
See if they will admit it right then before they get a chance to change
their story.
- Issue notice and then act quickly to protect the public and potential
victims of the business.
CITY 001098

CITY 001099

## Documentary

No illicit sex and trafficking business becomes
legit massage.

CITY 001100

Hearing process


- Lean on interpreter and claim language barrier - just confusion
- May admit to permitting unlicensed practice on record and then try to ask for leniency
- Lean on interpreter and claim language barrier - just confusion
- May admit to permitting unlicensed practice on record and then try to ask for leniency
- Try to dismiss aggravating factors as non-relevant
- Education (although fake) might not be admissible
- Education (although fake) might not be admissible
- Rub maps is hearsay (However note this the reason for your inspection)
- Rub maps is has
- Businesses begin to tell on each other


- Ordinance catch-all of no erotic parlors
A good report
- Note why you want to the place, online reviews, advertising, shady
appereance, late night hours
- Note time and place
- Who talked with, what you asked
- Who you encountered
CITY 001103
CITY 001104


Breadcrumbs

oard/Program Actions

CITY 001105

## EXHIBIT <br> 6

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

# Billings City Council - Human Trafficking Prevention Ordinance Through Massage Business Licensing 

## O\&A Excerpts, Adapted from Document Prepared by End Exploitation Montana

1. Illicit Massage Businesses (IMBs) in Billings, known to be committing crimes like sex trafficking and prostitution, are already identified by law enforcement. Why can't law enforcement shut them down?
Human trafficking cases are notoriously difficult to prosecute. They require extensive investigation and are extremely expensive and time consuming. Law enforcement agencies do not have the funds or manpower to shut IMBs down one at a time by proving that human trafficking is occurring in these businesses. See FAQ \#3 for more information.
2. Why can't law enforcement utilize HB 749 , state legislation passed in 2019, to shut IMBs down? HB749 allowed for Department of Labor \& Industry (DLI) designees or local law enforcement to check for licenses in massage businesses (codified in MCA 37-33-406, which sunsets on June 1, 2023), and it created funding for two state law enforcement officers to help combat human trafficking. Unfortunately, during the drafting process before it was enacted, HB749 was stripped of many of the protections that were originally included. DLI deals with individuals, NOT businesses. When a worker in an IMB is found to be "practicing" without a license, it is reported to DLI. DLI then writes to the individual regarding the matter. Typically, before the letter has even arrived, the trafficking victim has been relocated by their trafficker. The IMB remains untouched.

## 3. How will this ordinance shut down IMBs?

IMBs almost always have red flags indicating human trafficking in their business model. These include operating in the middle of the night, boarded or painted windows, women living on site, having workers not fully clothed, etc. It is much easier and more cost effective to shut down IMBs based off of these indicators than to attempt to shut them down by proving human trafficking is occurring. This ordinance makes these and other indicators officially illegal, thus allowing the city to legally shut down IMBs without the cost and time of a human trafficking investigation. Legitimate massage businesses already naturally comply with these proposed coding "regulations."

These proposed requirements will be found in laws, but a LMT's clients will not see these requirements. Of course, there are exemptions provided; for example, if a LMT works from home, they are allowed to have sleeping quarters in their place of business, or if they are located in a building or residence that does not have glass at the front entrance, they can likewise receive an exemption from the city.

Also, the expanded license application and background check will provide necessary information about the business license applicants (see FAQ \#5).

Similar ordinances passed in cities across the country have been effective in shutting down IMBs.

## 4. What is this going to cost LMTs?

This ordinance could cost you approximately $\$ 40$ total. That would cover a $\$ 10$ fingerprint background check and $\$ 20-30$ for the fingerprint card upon initial licensure; this is already required by many other professions. This cost would be incurred once per licensee in Billings.

If your business offers massage only, no other costs are incurred because the Massage Business License (\$55 per year) will replace your existing Business License (which is also \$55 per year).

## Billings City Council - Human Trafficking Prevention Ordinance Through Massage Business Licensing

## O\&A Excerpts, Adapted from Document Prepared by End Exploitation Montana

If this ordinance passes, your current Business License will continue to be valid until it is time to renew, at which point you will apply for the new Massage Business License instead. Follow your regular license renewal routine and begin the process before your current license expires. There is no need to close your business while applying for the new license if you begin the application process early.

If your business provides additional services (mud baths, facials, manicures, etc.) you will be required to obtain the Massage Business License (\$55 per year) in addition to the regular Business License (\$55 per year) that you already have.

## 5. Why is the application for the Massage Business License so robust?

The application is designed to provide the city administrators with more information about the applicant and doubles as a deterrent for a trafficker from applying for a business license.

Applications are confidential and not on public record.
Past history (residence, employment) is required in order to identify if an applicant operated a business that was shut down by the city previously, or whose residence was involved in a human trafficking case.

Some have pointed out that a criminal will simply falsify the information on the application. This would merely provide another way to prosecute the offender in the event of an investigation into their illicit business; their license can be revoked, thus shutting down their criminal business and fulfilling the purpose of the ordinance.

Having a felony does not currently disqualify an applicant from owning a massage business, and the passing of this ordinance will not change this. The application is simply asking the applicant to disclose it. This is a common request on job applications, state licensing applications, etc. In this case, the City administrators are most interested in knowing if the applicant has a prior conviction relating to human trafficking; however, as some human trafficking cases end up being prosecuted on a related charge, the application asks for all felony charges to be disclosed. Again, criminal history is not an automatic bar to a license - it is merely cause for disclosure, explanation, and any necessary investigation.

This information will only need to be filled out once, the first time this Massage Business License is applied for. When renewing the license in subsequent years, only changes will need to be updated.
6. Will code enforcement officers be able to disrupt my legitimate business and go through my things?
Code Enforcement investigations occur on a complaint basis. Code enforcement only shows up when a complaint is filed. This already applies to massage therapists and other businesses; the ordinance will not change how this process occurs. For example, if your business is in your home, placing a sign in your yard may be a coding violation. Your neighbor may file a complaint, Code Enforcement will then come to investigate the complaint, and will tell you to take your sign down. They provide warnings and time for compliance before further action is taken. The passing of this ordinance will not change this process.

Legitimate LMT's already comply with the coding requirements that would be added under this ordinance (see \#3).

Page 2 - Please contact Erin Walker at erinwalker2@gmail.com with any questions.

# Billings City Council - Human Trafficking Prevention Ordinance Through Massage Business Licensing 

O\&A Excerpts, Adapted from Document Prepared by End Exploitation Montana

## 7. Will this ordinance violate HIPAA laws?

No. To the extent any information is protected by HIPAA or other privacy laws, this ordinance will not change those rules or require disclosures in violation of those rules. Law enforcement will still have to go through the same legal channels they currently have to go through to obtain patient or client records. This ordinance has gone through a rigorous vetting process with consultation from many organizations and experts, including the City of Billings legal department.
8. Will this ordinance affect massage students?

No. Massage students will still be allowed to practice in school as currently allowed under the law. See Montana Code Annotated (MCA) 37-33-404.
9. What is the background of this ordinance?

In 2017, Ward 4 Councilman Ryan Sullivan brought forward an initiative to City Council for City staff to prepare a City ordinance that would address the IMBs in Billings. Councilman Yakawich seconded the motion and the motion passed unanimously by City Council.

From that point, the City Attorney began researching what other cities were doing to address IMBs in their city. The City legal department contacted a large number of cities throughout the country that have adopted massage business license ordinances with successful results, and received copies of their adopted ordinances. After reviewing all of the different ordinances, the City Attorney and Assistant City Attorney developed an initial draft based on language from the various ordinances from throughout the country.

The initial draft was refined, edited, and shaped into the current version based on review by LMTs, FBI, MT Dept of Justice, the American Massage Therapy Association, Billings Police Chief St. John, Mayor Cole, local attorney Stephanie Baucus in her capacity as a volunteer in the fight against human trafficking in Billings, and Lavon Watson (former Seattle law enforcement and LMT that consults on addressing IMBs and helped with the Kent, WA ordinance).

Two public meetings were held and officially noticed so that LMTs could provide feedback to the City.

Page 3 - Please contact Erin Walker at erinwalker2@gmail.com with any questions.

## EXHIBIT <br> 7

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

City Council Regular<br>Date:<br>Title:<br>Presented by:<br>Department: Legal<br>Presentation: Yes

## RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends Council approve the proposed ordinance regulating massage and spa facilities through business licensing criteria on first reading.

## BACKGROUND (Consistency with Adopted Plans and Policies, if applicable)

Billings has a significant problem with illicit businesses operating under the guise of being massage or spa facilities. Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI) data from 2017 tracked commercial sex ads in Montana. Bozeman and Missoula had nearly 5,000 ads, while other MT cities had between 2,000 and 3,000 and Billings had nearly 20,000. These illicit businesses are considered to be a primary source of these ads. Over the past five years, there have been between 15 and 27 illicit businesses disguised as massage facilities operating within our City on any given night.

In November 2017, the Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards (FSMTB) Human Trafficking Task Force released the Human Trafficking Task Force Report. The FSMTB is an autonomous, not-for-profit organization comprised of state regulatory boards and agencies that regulate the massage therapy profession. This report was the culmination of nearly two years of study. It includes an evaluation of the prevalence and impact of human trafficking on the massage profession. The report found that between 6,500 and up to 9,000 illicit massage businesses may be active in the United States and that the "commercial-front brothel" was one of the top two venues for sex trafficking. The FSMTB recommended massage therapy facility licensing as a way to combat human trafficking in its 2017 report. The FSMTB report also states that licensing can be a useful tool in protecting legitimate massage businesses and in reducing the number of illicit businesses by providing a jurisdiction with the ability to institutionalize accountability, track illicit business movement, deny or revoke license and impose discipline on establishments.

On November 27, 2017, Council member Sullivan spearheaded an initiative, that was approved by the Council, to develop an ordinance to eliminate illicit businesses that are masquerading as massage and spa facilities in Billings. The Council's adopted priorities for 2019 and 2020 both included direct references to adopting a massage business license ordinance to assist the City's efforts to improve safety. Since that time, there have been a significant amount of resources spent on researching and drafting the proposed ordinance. Staff have met with stakeholders, including local massage therapists, and requested input and feedback on the draft ordinance in an attempt to find a mutually acceptable draft. On January 19, 2021, this was presented to Council at a work session and Council directed staff, by a vote of 9-2, to proceed with preparing a draft ordinance to be brought before Council for First Reading. The current draft has been reviewed by a subcommittee of Council as well as Administration, Legal, Code Enforcement, Finance, and the Police Department.

This proposed ordinance requires a massage or spa facility to submit an application for a business license. The application will require the applicant to provide information regarding anyone with an ownership interest in the business. The application will also require:

- Proof of ownership
- Proof of control of the premises
- Previous convictions
- Previous license suspensions and revocations
- State issued massage therapist license

Solo practitioners and other professions that are exempt by state statute will not be required to obtain a license under this ordinance. This includes acupressure, reflexology, Asian bodywork therapy, reiki, and shiatsu providers. The ordinance is designed to target only those businesses who purport to provide massage therapy as its primary purpose. Gyms, barber shops, beauty salons, medical facilities, chiropractors, and other professions licensed under the laws of the state are not regulated by this ordinance.

The proposed ordinance contains limitations on the operation of facilities in sections 7-1910, such as:

- Operating without a licensed massage therapist
- Prohibits living on premises, unless it is a home occupation
- Prohibits nudity
- Prohibits sexually suggestive advertising
- Prohibits presences of sex toys, lubricant, and contraceptives
- Prohibits sexual contact
- Prohibits concealing individuals from identification

Section 7-1911 of the ordinance places requirements on the facilities, including:

- Maintain records of employees
- Maintain copies of state licenses
- Maintain records of massage therapy performed and available for inspection upon request (customers names are NOT required)
- All employees must have identification
- Keep doors unlocked (subject to some exceptions)
- Permit inspections

Any violation of the terms and conditions of the ordinance may result in fines or suspension and revocation of the business license. These requirements along with the City's inspection authority (section 13-432 and 7-1912) provides the City with a more effective enforcement tool in the fight against illegal activity. Most importantly, the requirements of this ordinance will provide a means to deny a business license from the start for those who are disguising their illegal activities as massage facilities.

This illegal activity is contributing to the City's crime problems. Criminal investigations of human trafficking are expensive and time-consuming. This ordinance is a low cost, yet effective, tool to chase these illegal businesses out of our community. There is no simple solution or approach that will eradicate this from our community. However, with proper implementation and enforcement, the enactment of the ordinance will reduce the number of these illegal businesses operating within city limits.

## ALTERNATIVES

City Council may:

- Approve; or,
- Not Approve


## FISCAL EFFECTS

The fee structure for this business license is similar to our current annual business tax receipt of $\$ 50$ per year. Therefor, we do not expect to see any increase in revenue as a result of adopting this ordinance. However, we do expect to reduce crime at a substantially lower cost than to rely exclusively on law enforcement to shut down the illicit businesses disguising themselves as massage and spa professionals.

## SUMMARY

If properly implemented and enforced, this ordinance will reduce human trafficking by making it more difficult for illicit businesses to operate under the guise of being massage or spa facilities. Additionally, it will save resources for law enforcement by providing an alternative process to shut down these illicit businesses, and it will drive away criminal enterprises masquerading as legitimate businesses in exchange for low cost, nominal regulation.

## Attachments

Ordinance - massage therapy business license

## EXHIBIT 8

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

# Ordinance 21-5757 Massage and Spa Facilities 

Tina Hoeger<br>Code Enforcement Division Manager

## Massage Facility License Update

Massage Facility Category
Licenses Denied
Licenses Approved 12
Solo Practitioner Exemptions Approved 70
Facilities Closed Within City Limits 5
\# of Licenses
5

## Massage and Spa Facility License Update

- City Council passed ordinance April 26, 2021
- 5 facilities applied and were denied (inspection/online ads)
- 12 facilities applied and were approved (inspection/license review)
- 70 solo practitioners applied and received exemption
- 1 facility voluntarily closed prior to adoption of the ordinance
- Some facilities outside of City limits have closed voluntarily


## Conclusion

- Massage inspection process has been successful
- Most businesses have been cooperative and receptive
- No suspected illicit massage facilities in City limits to date
- Currently have one case pending in Municipal Court for operating without a license
- Code Enforcement initiates case for tracking purposes
- These inspections moving forward will fall under the Commercial Code Enforcement Officer II position (PSML)


## EXHIBIT <br> 9

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

```
Inspector Name(s):
```


## Date Inspected:

OL Number:
Spa Massage Facility Address:

## Facility Check List - BMCC 7-1900

## BMCC 7-1910. Prohibitions during Operation:

$\square$ No licensed massage therapist is in the facility during open hours;
$\square$ Evidence the facility is used for living or sleeping;
$\square$ Employees are not fully clothed or are wearing transparent clothing;

- Advertising to suggest sexual services are available at the facility;

ㅁ Possession or display of sexually oriented toys, merchandise, sexual aids or contraceptives
$\square$ Employees remain behind a locked door during the inspection;

## BMCC 7-1911. Requirements during operation

$\square$ List of current employees and independent contractors providing services at the facility;
$\square$ Copies of each massage therapists license from the State of MT
$\square$ Operate only under the business name on the COB OL License
$\square$ Exterior (entry) door must be unlocked during hours of operation, except when permitted to remain locked through a Variance from the license administrator
$\square$ Fail to open a locked interior door upon request that is not locked to protect client confidentiality/privacy or business information.
$\square$ Reception area visible from the exterior of the building (building glass may not be fully covered);
$\square$ Advertising lists the physical address of the facility
$\square$ A written list of services and prices for all services available in the reception area
$\square$ Hours of operation allowed between 6 am and 10 pm

- Confirm the name of the business owner is the name of the person who holds or applied for the license
$\square$ Location is in a zone district that allows the spa/massage facility

BMCC 7-1912. Inspections
$\square$ Conspicuous display of each massage therapists' license from the State of MT
$\square$ Upon request, open all locked cabinet, storage areas or rooms for inspection

Inspector Notes:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## EXHIBIT <br> 10

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Transcription of Digital Recording Billings City Council Meeting of April 12, 2021

Charles Fisher Court Reporting
442 East Mendenhall, Bozeman MT 59715, (406) 587-9016
Plaintiffs_0230
(Recording began.)
MAYOR COLE: Agents. So, today is the first time that the city -- Billings City Council has met in person since March 16, 2020, for a regular business meeting. I hope you'll be patient with us as we experience some technical bugs during the meeting. This is the first time we've met in person in that time period.

There are a few other items to keep in mind during our meeting tonight. The microphones and speakers for all computers, tablets and other devices in the room must be muted or turned off at all times, or there'll be a very distracting feedback here in the community room. There will only be one microphone picking up sound in this room at any given time and that's the one located right up here. They kind of -- I call it the big white pizza box.

Please speak clearly and with reasonable volume so that everyone on Zoom and in this room can hear you. There's no amplification of sound in this room, so you must speak loud enough for people on the other side of the room to hear you. The microphone, the pizza box, is hot at all times and is very sensitive. So be careful what you say.

Even whispered sidebar conversations in the back rows might be broadcast on Community 7 TV. It's very hard for the Council members and others attending on Zoom, as you can see on the screen, to hear if there is more than one person in this room speaking at any given time. So please, do what you can not to talk over someone else and please avoid informal sidebar conversations that might prevent others from hearing the meeting. In-person attendance by the public is limited to about 15 or so people here in the community room. Overflow will be allowed in the lobby, but that, also, is limited so -- due to social distancing. If we have a very large crowd, some members of the public might need to wait in their car or outside the building, using the library's Wi-Fi connection or cellular connection, to monitor the meeting. A television in the lobby will broadcast the meeting via Channel 7 TV in real time. If members of the public are seated or standing here in the community room, but notice that others are waiting in the lobby, I would ask that you at least consider leaving the room once the City Council has addressed your matter or you've spoken, if you are so inclined, so that
others out in the lobby can enter the room and still maintain social distancing, if they would like to do so.

To slow the spread of the coronavirus, Governor Gianforte's directive from February 12, 2021, encourages all Montanans to wear masks or face coverings and to follow CDC social distancing guidelines and best industry practice. To that end, and for the time being, masks and/or face coverings are required for all persons attending the meeting in person. And Council members and staff will do their best to comply with that rule. However, masks do make it more difficult to understand when someone is speaking, so anyone may remove their mask if providing public comment at the podium, or Council members and staff are speaking from their seats. Since I'm often interacting with the Council members and staff, and the public, I hope you'll forgive me if I don't always replace my mask immediately. The good news is that $I$ am now fully vaccinated.

A few last items about public comment.
During any public comment period, persons who wish to offer in-person comments will be asked to speak first and use the podium, if they're here at the
library. You'll need to speak clearly and loudly, again, as there's no amplification in the room. Persons who are attending virtually, using Zoom, will speak second. They will need to register with Zoom by following the instructions on the City website and indicating which agenda item or items they'll speak to. They'll need to raise -- or use the "raise hand" feature on Zoom to indicate to the clerk that they are ready to speak and that their microphone should be unmuted. People in this room will be able to hear, but not see the person who is commenting via Zoom. Further instructions on how to attend virtually are available on the agenda and minutes page of the City website.

The first page of the City Council packet, available online or by calling the clerk during normal business hours. The old public comment phone line has been discontinued, so no public comments will be taken using that phone number. However, anyone who does not have a computer can still use -- can still call in using a Zoom phone number and following the instructions that appear on the City website. If anyone has any questions should always feel free to call the City Clerk's office at 657-8210.

So those are the introductory comments, and with that, we can begin our meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance. So please stand with me for the pledge and remain standing for our invocation by Council member Choriki.

ALL: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MR. CHORIKI: Actually, please feel free to be seated if you want to. We are not -- what I'm going to do is just read off a couple of quotes that $I$ found that $I$ think are informative to the task in front of us, and give us a few moments after that to think about them.

So, to begin, "The saddest aspect of life right now is that science and technology are moving faster than society gathers wisdom." Isaac Asimov.
"Be the change that you wish to see in the world." Mahatma Gandhi.
"Your assumptions are your windows on the world. Scrub them off every once in a while or the light won't be able to come in." Isaac Asimov.

I actually really love this next one. "If

I had asked people what they wanted, they would have said faster horses." Henry Ford.
"In reality most human beings are not, to most human beings, more important than money." Thank you for sharing your thoughts and your time with me.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much, Council member Choriki.

Toni, would you call the roll, please?
TONI KEEHNER: Council member Shaw?
MS. SHAW: Here.
TONI KEEHNER: Yakawich?
MR. YAKAWICH: Present.
TONI KEEHNER: Neese?
MR. NEESE: Here.
TONI KEEHNER: Ewalt?
MR. EWALT: Here.

TONI KEEHNER: Joy?
MS. JOY: Present.

TONI KEEHNER: Choriki?

MR. CHORIKI: I am here.
TONI KEEHNER: Purinton?

MS. PURINTON: Present.
TONI KEEHNER: Ronning?
MS. RONNING: Here.

TONI KEEHNER: Boyett?
MR. BOYETT: Here.
TONI KEEHNER: Brown?
MR. BROWN: Here.
TONI KEEHNER: Mayor Cole.
MAYOR COLE: Here.
Toni, I believe that the March 22 minutes were distributed. Correct?

TONI KEEHNER: That is correct.
MAYOR COLE: So, our only minutes for approval tonight are the minutes from March 22. Is there any discussion, Council, or is there a motion pertaining to the March 22 minutes?

Council member Boyett.
MR. BOYETT: Thank you, Mayor. I move that we approve March 22, 2021, minutes (indiscernible).

MAYOR COLE: Motion by Council member Boyett for approval. Is there a second?

Council member Purinton?
MS. PURINTON: Second.
MAYOR COLE: As the second, is there any discussion? Any discussion on the motion? Motion is for approval. If not, all in favor of approval, please raise your hand. Thank you very much. It's
easier to do this visually, and $I$ think I saw a hand from all council members. Motion passes unanimously.

Council courtesies. If -- Council, do we have any Council Courtesies to this evening?

Council member Ronning and Council member Yakawich.

MS. RONNING: Thank you, Mayor. My Council courtesy is actually to thank you for the outstanding job you did in the keynote for the national or the Montana State (indiscernible) Program. Outstanding job. So, thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. I'll give you your payment later. Just kidding. But thank you very much.

Council member Yakawich.
MR. YAKAWICH: Thank you, and the Council. Just a shout out, Veterans Meat Locker, they held their 4th annual archery event in remembrance of Sergeant McNary. This event is a scholarship for Sergeant McNary kids. During this event, they gave over 160 bags of 12 to 15 pounds each by the veterans -- bags to veterans. They have a saying, the Veterans Meat Locker. "It isn't a handout. It's a hand up." Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you, Council member Yakawich. Any other Council Courtesies? Seeing none, we'll move on.

We do have a proclamation this evening for the Billings Great American Cleanup Day. I'm going to read the proclamation and then we're privileged to have the executive director of Bright and Beautiful, Joanie Tooley, with us. And we'll ask Joanie, come -- to come over and address the public and the -- and the Council.

So the proclamation reads as follows: Whereas, the Great American Cleanup is the country's greatest -- largest community improvement program. It kicks off in more than 20,000 communities each spring. This national program engages more than one and a half million volunteers and participants who take action in their communities to create positive change and lasting impact. And whereas, Bright and Beautiful encourages people to improve and beautify Billings and is proud -- is a proud affiliate of Keep America Beautiful. And Keep America Beautiful, the Nation's leading community improvement nonprofit organization, strives to make every community a clean, green, and beautiful place to
live and has established the Great American Cleanup as a signature national effort.

And whereas, the Keep America Beautiful Great American Cleanup includes more than 15,000 events each spring and engages more than one and a half million volunteers and participants to create positive change and lasting impact. And whereas, the City of Billings seeks to protect its natural resources and bring people together to transform public places into beautiful places. And whereas, the City of Billings recognizes the -- its commitment to engage citizens, civic and government leaders and business leaders to work together to end the littering and beautify America's communities.

And whereas, Bright and Beautiful and the City of Billings are committed to elevating volunteerism and motivating everyone in our community to become stewards of the environment. Particularly on Saturday, April 24, 2021, during Billings Great American Cleanup Day. Now, therefore, I, William Cole, Mayor of the City of Billings, do hereby proclaim April 2021 as Keep America Beautiful Great American Cleanup Month and April 24, 2021, as Billings Great American Cleanup

Day and call upon our citizens to join in activities that remove litter, promote responsible environmental stewardship, and help us build a better world today and for future generations. This evening we're privileged to have Joanie Tooley with us.

So, Joanie, it's all our -- all yours and could you tell us how people can get involved on Saturday, April 24th?

JOANIE TOOLEY: Absolutely.
Good evening, Council members and Mayor --Mayor Cole. Thank you so much for the opportunity. You know, we were -- we are disappointed to have to cancel our Great American Cleanup last year. At that time, you might remember, there was concern about COVID-19 living on plastic and paper and aluminum, and making it, therefore, even more hazardous to handle than ordinary.

So, this year we decided that as long as we are cautious, as long as we observe COVID-19 protocols to keep away from each other in the process of cleaning up litter, there is absolutely no reason for us not to go out and tackle some of the problems that occur after the snows retreat. You see the litter that's left around the city.

So, we're doing things a little bit differently. Great American Cleanup is not going to involve group check-in or a luncheon after cleanup in the morning of the 24 th. But we do encourage people to go out in small groups and households, to clean up in their neighborhoods.

If you will simply call us up or e-mail
us: The phone number is 248-6617; the e-mail address is brightnbeautifulbillings@gmail.com. Let us know you want to participate and we, I and our board, will get you some nice cleanup supplies and gear to borrow to do your darnedest out there in and around Billings. So, that's happening on the day of the 24 th.

We've also relaxed parameters to include any cleanups that people do between Earth Day, which is $22 n d$, and Mother's Day, which is May 9th. What a fantastic way to honor Mother Earth.

Go out and clean up. Be in touch with us, we can get you supplies if you'd like them ahead of time, and then please let us know how many bags of litter you've filled. The generous City of Billings has made roll-offs available for depositing your filled bags at across the street from the Community Center downtown, at Castle Rock

Park in the Heights and at Poly Vista Park on the west end. So, a little bit different from years past, and we'll also give you a hand in loading your bags if it's difficult for you to transport them from the place you clean.

So, anyway, I think that covers it. We'd love to have all of you out there taking part in this wonderful Billings tradition of cleaning up on the last Saturday of April; or, as I said, from Earth Day to Mother's Day. Look forward to seeing you out there. Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Council.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you, Joanie. Much appreciated. Thank you for your efforts.

JOANIE TOOLEY: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you. And that concludes our proclamations. Do we have any Council reports from any members of the Council this evening from organizations that you serve as City representative on?

Council member Joy.
MS. JOY: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Mayor and Council. I just wanted say I think the email was forwarded to all Mayor the whole entire Council, that the CBD has received an additional
2.9 million dollars for a whole -- the program in HUD funding for the home program within CBD.

So, this is a really great opportunity where we will be able to really look at and really try to deal with some of the challenges of affordable housing. So, I would really like to extend appreciation to those members of Congress that from the Montana delegation that voted for it. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Any other Council reports? Don't see any. If I miss any of the members of the Council, just give me a shout out.

And Dave Watterson, or Brenda, we've got that spare computer around. Would you fire that up for me? If it's not already.

City administrator reports. Chris Kukulski?

MR. KUKULSKI: Thank you, Mayor and Council. So, there's a few brief items. First, actually, I'm going to turn it over to the police chief. He's got a couple things to say here. The Chief is via Zoom. If he is not, $I$ will cover it, as we were scrambling around here this afternoon. So, a couple of key proclamations, or references
tonight that could have been proclamations, which is April 11th through the 17 th is National Public Safety Telecommunications.

UNKNOWN: I apologize for interrupting, Chris. I can barely hear you. Can you

MR. KUKULSKI: Okay.
UNKNOWN: Can you speak up?
MR. KUKULSKI: Thank you. We'll try to speak up from here. So, April 11th through the 17th is National Public Safety Telecommunications Week. So, we just want to recognize all of our 911 dispatchers. That's a very critical part of all emergency services. So, the call literally starts with them and so they're a critical part of our overall team.

It's also the Animal Control Officer Appreciation Week. So, both of those on a national scale, just recognizing the work that they do in our organization and in our city.

There were 18 ex-parte communications that came in after 3:00 PM. All regarding regular agenda Item No. 5, that is the massage and spa facilities discussion this evening, first reading.

Lastly, I'll just highlight a couple of items for our legislative activities.

So, Senate Bill 385 is back over to the House. So, it heads from the Senate, it made it through the Senate, back over to the House. It's being heard tomorrow morning. This is the bill that, in its current form, will require that any districts created after 2009, when the legislature consolidated kind of all the district language, so our District One, other transportation districts, not here for Billings it's really Park District One at this point. That any future districts will be required each thirteen years or a shorter period, they'll need to be reconsidered by the electorate and voted on by the public.

They will be limited to an inflationary growth index. I did ask that of one of the amendments, that they consider over in the House side is that that growth index be made on the formula calculation versus the revenue. Why does it matter? If you're growing as a community, in any way, shape, or form, and you don't make the inflation off the calculator, then that growth will not be calculated in the additional revenue you'll collect.

And then, they've gone back and forth as to whether mill levies will be a part of it.

Currently, mill levies are not included in what 385 was amended to include.

So, that bill's tomorrow morning. The only other one I'll mention is a bill -- tomorrow afternoon, House Bill 464, the Mayor's going to testify.

Interesting, it's a little known there is a local option gas tax. It's been on the books in Montana, legislatively, for decades. Several counties, it's only at County level, so the City cannot take it to the voters, but counties can. Missoula County is the only successful county to have done this. The statute today requires that it be voted in by the citizens. Tomorrow's bill will eliminate that opportunity.

So, to me, the irony is we have a number of bills this legislative session that seek to take authority away from local elected officials and only give it to the electorate or the citizens, if they're willing to adjust the tax or assessment. In this case, that's what's on the books, and now they want to eliminate that possibility. And I'll tell you from a -- there have been several debates, not here in my short time Billings, but in my previous lives in

Montana, in both communities, as to whether a gas tax was a fairer way to fund the transportation system than an assessment district. Remember, assessment districts only tax property owners. The old tax, in theory, would tax those who use the transportation system. So, I think it would be unfortunate to lose that. That's tomorrow. Amy and Greg will be on next week's call. They'll give us an update. The trend this week, maybe through the end of this session, is that there are a fair amount of hearings on Monday and Tuesday, and then a lot of internal work happening in the middle and late part of the week. So, with time sensitivities this evening, I'm just going to highlight those two bills. If you have any questions, be glad to answer any. That is the end of my report, Mayor and Council.

MR. NEESE: Mayor, I have a question.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you, Chris. Council member Neese has a question.

MR. NEESE: Hey, Chris, on that gas tax one, you're saying that we had an option, as the City, that we could have assessed the gas tax to do our -- is that what $I$ understand you're saying? MR. KUKULSKI: You do not have the option
as a City Council. The County Commissioners
MR. NEESE: Okay.
MR. KUKULSKI: -- under statute, can take it to the citizens of the county, and there's a formula in the statute that shares the money with each incorporated city.

MR. NEESE: Okay.
MR. KUKULSKI: -- and the county. So, it is a -- there is a definite benefit to each municipality. But again, I believe only one county in Montana has voters that successfully passed it.

MR. NEESE: And that would have to be initiated by the County Commissioners.

MR. KUKULSKI: The County Commissioners are the only ones who can put it on the ballot, and the citizens are the only ones who can vote it up or down. But which we historically as a lead in a city supported that motion because it is squarely in the hands of the voters and it is a way away from property owners and more to users.

MR. NEESE: And do you know how much, if that was in place, how much (indiscernible) it would alleviate our current assessment districts for infrastructure like that?

MR. KUKULSKI: I do not know the dollar
amount off hand. It is substantial. I think it maxes at $\$ 0.03$ or $3 \%$. As I've looked at it for Kalispell. And in Bozeman it would have -- If you simply shifted whatever the collection was, it was a substantial shift away from the property tax and over to the user tax. I've never seen a calculation for Yellowstone County or Billings. Excuse me.

MR. NEESE: And when was that hearing?
MR. KUKULSKI: It is tomorrow afternoon at 3:00 PM.

MR. NEESE: Okay. Thanks.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much. We'll move on to public comment on non-public hearing items. So, this is the opportunity for the public to comment on anything that there is not going to be a public hearing on. So, specifically that will be Item 1 on our consent agenda. Item 2, dealing with the library refinancing, the library construction bond. And Item 6, the second read on Highway 3 zone change 987.

Significantly, this is not the time to comment on Item 5, which we're expecting plenty of comment on. That's the massage ordinance. All the other items, there will be a public hearing for
later in the meeting. So, you can wait to comment on those; but if you'd like to comment on Items 1, 2 , or 6 , either in person here or on Zoom, now is your time to do that.

We'll start, first, in the room. Is there anybody who would like to comment on Items 1, 2 , or 6? If so, please come to the podium.

I'm not seeing anybody walk toward the podium. So, Toni, do we have anyone who has called in using Zoom or appearing on Zoom to comment on Items 1, 2 , or 6?

TONI KEEHNER: I would need our attendees to raise their hand if they would like to speak on those items.

MAYOR COLE: So, anybody who is watching, please use the "raise your hand" feature on Zoom to signal to Toni, our clerk, that you'd like to comment on items 1, 2 , or 6 . While we're waiting for that, if there is anybody, we'll just ask that you keep your comments to three minutes, tell us your name and address up front, and Toni will unmute you, having seen your hand.

UNKNOWN: Mayor.
TONI KEEHNER: I have not seen any hands raised.

MAYOR COLE: No.
UNKNOWN: Mayor, can you ask Toni to explain how to do it, if they call in? Because they may not know how to raise their hand when they're actually dialed in.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. So, Toni, there was a request that you explain how to raise your hand if you called using the phone. Right?

UNKNOWN: Correct.
MAYOR COLE: So Toni, can you do that? And you'll see me, eventually, once I get a computer working, but you can hear me, I hope. TONI KEEHNER: I can hear you. Yes. So, they must dial *9 on their phone to raise their hand.

MAYOR COLE: Okay, *9. Toni, do we have anybody who has raised their hand to comment on Item 1?

TONI KEEHNER: We do not.
MAYOR COLE: Okay, there is no public comment on this item, then. So we will close the public comment period on non-public hearing items and move on to the consent agenda.

First, Council, are there any separations from Item 1?

Council member Boyett.
MR. BOYETT: Yes. I'd like to separate 1B (1) and (2).

MAYOR COLE: Separation 1B (1) and (2). That's fire station roof replacement and fire station siding replacement. Thank you very much.

Council member Shaw-- Shawn Brown.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Mayor. I will be abstaining from Item 1S, as in solo, (1) and (2).

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. S(1)
and (2). Other separations? Council, any other separations this evening? Okay, don't see any so

Debi, that's right, Item $N$ we really have a change to. So I will separate that for a separate discussion later. Thank you for calling our attention to that. Any other separations? Okay, don't see any.

Is there a motion? Council member Neese and Shaw.

Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: I make a motion to approve Item No. 1, except Item 1B (1) and (2), 1S, (1) and (2) (indiscernible) 1 N .

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Second by Council member Shaw. Then is there discussion
on the motion? Motion is for approval of the non-separated items.

Council member Ewalt.
MR. EWALT: Thank you, Mayor. Take this thing off. I would like to ask a question on 1H (indiscernible). And it's with the equipment purchased for annual city-wide traffic signal controllers. We have, Debi, we've got a lot of state highways running through Billings, and I was talking to Rod Nelson and he said that you guys operate on different software for your signals than the State does. Does that cause a problem where we have city streets crossing state highways?

DEBI MELING: So, we did look at that in 2013 when we selected our software and our equipment that we were going to use, and we looked at how compatible they were and how many places that we actually did have those situations occurring and decided that we did not have enough issues to create or cause us to go with the other type of system. This one has better service for us. It works better for what we're trying to do. We tend to have different issues than most of the state routes. So, that's why we selected it.

It's not perfect. In a perfect world, obviously, we want to combine systems with them, but it does work well enough together that we can keep them timed.

MR. EWALT: So, is there any chance that we could provide the state interstate highways here in Billings, our software (indiscernible) theirs or -- I mean, we seem to think there's kind of an issue, especially with the 27th Street light (indiscernible) Montana Avenue, doesn't work well with the rest of the Montana Avenue lights. Is there-- Is that an issue or?

DEBI MELING: Well, they use a different type of system, and unless they want to change all of their signals over, $I$ don't see them going to our system. And like I said, we just-- we felt like the compatibility issue was not enough to cause us to go to the other system because of what this provides us. So, while it is not perfect, I do think we are able to work through some of those issues. Like I said, on some of those cross streets, like Montana and 1st and some of those, we've been able to work through those.

I will certainly call over to Rod and see what issues, in specific, he's talking about. It
might be something as easy as keeping our, you know, making sure our timers get set up correctly or making sure we coordinate them better.

MR. EWALT: One of the reasons I brought it up is because I've noticed since 27 th Street has been redone; Montana Avenue lights don't work like they did before. You got stops and goes and it doesn't have any effect on the train, it's just that you don't have flow of traffic. And I was-I don't know if it's 27th Street light that's causing it or what, but it's not like it used to be, so.

DEBI MELING: So, Montana is also theirs, though. So, Montana and $27 t h$ should be timed together because those are all owned by MDT.

MR. EWALT: All right. Just asking. Thank you.

DEBI MELING: Okay. I'll call him and talk, to him about it.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Anything other-discussion on the motion? Motion is for approval of the non-separated items on the consent agenda. Any other discussion, Council? Just raise your hand or speak up if I miss you. Not seeing anything, so we'll proceed to a vote. All in favor
of the motion, which is for approval of the non-separated items, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are all members of the City Council. Motion passes unanimously. Thank you very much.

First separated item is Item 1B(1).
Council member Boyett, that was your separation, I believe. Would you like to start off our discussion there?

MR. BOYETT: Thank you, Mayor. I was out looking at used cars the other day and I happened to see four cars, and three of them were at one price and one of them was half price. So, first thing I thought is there's something wrong, but when I see the bids here, I see the CNC Construction from Northwest Florida and it goes to Item No. 2 as well. Can someone explain?

It appears there's something wrong when I see a bid half price. Either they're really off or the other three are in cahoots saying, you know, charge us too much. So, I just need an explanation.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Jessica Iverson, our Facilities Director, is here. I'll turn it over to her unless, Chris, you want to
comment first?
MR. KUKULSKI: Nope. Jessica can give us some feedback.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Jessica Iverson, it's all yours.

JESSICA IVERSON: All right. Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Council member Boyett, for the question.

So, you know, oftentimes we do get a variety of bids and there might be various discrepancies. This one, we were concerned about, and we did reach out to the bidder and we did confirm that it was a responsible bid. They did include the full scope of our bid documents in their bid. I can't speak exactly to why it was so much lower than the other ones. I do know that they're eager to get into this market. They do have an office in Helena, so they've been doing some local work. But as far as the bid process and the due diligence that we have done, we do believe they are the responsible bidder.

MR. BOYETT: Thank you, Mayor. Can I have a follow-up?

MAYOR COLE: Please, Council member Boyett.

MR. BOYETT: Will someone be monitoring them, then, on a weekly or monthly basis? You just can't leave them alone, at this point.

JESSICA IVERSON: Yes, absolutely. We do have a project manager on the project. He actually talked to the contractor as well, making sure they have the full scope of work, and then we have facility staff that will be there on a regular basis.

MR. BOYETT: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Further discussion?

Council member Ewalt.
MR. EWALT: Thank you, Mayor. Is this contract for reroofing the Station 4, is that state prevailing-wage contract?

JESSICA IVERSON: Yes, it is.
MR. EWALT: So, they know that they have to pay prevailing wage?

JESSICA IVERSON: Yes.
MR. EWALT: And you're protected with a performance bond?

JESSICA IVERSON: Yes, we are.
MR. EWALT: Okay, because I called a big commercial contractor today and I told him that
this price came in at $55 \%$ of the next lowest bid, the bid above it, and right away he said, red flag. So, I hope you got yourself protected on this because that comes from a pretty good-sized commercial contractor. And he goes, 55\%, it's red flags all over. So, I hope you guys have got your i's dotted and your t's crossed.

JESSICA IVERSON: Alright. Thank you. Yes, we do have the performance bond in place. We will have the contract in place that if they don't perform, we can cash the performance bond to complete the work. So we're confident in that regard.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much. Good discussion. Do we have further discussion, questions, or a motion?

Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor. Jessica, when you get bids like this, do you actually call all references or anything like that, (Indiscernible) or do you just take their bid at face value with the bond?

JESSICA IVERSON: So, I don't know if Liz, our purchasing agent, would be more suited to comment, but we really have to-- We can confirm
with them that they bid the scope of work as outlined in our bid documents. However, there are only certain factors outside of that that we can consider when accepting a bid. So, unless they've already been excluded from bidding through some other process, we can't just not accept the bid using these outside factors.

MR. NEESE: All right. Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Further questions or a motion?

Council member Shaw.
MS. SHAW: Thank you. I move to approve consent agenda Items 1B (1) and (2).

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Is there a second?

Council member Joy. Now, discussion on the motion. Is there discussion on the motion? Motion is for approval consistent with the staff recommendation on Item 1B (1). Discussion? Seeing none

UNKNOWN: Chris.
UNKNOWN: Chris.
MAYOR COLE: Oh, I'm sorry. Chris Kukulski.

MR. KUKULSKI: Sorry, Mayor, Council, I
just wanted the Council to know that this was a red flag issue for us, which is why the calls were made. And secondly, whether you're an in-city, in-state, or out-of-state contractor, you will be held to building to the standard. And we are dealing with some issues with contractors today where this team is holding folks accountable. So, we just don't accept a low bid and then the quality is the quality. The quality needs to meet our minimum standard, whether they're in or out of state. So, those actions have been taken, so I'm confident that they will be taken on this case, if we run into unanticipated problems. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Further discussion on the motion?

Council member Ewalt.
MR. EWALT: Thank you, Mayor.
Chris, is this a hard bid with no chance of them coming back and adding to their price once they get into the project?

MR. KUKULSKI: Well, I don't think there's ever a bid where there can't be a request for some kind of a change order, but we always take those change orders into deep consideration. Is this outside of the scope? And speculating
here, a roof they weren't anticipating sub problems and there are problems. I mean, it's got to be legit for it to be granted by the staff, or I don't think I could ever tell you that there's no opportunity for someone to make a claim or request for a change order. Whether it, again, especially when we get a red flag like this, we're going to be hypersensitive to, did they just low bid it as a way to get in and plan on
change-ordering their way up to a better price? MR. EWALT: Okay. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Further discussion on the motion. Any further discussion on the motion? Okay, we'll proceed to a vote. The motion is for approval Item 1B (1). All in favor of the motion for approval, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are all members of the Council. Motion passes unanimously. Thank you. Next Item 1B (2). This is the same fire station, but the siding replacement. Different contractor.

Council member Boyett, this was your item.

MR. BOYETT: Yes, Sir. I think it was the same contractor, and that's what brought my
attention. And it's said that there was a conversation with some of the bidders. Can anyone explain what the conversation entailed?

MAYOR COLE: So, back to Jessica, and just to clarify it was awarded -- the proposal was awarded to a different contractor because that same Northwest Florida was disqualified. So, may we get a little background on that, Jessica? JESSICA IVERSON: Yes, thank you, Mayor, and thank you, Council member Boyett, for the question. So, the same contractor that we're awarding the roof contract to did bid on the siding. However, their bid was exceedingly low and we did have that conversation verifying the scope of that portion of the project. And we determined that was not a responsible bid because they did not bid the full scope of the siding work. And so, in that case, we could exclude it as a non-responsible bid and then we went to the next lowest bidder.

MR. BOYETT: Thank you.
MR. NEESE: Mayor, quick point of order.
MAYOR COLE: Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: I thought the motion was made from a party approving Item $1 B$ (1) and (2).

MAYOR COLE: No, it was only for Item 1B (1) .

MR. NEESE: Everyone else remembers it, that I've talked to, that it's (1) and (2).

MS. RONNING: I remember it as being (2) as well.

MAYOR COLE: I'm sorry. I was repeating the motion as only Item 1B (1), but you're saying the original motion made by the Council member?

MS. RONNING: Yeah.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Well, if that was the motion.

Council member Shaw, you made that motion and it was your intent to address both items; is that correct?

MS. SHAW: It was my intent to address both, but $I$ now understand that there was a follow-up question to this one. So, I don't have any problem with what's happened, but I did include it initially.

MAYOR COLE: Okay, I would suggest, Council, because of that confusion, let's just take them in order. It shouldn't take long, especially if that was your intent. Is there a motion concerning item 1B (2)?

Council member Joy.
MS. JOY: Thank you. I move we approve Fire Station \#4 siding replacement project. I'd recommend TW Clark Construction, LLC, \$114,000.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. And a second?
Council member Shaw. Discussion now on that motion for approval. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are all members of the -Council member Choriki is not voting in -- Council member Choriki is voting in favor. Okay, motion passes unanimously. Thank you very much.

That brings us, then, to separated Item $1 N$, as in nighttime. There's got to be some better military -- what's the military for

UNKNOWN: November.
UNKNOWN: November.
UNKNOWN: November.
MAYOR COLE: November? Okay. 1
November. I made this separation so that Debi Meling can tell us if there is a correction to the resolution that was emailed around.

Debi Meling, our Public Works Director, can you walk us through the change to the resolution?

DEBI MELING: I can. Thank you, Mayor, and Council. In Section 4 of the resolution where it talks about the description of the project, we said the improvements included streetlights and park. It actually includes streetlights and roadway, so I changed the word "park" to "roadway" and sent that out to all of you yesterday. So, apologies for that error there.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much.
Are there any questions for Debi or a motion relating to this item? If there is a motion, we need to make sure that clearly references the new resolution that changes "park" to "roadway." Questions or motion?

Council member Boyett.
MR. BOYETT: Mayor, I move that we approve 1 N with the amended changes as stated.

MAYOR COLE: Okay, motion for approval with "park" be changed to "roadway."

Council member Neese, did you have the second on that?

MR. NEESE: Yes.
MAYOR COLE: Second by Council member
Neese. Discussion. Is there any discussion on the motion for approval? Any discussion? Seeing
none, all in favor, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are all members of City Council. Motion passes unanimously. Thank you.

Item 1S (1) and/or (2). Is there a motion or discussion? These are the bills for the week of March 1 and March 8. Council Member Brown will be abstaining.

Council member Shaw.
MS. SHAW: Thank you. I move to approve 1S (1) and (2), with the abstention of Council member Brown.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Second by Council member Joy, and that does include both of those items. Discussion on the motion. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion for approval, please raise your hand. Voting in favor, all members of the City Council, with the exception of Council member Brown, who abstained. That, then, concludes Item No. 1.

We'll move through, appreciate everyone's moving expeditiously. We are anticipating lengthy discussion on Item No. 5 and as well as public comment. So, we'll want to move just as speedily as is fair. Item No. 2.

Toni, would you read Item No. 2?

TONI KEEHNER: Item No. 2. Resolution awarding the sale of refunding library general obligation bonds. Staff recommends approval. Action: Approval or disapproval of staff recommendation.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. I believe we have a presentation by our Finance Director, Andy Zoeller.

ANDY ZOELLER: Good evening, Mayor, and City Council. Send a thumbs-up or wave that you can hear me. All right. Cool. So, we I'm trying to get the general obligation bonds refunded with the library. We have an opportunity to refund these bonds based upon favorable market conditions. Interest rates have dropped since we issued these in 2012 and they are callable, which means we can now refund them.

And you know, the city has a good bond rating. So, we were rated by Moody's on April 8th, last week. They gave us a rating of Aa2, which is very good. Some of our recognized strengths: Just our regional economy, strong job growth and strong finances and low debt burden. They did notice the challenges with our rising public safety costs and some elevated pension liabilities.

We opened bids today. That's why you did not have the exhibit attached. It's not possible to do that. We wouldn't receive bids -- you have to open bids and sign within 24 hours. It's the only way it can be done. We had 11 bids, which is really good. The true interest costs were ranging from .9\% to 1.19\%. So, the winning bidder is Robert W. Baird, RW Baird, and we've done some deals with them recently. And the result of this savings will save taxpayers $\$ 1.2$ million over the next 10 years.

Here's just kind of a summary of the savings. You see it's about half a mill per year. This will be reflected on tax bills starting next fiscal year, if approved this evening.

This is the Exhibit A, that was not included in the resolution. It's just that same bid schedule. They're giving us, you know, coupon and real rates here, and the total amount borrowing was $\$ 7,310,000$, but it was at a premium. So, we are getting premium payments. We will be paying off the full amount of the bonds outstanding. So with that, staff recommends awarding the sale of the Series 2021 bonds to Robert W Baird and Company. Refunding the Series

2012 library bonds. If there are any questions, I am happy to answer them.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you, Andy. The proposed resolution references Exhibit A, the attached winning bid, can you just put that back on the screen so it's absolutely clear what it is that we're approving? And that is Exhibit A.

ANDY ZOELLER: You bet, Mayor. There we go. Yeah, this is Exhibit A. It's the bids tab and they are the lowest bidder of the 11.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much. Council, do have questions for Andy or a motion?

Council member Shaw.
MS. SHAW: Thank you. How exciting that we finally have some news to give to constituents, that we're not begging them for money, but we can actually drop their taxes. I mean, well done, team. That's really fantastic news.

I don't believe this has a public hearing, so I'm going to go ahead and make a motion for approval. I move to approve the resolution awarding the sale of refunding library general obligation bonds as recommended by staff.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Is
there a second? Council member Boyett. Motion
made and seconded. Discussion, now, on the motion. Is there any other discussion on the motion?

Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor, and thank you, Andy, for taking the initiative to make sure that this was done. And then $I$ agree with Council member Shaw, that this is really good news to see that we're actually lowering the taxes here going forward. So, look forward to that. Thank you, again, Andy, for getting that done.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Andy, I think we all agree with that and $I$ can't think of a more fitting place to be getting this news than in the Royal Johnson Community Room of the Billings Public Library. If there was any doubt about the value of building a new library and its usefulness to our community, I think tonight underlines that very well.

So, any other discussion on the motion? Okay. We'll proceed to a motion-- or to a vote on the motion for approval of the resolution award of the bid. All in favor of the motion, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are all members of the City Council. Motion passes unanimously. Thank
you.
Toni, would you read Item No. 3?
TONI KEEHNER: Item No. 3. Public hearings provide the community an opportunity to comment on the low-income housing communities of Brush Meadow Apartments, Edgewood Apartments, and Shiloh Glen as required under Section 15-6-221(2) MCA. No action by Council is necessary this evening.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. If you're saying this is déjà vu all over again, you're right. We do have a presentation tonight. Wyeth, are you our kind of introducer before turning it over to Betsy? Could you help us out there?

WYETH FRIDAY: Correct. Yes, thank you, Mayor, and Council. I will just really quickly run through a couple of slides, as we did a couple of months ago, regarding this item. And then Betsy Schanno is also on the meeting tonight and can answer questions as well, as needed here. So this, can you see my screen now?

MAYOR COLE: Yes. So, we're also seeing your next slide screen.

WYETH FRIDAY: Is that better?
MAYOR COLE: There we go.

WYETH FRIDAY: Okay, so this is the public hearing as was stated by the deputy city clerk for low-income tax exemption application that 11 Capital has made in Montana for three projects. Existing housing developments, I guess I should say. They're not under construction. They're existing housing developments here in Billings: Brush Meadow Apartments, Edgewood Apartments and Shiloh Glen Apartments.

And basically the exemption is for rental
housing, providing affordable housing to lower-income tenants in the community and they're, as I said, they're all in existing properties.

Just real quickly -- Let's move this out of the way for you. So, this is -- Two of the developments are up in the Heights: Brush Meadow Apartments and Edgewood Apartments. And this is Lake Elmo Drive right here. So, you can see the two properties are right next to each other on the east side of Lake Elmo Drive. And then the other property is Shiloh Glen Apartments, in this area. This is King Avenue West and Shiloh Road. So, it's on that northeast corner of that intersection, and it's that portion of the residential housing in that area.

And so again, per the state code under Section 15-6-221 (2), the process requires that the City hold this public hearing to solicit comment on whether the housing property meets a community need. There's no other action by the City aside from conducting this hearing as part of the process. Unfortunately, the legal notice for this item, for the February 22 nd meeting, was found to be in error when 11 Capital had coordinated with Yellowstone County News directly to run that ad, as part of their process, and there was some-- an error at that point. So, they've approached us again to make sure that this was conducted again to make sure, then, with the proper notice has been done through them and in Yellowstone County News.

So that's the reason that you're having this repeated back to you tonight. And then these are the three apartment complexes. They exist, as I said, and already serve the community need for housing in two different areas of our city and 11 Capital asset manager, Betsy Schanno, is on the Zoom tonight and can answer any questions. So, with that $I$ will stop sharing and -- oops.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much.

Wyeth, did Betsy want to comment?
BETSY SCHANNO: Yes. Hi there. Thank you, Mayor, and City Council. I first just wanted to apologize for our error and having to repeat this meeting. But $I$ just wanted to reemphasize that these are existing assets and by, you know, applying for this tax exemption, it does really allow us to put the investment back into these assets and maintain them as Section 42 assets.

MAYOR COLE: Okay, thank you. Council
members, I'm going to open it up for public hearing in just a minute, but are there any questions of Betsy, or Wyeth, before I do so? Again, our only obligation tonight is to conduct the public hearing. We make no decision. It's just a public hearing to see whether to discuss whether we're meeting a community housing need. Any questions? Don't see any.

So what we'll do is we will open the public hearing now on Item No. 3 to provide community an opportunity to comment on the low-income housing communities of Brush Meadow Apartments, Edgewood Apartments and Shiloh Glen. Is there any public comment, any public input whatsoever on this item?

First, we'll start here in the library in person. If there's any member of the public would like to comment on this item, please come on up to the podium. And also, anybody who might be watching in Zoomland, please use your "raise hand" feature so that Toni knows to unmute you so you can comment. But back to here in the library, is there anybody would like to comment in person?

MR. NEESE: Mayor.
MAYOR COLE: Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Can the people in the foyer hear that you're asking for public comment now?

MAYOR COLE: People in the foyer, you're hearing it on television, but can you give me a thumbs-up if you can hear us okay? I see thumbs-up.

MR. NEESE: Okay, good.
(Indiscernible response.)
MAYOR COLE: Mediocre. Okay, and our City Administrator is going to go out and kind of monitor the situation there. Toni, we have no public comment here in person. Toni, do we have anybody on Zoom who would like to comment on Item No. 3?

TONI KEEHNER: We do.

Hello, and welcome to the public comment for this evening. You will have 3 minutes in which to give your comments.

I have Patti Webster. Can you please give your name and address?

PATTI WEBSTER: Sure. I'm Patti Webster, 4432 Lawn Lane.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
PATTI WEBSTER: Mayor Cole, and members of the Council. My name is Patti Webster. I'm the CEO of HomeFront, which is formerly the Housing Authority of Billings. I wanted to remind the Council tonight that HomeFront is here as a resource for all Council members in reviewing the housing projects and policy issues that might come before you.

Under state law, housing authorities have the power to study and make recommendations concerning the plan of any city or municipality located within its boundaries as to housing. So I'm here to support you in evaluating projects that come before you, even such as the one tonight.

Just a clarification, this is not
low-income housing. This is tax credit housing and
there is a big difference. Low-income housing is subsidized and tax credit housing is not. In particular, $I$ just wanted to make sure that all the Council members are aware that the HomeFront projects in the city of Billings, even though we're a governmental entity and a nonprofit developer, we pay a payment in lieu of taxes. And we think that's important to pay our fair share for the use of police, fire and other city services.

As the Council considers the need for additional public safety resources, as well as understanding the cost of services, ensuring that nonprofit housing developers are paying for a portion of the use of city resources, like HomeFront does, is an option for your consideration. Nonprofit tax-exempt status can be an attractive incentive for developers and there's a critical need for additional affordable housing in Billings for sure. At the same time, the incentives for development should be balanced against the impact on public services, specifically on public safety, which we know has been taxed as much as it possibly can, right, in all the resources.

I believe that there is a path forward to encouraging development, while still ensuring that nonprofit housing projects, like the ones before you tonight, are not unduly restraining city resources. So, for example, public housing; the number of public housing units we own and administrate in the city are 274 , and the number of units they're putting before you tonight for tax exempt status is 243. But yeah, we pay a payment in lieu of taxes every year.

And so as you move forward tonight and as you look at future housing projects, please know that HomeFront is here as your local housing authority to be a resource to the Council in reviewing these types of proposals. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Toni, any other comments on Item No. 3?

TONI KEEHNER: I do not have any other comments.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. We will close the public comment period on Item No. 3, and that also, then, concludes that item. Thank you very much.

I'll just comment, $I$ don't know whether our technical staff has any way to turn up the
volume of people who are commenting by Zoom. I could understand everything that Patti said, but I had to strain a bit. So, if there's a way to turn that up. Everybody else, I'm sure, has better hearing than $I$ do because mine is definitely going, but $I$ don't think it would hurt if we could turn it up a little bit. If there's a way to do that.

Chris.
MR. KUKULSKI: Mayor, Council, I would also add the more we can project our voices, the better. We knew this was probably a challenge, for not only in this room, but there's a time delay on the screen out there, which makes no sense. So, they really have to rely on hearing us through these doors. So, I would say, as much of a hassle as it is, when you speak, you take off your mask. That will make it quite a bit easier to be heard and we'll just do the best we can. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. So, use your outdoor voice, as you're kindergartener teacher used to tell you, even though we're inside.

Toni, would you read Item No. 4, please?

TONI KEEHNER: Item No. 4, public hearing and first reading ordinance granting a nonexclusive franchise to TDS Metrocom, LLC for cable service. Staff recommends approval.

Action: Approval or disapproval of staff recommendation.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Our presenter tonight, Chris, I assume is Stacy Tenney?

Okay.
STACEY TENNEY: Good evening.
MAYOR COLE: We'll turn it over to Stacey if, Chris, you don't have anything further. No? Okay. Stacey, it's all yours.

STACEY TENNEY: Good evening, Mayor, and Council members. Joining me tonight on Zoom, again, is Joshua Worrell. He is the manager of TDS Business Development and he spoke with you last Monday night at the work session. I will begin my presentation with a brief PowerPoint. I'll put that on for you here. Are you seeing that?

MAYOR COLE: Yes.
STACEY TENNEY: Was I able to share that with you?

MAYOR COLE: Yes, we can now see it.

STACEY TENNEY: Oh, great. Okay. Tonight's presentation is introducing a competitive non-exclusive cable system franchise with TDS Metrocom, LLC. This is a corporation out of Madison, Wisconsin. Mr. Worrell explained to you that this is a family-owned company. It's been in business for 50 years, but it also is a subsidiary of TDS Telecom and Fortune 500 companies.

The background here is that TDS is out in Madison, Wisconsin, it is a provider of cable and high-speed internet services, and it has made application to the City for a non-exclusive cable franchise to invest $\$ 50-60$ million to lay hundreds of miles of fiber optic cable to form a brand-new network throughout our city. TDS is offering to build this as an all fiber, which would provide high-speed fiber connections directly to the doors of homes and businesses. It would offer a minimum of 120 cable channels, and also, along with that, it would also offer the ability to have some competition with Charter/Spectrum, who has been the incumbent and only cable provider for very many years.

Under the Cable Communications Act, this
is a federal act, it was enacted in 1934, it has had several iterations since then, but basically it allows state or local governments to franchise, allow a cable system -- a wire system to be in a public right away, and it allows the city governments to grant those franchises. But in recent years, probably in the last 20 years or so, the federal --

Are you okay? Can you hear me? Am I breaking up or anything?

MAYOR COLE: Nope, you sound great.
STACEY TENNEY: Oh, good. Okay.
The FCC, the Federal Communications
Commission, has provided that exclusive cable system franchises are not allowed and that a local governing franchising authority cannot just have an exclusive cable system. It has to allow competition and it may not unreasonably refuse to award an additional competitive franchise. And that's what we're looking at tonight, a competitive franchise for cable.

In 2007, the Federal Communications Commission also enacted a rule establishing a six-month deadine for granting or denying competitive cable franchise application. So that's
what's going on here. Back in October, TDS submitted an application. You received those materials in your work session packet last week. I asked you to keep in mind those materials, because when you start to look at whether or not to grant TDS a franchise, you'll be looking at their financial, their technical, and their legal ability to perform.

So what is a franchise? Well, under
federal law, the definition means an initial
authorization or renewal issued by a franchising authority, which authorizes the construction and operation of a cable system. And of course, franchise authority -- What is that? It's any governmental entity, like the City, that's empowered to grant a franchise.

Now, cable systems, under federal law, allow for local government, like the City, to grant permission to use the public right-of-way for area cable wires and services. And again, the franchising authority cannot allow an exclusive franchise to exist. They find that it's unreasonable to refuse to award competitive cable franchise; and so, therefore, any application for a secondary franchise in a community has to -- If
it is denied by the Local Franchising Authority, the LFA, then such a decision may be appealed to either the state or the US District Court.

In terms of franchise fees, the Communication Act has Title VI and Title VI really governs cable systems and Title VI allows for local governments to receive a franchise fee of 5\% of the annual gross revenue within the cable system's operating local area. So, for instance, if you were to grant TDS a franchise, then we would receive -- the City would receive 5\% in TDS's gross revenue from their workings in Billings. Please excuse me, I'm sorry.

Now, the interesting thing about Title VI of the Federal Communications Act is that because the wire that is in the ground, running not only the cable can also have non-cable services on it, like broadband, the Title VI regulates that a city, such as Billings, cannot extract any further fees, other than that 5\% franchise fee for any other non-cable services. So, the only amount of money the City will receive or can extract from TDS, if it were to grant this franchise, is that 5\% franchise fee.

Now, here again, on this slide is just a
little bit more about applicant TDS Metrocom. You have had the information in your packet from last week, but $I$ just kind of highlighted here for you the background technical ability for $T D S$, their legal qualifications. I got on the Secretary of State's website here a couple months ago, and TDS Metrocom is registered as an active Foreign Profit Corporation and in good standing in Montana.

So, and then in terms of what they're capable of doing, again, they're going to construct an all fiber-to-fiber-to-home cable system. This is going to be capable of providing at least 120 channels of video programming. It has active and passive devices, and they're capable of delivering high quality digital video signals meeting or exceeding FCC technical quality standards, and that is, basically, the City standards. If this passes muster with the FCC, it certainly passes muster with the City.

In terms of its financial ability, you have the financial affidavit and the financial statement from TDS in your work session materials. And finally, in terms of their construction schedule, I have another slide on this, but they do have a build-out schedule. And they also, in
terms of our community needs and interests, they're bringing in competitive all fiber cable system that will provide consumers with a new choice of video service providers and the cable system will carry a wide range of programming, including the City's public education and governmental access channels.

And I have another slide that I'll talk to you a little bit about that. In terms of the PEG channels, which we're on tonight with Community 7, one of the -- TDS has agreed in a proposed franchise to provide the City with two high definition pay channels. TDS has also agreed to maintain and provide the active links that are required to get the broadcasting from our live, from our City Council Chambers in City Hall to our access provider, which is Community 7, located in the Lincoln Center. And they've also agreed to support the capital costs for our public educational and governmental access channel. They would send $\$ 0.25$, or require $\$ 0.25$ from their subscribers. This would be allocated directly to pay capital costs.

Pursuant to the federal law, in awarding a cable system franchise, the cable or the
franchising authorities for, the City in this instance, would have to allow TDS a reasonable period of time to become capable of providing cable service to all households in our franchise area.

There is a plan proposed in the franchise in which TDS believes is reasonable, that it can build out $70 \%$ of the city within four years. Now, Josh explained last week that they anticipate more of an 85\% build-out in two years, but this is kind of the worst case scenario, I guess. This is their minimum standard, which is in the franchise. They anticipate building out much faster and quicker and more.

And then in terms of both federally and in our own model cable ordinance, TDS would have to make its services and rates available on a non-discriminatory basis and they have agreed to that, and that is a term within the franchise. So, in terms of competition, this slide is just a look at -- we currently have a franchising placed with Charter that served us very well since 2008. There's nothing wrong with it. It's just that it has an expiration date. That expiration date with Charter has been extended for the last several
years. So we are in the process of attempting to renew that with Charter. And the same terms with TDS are primarily the same terms that Charter has been extended, except for build-out, which Charter is already fully built out.

And then TDS is committing to link us up with two high definition channels and do the linkage for that. And so that's just a little bit different, but all the other terms would be the same. So, if you look at the current Charter franchise that is in effect on the books, they have agreed and they pay the City of $5 \%$ maximum franchise fee.

Similarly, TDS, if you are to award this competitive franchise, they will also pay the same 5\% maximum franchise fee. Charter right now pays a 25\% -- well, it goes through their subscriber, but their subscribers pay a 25\% pay in capital support fee. The City, in its terms with Charter, the City Council can increase those costs, on a City Council vote, up to 85\% per subscriber, but for the last probably 15 years that has stayed at $\$ 0.25$ and TDS has agreed to those same terms.

Charter has two dedicated pay channels: One is a high-definition channel, which was put in
and around 2013 after Charter took over transfership from Bresnan, and they also have a standard channel and those are basically kind of TV sets. You know, you have your smart TV that's high definition and then you have some of your older television sets that don't. TDS has agreed to actually two high-definition channels. So, Tim Harrington, who is the manager of Community 7, he helped to make sure that these pay terms are good for our pay access channels and community provider. And so, we kind of got his seal of approval on these.

Charter has a density extension in terms of build-out. It is the extension of cable when there's ten residential units passed by $1 / 4$ of cable continuous to the distribution cable. TDS is actually -- They've actually agreed to a little bit more of a density extension. They're willing to extend it out with seven residential units.

There is liquidated damages, which is just if there's any kind of violations by the franchise, Charter had agreed to pay liquidated damages if quartered. Those were in 2008 dollars. We have upped those terms and made them 2021 dollars, in terms of the TDS Metrocom proposed
franchise. We will do that with Charter as well. That's what we will propose.

MAYOR COLE: And here, Stacey. It looks like you're about done, but I'm just going to ask you to kind of wrap up because we're kind of past 15 minutes. We need to move on.

STACEY TENNEY: Okay. So, let's just go to your criteria that you should consider when granting this franchise. So, these are the ordinance requirements. These also follow along the lines of federal requirements, but the completeness of TDS's responses, I would submit their application is very thorough and that you can rely upon their financial, technical, and legal qualifications.

They asked whether or not TDS is satisfying minimum standards established by the City to meet the community's future cable regulated needs. I would submit that TDS does meet that. And in fact, we will be getting I think the cable system that isn't just coaxial lines, which Charter has coaxial and $I$ believe some fiber, and TDS will be all fiber.

Whether TDS is able and willing to provide PEG use capacity facilities and/or
financial support, and they are. Whether granting the franchise will result in Red Line or discrimination. I submit to you that it will not. Whether that schedule for build-out is reasonable. I submit to you that it is. And then finally, whether approving the franchise would eliminate or reduce competition in the delivery of cable service. And approving this competitive franchise would absolutely not reduce competition. It would, in fact, provide competition, which is something that, under the ordinance, the City is a proponent of.

So finally, if you decide that it's in the public interest to issue a cable franchise, considering all of those factors, then you would tender TDS the franchise. And if you decide not to, then you just need to make a written ruling of why not. So, thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Do we have a presentation from Josh, the TDS representative, of any kind? Would he like to wave his hand?

UNKNOWN: (Indiscernible) removed the presentation.

MAYOR COLE: Yeah. And Josh, if you're
out there, I can't see you quite yet so.
UNKNOWN: (Indiscernible.)
MAYOR COLE: I don't know if you can unmute Josh --

JOSHUA WORRELL: Hi, I'm here.
MAYOR COLE: -- Toni. There you go. Josh, did you have anything for us this evening and --

JOSHUA WORRELL: I do not.
MAYOR COLE: -- Stacey, we're seeing you
and your daughter, which is lovely, but if you can unshare that. Where is that? Looks like a ski hill. And a zip line maybe?

JOSHUA WORRELL: I believe that's Stacey's screen, correct?

MAYOR COLE: Yeah.
Hey, there we go. Back to kind of like the old one, but that's all right. Go ahead, Josh. Welcome to our meeting.

JOSHUA WORRELL: Mayor, Council members, thank you for having me tonight. I don't have anything to add. I'd be happy to answer any questions, but $I$ think Stacey laid it out there. We're excited to be entering the Billings market and work towards becoming a trusted local service provider.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much. That's our presentation. We'll turn it back to the Council.

Chris, you don't -- do you have anything further on this matter?

MR. KUKULSKI: Mayor, and Council, just a few brief comments. Just wanna give two reasons to strongly consider supporting this, this evening. First, our citizens, business and residential, for the first time in quite a long time get real competition in this space. And so when we talk about our economy, the return of our economy from this pandemic, competition for higher speed internet service, higher speed and price is what we see when we talk to other communities that have more than one primary service provider. So, like with most businesses, this is welcome.

Second, you are here tonight talking about the franchise fee, Stacey covered all that. Cable is a shrinking customer base. I think we're all maybe surprised how many people still are using cable. Cable is what we get our franchise fee from, though. And so in this case, having only one primary provider, the City doesn't have much
leverage. As Spectrum is choosing, frankly, to do less and less for us as their subscriber base for cable reduces. This actually gives us competition there, provides us with a new agreement with TDS, and we can have leverage with Spectrum to say, no, we should keep the same kind of arrangement, the 5\%, the 25 up to $\$ 0.85$ from past. So, I think there's leverage there.

Last, I will tell you, the issue that we do expect to be challenged with on both sides has to do with use and the right-of-way and in right-of-way permitting and our oversight of this deployment. So, we've been in communication with other cities. TDS is deploying several cities in western United States. One which happens to be making some professional investments here. So, we have that dialogue. We've gotten a good reference in those conversations, but they acknowledge what we know to be true. You can't put 500 miles of pipe mostly underground and not have some challenges. So, the fee structure associated with the right-of-way permits are how we'll pay for the oversight of it. And it really is in both TDS's best interest and ours to do that well.

So, I had a call Friday afternoon with
the CEO of TDS, as well as Josh, both talking about some of their work in the Western U.S. and how we can work together to make this deployment go as smooth as possible. Ironically, we'd like, and they would like, to see as much underground as we can because of the attractiveness of that. We'll have more headaches with underground than above ground. So, there's some places where they'll have to go above. Their preference is under. And as far as complaints, you probably won't hear many constituents complain about another wire on a pole that already exists. But we will hear and we will be dealing with issues as they trench through the community.

Lastly, like I said, I think the opportunity in this space to have competition in 2021 and into the future of where the economy is going, where our educators from kindergarten to doctoral level are getting their education online more and more, this really is a critical piece of infrastructure that the City is not investing in, but these folks are in the private sector.

So, I'll close with that. Be happy to answer any questions you guys have. Thank you. MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Council, before
we open up for public hearing, are there questions for Stacey, Josh, or Chris?

Council member Ronning.
MS. RONNING: Thank you. This is either for, I guess, Josh or Stacey. Which one would like to have that? Have the question?

MAYOR COLE: Why don't you just say the question and then they can pick.

MS. RONNING: Well -- Okay, I'm just going to go with you, Stacey, since you're the one who originally read it, it's on your PowerPoint. You said, for the non-discrimination, you said economic and racial. I didn't see LGBTQ.

STACEY TENNEY: I believe that it is in there, Council member Ronning. Let me just find it here in --

MS. RONNING: Josh, are you able to answer that question?

JOSHUA WORRELL: We would include that as well in that. I'm sure the statute calls that out. If it doesn't, I can tell you that we will not discriminate based on the LGBTQ requirement. But I'm pretty sure the statute would call that out as well.

MS. RONNING: Okay. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Other questions for staff or presenter?

Council member Ewald. Council member Purinton.

MR. EWALT: Thank you, Mayor. Josh, last time we met with you here you kind of gave us a pie-in-the-sky overview of it, and so I don't know on reviews how -- if you get a lot of reviews against you or for you or what, but $I$ went online and $I$ started researching and there's a lot of reviews that are saying, speed is slower than promised and prices go up faster than promised and some places you are the only game in town and other places, once they hooked up, they decided to stay with you because that was it.

So, I know you're the salesman for the company, so that's what you're supposed to do, is give the pie-in-the-sky account, but is it sort of true, or are these reviews that people are making on your company wrong?

JOSHUA WORRELL: No, those Council
member, thank you for that question. Those reviews are 100\% true, so depending on what you read online, in some, where it's usually opted into the spectrum with social media or anything
online, we're either the best thing since sliced bread or the worst thing. I will tell you that we serve a lot of rural areas of the country from the bottom of the Grand Canyon to islands off the coast of Maine, and you are absolutely correct, there are a lot of areas that we are the only game in town.

Those areas are served on old technology copper. So, a lot of those reviews depend on where you're reading them. I can understand why residents would be giving us those reviews. Because we do have a lot of areas that are only getting 5 megabit. For this particular and all the other areas we've been making investments in, these are only fiber built -- fastest residential speeds you can get up to a gig or as we continue and technology progresses, it will be multi gig.

So, those reviews are correct. It just
depends on where in the country you're reading those reviews. For all of our fiber markets, you'll get a different reaction than that, but what you're reading is probably accurate. MR. EWALT: Thank you. MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Council member Purinton.

MS. PURINTON: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
So, Josh -- no, this is for Debi or
Chris. Last time we spoke about additional FTEs, one was for locators and I can't remember what the other position was. Do you have an estimate for the one that the City would be paying for and explain that to me again, please?

MR. KUKULSKI: Let me ask Debi. Debi and I got to talk -- Now we working? Okay. Debi and I got to talk about that this afternoon.

MS. PURINTON: Okay.
MR. KUKULSKI: So then Debi can kind of --

MS. PURINTON: Thank you.
MR. KUKULSKI: -- (Indiscernible) this.
DEBI MELING: Okay. Thank you for that question. So, my estimate at this time, depending on how fast, the build-out is. If it went as fast as Josh's describing, we'd be looking at probably two to three inspectors. These are the people that would review the plans and actually be on site when the construction is done to make sure everything's going well. And then we would have one to two locators that would be locating our water, sewer, storm, streetlights, signal wires,
all that sort of thing. So, we probably need one to two locators on staff as well.

The inspectors and plan reviewers, they are paid for out of our permit fees, so our permit structure is set up to recoup cost of services. So, however long it takes us to review their plans to be on site, that's how much we charge for those services. Location services are charged (indiscernible) drivers to the location service. So, when people call in, we do it to protect our infrastructure, so our locators are paid for out of water sewer, storm, streets, all those funds that we have, and that's how we pay for locators.

So, does that answer everything, Council member Purinton?

MS. PURINTON: Do you have any idea what the cost would be for those?

DEBI MELING: So, a locator, would be on the order of a loaded cost. I'm going to say $\$ 50,000$ off the top of my head. That's very rough, but you're looking at something around there for a locator. So, you'd be looking at one to two of those. And then the inspectors are probably pretty similar. Like I said, that cost will be recouped out of permit fees, though.

MS. PURINTON: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Back to Council member Ewalt.
MR. EWALT: Thank you, Mayor.
Debi, so that is approximately five new FTEs, or are these people you have on staff already?

DEBI MELING: So, I think we need anywhere between three and five, depending on how fast this goes. Two to three inspectors and one to two locators. We do not have them on staff. We do have inspectors on staff and locators on staff, but we need these in addition to move at the rate that they're talking about.

MR. EWALT: Follow-up question, please, Mayor.

MAYOR COLE: Go ahead.
MR. EWALT: So, after four years when this project's done, what happens to the new five FTEs that you've hired, is this part-time gig or is this a full-time, and then we have to find a place for them somewhere in the city?

DEBI MELING: So, we've talked about that a little bit. Inspector-wise, we actually have an open inspector position that we haven't filled. We
had a retirement that we just haven't filled yet. But we need another inspector. This gives us a good chance to hire somebody; and then as they phase out of this project, we'll put them on to projects that we do in-house. That deals with one of them.

Location-wise, I think over the course of the next few years, we have in our budget that you guys will see next month, we have that staff growing. We're trying to add crews to do more work in all of those areas. So what $I$ can see happening is, over the next few years phasing out of this project and having those people move on to our crews. So, their job would change, but I do think over the years we have the ability to assimilate those people into our staff.

MR. EWALT: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Further questions before we go to public hearing?

Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor. This is for Stacey, just to clarify, and I think Josh said in his work session that it's not a matter of "if," but "when" they hit something underground.

And I understand that that's, you know, things are not exactly where, you know, it's not exact science, but just when something like that happens, is it in -- Will they make sure that they actually -- all the costs will be borne by TDS to replace that? Including if it's older infrastructure that they hit, and including if they have to dig up the road or replace some of that infrastructure? And then I have a follow-up question on that as well.

MAYOR COLE: Stacey, that was directed to you, but -- and so it's all yours. Or you can pass it to somebody else.

STACEY TENNEY: Yes, they should have to. MR. NEESE: Okay.

MR. KUKULSKI: So, I believe that's going
to be in the right-of-way. I think Debi could speak to how that's handled today; in that yes, they'll be expected to put it back the way they found it. So, as they bore through a water line and a wastewater line or they cut somebody else's pipe, they will be responsible for putting it back and then taking care of the right-of-way impacts on that.

MAYOR COLE: Okay, Debi Meling, two of
your staff have said yes. I'm assuming you don't wanna contradict that. Anything you want to add to that, though?

DEBI MELING: No, the state law and our city code is very specific about all that. We are covered when they get their right-of-way permit.

MR. NEESE: Okay.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you.
MR. NEESE: Quick follow-up on that, Mayor.

MAYOR COLE: Go ahead.
MR. NEESE: So, in the Heights I
understand the water mains are asbestos pipes up there. So, if they hit one of those, that could put asbestos into the water line. Is there any considerations as far as them having to make sure that the residents are notified if one of these pipes are hit and asbestos gets in the water?

MAYOR COLE: Sounds like a Debi question.
DEBI MELING: Sure, I'll take a stab at that. First of all, I'd like to tell you kind of how the process works. We do require that they pothole every single crossing, which means they get out there with the back (indiscernible) excavator and every time they cross the water
line, they have to back in sat. It's a very, very safe way to make sure that they don't hit those. If they do hit AC pipe, every water system will have a process in place by what they require. We'll work with the Heights Water District to talk to them about what that process is and ensure that TDS knows what that process is. MR. NEESE: Thank you. MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Any other questions for staff or TDS before we open up for public hearing?

UNKNOWN: Chris has something.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. I don't see any, so --

UNKNOWN: Chris.
UNKNOWN: Chris.
UNKNOWN: Chris.
MAYOR COLE: I'm sorry. Chris.
MR. KUKULSKI: Sorry, two brief
clarifications. One, if we don't have work for these folks to do after the three years or four years, I don't believe we have any mandate in the contract. If there's not work for them, we would have to go through some kind of layoff process. But frankly, with turnover, things of that nature

I think that would be unlikely.
And then, I apologize, I lost my second point. It had to do with Ms. Purinton's Oh, I know what it is. We deliberately don't charge -We won't wanna charge for locates. Why would that be the case? We don't want homeowners or contractors to have any reason not to call the dig system so we can locate lines, because we'll pay a lot more money going out having to deal with repairs than to have someone go out and mark that. So, there is a deliberate reason why there's not a charge on the locating part of the system, but there is a charge on the right-of-way of work part of it. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. We'll open it up then for our public hearing on Item No. 4, the TDS Metrocom cable contract that -- or ordinance that you've heard discussed here.

First, we'll look to people and anyone in the library, but also this is the time where if you're online on Zoom and you would like to comment, please use that "raise hand" feature to let Toni know that you'd like to make a comment and she should unmute you. Here in the library, is there anybody who would like to comment on Item

No. 4, the proposed TDS Metrocom ordinance. Is there anybody here in the library?

Don't see anybody walking to the podium. So, Toni, do we have anybody on Zoom who would like to comment on Item No. 4?

TONI KEEHNER: I have not seen anyone raise their hand.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. We'll close the public hearing then on Item No. 4. Kick it back to the Council.

Council member Yakawich.
MR. YAKAWICH: Thank you, Your Honor, and Council. Having conducted public hearing, considered written and spoken public testimony, I move to approve first reading ordinance granting a non-exclusive franchise to TDS Metrocom, LLC as recommended by staff.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Second? I see Council member Brown. Discussion on the motion. Motion is for approval. Is there any discussion on the motion? Before we proceed to a vote, any discussion?

Council member Choriki.
MR. CHORIKI: I just want to say on the record that this is an economic game changer for

Billings and the county and I really look forward to what the city is going to look like in a few years, because of these changes. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Any other discussion? Now we'll proceed to a vote. The vote, again, is in favor of approval of the ordinance granting TDS cable franchise consistent with the staff report. All in favor of the motion for approval, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are all members of the City Council. Motion passes unanimously. Thank you very much.

MR. NEESE: Toni's on screen.
MAYOR COLE: I'm sorry. Council member Neese.

MR. NEESE: Toni's on screen.
MAYOR COLE: Yeah, okay.
Toni, you are with us? Did you have something for us?

TONI KEEHNER: I was just getting ready to read No. 5.

MAYOR COLE: Yes, go ahead. Please take us to Item No. 5.

TONI KEEHNER: Item No. 5, public hearing and first reading ordinance regulating massage and spa facilities through business licensing
criteria. Staff recommends approval. Action: Approval or disapproval of staff recommendation. MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Our staff presentation is by our City Attorney this evening, Gina Dahl. I'll just call the Council's attention to our time, and also the public, it's 7:12. We've had a lot of people sitting here. I appreciate everybody's patience. Also looks like we have probably at least 20 or 30 people on Zoom who may want to comment. We typically take a break around 7:30. I'm assuming we can get that presentation in. We may have some questions and then we'll look for a break somewhere around 7:30.

So with that, Gina, it's all yours.
MR. KUKULSKI: Gina is going to pull up the presentation. I've got the first handful of slides for those of you who participated in last Wednesday's community online event. This is going to be a similar presentation. There's one area that's added to it. And we'll go from there. And yes, Mayor, we will do our best and it will be right around 7:30-7:40 time frame. And I will tell you we also have, after I speak, we will turn it over to Gina.

We've got Andy Zoeller, our finance director, who handles the permitting, his office, he's got a couple slides, and Chief St. John has one. I also want to make you aware I've got three kind of experts, if you will. One locally with the FBI, you've heard from, Brandon Walter. He's here tonight to testify, give you some information locally. And then I've got two folks nationally, who've made themselves available. So, they expect to be inside of the three minutes, but I think it would be a good courtesy to let them have an early opportunity as some of them are from testifying in Texas and other places around the nation earlier today.

So, with that, let's just dive right in, Mayor. Sorry, I'll try to look at my screen and speak up here.

GINA DAHL: Sorry. I'm just trying to figure out how to --

MR. KUKULSKI: There you go.
GINA DAHL: How to -- yeah.
MAYOR COLE: Very good. And Gina, I'll just ask that you keep your voice up because you got to remember it's gotta get out to the lobby.

MR. KUKULSKI: All right. So first up
here is, you know, why are we looking at this ordinance. So $I$ can go to the next slide, Gina. GINA DAHL: (Indiscernible response.) MR. KUKULSKI: So, like with many things, this is one component of improving the safety in Billings. We have, as has been shared, and we'll share more information with you, FBI statistics that show that we clearly have some challenges in this area, in Billings. And as you're well aware, the Council has identified this as a priority since 2017, consistently, that we tackle this issue. Again, I want to emphasize this is no silver bullet, but it's one of numerous components you'll hear us talk about relative to improving safety of Billings.

So, next slide. So, as I mentioned, the Council adopted it in 2017, so that predates my time, as well as a fair amount of work that was done by a working group in '18, predated most of us here. But that work was moved forward pre-pandemic. We were able to have a couple of community meetings, as well as I think there's been at least two Council work sessions. As we try to figure out what's the right balance, if you will, for this ordinance, and it seems numerous
iterations, as we have learned, listened to both our local folks and our national folks who shared with us some of their successes with the ordinance.

So, do we have a problem in Billings? I would tell you, I believe it is without question that we have a challenge here. From my time arriving in Montana in 1999, I was hired by one of your first City Administrators. He was doing interim work for Kalispell and he told me stories about what it was like when he was managing here on Montana Ave. Billings has faced a challenge in this area for decades. Now, unfortunately, for the industry of illicit businesses they have hijacked, for lack of a better term, "legitimate" massage businesses.

And it's very unfortunate, in as they have trafficked women. As I have seen testimony in support proceedings of recent, of closures here in our community, I have to admit, without question, it's easier to not believe these things are happening in our community than to hear the facts of them, see them, and wonder what can we do to make a difference. So that is what we are seeking to do here, to make a significant, positive impact
in this area, of which we hope, in the long run and believe through implementation, we'll help all of our legitimate businesses.

So, if we could go on to the next slide. Some of the stats that are rather appalling here is the kind of activity that you see advertised, and much surprising to me, just how much bigger of an issue this is for Billings than it is, ironically, with Bozeman and Missoula, about 1/4 of us and then our other major trade areas, a fraction of that. So, these are not lists that any of us want to find ourselves on as we are working to have our children, our grandchildren return to Billings, Montana to raise their families or relocate to Billings, if they want to make their investments and raise their families here.

So next slide. There are, as we have talked at length with some other communities, and I will be you know, Aurora, Colorado really became the -- there were numerous ordinances that the study group looked at back at in '18, but Aurora has really made themselves candidly most available to us. And I think that, frankly, share a western kind of culture as Montana. Certainly, doesn't mirror us. There's not another Billings,

Montana out there; but as we worked with Aurora, we have found and we think from evidence of law enforcement's work over the decades, there are some characteristics here that are different, right?

Open all hours of the day, the "open" flashing sign at 2:00 AM. I don't think any legitimate massage business is advertising, open at 2:00 AM. They don't have an ATM, typically, at the door, the door isn't locked, and no windows to see inside the business. They're not a cash-only operation. And they do not have online provocative ads, of which we'll show you one from a business that we licensed just months ago.

And so, though unfortunately, we have learned that many, not all, but many are licensed massage therapists, so just simply having a license doesn't solve the problem. In the dormitory sleeping and some of the others here, I won't read them all, are things we have seen clearly separate legitimate from illicit, and that's what we're trying to do here. We are trying to minimally as possible impact the legitimate, but call out the things that the illicit operations are doing, so that they can be, in
fact, not awarded a business license, thus not open for business, or have their license revoked.

And you'll hear the Chief later be able to talk about we have many things we do in a -I'm not going to get the right word -- things that we do to try to deter crime. We don't only deal with crime after it's happened. So, in this case, we really are trying to deter as much as we can. And when we can take away or not hand out a business license and they can't lawfully operate, then we don't have months and years of law enforcement, court processing, to move these out.

Next slide. So, this is a hot map, for lack of a better term, of what goes on and it is rather disturbing, especially if you're the East Coast, Florida - I grew up in the red section of Southern Michigan. No one wants to be in the red here, and here we are Billings, Montana, red on this map.

If we could go to the next slide. This ordinance, as I mentioned before, I think it really is an avenue for us to utilize -- If it does not work, we'll need to look at other methods, but I have become convinced, though this is not something $I$ bring with me with experience
from other cities I've worked in, full confession, I've not adopted three of these.

This is the first time I've dealt with crime in this area; but as we talked with other communities, they have shown us how. Aurora believes they've got them all shut down in about 18 months. They maybe have one that they're having to take through a much more extensive kind of court process. If we can have that kind of success, that is going to be good for Billings, Montana. In my opinion, unquestionable.

Next slide. This is the ad. So, we licensed a business in December of 2020. Within weeks, here's the ad online. They meet all of our criteria in Billings to operate their business. The only way we can ultimately shut them down is through stings, money laundering, other very expensive, heavy law enforcement, heavy court processes. But under our new ordinance, we do talk about advertising as a component. I don't believe I would be offending anyone in this room, there's always a risk at it, but $I$ doubt any legitimate business is promoting themselves as a massage professional in this regard. None of you are.

So, I'm going to turn it over now to our City Attorney. She's got a handful of slides here. And as I said, then we've got just a couple from our finance director, one for our police chief and then maybe it'll be appropriate to take a break and/or get those three professional testimonies in before you take the break.

So, Gina, if you could go from here.
GINA DAHL: Thank you. And I'll try to speak as loudly as I can. I want to back up for one second to just expand on a slide that Chris just talked about. There are often questions as to "why can't law enforcement shut it down?" and Chris talked about why that is. I also wanted to address why can't we just rely on the State Board of Massage Therapy to do that? Just a couple of points about that is the Board of Massage Therapy, they really only license the professional, they do not regulate the business, they don't regulate the business practices. So that leaves those business practices unregulated, including ownership of those businesses. So any state regulation by the Board of Massage Therapy is only complaint-based regarding the individual therapist. State officials, they don't go around conducting on-site
inspections of the business activities. I think that's a really important point to remember. Those are volunteer boards and they don't go around the state and shut them down based on business practices.

So, I want to get into the ordinance and how the ordinance works, but first I want to talk about this slide, which is based on some research that is out there and research that the City did. And we wanna talk a little bit about this human trafficking task force report, which was put out in November 2017 by the Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards. The FSMTB was established in 2005 and it's an autonomous, not-for-profit organization comprised of state regulatory boards and agencies that regulate the massage therapy profession.

This report is the culmination of two years of study, and includes an evaluation of the prevalence and impact of human trafficking on the massage profession. Massage therapy facility licensing, was a recommendation of that report and of the FSMTB. The FSMTB found that establishment licensing to be a useful tool in protecting legitimate massage businesses and reducing the
number of illicit businesses by providing jurisdiction with the ability to institutionalized accountability, to track illicit business movement, to deny or revoke licenses, and impose discipline on those establishments.

So, into the mechanics of the ordinance that we're presenting to you. First of all, who needs to apply for the license and -- pretty clear -- all massage and spa facilities within the city of Billings, unless they are exempted. So what is a massage and spa facility? A massage or spa facility means any business where any massage therapy is practiced, administered, or advertised in exchange for compensation.

So, let's talk a little bit about the exemptions. It will help get a better understanding. The businesses exempted include solo practitioners and also any businesses which do not primarily perform massages as their services. So that is going to include a lot of different practices, obviously they are not all listed on the slide, but barbers, cosmetologists, chiropractors, gyms, schools, professional/amateur sports, occupational therapists, medical facilities, schools. If their primary business is
not massage, they're likely going to be exempt from the licensing requirement.

This ordinance incorporates the exceptions listed in state law, which also include Native American and faith healing, as well as body work, reflexology and acupressure, and other forms of therapy modalities. So, the requirements: All facilities must comply with the regulations of this ordinance, even if they're exempt from the application process, except from getting the license. In addition, they still have to continue to obtain and pay the business license tax, as currently required under city code. City code currently requires that any person conducting any business in the city is subject to and shall pay the business license tax. So, for example, if this ordinance were enacted, a solo practitioner or any other exempted business where listed will continue to do what they've always done, that is to comply with all city code, obtain their business license tax. If the ordinance is adopted, then they will also be required to comply with the regulations of the ordinance; but again, they just don't have to fill out the application. They don't have to obtain the massage or spa facility license.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you, Gina. I'll just ask you to keep your voice up.

GINA DAHL: I'll do my best. The ordinance also provides that additional rules may be promulgated by the City to find that a practice or facility is no longer exempt, if there is a continued pattern of criminal behavior or criminal intent that was related to human trafficking and disguised as legitimate exception. So that would address one of the things the City could do if the business rebranded or tried to disguise itself as an exempted practice. So, you could then remove that exemption.

There are several required access, as well as prohibited conduct contained within the ordinance. The ordinance requires the licensed massage facility to have a city-issued business license. Like I said, that's always been required; not a new requirement. Any person doing business in Billings has always been required to do that.

The ordinance also requires the facility to maintain records of employees, to remain fully clothed in performance of massage and permit inspections by city inspectors. The facility must
maintain copies of the state licenses for each massage therapist. Those licenses must be available on display. Actually, the state law requires them to be on display. If it's a global practice, then they just need to be available for inspectors.

The ordinance requires the facilities maintain records of massage preformed at the facility available for inspection upon request, and this log must be include the informations that were on this slide, which is the date, the time, the type of massage therapy administered and the employee. It does not require the client's name or any other personally identifiable protected health information. That log must be maintained for one year.

The ordinance requires the facility to keep the doors unlocked while the facility is open during the normal business hours. Of course, there are several exceptions included in the ordinance. A facility may lock exterior doors is the facility's occupied by a single employee. If the facility has more than one employee, they don't fall under that exception. And if there is another reason for the external doors to be
locked, a facility may obtain a variance. For example, if there's a reason to --there's safety or security of the employees or property at issue, they can simply get a variance. Restroom doors may be locked and other internal doors may be locked, if necessary, to protect the client or business information. There's no need to seek a variance in those cases. However, the ordinance does require the facility to open locked doors upon request by inspectors. Again, this question has come up about that interrupting ongoing therapy sessions. That was not the intent. I do have a proposed edit for you just to simply clarify that will be presented at the end.

Additionally, for those businesses with a window or glass exterior door where clients are greeted, the glass must not be painted over or blacked out. This is not a requirement for any of those facilities that don't have that kind of glass adjacent to an entrance, like if they're inside of another building. The ordinance also does not allow a massage facility to be open for 24 hours a day and only operate between the hours of 6 AM to 10:00 PM.
So, it's a violation of the ordinance to
permit dormitory housing or allow the facility to be a living quarters for any person. The owner and family members of the massage or spa facility operated as a home occupation are exempt from this requirement.

Sexual contact or massage, which is intended to arouse or gratify the sexual response in either party is prohibited. Merchandise of a sexual nature: Toys, aids, lubricants, contraceptive items is prohibited from massage or spa services. This does not restrict use or possession of such items for noncommercial purposes and private residence, including one used for home occupation. So this ordinance essentially commodifies good business practices. They are practices that any business may need: Keeping good records, ethical conduct, professionalism, business practices.

So, at this point I'm going to turn this over to Andy Zoeller. He's going to talk about the actual license application, and then I think Chris and I may have a couple slides again.

ANDY ZOELLER: Thanks, Gina. Yep, so the license application for the facility license is -the process is going to be somewhat similar to the
current business license, but the application itself is far more extensive. Requirements are laid out within the ordinance. I'll highlight on a few of the items. General business information, name, contact info. There are requirements -background checks for all owners who own at least 5\% of the business and some disclosure information for those same owners, such as have they ever been convicted of a felony, financial crime or sexual offense. As I stated, they are required to submit, with their application, a background check that they procure from the Department of Justice. And that will come with the application, as well as the massage license from the State of Montana, and then the application fee. So those four components really make up the application.

The application fee -- if this is approved at first reading when it comes back to its second reading, there will be a resolution that sets the fee at $\$ 25$ for the first year. And that's because there is cost to obtain that background check. Our intent was to try and get it as close to the current business license fee, which has a base amount of $\$ 55$. So there would be no additional financial burden on businesses
applying for this. Renewals from one year out from there would all go to $\$ 55$. And the application is only needed on initial application, not every year on renewal. There's additional requirements in the application.

I'm still scrolling through it here. Some employment history for owners, and then information about the business location and the building owner. So, when that full package is submitted to the finance department, that will begin the application review. We'll review the application for completeness, cross reference the license with the State database; and, you know, just generally review it for, you know, any, what may appear to be, fraudulent or manufactured information. At that point, it'll get routed into our workflow, which is all electronic, and it will go to police, code enforcement for further review.

On initial application, I believe code enforcement is going to do inspections as part of that process, and I'm probably not going to elaborate on that much more, Nicole will do that -- but they will be part of the initial application review and inspection. If all of that goes through, they will receive their license --
well, either way there will be a determination within 30 days. But generally, it should be -- it will be within 30 days. That's all of the statement at this point. I think that's all I have.

MR. KUKULSKI: Chief St. John. This one is for him.

CHIEF ST. JOHN: I am here. Am I projecting loud enough, Mayor?

UNKNOWN: Yes, did you hear him?
CHIEF ST. JOHN: Very good.
MAYOR COLE: No. Yeah, we're seeing you.
CHIEF ST. JOHN: Okay. Well, our
response basically is this is shared with code enforcement. Although primarily it is going to be their responsibility if they run into one of those red flags that you saw previously or find something suspicious. They will call us for an investigation and that will lead us wherever it does. Currently, we have one detective to handle any follow-up that either comes from code enforcement, complaints or citizens. When code enforcement is off duty or off for the weekend, any complaints that come in will be handled by our department, investigated, and/or referred to code
enforcement for additional follow-up.
In order to help us with any cases that may go criminal, we do have several networks for both the state and federal officers that work in this area. And I just want to say that from our point of view that our objectives from the law enforcement side are threefold. We want to rescue victims, get them the support that they need, we want to identify and arrest as many people as we can, both on the supply and demand side; and ultimately dismantle criminal enterprises, put them out of business. That takes a complex, lengthy and expensive criminal investigation, and so I'm in support of any tool that helps us to achieve those objectives, even if it comes in the form of a business license.

Next slide.
MR. KUKULSKI: So, I think I've got the last one here, Mayor, which is just kind of in conclusion. So, I think we've talked, the community has, for years. I hope we've shown brief recognizing how much testimony we expect to have. Just that this is a challenge and this is a problem in Billings, Montana. And as the Chief said, it is true, there are laws on the books,
federal laws, state laws that can be used, are used to shut these enterprises down. The challenge is they are extremely time-consuming, resource intensive, and expensive. And so, like with any operation, if we can add simpler mechanisms; in this place, removing a business license versus getting somebody on money laundering or human trafficking, it's going to be a far more efficient and less expensive route to accomplish the same end goal, which our end goal is to get these illicit operations, masquerading as legitimate massage businesses, to run them out of town, to put them out of business. And when you hear from some of our federal agents later, you'll hear, these business operations, always, I'm told, start with a massage. The client will first pay whatever the fee is for the massage, for the legitimate massage, then it goes from there into the illicit area. So, we want to create that barrier, we want to expose what's going on in our community, and we want to eliminate it to the absolute best of our ability.

Now, yes, the reality is this is a national and international problem, but I believe it's come long past due that we take our part in
trying to end this, make it much more difficult. If $I$ put my hat on of other city administrators or city managers in the state of Montana, they're not thinking about this issue. So the state of Montana, they're not thinking about it enough either. They have, just in last few years, assigned their first two individuals and they're, as I understand it, operating in our community. We need them operating in our community, but we also have to take our part, I believe, as a city. We're going to continue to put pressure on the State of Montana and the federal government to help. We, ourselves, need to prove that we're taking as many actions as we can in this effort as well. So I'll close with that.

Mayor, Council, would you like these three individuals to testify now? Would you like to wait until after the break for them to give their professional background and information? I asked each to keep it to under three minutes.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you, Chris. It's basically quarter till now, so about 15 minutes beyond -- if we heard from all of them with little transition time in between, it would probably take us to about 8:00. My inclination is
to take the break now, come back, we'll hear from those three individuals first. See if there's any, hopefully, brief questions for staff and get it open to the public, who've been waiting patiently and open it up for public comments. So, anybody who wants to go home, can do so or go to bed, could do so. So, with that, then Council, let's take -- it's 7:44, we'll try to be back by six minutes, as best we can, or as close to that, that would be 7:50. Unfortunately, there's only one restroom.
(Indiscernible conversations.)
Yeah, so we'll do the best that we can. We may have to start before everybody is back, but we'll do our best. So, come back as quickly as you can. Thank you very much.
(Council Meeting Recess)
MAYOR COLE: Take a seat. We'll get started. That'll help us sort of see who we're missing.
(Indiscernible conversations.)
MAYOR COLE: Okay, are we missing Council members? Council member Shaw's online, so we've got everybody, right?

Okay. Welcome back. We'll reconvene.

Thank you everybody for taking a quick break. We said we've got a couple special guests, I guess. We'll turn it to Chris Kukulski to introduce who we've got and then we'll open up for any quick questions by staff before we, hopefully, get into our public hearing component.

So, Chris.
MR. KUKULSKI: Mayor, and Council, if I could introduce them one at a time. First up is FBI Special Agent Brandon Walter. Brandon works here in our community in the state of Montana. Again, with time sensitivities, I asked him to come to the podium and share his information. After that, I've got two other individuals I see, who are on Zoom, and I'll introduce them before they speak. Ms. Keyhan and Detective Scaramucci, so.

FBI AGENT WALTER: Thank you, Mayor Cole, and Council members. My name is Brandon Walter. I'm a special agent here with the FBI in Billings. I was tasked with addressing human trafficking for the first time in 2014. At that time, $I$ began to work hand in hand with the Division of Criminal Investigation and that collaboration has continued to this time. I must say, at this point, that $I$
cannot support or oppose this ordinance. That's not my role. It is the role of the Council and the community to make a decision on that. I can provide answers to questions. I can talk about what I've experienced since 2014 looking at this problem in Billings. I can't talk about any ongoing cases. What $I$ can say is when we started in 2015, there were approximately 15 of these businesses that were doing sex under the disguise of a massage business. I estimate that our problem in Billings is about 50\% these types of businesses, the illicit massage businesses, in Billings. Any other city in this state has either one to three of these places. So if you do the math, we have about a 5 to 10 times the problem of any other city. We have federally prosecuted individuals running two of these businesses, and the third business owner was indicted just very recently.

All of the federal prosecutions are focused solely upon cases in which there are victims, which means that there is a victim that was transported into this state for the purpose of commercial sex. They were prosecuted either under the Mann Act or they were prosecuted using a
facility of interstate commerce to entice somebody to come to Montana to engage in this activity. I said that $50 \%$ of the problem are these businesses disguised as massage businesses that are actually sex businesses. The other half of our problem are our kids and adults being coerced, forced into commercial sex. This is happening rampantly, also, so I guess what I have been focusing on -- what I've been told by my supervisors to focus on are the kids. And I think that would be anybody's in this room, that would be their choice, also. So my resources are limited by that. I will have less time to focus on the illicit businesses that are being discussed today.

What I can tell you is there have been multiple businesses in this community that are advertising in foreign language magazines in big cities like New York and Los Angeles. Those ads say, come to Billings, Montana, you don't need papers to come here. That is, you don't need immigration status. You don't need a massage license to practice here. And individuals have told us, that are victims, they have read ads that say law enforcement is not a problem because they don't care in Billings. I think that's about the
three minutes that $I$ have. I will stick around if the Council has questions. I would be happy to answer those. And again, my focus is going to be deterred from this point. I'm not going to be focusing as much on these businesses. However, we do have two state agents in this city that are stationed here and they are going to continue to focus on all the problems that I've discussed. Both the 50\% kids and adults being victimized and the individuals being victimized inside these businesses.

MR. YAKAWICH: (Undiscernible.)

MAYOR COLE: Thank you, Agent Walter. Before you step away, Council member Yakawich.

MR. YAKAWICH: Thank you, your honor and Council. Agent, I just wondered, what you just said, with this ordinance passed, it would -- You are already busy working with children, and thank you for doing that, in that area, such an ordinance would be able to focus more on some other area that you are already -- that you can't address. So, it would give us -- do you catch what I'm saying?

FBI AGENT WALTER: Yeah, I think. I can't
come down in support or opposition. I heard what Chief St. John said, and it seems like he has an opinion on this. I can't express that opinion. The state agents that are working with me on a daily basis, they cannot express an opinion either way, so I'm kind of left with that.

MAYOR COLE: Agent, we may have some other, you know, factual questions for you, so don't run away, if you would. Let's hear from our other two special guests and we may come back to you, but --

FBI AGENT WALTER: I'll be here.

MAYOR COLE: -- we appreciate your patience.

FBI AGENT WALTER: Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR COLE: Chris.

MR. KUKULSKI: Mayor and Council, so I'd like to introduce Detective Scaramucci. I hope I'm pronouncing that correctly. You might be able to pull him out of the lineup there on Zoom. He's On --

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: (Indiscernible.)

Can you hear me?

MR. KUKULSKI: Yes.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Okay.

MR. KUKULSKI: Let me cover a brief introduction and then we'll turn it over to you, and I can't thank you enough for your time, Detective.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: No problem.
MR. KUKULSKI: He began his career in law enforcement 2004, was promoted to detective in 2008 with Lemon County Sheriff's Office, which is, I understand it is Waco, Texas area --

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Yes.
MR. KUKULSKI: -- investigating crimes against persons. Since creating a human trafficking unit in 2014, Detective Scaramucci has participated in job suppression events, arresting over 460 sex buyers, he's further conducted sting operations, resulting in the arrest of 115 individuals for human trafficking and related offenses, which lead to the identification of 260 traffic victims. Detective Scaramucci has worked both state and federal investigations and is a task force officer with 8SI, leading to investigations and arrests throughout the United States.

He further advises and participates in the sting operations throughout Texas, the United

States and abroad.
Detective Scaramucci is certified in courts of law as a subject matter expert in human trafficking. He's further advised and testified in the State House, the system with the creation and passage of laws leading to harsher penalties for human trafficking, as well as working against laws that would have added further burdens on victims. He's further employed as a consultant, training more than 339 agencies through all 33 states, 13 federal agencies, branches of the U.S. military, the Mongolian Federal Police and prosecutors, officers and the Peruvian Prosecutor's Office, along with providing technical support for their human trafficking operations and investigations.

He is further contracted to provide technical training support to the Department of Justice, funded task forces throughout the United States, including Las Vegas, Houston, Tampa, Riverside and statewide task forces. I wish we did not need him to testify tonight, but I thank him for his time and would like to turn it over to Detective Scaramucci. Thank you, sir, for your time this evening.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Yeah. Thank you.

I want to keep my bio section really short and just move on. So just to give you a little background on my experience in illicit massage businesses, I've worked these for numerous jurisdictions through out the United States. I've traveled extensively, assisting with their operations, and how to actually work these investigations. I've also worked these internationally as well. One thing I want to say is that the best model for combating this is what's called the enhanced collaborative model. It's basically everybody working together. And one of the strongest points we see, that's often overlooked, is the similar enforcement side of this, right? So, you can't take the law enforcement task force and expect them to shut down the illicit trade. It's not going to work. It's proven it has to be worked together. So a couple of the things that $I$ want to bring up that I came across in this ordinance that $I$ think are very important is that this targets illicit business. It does not target people who are not committing crime.

One of the points that is in here is that the hours of operation, for example, I would
venture to say in the research that I've done online for massage businesses in Billings, Montana, the only ones that show to be 24 hours are the same ones that have sex ads and the same ones that have sex reviews where men discuss in great detail the sexual acts that are occurring in your city. When we look at the websites, I was unable to find any reputable massage therapists' business in Billings, Montana, that is on a given sex site. It's just not happening. When we look at the buyer reviews, where they're talking about it. Not one review came back to a non-illicit business in Billings, Montana.

One of the big things that we see, it sounds kind of trivial, is the ability to see into lobbies. Having the windows painted, the heavy surveillance on the exterior, there are not regular businesses that this is occurring at, it's the same businesses that we can pull using open source intelligence that's happening in Billings, Montana with sex ads and such reviews.

I think the clothing is a no-brainer, right? I think if we look at what reputable massage business employees are wearing, they're not wearing lingerie and/or in complete nudity.

One thing I want to point out is that this bill -- this ordinance is addressing numerous common indicators of human trafficking and using them to review the totality of the overall circumstance, which are very consistent with trafficking to determine whether there's suspicion to warrant further investigation. None of these, in and of themselves, is a violation. There's not one single indicator that would be regulated that would inhibit the day-to-day operations of legitimate businesses. There is not a single law in any state that has negative impacts on those who don't violate it. I do think that passage of this law will lead to the closure of IMB's and will likely provide safer work environments for massage businesses, because men who buy sex won't have the option of engaging in any of that. I think, I stand better if anybody has any questions. I would definitely, if $I$ were say, a Citizen your city, support the bill 100\% and I would definitely open the floor for anything.

MAYOR COLE: Detective, thank you very
much. I'm sure there may very well be some questions from the Council, but if you wouldn't mind holding on for a little bit. We'll hear

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: No problem. MAYOR COLE: -- from our third guest first.

Chris.
MR. KUKULSKI: Mayor, and the Council, our third individual here is Rochelle Keyhan. Rochelle is the CEO and driving force behind Collective Liberty, formerly the director of disruption strategies at Polaris. So, one of the studies that we referenced, you'll notice, came from Polaris. A department she designed to disrupt human trafficking in the United States. Keyhan has long been dedicated to fighting for justice. Before Polaris, she spent six years as a Prosecutor of gender-based violent crimes in Philadelphia, where she's Bar-certified to practice law. Keyhan has also served as a director of nonprofit Feminist Public Works in Hollaback Philly, of which she is a founding board member. As an Assistant District Attorney behind Champion, the need for increased investigation and prosecution of human trafficking in Philadelphia where she successfully prosecuted the first two trials herself. As a first generation Iranian American, she also speaks conversational --
probably gonna get this wrong -- Farsi.
Throughout her career, Keyhan has been well recognized for her mission-driven work. Winner of the 2018 Thomson Reuters' Stop Slavery Hero Award, the IAFCI Cybercrime Investigator of the Year, Mass Challenge Diamond Award winner, 2019 Innovate for Good Challenge winner and, I guess it's Next Gen Leader of the Year finalist and 2019 Women's Media Center Fellow.

So, with that, I thank you, Rochelle, for
your time this evening and would ask for your comment and availability for questions. Thank you.

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: Thank you so much. I deleted the first paragraph, you did such a generous job with my bio, I appreciate it. So, a little bit more context on our work. To date, we've trained over 7,000 investigators across the U.S. on best practices for both criminal and civil code enforcement actions against human trafficking. And we've supported the passage of over 60 new or improved civil laws related to enforcing human trafficking in IMB's, illicit massage businesses, including the state law in Montana, as well as the Aurora, Colorado law y'all have referenced.

So that's work that we've been doing across the country. And in my time at Polaris, not only did $I$ draft that report that you referenced, but also led the National field building intelligence-driven anti-trafficking investigations specifically focused on massage parlors across the country. And that report was sort of the culmination of national-level work with local-level agencies. We work with over 300 local agencies in rural, urban and suburban areas across 45 of our 50 states. So, it's
representative of the message parlor trafficking issue across the nation and requires collective accountability. So when zoning and code enforcement and Department of Health inspectors identify exploitation, they must have the legislative power and team to do something about it. And, if they identify it, they have to be able to act on it if we want to prevent further invest-- further exploitation, my apologies.

So, in that process, we identified over 9000 massage parlors across the U.S. engaging in illicit activity. While there are only around 40 that we've identified in Montana, when you look at that per capita, that's equivalent to the National
(indiscernible) all across the nation. So per capita, it is prevalent even in Montana. And when we noticed that per capita prevalence being equivalent across the nation, we decided to investigate the business laws in every city, county and state in the U.S., over 28,000 jurisdictions, and what we found is that only around 2,000 are even regulating this. And most of those laws are not good. They either don't have enforcement, they don't have penalty, they don't actually regulate what exploitation is happening, and so they don't allow for action. This national, relaxed, largely non-existent regulatory framework is why traffickers are choosing the massage industry as their venue of exploitation. And when cities change it to regulate massage but don't define massage well, you see the traffickers start calling their businesses foot spas or body work to then avoid any sort of penalty. So, the law must be thorough.

And you guys have done such an incredible job of listening to constituents across every issue area related to this law to make sure that it's representative. Other laws regulate only around sexually oriented businesses, and we've
seen that also as an issue. In large part because it categorizes massage as something that's exploited it and it makes the women who are forced into any sort of sex trade that's related to the message label in any way, it codifies them as consenting and deprives the investigators of even having that ability to investigate for exploitation, because we're labeling it as sexually oriented. So, in so doing, it really does empower the trafficking.

So, we've been working, as I mentioned, on those sixty laws. And some of the places that we worked have been to undo the fact that it's labeled as sexually oriented and shift it into an actual business regulation. And I can provide a case study, but I'm going to try to stay to the three minutes.

So, Delaware would be the most notable case study of that transition. So essentially the strongest, most important clauses based on all of that analysis and national level fieldwork have been allowing effective enforcement. When you focus on the things focused on in this ordinance, specifically the regulation of hours of operation, the requirement for visibility into the business
and lobby area, and a focus on the business owners and operators for the regulation, not the staff or workers. Those are all the most important factors used in cities across the country that do have this law and are enforcing it to stop exploitation in a way that's as minimally burdensome on the legitimate trade as possible.

So essentially, the lack of regulation across the Nation has allowed trafficking under the disguise of massage to flourish. And it is urgent to pass this law that you guys have drafted collaboratively. It will protect victims and tell exploiters that they have no place in Montana. Right now, Billings has the opportunity to join the states and counties who are leading this fight. And I hope you all will seize that opportunity because it is the way forward. So, you can lead now or follow later. And I'm really grateful for the leadership y'all have been showing. And I'm open to any questions you might have.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Chris, anything else before we turn it to Council and also open it up for public hearing?

MR. KUKULSKI: No, Mayor. The only
additional staff information we have, if the ordinance, you know, is put on the table as approved, as Gina mentioned there's some amendments we would suggest for clarification that we've identified over the last few weeks from conversations with our community. So, no other testimony at the front end. Any questions we'd be glad to answer and/or whenever you want to get the (undiscernible) testimony.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you, Chris. And as you just said and as you said at a break -told me your preference was to deal with those amendments, if there is a motion to approve, and then we would get into those weeds, I guess.

MR. KUKULSKI: Yes, Mayor. I guess the only other thing $I$ could maybe clarify is in respect to our guests. Particularly, those on Zoom or here participating from out of state. I don't know if it's appropriate to get questions to them first, so that they can either choose to let off of the call or not. That's totally your call, Mayor, if you want to allow that.

MAYOR COLE: Yeah, no, I think that's very important. They're not in our time zone. So, Council, we do want to open up for public comment,
the hearing. They've been sitting here very patiently, but before we do so, these three special guests, so that they can get off our call if they'd like to, do we have some questions for them? Just try to keep them fairly focused, because again, we do have the public hearing. Council member Purinton and Council member Neese.

And if you can identify if you have a particular recipient for your question or generally to the three special guests.

Council member Purinton.
MS. PURINTON: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. So, thank you to our guests and I wanted to address this, whether it goes to Detective Scaramucci or to Rochelle, both of you made the comment that the three identifiers would be the hours of operation. Well, I think there was a difference in one of these; but, Rochelle, you mentioned the business owner/operator and then the front entry. So, my question to you is, with this type of an ordinance and as you made the comment, as well, it's targeting the illicit businesses, but as you may or may not be aware, we have a lot of licensed massage therapists here in Billings that feel like they are being the ones that are targeted, if you
will. So why not have an ordinance that definitely attacks these businesses based on these identifiers rather than on the legitimate massage therapist? So, either one of you two, please.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: SO, I can
definitely provide perspective to that. I mean, there's no law that targets illegal activity that does not subject legal people to having to go by those laws as well, correct? So, you can't murder somebody in the state of Montana, and that (indiscernible) for murderers and people that don't commit murder. They are not subject to those laws. Again, it's the totality of everything that you're looking at when it's the indicators. You're essentially putting into practice and statute the indicators that are leading up to what appears to be illicit. So as an example, if you have a licensed massage therapist that works from home, that person is not going to be subject to not having their front door locked. Right?

So, in that particular instance, it doesn't target them at all. It's all of the mitigating factors that lead to that. One thing that $I$ would even add, because $I$ only pulled a couple of those, is the conversation that came up
earlier about licensed massage therapists. So, what you also have to remember is that not all of these illicit massage businesses have licensed therapists. Therefore, those businesses are operating illegally and they're operating in a manner that your regulating board can't even touch them, because they're not licensed to withdraw their license from. So, with those particular people, the only teeth you have is the ability to pull a business license. So, it's not that it's targeting, you know, good, hard-working people, it's just laying the groundwork for the ones that are conducting illicit business.

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: I would articulate that even when we engage with FSMTB and AMTA state-level massage therapy boards, the lack of regulation, that is allowing massage parlors to flourish. There's like 9,000 massage parlors in this country, and I think there's some like 15,000 licensed businesses within this country. It's starting to become an equivalent number that it subjects therapists to sexual harassment and assault, because men who purchase sex from these venues expect it in any massage venue and that's unacceptable. It's something that-- It's a
legitimate therapeutic service that's being provided and needs to be preserved and protected, and right now traffickers are taking advantage of that. So, it might mean an additional couple pieces of paperwork, but it really will make the difference. And I do think that the initial version of this law was very restrictive and did have burden on the massage therapists. But I've seen all three versions and there have been dramatic amendments to make sure that it stays as minimally burdensome as possible, and I hope that that effort and energy is noticed.

MS. PURINTON: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much.
Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor. And Mayor, this is just talking to the procedure and you're talking about these amendments that may come forward before people speak. I think it would be a little bit difficult to have people speak, when they haven't heard what the amendments may be, and I would recommend that we at least hear what the amendments are. Maybe not have to take action on them, but $I$ know I read yours and I'm in agreement with yours, but $I$ don't know what Gina has to
present.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Point well taken. Let's see if there's other questions for our special guests, and then $I$ think we can kind of give a very brief rundown of that to educate anybody who cares going into the public comment.

MR. NEESE: I do have a question for the guests, too.

MAYOR COLE: Sure. Go ahead, Council member Neese.

MR. NEESE: This is actually
(undiscernible) not for the guests but for Wyeth. Wyeth, you'll be charged with the enforcement, I suppose, of this ordinance, in some respects. So, I got a call the other day from a constituent saying that they had walked into one of these facilities and were shocked at what they saw, and they quickly backed out. And so, I'm assuming that they would call you and report that. Is that correct? Call not you but code enforcement to report that.

So, let's say they report that to code enforcement, what's your procedure? What's your process? How are you going to move forward on that? Or is your screen frozen and your not
(undiscernible)?
UNKNOWN: Is this a question for me? I'm sorry.

MAYOR COLE: NO, I'm sorry.

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: No.

MAYOR COLE: This is for our planning director, Wyeth Friday, who is looking very frozen at the moment.

MR. NEESE: Is Nicole available?
MAYOR COLE: Hey, Nicole Cromwell, our zoning coordinator is -- or lead code enforcement person.

Nicole.

NICOLE CROMWELL: Thank you, Mayor Cole, and Council. Hopefully, Wyeth will become unfrozen. The enforcement aspect of this is something that we've been talking about with City Administrator Kukulski, Andy Zoeller, and then our staff, as well, has received a little bit of training on these types of businesses already. And we're anxious, if you do pass it this evening, we're going to start looking at some training, creating some checklists and procedures, and working with the Police Department to do that collaborative enforcement, both the civil and
criminal side. Of course, as you know, we are resource limited, so we're going to have to juggle as fast as we can, if this does go into effect and we start enforcement and taking those complaints from constituents at the end of June. So, we don't have anything in place at this time. It's fairly straightforward, as written in the ordinance, and we are prepared to do a steep training curve if it is passed. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Council member Yakawich.
MR. YAKAWICH: Thank you, your Honor,
Council. Rochelle, thank you, and Joseph, for your hard work. I already asked this question to our City Attorney, and she may respond later, not right now; but I'm curious with your two-- This idea of a sunset. I don't feel comfortable with it, but if we put a sunset on this ordinance or not, what is your opinion on that?

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: When you say "a sunset," is it like a time limit or a moratorium? I'm sorry. I just want to make sure I'm understanding how you guys are --

MR. YAKAWICH: It could be maybe after three years the ordinance stops, and it's
revisited again.
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: I think there's never harm in revisiting, based on measurements. However, having it automatically sunset is a bad idea. These parlors, someone made a comment in one of the -- I've been following the news articles about this, and someone made a comment how it might push the Billings trafficking into another city. That might be true. They communicate, they're following the laws, and they're paying attention and an effective regulation will stop the trafficking, right? But if not every city has the effective regulation, it might not stop it everywhere. And if you are a city that's regulating, and then you become a city that's not, you'll get rid of the problem for a short period of time and then it'll come back. So, I don't recommend it automatically sunsetting, but I don't see necessarily harm in paying attention to if any of the clauses need extra teeth or less teeth, based on initial implementation and potentially revising. But $I$ think an automatic sunset is not a good idea.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Any
other --

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: I--
MAYOR COLE: -- questions for our -DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Yeah, I --

MAYOR COLE: Council member -- or sorry, Detective Scaramucci.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: No, I agree 100\%. I think to have one automatic is a bad idea. The reality is if you get to a point in the city where you believe you have stopped the problem, you can always go back and revisit this and remove the law, right, or remove the ordinance. I don't know that I would personally say that that's a good idea, but that option is always there. So, you know, as long as you have this on the books, the reality is it saves lives. And if this is going on three years from now, and I hope to God the city of Billings has shut down all of the illicit massage trade, but the reality is kind of like Rochelle said. The neighboring cities, they're going to come from there as well. So having that available will really keep your license and your very appropriate massage establishments going. And it will really put a damper on the others. So, I don't know that $I$ would definitely say taking it away within three years is a good thing, but you
always have the ability to go back and take it away if you choose to.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Any other questions for our special guests? Council members Ronning and Choriki, and then we'll try to move to public comment as quickly as possible.

MS. RONNING: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Council member Ronning.
MS. RONNING: Thank you. So, I have a question for Detective Scaramucci, and then for Special Agent Walter. One of the items that Chief St. John discussed was that while this is a code enforcement ordinance or that it's directed at code enforcement, that there will be participation there with the Police Department. In your experience, do you see, or have you had experience in working these types of cases in conjunction with code enforcement, some that were maybe code enforcement led, and then you ended up on the criminal portion of that. Can you address that a bit? Thank you.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Absolutely. So, I will say, first off, $I$ do task force with Homeland Security investigations. So, I have both federaland state-level investigations in regards to
these. The Special Agent mentioned earlier that there are three, I believe, pending prosecutions for trafficking within these venues. That is not normal in any other jurisdiction in the U.S., I can tell you that right now, for there to be federal investigations and prosecutions.

When we do state level and we do multi-state operations, if code enforcement has the ability to, in like a circumstance similar to you guys if you do enact this ordinance, I absolutely 100\% have them come along on everything because $I$ can $g o$ in and execute search warrants, I cannot pull business licenses. I can go in and do victim interviews, I cannot make them shut down that day.

So, there are many things earlier where I discussed more of an enhanced collaborative model, where each little part of this wheel is what's really making it go round. And I think coupling civil and criminal investigations, in my experience, I've shut down 100\% of the illicit massage trade in my jurisdiction. Not one has ever reopened in another jurisdiction, and it's because we've gone at it with teamwork, both criminally and civilly. So, I think it's hugely important to
have them involved.
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: And I want to say when Joe says, it's not normal, he means they're doing a really good job compared to most jurisdictions across the country, because that level of investigation and prosecution is not happening consistently.

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Yeah. No, that is definitely -- huge props to the federal authorities that are taking this on, because that is not seen anywhere. Even with some of the most aggressive U.S. attorneys, that's very uncommon in a very good way.

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: Yeah.
MS. RONNING: Thank you. And then I have a follow-up question for --

MAYOR COLE: Go ahead.
MS. RONNING: -- Special Agent Walker.
So Special Agent, some of the conversation that we've seen is that Billings has not defined the scope of human trafficking in these illicit massage businesses, that we don't have data to show that human trafficking is happening in these illicit massage businesses. Can you address that? Can you address, first of all, you've already
stated that illicit massage is, sorry, illicit -human trafficking is happening within these businesses. Can you define why we don't necessarily have real data on human trafficking within the illicit massage businesses and how do we get that data?

FBI AGENT WALTER: I guess we'll have to go back to the three owners that have been prosecuted, or the three businesses whose owners have been prosecuted. The federal government is not at all interested in prosecuting prostitution. We're not involved in that. That's a misdemeanor crime. Every single, in those two cases that provide examples, one of them is still pending and the other one has been completely adjudicated. That trafficker is in federal prison right now. The federal government does not prosecute owners, where there are not victims. It all depends on how you define human trafficking. Did these victims know, when they responded to an ad, when they were recruited to come here, did they know that they were coming here for sex? I don't know the answer to that. What $I$ know is that, when we've asked owners and when we've asked victims, did you know you were coming here for sex? The
answer across the board has been, it was never discussed. So, there are owners that believe that everybody knows that these places are for sex; and therefore, if you are coming here, you know that.

I don't know the answer to how many didn't. I know that some of them didn't, and I know that some of them did know they were coming here for sex. But we have never and will not be prosecuting anybody for prostitution. We are only prosecuting people for bringing people across state lines to perform illegal sexual activity. That has been what we have focused on.

MAYOR COLE: Okay.
MS. RONNING: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much. I think we need to move on. So, the public has been waiting awfully long, and I know we're going to have at least an hour of public testimony. It's already 8:30, so it's going to take us to 9:30. So I think we really need to move on.

There was a request by Council member Neese for a quick review of what possible amendments might look like. I have submitted some to Council members. They'll have a hard copy. And if any member of the public is interested in this,

I'll bust through them. There's hard copies in front of Chris Kukulski, our City Administrator, over there.

What I have suggested is changing the section that says that it is the exemption for solo practitioner. That now says that applies where there is a licensed massage therapist, but to say only one licensed therapist. Otherwise, there could be a facility that might have a dozen therapists and as long as one of those was solo practitioner, the whole business would be exempt. So that's, again, only one license massage therapist.

I think most of these don't change the intent. They're intended just to clarify the language. There is, in the section dealing with revocation of license, it says now that if you have false qualifications, that's a grounds for revocation. We've changed that just to just information. A broader definition or a broader term.

Now, in the section dealing with the appeal, that the time for filing an appeal is based on the revocation, but there may be other types of a decision besides just the revocation.

So, I've changed that to be more general to just refer to the license administrator's decision or that would be the proposal.

And then lastly, dealing with the sections on affirmative defenses, that was unclear to me. So, I've suggested language that would make it clear that affirmative defense is not available, if it is someone who materially participated in creating duress or coercion of another person that resulted in a violation of the article. Now it was unclear, could you have duress -- I mean, you always would know of your own duress. And this is just clarifying that that applies to where you know about and participate in the duress of somebody else.

Gina, can you give a quick summary of what, in general terms, you were talking about for possible amendments.

GINA DAHL: Sure. (Undiscernible) I have a couple of slides that might be helpful. So, I'll try to share them for you here.

UNKNOWN: Did our special guests go? MAYOR COLE: I think so. In just a second. Yeah, thank you for reminding us. Gina are you ready?

GINA DAHL: Yes.

MAYOR COLE: And our special guests, I don't want to cut off Council, was there anybody who had a really important question for our special guests before we give them our great thanks and -- but give them permission to leave? Anything critical there?

Council member Purinton, quick.
MS. PURINTON: One of the questions I had, and I think Joe, is when you were going through your topics, one of them had to do with the johns. Why are Johns not prosecuted for solicitation?

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: So, I've actually been in my state senate all day discussing this. We do prosecute them. Here's part of the problem with that, back to Robert Kraft case. The only way to really get a john, is to catch them on video. No reasonable department is going to insert that video to do that. One thing I will say that is a very productive tool is for law enforcement to get the traffic stops of those buyers leaving and attempt to compel them to testify that they are, you know, in fact, buying sex. That could help build out cases and that's a very victim-
centered way of doing that. There are tons of buyer review boards that we follow. Where you're at is absolutely no different. I can get on Billings, Montana right now -- and even going back to the data question that $I$ believe one of the Councilwomen asked -- working with Rochelle's organization, they have tons and tons of data supporting all of that. So, buyer operations are really something that the departments have to set out to be very specific about. In my jurisdiction, we absolutely targeted the heck out of them.

There's some different momentum that
Rochelle could touch on where license plates are being taken of buyers in these businesses and letters are sent to the house that your vehicle was found to be in a place suspected of human trafficking. You know, different buyer deterrence techniques as well. So your question, generally, is part of a very large conversation going on nationally, but I absolutely think that buyers should be targeted.

MS. PURINTON: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Gina, you've got your slides up now?

Council member Choriki, quickly.
MR. CHORIKI: Yeah, Mr. Mayor, before the experts leave, $I$ do have two questions.

MAYOR COLE: Quickly, sure.

MR. CHORIKI: First one, I hope is pretty straightforward and a simple one. I'm assuming, and I just want to make sure that I'm right on this assumption, that when code enforcement is called in because of the complaint, that the information gathered by code enforcement can be used for further criminal investigation.

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: Yes, that's true. That's usually true for any code enforcement operation of any kind, any official enforcement of any kind, that it's available to be subpoenaed.

MR. CHORIKI: Okay. And so this is a broader question. Are we seeing use of the business license, in general, being used to investigate businesses in situations like this where it's no longer something that is a direct consequence of the business but a larger social issue?

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: Usually, these parlors are not going to file for the business license. And that's going to be really easy for you to
enforce, because they're going to be operating without a business license. So that's the majority of the partners that $I$ work with. How we're seeing it used.

Joe, is it different from your experience? Or are you --

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Yeah. So, I can tell you that we worked a very large case in several cities that do have the business licenses. It's phenomenal because you'll have the people that are on the business license; and then from an investigative standpoint, criminally, you then want to follow your money, right? You want to see where all of that dirty money's going, because eventually it goes to the traffickers. There's no question about it and when you're able to show the money movement going to the person that's on that business license, who's IP addresses posting sex ads and all of these other wonderful things, it builds an incredible case. And really at the core is that business license, because they're using their government-issued ID, right? I believe the Special Agent said earlier that, and you see this in most jurisdictions, they say, "Hey, the police aren't really paying attention. They don't really
care." These owners don't have to hide anything currently. So, they're very, very routinely putting their name on paper government-issued items.

MR. CHORIKI: (Undiscernible.)
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: Is that every case you've seen, they have a license, or that's only when they have bothered to file for a license?

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Those are the ones where they have bothered to file for the license. On the ones that weren't, we've just been able to walk in with code enforcement, interview all the potential victims, and code enforcement was able to pull their building permits because they didn't have licenses, but they were able to legally shut them down.

MR. CHORIKI: So that actually wasn't the question I was asking. What I'm concerned about, and wondering is if -- In my opinion, this is an expansion of the use of the business license beyond just regulating what you are doing in the business itself. So, like if you are a restaurant, you know, we're looking to see whether or not they're following healthy food procedures. In this case, what we're doing is we're using the business
license in order to actually deal with other larger social issues. So, my question is, is this the beginning of a larger trend, where it uses the business license for enforcement of larger issues?

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: No. I mean if we're saying a larger social issue is human trafficking. That's a huge social issue. But it's a issue of public health. I mean, there are many other things we sit here and talk about. Are we using that as --

MR. CHORIKI: I don't disagree with that at all. I do not disagree with that at all. But what I'm saying is that we're regulating an industry that is not doing bad things in order to get to the people that are doing the bad things. And I'm wondering if you're seeing that as a trend?

DETECTIVE SCARAMUCCI: Every regulation from every industry regulates people not doing bad things to target people doing bad things. I cannot think of a single entity that regulates any form of trade that doesn't also affect people doing good things. It ensures that when people do bad things, they can be held liable.

MR. CHORIKI: Okay --
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: In a recent Philly
article --
MAYOR COLE: (Undiscernible).
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: -- that $I$ read, it said there's --

MAYOR COLE: Rochelle. Rochelle, I think we've got --

ROCHELLE KEYHAN: -- close to 15,000 parlors --

MAYOR COLE: Rochelle, would you --
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: -- but there's 9,000
(undiscernible) ones --
MAYOR COLE: Rochelle, can you hear me?
ROCHELLE KEYHAN: -- and 15,000 legal --
MAYOR COLE: Rochelle, can you hear me? I think -- I don't think you were able to hear. Sorry, I think we got an answer.

Council member Choriki, I don't think it's going to be productive for further inquiry. Gina, go ahead, give us your amendments quickly.

GINA DAHL: So, the first edit that I am suggesting is just the definition section. There are a couple definitions included in the ordinance that originally referenced (undiscernible) before
it was changed by re-code. Those are just some citing references and it's to the definition sections right here. So, Section $7-1902$ sub-(i) and sub-(r).

The next edit is with regard to the logs -- the books and records and logs. I just added a sentence at the end to make it very clear that the log and other books and records may not include any protected heath information of clients.

The next one is to Section 7-1911, this is with regard to the locked doors. Again, just a clarifying sentence to indicate that the inspections are not intended to interrupt a treatment session that is in progress, except that a treatment session lasting two hours or more may be interrupted, and that just mirrors the state code language.

And finally, another section which references the records and books. I added the same sentence to just make it very clear that the books, records, and logs of all massage therapy may not include any protected health information of clients. And that's all the --

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you, Gina. I
think it was very helpful. Now, we will open it
up for public comment. Thank you for your patience, members of the public, I think you'll agree though, an awful lot of information is come across in the last hour and a half. This is your opportunity for public comment. We're, again, going to open it up for anybody who is in the room first, and then we'll look to anybody who is on the screen, on Zoom, at that time. And I'll give you some warning if you're a Zoom caller, to raise your hand, but it's going to be a while before just people who are in the room who want to testify. We'll ask you to keep your comments, preferably to less than three minutes; but because we've advertised that, we'll let you go for three minutes at least for a while. It's quarter to 9, so I do expect at least an hour of public testimony. I will encourage you, once you've heard a point made, to just kind of remind us that you agree with that, but not go into too much depth. Try to offer new testimony as much as possible. With that, you can -- We won't separate the proponents from opponents. Just come up to the podium and we'll need your name and your address. And then, again, try to keep your comments to less than three minutes. Our Council member Joy is
going to play timer here, and we appreciate that for her doing that.

So with that, anybody -- and if you do notice, there are people out there and you can leave and give them a chance to come inside, please think about doing that. I won't insist on it, but if you can.

Please. Go ahead. Who's first?
UNKNOWN: We have one person who is needing to leave, but we would really like them to speak if we can get him up here.

MAYOR COLE: That would be great. Please tell that person to come forward.

UNKNOWN: I'm going to grab them real quick, so if someone else wants to go
(undiscernible) while she's grabbing him.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. If there's somebody else with a comment, go ahead and take the podium. Again, your name and address, please.

KATHY KERCHECK: Kathy Kercheck, 443 Broadwater. I want to point out that the City just presented 5-year-old data to justify the relevance of this ordinance. I find this questionable.

Ms. Ronning, I know you asked Mr. Walters to answer that question. I did not hear any
answers in his answer.
Mr. Kukulski, you said it starts with a legitimate massage every time, then it goes into an extra. You just tied my profession to illicit sex. I find that appalling and, quite frankly, insulting. I'm appalled by this proposed anti-human trafficking ordinance. I find human trafficking to be a horrific crime, but I'm shocked that elected Council members and City administrators are willing to use such an unconstitutional ordinance to fight it. Are you all willing to trample on my rights as a private business owner and the privacy rights of my clients to do so? It makes me wonder, have you been bullied into supporting this ordinance by those who it has become a personal and a moral agenda for? It feels very much like rules for thee, but not for me.

Miss Ronning, you are a self-employed photographer. This career also involves one-on-one meetings with clients. Who regulates you? Who regulates your profession? What I'm about to state has been confirmed by more than one attorney, Mr. Felton, and medical professionals, a city ordinance cannot supersede federal law. Privacy
laws still apply, and you do not have the authority to access my client's private information. I'm providing a service that is a medical therapy. You cannot access a treatment area without an invitation or a warrant. Any area beyond the reception area is a treatment area that falls under privacy laws. Mr. Felton tried to enforce mass mandates and COVID protocols, but his inspectors were not above privacy laws, and were not allowed access. I have every right to lock my door to ensure my safety and that of my client. My business is not a high traffic or a high population business. My doors cannot be required to be unlocked during business hours.

For the record, should this ordinance pass, I will have to obtain this license to legally keep my business open. I will be forced into this agreement with the City under duress. I do not agree with the terms of the licensing.

It has also been brought to my attention that Ms. Ronning may have a personal interest and/or financial gain if this ordinance should pass. I believe Ms. Ronning should recuse herself in this matter, as it is a clear conflict of interest. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next. The person who needs to go, is that person available?

UNKNOWN: No.

UNKNOWN: No.
(Further undiscernible responses.)

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you, then.

UNKNOWN: Mayor, will you remind people to step back just a little bit so that their face (undiscernible) --

MAYOR COLE: Okay, I see it.

UNKNOWN: -- can watch up there.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Great. Thank you.

Okay. Yeah, I was just reminded in order to get your face on the little mic -- or the camera, you're going to have to just step back a step from the podium. Next. Go ahead.

JULIE SOLBERG: I won't need to stand back. I'd like to thank you, Mr. Mayor, and Council members and officers and everybody that's put so much effort into this and into understanding. I mean, what a difficult position with sex trafficking. I approve everything that you're doing.

MAYOR COLE: And again, we need your name
and address, please.
JULIE SOLBERG: I apologize. Julie Solberg, 1820 Garrett Rd. So, thank you for all your hard work and efforts that you did, and I agree with what you're doing. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next.
TERESA THORMAHLEN: Hi, my name is Teresa Thormahlen, 2725 Cornell Circle, Billings, Montana. I am a member of OUR and that's sex trafficking portion and $I$ give them money to disband this horrible act that is going on. But $I$ also feel that $I$ am being attacked and put into a position of making me a prostitute at my business, and I've been doing this for 24 years.

In 1979, Tokyo Sauna opened, and they just shut down in 2017 or '19, one of them. Prostitution is against the law. There were sting operations to put them down and they never shut them down. Shame on our city. Dedication to our police officers who fight this, and they are going to take time to come to us, legitimate places, to shut us down and make sure. As they all said, it's all on the website. Target them, put a task force together. We have tried to work with you. We've tried to give you examples of things, and
you just keep shutting us down. I am appalled that you don't take us as credit, like we don't know what we're doing.

Human trafficking is against the law in Billings. Prostitution is against the law. Teamwork, where's your teamwork with us? Have you met with all of us? No, you haven't, and you do have an agenda. And I'm sorry you haven't worked with us.

MAYOR COLE: And ma'am, I just ask you try not to make your comments personal --

TERESA THORMAHLEN: Sorry.
MAYOR COLE: -- as you wouldn't want us to make those personal to you. But go ahead -- go ahead.

TERESA THORMAHLEN: It is personal to me. MAYOR COLE: Absolutely, we understand. TERESA THORMAHLEN: It is very personal to us.

MAYOR COLE: We understand completely.
TERESA THORMAHLEN: It's very personal to each of us. Each of us who have tried to get out of this prostitution name. We work with the insurance company. They won't want to pay us because they think we're prostitutes. We work hard
on our clients to make them feel better and you put us right back into that. I've had two clients that I've worked on for years come into my office and say, "Is there any screaming going on?" And they've been coming to me for years because they saw the great article in the Billings Gazette. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Next. Is there any further testimony?

PAULA LARSON: So, Paula Larson, 1212 Grand Ave in Billings here, and I'm not a massage therapist, but I'm an esthetician, and I guess my concern is that it's going to bleed over into other areas like that because I do full body waxing. My clients would not want to be, you know, busted in on, I guess, in the middle of a service like that, and I support -- well, I've always worked in the salon. The same thing, 20 plus years. And I feel like there's a better way to do that than this. I just don't --Like what they were saying up there. There's no reason to - I'm nervous, I should have wrote this down. But basically there's a better way to handle it than going through the licensing, I felt like. You shouldn't have to do that. It is very in violation
of people's rights, the constitution. All of it. So, that's my concerns.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next. TERRA HELRAND: Terra Helrand. My business address is 2619 St. John's and I do live in Laurel.

MAYOR COLE: And I would just ask you to keep your voice up so we can hear you. TERRA HELRAND: Okay. MAYOR COLE: Thank you. TERRA HELRAND: I'm a licensed massage therapist in Billings. I work in an office with four other total therapists. We are all independent contractors, sole proprietors, and "by appointment only" therapists with almost 80-plus years of experience. With their office, the ordinance states that we must keep our door unlocked. We do not have a receptionist, so the door will be completely unattended during treatment sessions. We have no idea who is coming in and out while we are working. I have discussed the unlocked door with my liability insurance company, State Farm. If there was an incident of crime, theft, or harm and the door was unlocked and unattended; whereas, if the door had been
locked, that may have prevented delayed or deferred the incident, there is a great possibility that I may not be covered. This would open myself up and my family up to severe loss and possible lawsuits that we could possibly never recover from. I could apply for a variance, but it is not clear who gets them or for how long you can have it. Will they still be able to get this variance when new city officials, in that decision seat, would make that call? Who and how many people can apply for these variances? How do we know there wouldn't be a fraudulent situation of a sex parlor getting a variance because somebody was paid off? This ordinance, in its own way, is unconstitutional, and it's been proven that way and opens up the City for possible lawsuits. Do you really think the taxpayers need to pay for more infamous City of Billings lawsuits that the City will most likely lose at the duress of these small businesses?

The ordinance is poorly written, and criminals are smart. They don't follow the law in the first place. Why would you think creating more laws would help? Proper guidelines and procedures are not clearly written in place to navigate all
the massage business types. I cannot support this ordinance and you shouldn't either. After 3 1/2 years, if this ordinance is of dire need, as has been expressed, then there was plenty of time to pound the pavement into our city and look at all the types of massage businesses and make a clear plan on how law-abiding massage therapists can navigate this, based on their particular business scenario. And at that point, I think you probably would have had a lot of us on your side to help navigate on how we should all be a part of this. But what was described before was because we were not involved, and we had asked to be involved. The communication was not there. And this is probably why you have the feedback that you are getting from us. For the record, should this ordinance pass, I will obtain this license to legally keep my business open. I will be forced into this agreement with the City under duress. I do not agree with the terms of this licensing, and I ask you, vote no. Don't pass an ordinance that is unclear on how law-abiding massage therapists can actually practice this and follow this. Thank you. MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Next. Next. JENNIFER ROTH: My name is Jennifer Roth.

I'm at 611 Burlington here in Billings. I have been told by City officials that this ordinance does not apply to me, and the City is not coming after me or legitimate massage therapists. Please tell me where, in this 16-page ordinance, that is explicitly stated, because the wording and verbiage is clear as mud, even after three years of rewriting it. When you write an ordinance, it pertains to everyone in the ordinance, not just a select few. There are so many things wrong with this ordinance. I know it, you know it, everybody knows it. Yet, here we are, with the City still trying to push an ordinance that does nothing to stop the illicit sex parlors. All in the name of fighting human trafficking. We have laws and ordinances already available that are not being used. Excessive duty laws, prostitution laws, a Billings ordinance of dormitory dwelling and commercial buildings and the infamous House Bill 749, that allows any law enforcement officer to enter any massage business and check for a valid state massage therapy license. And the KULR 8 article on April 21st, 2019, referring to HB749, Councilman [sic] Ronning said, quote "this bill's passage was a game changer for Montana," end
quote, and "this legislation would help give law enforcement the tools they need to crack down on illicit massage businesses." If these were the tools needed, then why is the City not already using these laws that can and will work to stop these illicit sex parlors?

Establishment licensing has been enacted in cities all over the United States and every one of these cities still struggles with the criminal endeavors of these illicit sex parlors after enacting them. Experts are saying that a massage establishment licensing does not stop these criminals. It only negatively impacts licensed massage therapists. When are we going to listen to the experts, and stop going off on the agendas of a few personal people? The City has touted that they crafted this ordinance off the Aurora, Colorado ordinance because their city licensing administrators stated that they got rid of their illicit sex parlors 100\% in three years it's been active.

Truth is, they really haven't. Local and rural massage therapists have stated to me that these illicit sex businesses are still everywhere, most just move two miles down the road to Denver,
out of the Aurora city limits. So, is moving illicit sex parlors out of city Billings limits really a win-win for human trafficking? If this ordinance is enacted, it will put licensed massage therapists out of business. We already had four massage therapists close down their practices. COVID hit them hard enough, when this final ordinance draft came out it was the final straw, and they would not allow their clients' information and their own privacy to be compromised. We have more that are looking for work for the same reason, if the ordinance is passed.

Councilwoman Kendra Shaw, you, a colleague, and I had a conversation about this ordinance in January. I told you they would put some license massage therapists and body workers out of business. You disagreed, told us to reread the ordinance because we were misinformed. You also have stated in an e-mail to this fellow colleague that "I would never support an ordinance that would put a bunch of small businesses out of business. That would be terrible." A vote yes to move this ordinance is putting small business out of business.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next.
ALEX JOPELA: My name is Alex Jopela, 2116 Broadwater Ave. I've been a licensed massage therapist here in Billings for almost six years; and if the edits that were brought up to us tonight do take place, that would make me an exemption by the solo practitioner exception. So, I could take some time to tell you everything that I don't support in this ordinance, but instead I think I'm just going to ask you to listen to my colleagues, who this does really affect. I'm just going to ask that when you vote on this tonight, that you vote according to the voice of the people who have called, who've emailed, and who've showed up here tonight. I ask you to vote the way you were elected and vote according to the majority of the people who are speaking up about this. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Go ahead.
NICOLE MICHAELSON: My name is Nicole Michaelson, 3375 Dover Lane. The proposed ordinance requires the release of all records, other logs and financial records. This is a HIPAA violation. As a healthcare provider, I want to put on record that $I$ am not comfortable in violating
any HIPPA laws. If you come into our medical facility, you will not only be violating my HIPPA laws, you will also be violating my doctor's HIPPA laws, as well. Are you prepared for this? Many of you have stated numerous times that the ordinance would not affect legitimate LMT's. If this is true, protect us. The City needs to provide each massage therapist a template form to give to our clients stating that the client understands the City has access to any and all of their information at any time. This also needs to include the City's liable proclamation being rebuilt, not the massage therapists'. Massage therapists should not have to take the fall and repercussions for breaking HIPPA laws, and the Montana Privacy Acts due to a poorly written ordinance.

As I've stated in my emails, I commend the City and the Human Trafficking Task Force for trying to combat human trafficking. It is an honorable thing they're trying to accomplish, but involving any legitimate business in this ordinance, not only is it unfair, it is discriminatory and unconstitutional. It concerns me how willingly the City Council is to pass an
ordinance that will harm small businesses and how they want to overregulate legitimate massage therapists to shut down 13 illicit businesses.

How many women in Billings have been coerced into the illicit sex parlors? And how many women have actually come forward and complained that they have been coerced into illicit sex parlors in our city?

City members, your job is to protect us; and if you vote for this ordinance, you have failed. For the record, should this ordinance pass and if $I$ have to obtain and follow the license to legally keep my business open, I will be forced into this agreement with the City under duress. I do not agree with the terms of this licensing.

I would also like to add; if Councilwoman Penny Ronning has any personal, political, or financial gain, or is involved in any other human trafficking task force, she needs to recuse herself. This is a conflict of interest.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next.
Next.
JENAE BAILEY: Hi. My name is Jenae Bailey, 443 Broadwater Ave. First, I just would like to express my extreme sorrow for anybody,
woman, man, or child that has ever been put into any form of sex trafficking or human trafficking. I'm a small business owner. I'm a cosmetologist, so this doesn't affect me, but $I$ feel that it could have the potential to slowly trickle down into my business. I'm a sole proprietor, I'm by myself, I'm in a one-on-one position with my clients at some points; and so this may eventually kind of overreach into my business.

After sitting here for, you know, 2 1/2 hours and listening to the couple expert witnesses, I think that they have just driven home a couple of good points to me that this is not a city issue, this is a national issue. Both of them, the last two, have said specifically that these businesses will move on to other areas. They will move out of the city and go into different communities or become foot spas or just change their name. I think you guys are -- it's going to penalize these legitimate massage therapists, and I vote absolutely no to this ordinance. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Next.
ERIC HART: Eric Hart, 2009 Patricia
Lane. In the newspaper article dated October 1st,

2020 --
(Multiple voices exclaiming.)
ERIC HART: -- says --
MAYOR COLE: Chris, next time you pay the bill?

ERIC HART: -- that Ronning's efforts to involve the Police Department and CPS led nowhere. Their lack of response made her very angry. She realized it wasn't because they didn't care, but because they lacked the resources. The end of the article says, human trafficking is a community problem and needs community solutions within from law enforcement, educators, the faith community, beauty salons and tattoo parlors, as well as the medical community. Mayor, and Council, you have a member who admits there's a lack of resources. She also leaves out the one group of professionals this ordinance is directed at in the list of groups where input should come from. She also admits there's a lack of resources. Are you all hoping the City can now gain resources by making legally practicing therapists pay for those resources? It is not okay to make one small group of businesses -- business owners pay for a global problem.

There's also an e-mail from a Council member that says how would you like the City Council to go after the customers of businesses offering massage? Why would you go after any business' customers? It's so sad to hear the City Council is trying to harm small business. Last year was hard enough. Both City Attorney Gina Dahl and City Administrator Chris Kukulski have stated the intent of this was not to go after legally practicing massage therapists. However, the problem is that neither of them, nor any of you on the Council have any say if this passes. That may not be the intent, but the City is required to follow up on a complaint. Code enforcement isn't going to say, "Oh that complaint was against Eric. He's fine. Don't worry about it." You know the City is required to follow up, and this ordinance gives the authority to enter massage therapy business at any time; states all rooms, cabinets and storage areas shall be subject to inspection and shall make a complete set of books and records available for inspection. This ordinance has personal agendas, possible individual monetary gain, fights rights to privacy and sets the City up for lawsuits. This must be no
vote.
Also, the City has been given numerous experts saying this ordinance does not work. Why were they not invited to this meeting tonight? Only the ones that are supporting it. It's sad that the City only represents one side. There are legal businesses here in Billings. One of the comments made was that there's nobody in Billings that shows up on road maps. There are actually legal businesses showing up on road maps. I'm sure that you all know that nothing illegal is happening in Ritual Salon.
(Multiple conversing voices.)
DESTA FIX: Hi, my name is Desta Fix. My address is 662 Aries Ave., Billings. I've heard a lot of talk about cooperation tonight, but none of the bill has shown any of the input that the massage therapists have been given or that they are giving. I would encourage any of you to point to any of this bill that has come directly from any of the massage therapists here. You guys have talked about how you licensed a business that is very obviously advertising for sex, but you say there's nothing you can do about it. Well, why can't we use that state law that was just put in
that says that anyone can go in there and check their licenses? It's really easy to check their licenses; and if they're not doing that, then that should put them in violation of their business license as well. If that's not already part of it, why can't we put that in there instead of making this -- put it all on us as a massage therapists? I also support everything else that everyone else has said so far tonight. And there are legitimate businesses that are listed on those. Rituals is by far the most visible one, but it's not exclusively that. And you know, it sounds like it's a funding issue. If the cops can't afford to prosecute it, the code enforcement just said that they're going to have problems funding this. So how are we actually going to make it effective if there's no funding for it? Why don't we just go and instead try and give the cops more funding for being able to go after these businesses? That's what I have to say tonight. Thank you. Oh and I'm opposed, in case you didn't get that.

UNKNOWN: Me too.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next. KIM GARTNER: Good evening. My name is Kim Gartner. I live at 1918 7th St. W. I've been
a licensed massage therapist in Billings for 28 years, and I agree with what the very emotional testimony of the lady that said, you know, we came from a position where we got a lot of snickers from people, because we were considered sex workers way back when. And we worked hard to get out of that. We worked very hard. And now the snickers are coming back because of all the papers and everything that's coming in. And I don't appreciate it, because as somebody who's worked darn hard, I've put in a lot of time to get good education and I don't like the treatment I'm getting. I support small businesses, I support sole practitioners, I support solo proprietors, I support therapeutic massage, I support medical massage, I support reflexology and I support energy balancing.

I come from a ranch background and growing up, there wasn't a lot of treatment plans for people who got bucked off a horse or, you know, fell off the barn or whatever they did. They're ranch accidents. And I felt that massage therapy really helped fill that void, especially in this area where we're very limited to only, you know, basically drugs or surgery. Not saying
anything bad or wrong with that, but $I$ think that there is a void that massage therapy does fill. And I feel that this would somehow limit us from doing that.

I also feel that if we have to allow people to see our medical records, that would, you know, some people said it be a violation from HIPAA. I will not allow my medical records to be viewed by anyone because that's only something that my client has given to me. And also, about this fee that we're going to get. Do you guys realize that most massage therapists are at poverty level? We don't make a lot of money. This is a gift of love that we do for people. We don't make a lot of money at this, so you want us to put another burden or another bill on us? We're already being taxed. Gas prices are going up and now we're going to have to put out more on a very small group of people, and I don't agree with that. I also work in a salon, and I don't understand, you know, there's hairstylists in there and then me, I do massage and we share clients. There's clients that go to the massage -there're clients who go to me and get their haircut. There's haircut that come over to get a
massage, and I'm supposed to put a license number underneath my name, but they don't have to. And I have to go have a background check at the Police Department and they don't have to, but we walk through the same door, we used the same washing machines, we use the same bathroom, but yet I have to be treated different than that hairstylist. And no disrespect to any of the hairstylists. I just don't feel like I should be treated or separated because I'm the same. I'm working in a salon.

And I also believe, too, that, you know, with all due respect to Aurora, Colorado, we are Montana. We don't do things the way anyone else does. We are the wild west. We forge our own paths. So I think that we need to redo this, rethink this, come up with a better solution, because I don't think this is a correct solution and I do not support this ordinance. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next. Anybody else before we go to Zoom? Thank you.

TIFFANY WARDELL: Hello. I'm Tiffany
Wardell. My address is 1309 Daybreak in Billings, and I would like to address an example that has been circulating regarding the comparison of this
ordinance to the regulation of another industry. Specifically, plumbers. And the example given by some LMT's, they state that if a plumber came into your house and stole your pipes or whatever, that the law enforcement would be in charge of finding and charging that plumber or that company. And that the whole plumbing industry wouldn't have to be regulated and shouldn't be regulated. There are some flaws to this comparison. The first one is that in Billings we don't have plumbers trapping homeowners under coercion. We don't have plumbers forcing them to act against their will because we are talking about people. Not items. It's a big difference.

Secondly, we don't have forced homeowners or trapped homeowners living in fear, and maybe it's an imagined fear, but living in fear of being penalized by law enforcement if they turn to them. But they will be the ones who are penalized for having a rogue plumber controlling them.

Third, and we don't have people in Billings paying for this entrapment of other homeowners by these criminal plumbers.

Fourth, we don't have dozens of plumbing businesses in our town operating underneath this
clearly criminal business model. If this were the case, I would hope that City Council would regulate plumbers as well. As you can see, this is a terribly unjust and expertly hidden business model, because there are no laws to address the business model of illegal plumbers hiding amongst legitimate ones.

Lastly, the other argument is that the only businesses being regulated are massage therapists. That is just not true. Regulation is in every industry, especially in the medical field. Businesses are regulated at the national, the state, and the city level all the time.

Occasionally, even within their own industries. Since no one likes regulation, laws rarely regulate an entire group of people, but are uniquely targeted to the problem specific to the criminal activity that they are seeing in that industry. This has not been regulated by any political body in our jurisdiction as of yet. Therefore, the crimes are persisting. The state has made it clear that this is a Billings problem, which it is, and will not get involved. I am not naive enough to think that this will solve all human trafficking in our city. But it is one of
many tools that we desperately need. So please get law enforcement more money, I totally support that; but please, also pass this ordinance so that they have some tools to close down the illicit plumbers who -- I mean massage businesses. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Next.
DANIELLE ADELMAN: Hey you guys. I am Danielle Adelman, 2004 Louise Lane. I do not support the ordinance as written, but that does not mean that $I$ support the human trafficking -the issues that are going on at that. I believe that the ordinance has a good base, a foundation, but we just need to work on it. We just need to work on the lingo of it. If we could, like, not encourage and put words in people's mouths or plant seeds that make, like, myself and licensed massage therapists sound like adult entertainment, or sex rings are part of, like, the spa areas, that would be great.

I'm also presenting the petition that we massage therapists have put out. We Have over 500 signatures, almost -- actually, we have over 600 signatures. 570 of them are from Billings, Montana, and we have a couple of the outside, like

Laurel and Warden and people that actually come into Billings for massage, or other sort of like shopping and everything like that.

So, this is what the petition has said. "We, the undersigned, opposed the massage establishment licensing ordinance proposed by the City of Billings, Montana. Establishment licensing treats massage therapy as adult entertainment, not the healthcare profession that it is. While human trafficking and prostitution may be a problem in Billings, imposing additional regulation and licensing fees on a profession infiltrated by this criminal activity is not an effective way to address the problem. Criminals will play the system, leaving legal practicing therapists to pay for a regulatory scheme that will not impact human trafficking or prostitution. There are other creative solutions to the problem that do not unfairly discriminate against legal practicing massage therapists." Thanks.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next. Any other speakers before we go -- If your next speaker can be kind of ready to go, that will kind of speed us up. Go ahead.

TOM LARSON: Well, I'm sort of winging it
here. I hadn't planned on standing --
MAYOR COLE: That's fine.
TOM LARSON: -- in front of you. So, my name is Tom Larson. My wife works at 1212 Grand. I'm just standing up and speaking because $I$ know some of these gals here fairly well. If you haven't already figured it out, these are not human traffickers. If you've not looked at them (undiscernible), not a threat to society. They're just legal businesspeople doing good a job and what they need to do. And if you want to know about human trafficking, and honest to God, you want to go after it, you've got three guys back here that can tell you who, what, where, when, why, how to go after them and go get them. This just isn't the group to attack. You guys seem to be politically astute on some things. If you want people to give you a good public opinion, quit treating these people like something you scrape off the bottom of your shoe. If you haven't figured it out, you've got an FBI guy that stands up here and he does a pretty good job. He's got a good public opinion, but his influence in this town is about this big compared to this group of people right here. They'll speak highly of you if
you treat them good and they will not if you treat them bad, and so far, you haven't treated them very well. So, I suggest you change that. That's all $I$ got.

MAYOR COLE: Next.
KATE FREEDMAN: Whom do I give an address to? Kate Freedman, at 3835 Ave. E. I got to get my little timer here ready, so I don't be over. The State has made it clear; this is a Billings problem. So that means that the burden of coming up with a solution falls on you. All industries are subject to regulation: Airlines, financial institutions, day-cares. Kids were using Sudafed to create methamphetamine. That's not Sudafed's fault; but to curb criminal activity, regulations were created to control Sudafed purchasing. There's no problem with milk, so therefore, milk doesn't need to be regulated in this way. That would be overregulation. These criminals are not hiding in hospitals, in dental offices, in nursing homes, and they can't because of regulations. They're hiding in massage. As a Republican, I oppose overregulation, but at the same time we can't have a free-for-all. Regulations must be crafted carefully and as minimally as possible,
while still getting the job done. Most of these regulations massage therapists already comply with. There are some inconveniences, but the City has balanced these inconveniences with the benefits to law enforcement. This city is housing businesses with women inside and they're being issued City business licenses. This is asinine.

I lost my place. In dental hygiene, each day, there's an hour turning in paperwork. These charts and notes are legally required. An hour worth. Which is why I'm left dumbfounded and slack jawed when I hear this recordkeeping requirement is an undue burden. This takes two minutes of paperwork. All industries are subject to regulation. What matters is criminal activity are at hand. And my question for City Council members is this, what makes the massage industry so special that they should not have to deal with regulations to address criminal activity happening in their industry? Because the language is offensive? Because paperwork's a bummer? The City is not after massage therapists. That is the one-sided propaganda being fed to this community. These are conspiracy theory arguments and police will not be investigating them, will not be going
through their records. It's just absurd.
I own a car dealership. We got a car stolen just about a few weeks ago and the officer that told us, you know, that we reported this to said, "You know, I'll put it into the system and if it shows up in a traffic accident, we'll let you know." These law enforcement do not have time to go investigating legitimate businesses. They just have bigger fish to fry.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Next. Next speaker.

KIM SOLBERG: Hi, my name is Kim Solberg. 4515 Rimrock. And I've brought with me today, from my office -- I work in a medical office here in town -- our HIPAA compliance folder. It's red for a reason, because it's very serious. The penalty for getting caught with any patient information out is $\$ 10,000$ immediate penalty. I wanted that to be known. Even though I appreciate the amendment that was included tonight, I'm not sure that would blanket cover the issue that might become a problem for patient information. I brought, also, the HIPAA agreement, the actual form, and I'd like to read just a little bit of that. The very first sentence says "I understand
that my business has a legal responsibility to protect patient privacy. To do that, we have to safeguard the privacy and security of patient information." At the end of this document, it says "I understand the violation of this agreement may result in disciplinary action and this may include civil and criminal legal penalties as a result of the final privacy rule issued by federal government." So, that is my first concern.

Second concern, $I$ wonder what the City thinks about the cost of putting through the fingerprinting, if there is a substantial cost there.

And also, my third final concern is, where do we draw the line? I, myself, have been prescribed massage through a chiropractic office, through a physical therapist office. They're practicing in those offices. Are they going to be included, also? So, thank you for my (unintelligible).

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Next. Any further public comments from the library? Go ahead.

DR. OLIVO: Hi, my name is Dr. Elizabeth Olivo. My address is 3631 Colin Drive. I am a
nurse practitioner. I was born and raised here in Billings, and $I$ think $I$ was in 7 th grade when $I$ first heard those nasty jokes about happy- ending massages. And $I$ think at that age, probably most girls would be horrified at the idea of what was happening kind of down the street from my school. To know that there were women inside these businesses who are being forced to commit sex acts on strange men, it was kind of too hard to believe. At the time, I didn't understand why law enforcement, or why our city, wasn't able to do anything about this. Well, now, 20 years later, we're at the same place. Where law enforcement and the City haven't been able to do anything to close these businesses.

We all go about our day-to-day lives and the victims remain in these spas that are open all night, that have boarded-up windows, and locked doors.

Now, I've worked as a nurse and a nurse practitioner in women's health in our community for eight, going on nine years. I've seen women who are victims of human trafficking. I've seen women who have been abused and raped. Women with black eyes and busted lips and bruises all over
their bodies. We know that these women are out there. We know that in these businesses, they don't speak English often. They don't have a voice and they're literally amongst the most vulnerable people in our communities. These businesses are being run by criminals. We know that they're not the same businesses as legitimate massage therapy businesses. They're being run by criminals under the name of massage, and they're getting their licenses legally from our City.

In healthcare everything you do is
regulated. Everything I do, I weigh the risks and benefits as members of government. Your job is kind of similar to mine. You have to weigh the burden and the benefit of these regulations. Your responsibility to the public to make decisions weighing these burdens and benefits of the regulations that you're voting on. The burden in this case? It is. It's more paperwork, it's fingerprinting, it's background checks, and it's recordkeeping. This is standard stuff in healthcare. Charting and recordkeeping -- that's standard of adhering to HIPAA is standard. This isn't government overreach. I think it goes without saying that we've let this go on long
enough in our city. You just heard the testimony and recommendations from actual experts. You have the ordinance in front of you and you have the ability to do something about it. Now, I hope that you can stop this ongoing joke in Billings. And the benefit here is giving a voice to the voiceless. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Next.
BRITTANY HOMER: Hello, Mayor, and
Council members. My name is Brittany Homer, 950 Bluegrass. I am the executive director and founder of a local nonprofit organization whose mission is to end all forms of sexual exploitation. I've been involved in anti-human trafficking work nationally and internationally through the National Center on Sexual Exploitation, empowering the one, Operation Underground Railroad, the Safeguard Alliance and more. I've also been a SANE advocate for victims of sexual assault right here in Billings. I've seen the trauma and $I$ know its long-term effects. As such, I have a vested interest in what the City is doing to combat human trafficking. A crime that is one of the most egregious ways one human can trample on the innate dignity of another.

I feel for the LMT's, I feel for the scariness of this. When your livelihood is facing new regulations, $I$ can understand why that might feel scary, but $I$ believe that crime follows the path of least resistance; and right now there is -- and that's why they are being taken advantage of by this illicit business.

I'd like to spend my time drawing your attention to one of the latest studies on the illicit massage industry, which I believe will give you some insight into why you should implement this ordinance. This is a study done by an organization called Street Grace. Their study sought to estimate the scope of the illicit massage industry and concluded with very specific policy recommendations. These recommendations included in establishment licensing that would require business owners to successfully pass a criminal background check, as well as prohibit obstructed windows, lewd advertising, and individuals living on the premises. They recommend mandating hours of operation, licensure display, a list of services with prices, a record to include the name of the massage therapist, a type of massage, date, and time. And many of these
recommendations are exactly what's proposed in this ordinance and will make almost no changes to what legitimate massage therapists are doing in their businesses. This piece of legislation is in line with what many experts in the field are advocating as we heard from earlier testimonies. One other recommendation that is worth noting is including ease of entrance policies for those who want research-based implementation and regulations. It Is there in that Street Grace study.

Furthermore, we are not pioneering an untested method. The City has done extensive research to see what kinds of regulations have worked in other cities. As we've heard earlier today, with solid street tested evidence that this type of legislation will shut down these human trafficking establishments and leave these legitimate massage therapists, able to continue operating their businesses. We've seen the research, we have the evidence, and it's time to act on it. City, state, and federal law enforcement have all said they need more tools to fight human trafficking. This is not only legally sound, but fiscally responsible, this tool that
you have the power to give. So please vote in favor of curbing the sexual exploitation, victimization, and degradation of women that is happening every day. Right in our midst.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Good timing. Next, please.

ERIN WALKER: Hi, I'm Erin Walker, 6287
Canyon Woods Drive. When I hear some massage business owners say they are offended that their profession is being associated with human traffickers and pimps, I want to jump up and say, "me too." How dare these criminals hijack the name of massage and pretend to belong. It is high time that we address this. When it comes to being offended about language in the ordinance, the ordinance is differentiating between sex parlors and legitimate massage businesses. That's the entire point. Let's take a step back here. How about instead we get offended about the women who would continue to be trafficked and abused because some in the massage community don't want sexual terms fraternizing with massage in the Montana code. Where no one except law enforcement or attorneys will ever see or care.

More to the point, it's tragic that the
owners of these illicit businesses, who profit off exploiting women, have an entire group here fighting their battle for them, and you can bet that these criminals are watching to see what the result here tonight is. Will we spread out the red carpet and invite another 40 years of criminality and abuse in our community, or will we tell them that they are no longer welcome here?

In all this conversation, oftentimes there's a big, gaping hole, and it's the victims of these establishments who are being abused and hurt. There's a bigger story here than the gripes of the small contingent of the Billings residents who clearly have a conflict of interest. Many of the concerns we've heard tonight are not substantiated. Let's talk less about imagined consequences and more about current consequences. This past week I watched a part of a virtual Congressional briefing, and I listened to a firsthand account of Shandra Woworunto, who is the CEO Of Mentari, a human trafficking survivor empowerment program, as she described her experience of being lured from Indonesia with promises of a job in the U.S., then kidnapped and sold into sex slavery in a country where she was
unfamiliar, she had no friends, and she didn't know the language. She explained how she was sex-trafficked in what she termed as massage parlors. Her story is tragic enough to bring tears to your eyes, and yet she assured everybody on that Congressional briefing that her story is not uncommon. These are the people who are forgotten. These are the people who are voiceless. They are the ignored, and they will continue to be until our citizens and community begin to care enough about them, share their story, and enact change. It's the responsibility of the Billings City Council to put an end to this abuse in our community. We have seen, we have heard, we can no longer say we didn't know. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. Next. Any other testimony here from the library?

SUSAN CARLSON: First, I'd like you not to start that for just one second, because the person that had to leave had just something really brief he wanted to share with you. His name is Dave Evans. He said he waited two and $1 / 2$ hours and he just couldn't wait any longer. He was on the Yellowstone County -- he was a deputy sheriff in Yellowstone County, who's on the Yellowstone

County Human Trafficking Task Force and Drug Special Unit. Sorry, I probably messed that all up. He's a friend of mine and he did some investigation for me this past week on the count of brothels in Aurora, Colorado. He easily came up with 58 that he could confirm. 58. So obviously this kind of an ordinance is not working in Colorado. That's what he had come to tell you. MAYOR COLE: Okay. Go ahead and start your (undiscernible).

SUSAN CARLSON: All right. Well, I would like to address why this is so contentious with massage therapists, for starters. One of the first things was when Mayor Cole told us -- asked us, actually, to take one for the team. The other night when Chris Kukulski laughed about maybe sunsetting it if it didn't work, that it was no big deal, was very offensive to massage therapists. And we asked questions of Gina -- and I'm sorry I don't have your last name -- and we were told that you didn't have the answers.

This ordinance is very poorly written. It doesn't address --

MAYOR COLE: And I'm sorry, did we get your name and address?

SUSAN CARLSON: I'm sorry. Susan Carlson and my office is on 13th St. West. This ordinance is poorly written. It's called the massage ordinance. It's not a massage ordinance. It's a brothel ordinance. The two have nothing to do with each other. The fact that people use that term doesn't mean a thing, because massage is clearly defined by the State of Montana. So, people who are using that term that don't have massage licenses or are doing criminal businesses, need to be addressed just that way, as criminals. Chief St. John has said that he doesn't have the manpower or the funds. Jeremy House, and I believe he's the Assistant Chief, said this isn't a high priority for them. The only thing this is going to do is harass legally practicing massage therapists. We've been told by Gina and Chris Kukulski that massage therapists don't have to follow -- or won't be affected by this ordinance. You cannot have an ordinance that addresses massage therapists and then say we're not after you. You can't guarantee us that. That's like saying anybody who's speeding in a white car, we're not going to stop you. We're only going to stop the people that are speeding in a yellow car.

It's ridiculous. Look, the ordinance is a disaster. I've actually practiced in the city of Billings since the early '80s. I've been a massage therapist since 1978. My daughter's a massage therapist, my granddaughter's a massage therapist, my mom was a massage therapist. I don't believe any of us ever took money for sex. So, none of us are sex workers. This ordinance does not address sex workers, it addresses massage therapists. I think it is belittling, it's demeaning. I don't know how many of you have an ordinance that tells you have to cover your genitalia before you come to these meetings. I'd like to see that one. I'm so angry, I just probably should shut up before I make a mess of things. I don't believe that you have our best interest at heart, or the public's best interest at heart. Some of these people just want to have their vote count because they stood up -- Oops, sorry. They stood up against prostitution. We're all against prostitution and human trafficking. We're also against this ordinance.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you. David, can we get you to put the -- or Brenda. Thank you. Next. Any other testimony from here in the
library? I'm not seeing any, so out there in the Zoomland.

Toni, go ahead. If you're watching by Zoom, use that "raise hand" feature and then Toni will unmute you and put you on. Do we still have people watching, Toni, who have their hands up?

TONI KEEHNER: We do.
MAYOR COLE: Go ahead and put them on.
TONI KEEHNER: May I please have your
name --
MAYOR COLE: And this is a good opportunity, I think everybody hopefully has been listening, just because the hour's late, we don't want to shut down input, but we'll just ask you to keep your comments as short as possible and also cover new ground, if possible.

So Toni, it's all yours.
TONI KEEHNER: May I please have your name and address?

DAVID REAY: David Reay, 3668 Jasper Park Drive.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
DAVID REAY: First, exemption for sole proprietors with regard to locking doors. Protections for personally identifiable client
information, addresses concerns stated by many of the opponents. The fact that opponents continue to voice these concerns means that they're not reading the language. These opponents also fail to understand that they will continue to be governed by HIPPA. This legislation will not affect your clientele.

Second, as an attorney, I am subject to background checks. We can all agree that an attorney should undergo background checks to protect their clients. Likewise, just as my client deserves to know that they're safe my care, the public deserves to know their massage parlor is a safe place to obtain medical services.

Third, some say these parlors will just move out of Billings if you interfere with their illicit sex trade, but is that bad? Isn't that the point? If Billings sets a precedent, other communities can follow suit. So, will this Council set a precedent, or will they just let it go on to the next generation?

In conclusion, if we want to make Billings a better place, it's going to take work. Montana Board of Massage Therapy has not fixed this problem. So, this City Council bears the
burden of fixing this problem or leaving it for another 40 years. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you for your call. May I have your name and address, please?

JENNIFER MERECKI: Jennifer Merecki, 3737
Rosebud Drive, in Billings.
TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
JENNIFER MERECKI: So, I want to, since we are short on time, I'll address just a few things that these opponents have voiced over and over again. The locked door, covered. It's not an issue. The regulations and licensing, I don't know what industry that's a healthcare industry that's not regulated. I've got to tell you, I was a nursing home administrator, which is the highest regulated industry in the United States, even above hospitals. I also ran little rural hospitals, and these regulations are nothing. So you have to have a license on the wall. Most medical professionals do. You have this whole issue with HIPPA. You guys in the City Council have already addressed that HIPAA will not be violated. That's a dead issue. This is something that will set us apart from sex and the human trafficking trade. The massage therapy business
has been the joke, as others have said, about the happy endings and those kinds of things. That is something that is extremely harmful for my business. I own my own business, so I want to protect my business. So, if I have to have another sheet of paper, which I already have. Everything that has been talked about in this ordinance I already do. It is not a big deal. So, I will keep it short. I am open for any Council member that needs any advice or help, because I have been involved. I don't know what this small group is saying that they have not been, but I've been involved. So, I just want to make it perfectly clear that a lot of the things that were said this evening is not the majority of massage therapists in Billings. As a matter of fact, I know more that are very much in favor of this ordinance than they are opposed.

So, I would hope that the City Council would help protect our massage businesses and our massage licenses by making us legitimate in the eyes of the city and the state. I will go ahead and spend the rest of time. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you for your call. May I get your name and address, please? Hello?

I'm not seeing anybody there. I'll go to the next one.

May I get your name and address, please? THERESA VONDRA: Theresa Vondra. I'm in Ward 2.

TONI KEEHNER: Do you feel comfortable giving your address?

THERESA VONDRA: Not necessarily, no.
TONI KEEHNER: Okay, go ahead.
THERESA VONDRA: I have been a massage therapist for 16 years, but more importantly, I am born and raised in Billings, Montana. Since I can remember, these illicit businesses have been here and have been a problem. When State licensure was passed, our hope was to make change, not only with the legitimacy of our own profession but to help stop these illicit businesses from claiming to be massage. Unfortunately, we had a hard five-year fight and we had to make compromises to be able to get our licensure to pass.

I will admit we need to make some changes on our State licensure to really stop these illicit businesses from staying open. However, that being said, I do not support the City spa ordinance. Not only does this ordinance lump our
profession in with an illegal sex entertainment industry, but it makes us prove our innocence over these illicit businesses. This ordinance lacks clarity and has gray areas that will leave too much up for interpretation.

I have a receptionist, she's on site, at times when other therapists are not in the office. According to this ordinance, there is some clarity that needs to be set forth so that I don't have to shut my business down every time I don't have a licensed therapist on site.

Under Section 7-1911 part C, I have a huge issue with this section. One, I was told when asked about this being a HIPAA violation, that I wouldn't have to share client names. And this would mean that $I$ would have to keep a completely separate set of books that would have no client name information on it. I feel that that would raise more red flags if I had a code enforcement or police officer in my facility. Two, I find it odd that the lawyer who put this ordinance together stated she wasn't familiar with HIPAA. I would feel that if you are helping write an ordinance that has an impact on HIPAA, that you should be well-versed in this law.

I did some research and found that $I$ would have to comply and share records without consent from my clients if this was enacted. I morally do not agree with this section, and $I$ feel like $I$ was lied to in regards to what they knew about the HIPAA compliance.

Another area is the clarity of what constitutes promptly. Again, when I asked about this, I was told that the rules are not meant for me but are to go after these illicit businesses. But I'm sorry, if you're going to require me to apply for this license, then I would expect that you're going to uphold the same standards for me, as with anyone else.

TONI KEEHNER: Your time is up. May I ask you to please wrap up?

THERESA VONDRA: Yes. I feel if you're going to enforce an ordinance, there needs to be clarity, there needs to be specifics; and because of that, I do not feel that this ordinance should be passed at this point in time. I do feel that we need to take more action on a state and federal level. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you for your call.
May I have your name and address, please?

CHELSEA WINTERHOLLER: Chelsea Winterholler, 1709 East Thunder Mountain Road.

Thank you, Council members, for hearing my comment tonight. When $I$ first came across this ordinance, $I$ was very skeptical, to be honest, and then I spent some time educating myself on the impact that it will have on legitimate massage therapists. I have spent the last three years fighting for human trafficking victims in Billings and across the world, and I know that this ordinance is a step in the right direction to help the victims of trafficking and to help our community.

I want nothing but the best for our massage therapists. And I do believe that getting the illicit businesses out will actually strengthen the legitimate massage professionals in our community, while also helping to protect the people being victimized in this awful way. I support Chief St. John and all of the other testimonies that we have heard tonight. I hope we can all work together for the common good and I want this ordinance to move forward. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you for your call.
May I have your name and address, please?

MEAGAN FARNSWORTH: Meagan Farnsworth, 531 Avenue D.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
MEAGAN FARNSWORTH: There's an article that's been circulating from Fort Worth Business that's been used as evidence that massage therapists should not be regulated to address illicit massage businesses. And if you just read the headline, did a quick skim and listen to their quotes, you might think this article backs up their claim. It's a very long article and I'm not sure if you have the time to read it, but the devil is in the details. The author, Dr. Vanessa Bouché, is criticizing what Texas is doing to the massage industry, and I believe it is a misapplication to use for criticism of what is happening in Texas to criticize what Billings is doing.

Two or three minutes requires brevity, so I'm going to try to give an overview by giving some other key details from the article. It states that by 2019 Texas legislation, there were 25 different bills to address IMB's, things like increasing required education hours from 300 to 500. Dr. Bouché states that IMB's often get their
licenses fraudulently. So, adding education hours will be entirely ineffective and only burden legitimate therapists. Several examples of useless regulations are listed. Texas is unloading several rounds of ammo into the darkness and hoping one hits the illicit massage industry. That is what she is criticizing in her article.

Many of these regulations deal with the State Massage Therapy Board. This is a completely different approach. You are comparing apples and oranges. And also note there is a newer study that came out of Georgia on the illicit massage industry. They give policy recommendations that are right in line with what Billings is doing. Establishment licensing. In fact, they recommend even more regulation than this ordinance, including parking lots and lighting. Guess who is at the top of the list of the four contributors? Dr. Vanessa Bouché.

Things the opposition is saying should not be taken at face value. We need to be thorough in our research, instead of listening to out-of-context quotes. We need to listen to our experts, because the massage community is not an objective party. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you. We have someone that's using an older version of Zoom. Looks like Mark Freedman. So, I need to promote him to a panelist in order to let him talk. Mark, can you hear me? Mark?

MARK FREEDMAN: Can you hear me now?

TONI KEEHNER: Yes. May I have your address?

MARK FREEDMAN: (Unintelligible) Okay. Mark Freeman, 3035 Avenue E.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead, Mark.

MARK FREEDMAN: Okay. First of all, I'm blown away. How anybody could oppose this? That is mind-boggling. When you have the ability to save women that are being raped and murdered at a zero burden almost. So, it's been really frustrating how the public perception of this ordinance has been twisted. That recent Gazette article did not even pretend to report on the other side of the story and victims are being overlooked, as always.

Women are being brutalized. I've heard the stories from an oral surgeon who would be called out in the middle of the night to repair broken jaws and cracked teeth on these women. We
can look at the court records of our very own Scott Petry, the owner of two IMB's here. We can see the court transcripts, a close-to-home example of how the trafficking occurs. One of these women testified she was forced to have sexual contact with 25 to 30 men a month. About ten of these being in their (undiscernible) to over 66 years old.

Heyrick Research, a leading authority on traffic and research, explains how it works. They get recruited, resulting in owing huge travel debts to the traffickers. They then keep a straight portion of their earnings but are forced to pay from that room and board in the building they are not allowed to leave, to pay for all the food that is brought in, and transportation as they're forced to another IMB. They pay for supplies, such as condoms and lingerie. They're charged high interest. The system is purposely designed to keep them trapped. It's called debt bondage and is an effective psychological tool that traffickers use, and there are currently no laws on the books to allow for inspection, to allow code enforcement or law enforcement to go in.

There's a gaping hole in the story the public is not hearing. LMT's really don't have any expertise on this issue because they're not sex trafficking victims. It's the law enforcement that are the experts in the human trafficking investigations and attorneys who are writing the law who know what will stand up in court.

So here we are, with the knowledge that criminal activity is taking place, with the knowledge that women are being victimized, with an ordinance that is legally sound, vetted by authorities and minimally burdensome to the industry it impacts, tested on the streets of Aurora and fits the City's budget.

So, if not this, then what is your solution? We all know how much this city loves mill levies. The scope of this criminal enterprise has truly grown beyond our comprehension and we need every tool at our disposal. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you. Jennifer, can $I$ have your name and address, please?

JENNIFER SANCHEZ: Jennifer Sanchez, 6093 Ironwood Drive, Billings, Montana.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.

JENNIFER SANCHEZ: I'm calling in support of the well- thought-out massage ordinance. The proposed regulations are so minimal that $I$ cannot fathom why some massage therapists and their clients are in such an uproar, except after hearing some of the half-truths and fear mongering that is being spread, I guess I'm not surprised. Nothing spreads faster than a lie fueled by fear. These are just three of the misleading assertions I've heard. First, some random person can file a bogus complaint on my business and the City will shut me down. Not true. Legitimate massage businesses are not the target here, and the City is not out to get them. The way code enforcement works is not going to change. They will still give warnings and wait a reasonable amount of time for compliance. More to the point, legitimate businesses already comply with these requirements. This has been explained numerous times, but this fear is still being perpetuated.

Second, police will be able to barge in on a massage session when my client is naked and vulnerable. Not true. The City Attorney has repeatedly said that this ordinance will be
updated to say that a massage in session will not be disrupted unless it lasts over two hours. Yet some vocal therapists continue to spread this to their clients to fan the flames of outrage.

Last, LMT's were not part of the process. Not true. There were public forums held with LMT's meant to foster productive conversation on how to address these criminal businesses, but local opponents undermined these efforts by hijacking the meetings, bullying attendees, and shutting down the conversation before it began. If Facebook has taught us anything, it's that it doesn't seem to matter if it's true as long as it's loud.

For example, tonight I heard someone say they don't want to have to lock their door. The truth is they are allowed to file for an exemption to lock their front door. Your understanding is not at the mercy of those with personal agendas. You have heard from law enforcement, city officials, subject matter experts and other cities who have testified to the efficacy of this ordinance. You have the whole story. This is not City versus LMT's. This is City versus traffickers. This ordinance will help massage
therapists now and in the long run.
Several years ago, my daughter and I went into a massage place for a foot massage, which ended up being way more. I had to squeeze my legs together, so I wasn't touched in my privates. It made me never want to go to any type of massage place ever again. This was in Billings and my story is not even close to what thousands of people go through. If this civil ordinance is passed, I will feel much safer giving massage businesses another chance. I know other people agree with me.

Please be willing to take a stand. Positive change is good and promising. It is time. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you. Okay, the next speaker has a phone number that ends in 2489.

May I have your name and address, please?
Can you hear me?
We'll come back to them. The next speaker --

JOHN MUELLER: Can you hear me now?
TONI KEEHNER: Oh, yep.
JOHN MUELLER: Can you hear me?
TONI KEEHNER: Yes. Your name and
address, please.
JOHN MUELLER: Sorry. I didn't know you had to press * (unintelligible.)

TONI KEEHNER: Your name and address.
JOHN MUELLER: John -- John Mueller, 1021
Yellowstone Avenue \#2.
TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
JOHN MUELLER: Yeah, I absolutely oppose all illicit parlors and everything. Government's role is to protect the rights of the people and this ordinance does not do that. This will only lead to more regulation, and I don't think it will be very effective to stop sex trafficking. All's they have to do is find someone that can pass the background check to get a business license. I think there's more effective ways to do it that don't impede the rights of starving small businesses. Give the police officers -- you know, it's already illegal for prostitution. Sorry.

Why can't they do, you know, send some no-knock warrants. Get some legislation and policies out there that they can go into these parlors? Everybody knows where they are. You can point them out when you're driving. Why not give officers the tools so that they can go into those
businesses that doesn't affect the legit businesses?

So, I don't know. I would say let's not vote on that. That's all I got. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you for your call. Okay, our next caller ends in 7784. Can you please unmute yourself? May I have your name and address?

KELLY ADKINS: This is Kelly Adkins. Billings Heights.

TONI KEEHNER: Okay. Go ahead.
KELLY ADKINS: I've been a massage therapist for 26 years. Part of that in Billings, Montana. And I have written several times into the Council and I'm against sex trafficking. In my 26 years I've had very little people soliciting me for illegal sexual acts. It happened and we had to kick them out; and luckily, I have worked in a very well-established wellness studio.

Since January, I'm amazed at the number of texts and phone calls $I$ have gotten soliciting me for such type of massage, which I've never done in my life.

I'm a veteran massage therapist. My practice is closed, it's hard to get into my
practice. I have a lot of professionals, I get a lot of referrals from doctors, PTs, other therapists. I don't know how my number got out there. I want to protect the young massage therapists coming on board. I believe this ordinance could do more and not just be limited to massage therapy. They know the problems, the windows, the hours, and why does it have to be limited to just massage therapist and spa ordinances? Why can't it be expanded to more businesses? And that's been part of my complaint this whole time, is that it can be looked at more businesses. So therefore, they're not rewriting this ordinance every couple of years as the illicit businesses mimic and chameleon into different types of businesses.

So, I hoped the current ordinance, as written, and I'm current proposed ordinance, and I would like it to be relooked at. That's all I have. Thank you.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you.
May I please have your name and address? Stephanie Baucus, can you please unmute yourself? Your name and address?

STEPHANIE BAUCUS: Hi, can you hear me?

TONI KEEHNER: Yes.
STEPHANIE BAUCUS: Sorry, I almost couldn't unmute myself. Sorry about that. It's Stephanie Baucus, 4528 Highline Dr., Billings, Montana 59106.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.

STEPHANIE BAUCUS: Well, thank you for having me back here, and thanks to everyone for your comments. The City of Billings has known for decades that prostitution and pimping, trafficking and many other crimes, financial and violent, are happening in IMB's right on our streets. But because investigations are hard, expensive, we have limited police resources targeting people to cooperate, it's hard to get probable cause or have reasonable suspicion, which is what you need for law enforcement investigations, and because getting law enforcement involved into investigating these folks basically leads to further victimization for the victims. Because of these things, the City has not been able to do much of anything to stop the proliferation and the operation of these IMB's.

The other approaches that I've heard since the last City Council work session that some
licensed massage therapists suggested, they all rely on law enforcement. If there were other ways to shut down IMB's, the City would have used them already. Because they rely on law enforcement, they would put us right back here in the place we are today.

Instead, we have an option, we've seen it work in other places. One of the things that I've heard since the work session is that many folks in the licensed massage community are afraid. They are afraid that the ordinance will hurt them, and they've argued that there are other ways to shut these places down.

Many speculate that the ordinance could create an extra burden to them. But since the City eliminated any extra fees and, since that time, all the burden amounts to is basically some paperwork, mostly done only once for each business, and actually not done for each licensed massage therapist, it's done for each business. So, with no extra burden, no extra cost, the burden is nearly miniscule. That burden pales in comparison to the regulations on other healthcare professionals, like doctors and pharmacists, and even pales in comparison to the regulations on
cosmetologists.
It's narrowly tailored. Some folks tonight have said that it should be broader and include other businesses. And if that's the case, it can certainly be expanded, but that's not a reason to throw this ordinance out.

City Council, this is an easy decision. You should stand for law and order. Stand in opposition to human trafficking. Stand up for what we value in our community. Stand up to these criminals. Paperwork is not worse than human trafficking. It is way past time for Billings to take action, to drive these IMB's out of town.

Many of you know that a massage parlor massacre occurred at an IMB in Atlanta, which is tragic. The motive according to the suspect was that he had a sex addiction, and he went into that IMB knowing what was happening there. There's --

TONI KEEHNER: (Unintelligible)
STEPHANIE BAUCUS: $\quad-\quad$ nothing to say that
that couldn't happen In Billings. Please stand up to these IMB's and actually do something.

This has been years in the making of
trying to find the best way to solve the problem that we all know exists with the least burden on a

```
fine profession, that are honorable folks. Just
like many of us in our professions are as well.
And with the Board of Massage Therapy --
    TONI KEEHNER: (Unintelligible) -- your
time is up.
    STEPHANIE BAUCUS: -- had an instance to
look at this issue, they put in a new
regulation --
```

    TONI KEEHNER: Okay, thank you.
    (Unintelligible)
STEPHANIE BAUCUS: -- Section 902, that
was put in 2012 --
TONI KEEHNER: May I have your name and
address, please?
DEBORAH KIMMET: Yes. My name is Deborah
Kimmet and I am from Missoula. I am executive
director of the Business League for Massage
Therapy and Bodywork, and we have several
constituents in the Billings area. And, you know,
this really is an emotional issue and I thank the
Council for taking the time to hear us out on
this. I just have to make some comments about
some of the testimony and some of the things that
came up.
Let's talk first about Atlanta. One of
213
the businesses that was involved in that was not an illicit business and that is our concern, is that being lumped in with these illicit businesses, the way that the ordinance does, can put us in danger. And in fact, someone mentioned the article out of the Fort Business -- the business thing that was written by a human trafficking expert. I'd like to speak to that. I actually have exchanged emails with that individual, her name is Dr. Vanessa Bouché, and she is very clear that massage therapists are being scapegoated by these types of ordinances, that these ordinances don't work, and that they overburden massage therapists. And so, I wanted to talk about just some of the burdens for a second.

First of all -- well, actually let me talk about something else first. The Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards, that report was completely condemned by the professional associations. One of which you should have gotten a letter today, from the ABMP, basically saying that Aurora is not effective, and you already heard testimony on that tonight.

We also have heard from someone saying,
"Oh yeah, most massage therapists are actually for this." Well, we have polled as many massage therapists as we could find, which was about half of the estimate of what we found in Billings, or what we estimate based on state statistics, and 117 of those 123 massage therapists opposed the ordinance. So, it's not just a small group of people. It's 117, of which is close to half of the number of massage therapists here.

Also, to talk about the changes, this ordinance is not ready for prime time and, in fact, one the changes that's in there about the log, it says -- the new change in the ordinance is "The log and other books and records may not include any protected health information of clients." So, now are you mandating that massage therapists can't put that information in their stuff? Are you mandating that? It's poorly written. It's poorly worded. It's very vague. There's other things in there that are constitutional issues, like for example, Law enforcement and code enforcers can enter the business at any time, whether or not there's complaint and they can look for anything they want to look for. Now, the last time $I$ checked, the

Constitution says that you have to have probable cause and a warrant for that, or a warrant for that kind of thing. And those are concerns that we have as a group on this.

TONI KEEHNER: (Unintelligible) -- your time is up. Could you please wrap it up?

DEBORAH KIMMET: I appreciate your time. I'll wrap it up here. I just want to say that these ordinances don't work to curb the problem. We've provided other solutions to you that do not involve law enforcement. And we're hoping that you would listen to the national experts and please vote no on this proposal. We'd just really appreciate it.

TONI KEEHNER: Thank you. We have another person who has an older version of Zoom. So, I need to promote them to a panelist in order to speak.

Are you able to hear me? Can you unmute yourself?
(Unintelligible response.)
TONI KEEHNER: Perfect. May I have your name and address?

LAUREN SANFORD: Lauren Sandford and it is Ward 2.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
LAUREN SANFORD: Okay. There's a problem in the massage industry. The professional class community did not create the problem, but a lack of regulation has created a refuge for human trafficking. The heartbreaking testimonies of the few victims who have managed to escape are eerily similar. Asian women recruited with promises of a better life. Once here, they come under the control of the trafficker. Their papers are taken, they can't get out, they have a language barrier. They did not come here willingly to perform sexual acts. The traffickers ensure that they will give them these pitiful wages and give them food and rent. It's called debt bondage. This is coercion. This is the very definition of human trafficking.

Each woman has different tales, but over and over again, each victim has the same story, and this is happening here in Billings, Montana. You better believe these criminal business owners are watching you to see if they can stay, to see what the City is going to allow. Policies vary across the country and those with the weakest policies attract the most crime.

That being said, great care must be taken
to the balance of regulation and burden. This ordinance does just that. Zoom out and really look at these regulations. These are minimal, far less than most healthcare professions, but these are things that fake massage businesses consistently do not do and cannot do because they are integral to their business model. They need sexually suggestive advertising to attract patrons. They need the women contained and living on site. They need locked doors to keep the wrong eyes out.

Real massage businesses can comply with these regulations. (Inaudible) hoping they already do. But illicit massage businesses cannot. They cannot comply with these regulations. Places with the weakest policies attract the most crime. (Inaudible) is like a welcome home for these illicit businesses who have profited off the sexual degradation of women for 40 years.

Business industry is subject to
regulation when public safety or criminal
enterprises are concerned. Both are certainly the case here. So City Council members, are you going to make Billings a place for human trafficking and human traffickers to congregate? Or this stops, right now. You have the choice to make a vote
right now. Thank you.
TONI KEEHNER: Thank you.
May I have your name and address, please?
MELANIE TRIPP: Hi, my name is Melanie Tripp. My address is 7535 Entryway Dr.

TONI KEEHNER: Go ahead.
MELANIE TRIPP: Council, I hear a lot of passion and anger from opponents tonight. Many of them say they not only oppose the ordinance, but also oppose human trafficking. If they brought this passion to fighting human trafficking, we could get a lot done to end trafficking in Billings.

I am a chair on Redstone projects. I'm co-chair on Prevention Apprentice Committee for the Human Trafficking Task Force, and I'm also President of (undiscernible) Club in Billings. The problem here is there is a machine of propaganda behind the opposition that insists they will not settle until no ordinance, whatsoever, that includes massage therapists, exists. This is sad, but it is the truth. Who supports the ordinance? Who is a part of fighting it? Who has attended the task force meetings? BPD, FBI, DOJ, victim service organizations, many public -- many
people in the public and businesses. None of the opponents, but they insist that they are seriously worried about human trafficking.

Regarding licensing, my husband and I have owned multiple businesses here in Billings. 20-plus years in security. My business understands the amount of crime that happens in the city and how it has changed. We also know what it is like to be regulated and get background checks. By law, and I'm now required by the State license, but also State fire and security licenses for each employee and three for our company. They require background checks, which forces us to prove we are not criminals. Kind of makes it sounds like they are lumping us with thieves and burglars now, doesn't it? We're not offended when they ask us if we have had past felonies or criminal activity. In fact, no one I've met in my industry minds at all. We don't feel like they are targeting us. I don't feel belittled or demeaned, and neither do any of my team members. I understand it is something we must do for our business.

The fees for these licenses range between 150 to 250 each, that expense of the small
business for 10 to 12 people, times two for each employee, plus three for the company. This must be renewed every year and I'm happy to do it and see it as an important part of letting clients and city state know we are trustworthy. On top of the licenses, if anyone asks me to prove we are not a human trafficking organization, I would say yes, absolutely. Where do I sign up and how can I help? Background checks, licensing and drawing lines between criminals and legitimate business -legitimate industries is necessary and what we must do to prove we are the real deal. The lines the massage ordinance will draw is a change to the city and massage therapists can make that states and shows they do believe human trafficking exists in illicit massage and it's abhorring and should not be allowed. People here opposing the ordinance are fighting human traffickers' battle for them. The worst part of the story is while this spa ordinance debate drags on for the three years, the safety of our city declines. Human traffickers bring violence, crime, gangs, and drugs to our city. They spread violence, especially against women and girls. And brings generational trauma that spreads like a disease.

On many reviews and data of the crime rate in Billings is depressing. Ask yourself, do people really care about women working in illicit massage businesses, and if not, why? When do the concerns of massage therapists take precedence over human rights violations? Should this even be a debate? And how did our society get to a point where it cannot recognize an evil of the worst kind that stands before us in our own city and in the light of day for countless years and doing nothing?

TONI KEEHNER: (Inaudible) -- timing
factor. Are you about done?
MELANIE TRIPP: I am done. Thank you so much.

TONI KEEHNER: Okay. Thank you. I do not have any more people requesting to speak.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you, Toni. Thank you for your efforts. We will then close the -- If there are no other comments from the public, we'll thank everybody, close our public comment period. The public hearing on this ordinance. Again, thank you to everybody. That was a bit of a marathon, but I think we all learned a lot and appreciate everybody's sincerity and hard work, and I think
it was just extremely valuable.
It's 10:23, Council. We can discuss how we want to proceed. This is Item 5. We still have one other item tonight. You know, we can press ahead. We do not have a motion at this point. We do need to, at some point, have discussion on the possible amendments. I guess my inclination is to press ahead while we're here. So --

UNKNOWN: How about a break?
MAYOR COLE: Oh, you want break? There's a concept. (Indiscernible comments.)

MAYOR COLE: Yeah, no, absolutely, let's do it. It's 10:23. We'll try to come back around 10:30 or as close to that as we can. Thank you. (Indiscernible conversations.)
(Council Meeting Recess)
MAYOR COLE: If we could all take our seats. We'll get started again. A little bit of a scheduling issue. Item No. 6 is still following this, if Jeff Kanning and Erin Heringer are still awake. We apologize. We know that you need to be on a regular agenda. If you want to speak with Wyeth Friday -- or Wyeth, maybe you could give them a call offline. If they have a different proposal for, you know, have to -- wanted to delay
their item, in which case we could potentially release them, but I'm afraid they'll just have to sit tight if they want to get it, Item No. 6, dealt with tonight. But let us know, Wyeth, if you come up with a different solution, just pop your camera on and we'll interrupt whatever we're doing on Item No. 5, but Item No. 6 is still out there and we offer our condolences for anybody interested in Item No. 6.

With that, we will reconvene. Thank you, everybody. We need something to discuss here. So, is there a motion pertaining to this item?

Council member Ronning.
MS. RONNING: Thank you. Having conducted a public hearing, considered written and spoken public testimony, I move to approve the first reading ordinance regulating massage and spa facilities through business licensing criteria as recommended by staff.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Is there a second? Council member Yakovich. Thank you very much.

Council member Ronning, would you like to speak to your motion?

MS. RONNING: Yes, thank you. First of
all, $I$ really, truly want to thank Ryan Sullivan and the City Council of 2017. Ryan Sullivan is the Council member that brought this initiative forward and has been supportive and been helping ever since, even though he resigned and had to move to Texas. So, I really want to thank former Councilman Ryan Sullivan. I want to thank Bruce McCandless and Chris Kukulski, Gina Dahl, Brent Brooks, Wyeth Friday, Andy Zoeller, and Chief St. John for their continued support towards the work on this ordinance for many years. You're very dedicated to it. Thank you.

So, I want to address a couple of things that were said. First of all, I have absolutely no financial benefit whatsoever in the fight against human trafficking. I probably pay out all of my money to actually be in this fight. I don't get any benefit from it. It is a passion of mine. So, it's something I believe in, and I'll fight for it. Just like I believe in and fight for The Energy Commission that I brought forward. LGBTQ equality that I brought forward. Many different issues, and that's why $I$ ran for office. It's also what $I$ ran on. I ran on this platform. So, I just want to thank everyone.

I think there were a number of items that were addressed that we'll probably get to in the question and the discussion period, at least I'm hoping we'll be able to address those. So, I think that there were some good points that were brought up and I want to make sure that we get those addressed.

I realized that LMT's are very angry with me; and if there's someone you need to hate and you want to direct it to me, you're more than welcome to do that. I'm not sure why, but you're more than welcome to do that. I will wear the fight against human trafficking with a badge of honor. So, I'll put it in the skies, I'll wear it with a badge of honor.

But it's important to note that $I$ firmly believe in small business. I was raised in one, I have one. I've been raised with the benefits of massage therapy and continue to get massage therapy and truly appreciate the medical benefits of that. And we'll absolutely support you in that. I truly hope, and one of my goals is, to get the illicit massage business off your back and get that completely cut from your legitimate profession. So that's what I'm in this seat to do
and that's what $I$ hope to do. Whether this ordinance passes or not, I'll continue that fight.

I have some other things that I'm sure that we'll address through some questions. But those are some of the issues that I wanted to bring up. So, thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much.
Council member Yakawich, you made the second, did you want to speak to the motion?

MR. YAKAWICH: I'll pass right now your Honor.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Okay, Council, we've got an opportunity for further discussion or amendments. I guess what I propose is that we address some of those kind of technical amendments.

Gina, you had your list there before. During the break you said that you wanted to change some of the language based on the testimony from Deb Kimmet and maybe others. Can you run us through your amendments, and we'll see whether there's a motion adopting any of these?

GINA DAHL: Sure. Sharing this again.
So, I have taken into consideration some of the comments and have come up with what $I$ think
is a bit better language with regards to the HIPAA issue. It's never been the intent of this ordinance to request any protected health information, and so I'm simply trying to include additional language to make that very, very clear. And Deb Kimmet had, her comment -- had a point about the language that was added. So, my suggestion at this point is to add this sentence that I've highlighted, which says "any protected health information may be redacted from the log and any books and records provided subject to this article" instead of this sentence.

I think that that would address that issue. Even more clear, that you do not have to keep two versions, two books, two sets of anything. They can simply redact the protected health information. That should address that issue. And the other edits that I set forth earlier remain the same, so I can go through those again, if you feel it necessary.

MAYOR COLE: If you would quickly, and then I'll ask Council if there's a member of Council who is interested in making a motion to adopt one, or all, of these amendments.

GINA DAHL: Okay. So, the first
amendment is to 7-1902, subsection, (i) and (r) to simply correct the reference to 27-611(c)(20) change it to 27-1803 A. Subsection (r), same thing, the citing reference needs to be changed to the newly adopted code Section 27-1803 A.

MR. NEESE: Mayor.
GINA DAHL: The second one was the one I
just went through was $7-1911$ subsection $C$, to add that sentence about the protected health information. Oops.

And the next edit was to 7-1911,
subsection $F$ to clarify that -- add the sentence "However, an inspector or law enforcement officer may not interrupt the treatment session that is in progress, except that a treatment session lasting two hours or more may be interrupted." Add that sentence.

And then finally, to add the sentence $I$ referenced just a moment ago to Section 7-1912 "any protected health information may be redacted from the log and any books and records provided subject to this article," to, again, clarify that we are not seeking any protected health information.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. So, you've
seen Gina's proposed amendments.

Council member Neese, what was your comment?

MR. NEESE: I'll make the motion to adopt, amending the motion to these amendments.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Second, by Council member Brown. Discussion on the motion to amend.

Council member Brown.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mayor. Gina, one of the questions that I've got on this protected health information, you know, that's more than one piece of information. So, does that mean they don't have to have a client name, social Security number, phone number, address? They have to have none of that in there?

GINA DAHL: Anything that's protected health information under HIPPA, which is generally medical records and personally identifiable that's including their name. Anything that's going to identify them with their medical records that's -- And as healthcare providers, they are fully aware of what protected health information is, so.

MR. BROWN: Well, like you say, that
that's more than just one thing, you know GINA DAHL: Right.

MR. BROWN: In this case, that would mean they don't have to supply any of the information for it, correct?

GINA DAHL: Correct.
MR. BROWN: So, they don't have the client's name on that log, they don't have to have --

GINA DAHL: Nope.
MR. BROWN: -- phone numbers, anything on there?

GINA DAHL: Nope.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Gina.
GINA DAHL: Yep.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Council member Neese, on the motion to amend.

MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor.
So Gina, this log, if it's not going to have any information on it. What information will it have on it? Because if it's not identifiable information --

GINA DAHL: Well, not personally identifiable information, but it will need to
contain the date and the time, the --
MR. NEESE: Procedure.
GINA DAHL: -- the type of massage
therapy provided, as well as the employee who provided it. I believe those are the four pieces of information that are required in our ordinance. MR. NEESE: Okay.

GINA DAHL: In our proposed ordinance.
MR. NEESE: Okay. All right. I
understand. Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Council member Purinton.
MS. PURINTON: Thank you. So, what I'm trying to understand in this part of why there was that misconception that they were going to have to provide clientele information. So, if all they have to provide is this log of date, time, type of massage, and name of employee, that relates to the facility. It doesn't relate to clientele. So, to me, to add all of this information in about all books to be available to law enforcement or code enforcement, I think that's stretching it so that it does make it where it's not clear. And that's been a big issue with the massage therapists, especially those that do therapeutic massage,
because they do have health orders for those. So, I'm just saying, why go beyond this log to me?

I mean, there's no -- from what I'm seeing and from, I think, something that you said the other day at the town hall, that, I mean to me, you can write it on the spiral notebook. There's no particular form that has to be filled out. Other than just this information, there's nothing that is -- Oh, I can't even think of the word -- you know, this is the only information, so why go through the HIPAA thing at this point? GINA DAHL: Well, because I think their logs contain HIPPA information and so they needed that clarification, but the proposed ordinance does request a complete set of books and records in the event that there is an investigation and there is a need to verify that this log is actually accurate, and they are actually doing what they are saying they're doing. That meant not just their other books and records. That may be financial records.

Again, this is only going to be - I can imagine that that's not going to happen very often. It's certainly not going to happen for the ones who are abiding by the law and conducting
good business practices. But the intent is not to take out books and records. We certainly want them to have a complete set of books and records available, if we need to inspect those.

But to your point about the log, the objection that $I$ was hearing from them was that that log did contain their name, so they were concerned about that. That would be identifying a person. That would be protected health information -- a piece of protective health information.

MS. PURINTON: But my understanding, with the log, is that it's never contained client information. It contains more information about the massage therapist, or the person that's performing the massage and the business, the facility, because that's what this is supposed to be, is a facilities license. So, whenever you added that "and all other books," I think that just really muddied the water on that. And that's why I'm saying, why does that even have to be in there? If you want a log of just this information that you're talking about that's under $C$, then why do you have all the other, you know, we also have access to all these other books?

GINA DAHL: We want the rest of that information to be subject to inspection. I'm sorry. Perhaps I'm not following the question. Somebody else can help me out here; but, first of all, the log may contain protected health information, and I think that was part of their objection. Because if it contains their name, then that would be protected health information.

MS. PURINTON: But it doesn't contain their name. It doesn't dictate --

GINA DAHL: The way they keep it may. That was their objection. What I heard in objection is that, "If I keep a log, I'll have to keep two logs. One that has -- like I can't provide you their appointment book because it's got their name in it."

MAYOR COLE: Okay. We'll move on. Further discussion on the motion to adopt these approximately four amendments that Gina went through. Is there further discussion on the motion to amend before we proceed to a vote? I don't see any, so we'll move to a vote on the proposed amendment. If that is clear, all in favor of the motion made by Council member Neese, seconded by Council member Brown, please raise your hand.

Voting in favor are Council members Cole, Boyett, Joy, Ronning, Yakavich, Brown, Choriki, Shaw, and Neese. Voting in opposition, please raise your hand. Voting in opposition are council members Ewalt and Purinton. Motion passes.

So that is now the amended motion. I'll move on to the proposed amendments that I mentioned earlier in the meeting. I don't have a nice slide. I apologize for that. That would be helpful, $I$ know, but they are contained in the e-mail from me of today at 10:15 AM, copies of which were available to the public and also distributed. And the clerk has this, so there's no question about the amendments. I'll make this as a package, and see if there is a second for them as a package.

The Sections 7-1902(o) (1) to change the sentence that says, "a place of business where a licensed massage therapist practices as a solo practitioner." The word "a" would come out and in its place substitute "only one."

Second, changing Section 7-1913(a)(2), the sentence that says, "that the qualifications set forth in the application utilized to obtain a massage or spa facility license were materially
inaccurate," et cetera. Change the word
"qualifications" to the word "information" and "were" to "was."

Third, in Section 7-1913(b), where it says that the "appeal is to be 20 days after written notice of revocation," change the word "revocation" to the "license administrators decision."

And lastly in Section 7-1919(a), insert the word "material" in front of "participated in creating the duress," and delete at the end the words "or who knew, or should have known of the existence of the duress or coercion" and replace that with the phrase "Of another person that resulted in violation of Section 7-1904 or 7-1910."

That's the motion. Is there --
(Inaudible motion made.)
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you for putting that up. Is there a second to that motion? Council member Neese has the second. Discussion on that motion to amend. Is there any discussion on that motion to amend?

Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor.

And I believe, Gina, you can address this. I think you have reviewed this and looked at the Mayor's email and agree with these changes, that they're more clear in what this is expressing. Is that correct?

GINA DAHL: Yes, I think those are all appropriate changes.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Any other discussion before we proceed to a vote on that set of amendments? Seeing none, we'll vote. All in favor of that group of amendments, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are Cole, Boyett, Ewalt, Joy, Ronning, Yakawich, Brown, Choriki, Shaw, Neese and Purinton. So, that motion passes unanimously.

You now have before you, then, an amended version of the ordinance. Council, how would you like to proceed? Further discussion on the now amended motion.

Council members Neese and then Boyett.

MR. NEESE: Thank You, Mayor. Gina, some of the conversation was about expanding this and that's my concern is -- In fact, some of them said we should expand it. And my concern is that if it could be expanded in some fashion or another, can
you speak to how this could, or should, or may be expanded in the future?

GINA DAHL: When you say expanded, are you referring to --

MR. NEESE: Include the other businesses, industries, across the city.

GINA DAHL: Okay. Well, thank you for the question. Honestly, I feel like I can't answer that because, at this point, I am, myself, not aware of other businesses or industries where this issue would need to be addressed. But it would be totally appropriate to address that, if and when that were to occur. It may not, depending on what business or industry it were expanded to, it may not be appropriate to amend this particular ordinance. It may be necessary to create a separate ordinance. But if it was a related business or industry that could be incorporated into this ordinance, $I$ think that that may be appropriate.

MR. NEESE: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Council member Boyett.
MR. BOYETT: Thank you, Mayor. Going back to the log, Gina. By itself, the log will
mean nothing. I could make up a log every day and just put down information. Without a subpoena for the financial records, you wouldn't be able to compare it anyways, so why even waste your time with the log?

GINA DAHL: Well, I guess I -- No, I'm not sure that $I$ think it would be a waste. I think that we're asking for their legitimate business records. I suppose you're correct. That if they're going to go to the trouble of creating a log that looks legitimate, I suppose we may not know that, but this ordinance does, in fact, require and request that a complete set of books and records be available upon request, and that is part of the reason to ensure that they are, in fact, doing what they say they are doing.

So, if the log is purporting that they have given this many massages on this particular day, their revenue generated from that should match up and everything should reconcile.

WYETH FRIDAY: Mayor and Council, this is Wyeth.

MAYOR COLE: Yeah. Thank you Wyeth, go ahead.

WYETH FRIDAY: If I can just add to Gina,
in answering the question from Council member Neese.

One of the things that it requires in the log, is the name of the employee administering the massage therapy, and $I$ think what our expectation is that because it also requires that each of the massage therapists have their licenses visible at the facility, that we would look at the log and look at that, and see if the actual people, you know, that that matches up. Obviously, you know, things could always be worked on, but that one, I think, makes it a little more difficult, if they were not having the same people doing what they show their licensures to be doing.

So anyway, just to add that to what we're looking at.

GINA DAHL: Thank you, Wyeth.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: I'd like to add to that. If you actually look at the massage, the state law for the massage therapists, they are required to keep records and list all of what we require and also more, so that information is going to be recorded by them and all we are looking for is
just those four items. And then I agree with Gina, if there's an issue and we need to subpoena it, then all this information should match what we were looking at it for.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Further discussion on the motion.

Council member Brown --
MR. BROWN: I just want to --
MAYOR COLE: -- and then Council member Joy.

MR. BROWN: I just want to clarify. So, when we're saying that all their records have to be available, now we're talking subpoenas. So, if we have to subpoena, this has no teeth. Is that correct?

GINA DAHL: I don't think that we would have to subpoena the records if this ordinance was enacted.

MR. BROWN: Okay. So, I guess I just want to point out that they would not have the subpoena to get those records, correct?

GINA DAHL: Correct.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you.
Council member Joy.

MS. JOY: Ah, thank you, Mayor, and Council. I really don't have a question, and I think we're at discussion points. So, I'm going to go ahead and make my comments as to why I'm going to support this motion, this amended motion, with the additional language and clarifications. And I'd really like to say, I really do appreciate the people who came forward to testify this evening. There's a tremendous amount of emotion that goes along with people who do things that they really care about. When they feel like they're helping another person, they really care about what they do, and that's a very good thing. At the same time, we have to address the issue of these illicit massage parlors\spas. We do have to address that. We can't, as a city, have members of our community, regardless of how they came to be here, abused, mistreated, exploited, and for us to say nothing or do nothing to help them would be an absolute crime on our part.

So, I do want to acknowledge that massage therapists really have a lot of passion for what they do, and they care about that. And they really do not need to have their reputation or
their occupation be tainted by what is illegal and what is clearly criminal on the part of spas that are bringing women in, abusing them in horrible and unimaginable ways.

So, I would like to say that this ordinance has gone through a lot of revisions. When I first saw it-- and I would like to also thank Council Member Ronning, since 2018, this has been a real passion of hers, and I've had a tremendous education on the problems that these spas really pose for our community, and I really commend her for her outreach and work on those. But it has gone through a lot of revisions, and the first time I saw it, boy, I really didn't like it. It had things in it that, really, I could stand at that podium and get very passionate about what I didn't like about that ordinance. But I think this has gone through a process of trying to accommodate some of the issues that have come up.

Is it perfect? Is everyone satisfied?
Absolutely not. Does it look like it first looked when I saw it? Absolutely not. And I'm very glad for that, because we would not want to have had that ordinance talked about in this room the way it was written the first time $I$ saw it. So, I
think we've come a long ways. Is it perfect? No. Can massage therapists be an industry that is not regulated? No. Everybody gets regulations, and I understand that as small businesses you have a particular interest in not having your business impacted by regulations that are overburdening. I understand that. I think that's part of the accommodation that this ordinance is trying to make, in terms of the fees that are being charged in order to make that commiserate with what it would take for a small business to continue its viability. So, I think that's very important.

But the question is, how do we really impact human trafficking? If we decide this ordinance isn't good enough and it has objectionable things, that means we do nothing, and that's totally unacceptable, too. It's not acceptable to ignore what's happening in our community. So that also is not acceptable. But what is very, very clear, and this is a very, very important part of this, that since 2018 and through successive budgets has been an issue, is how we fund our public safety. And I'm very glad that there has not been a single person who has come up here and said I'm all for human
trafficking. I don't care about anything about what's happening in our community. No one has said that. Everyone has said exactly the opposite.

What can we do to impact this crime and protect women and help these women who are in such desperate situations? No one has said, I don't care, I'll turn a blind eye to it. Absolutely not. I have not heard that from anyone. So, what can we do to have that impact? And I was very happy to hear people who came forward and said, I'd be willing to pay more for our public safety if we can have an impact on this. I was very happy to hear that.

So, I don't hear -- actually, people have said "opponent." I really don't hear that. What I actually hear is everyone concerned and caring about what we know is not a good thing happening in our community. And if people are willing to step up and say, I'm willing to pay more for public safety to have an impact on this issue, that makes me very happy that I see a community that wants to rally and come together on an important value that we want to uphold. An important value of the dignity and the freedom, and the value of every member of our community,
whether they choose to come here or whether they were trafficked into this community.

So, I'm very happy with the comments that have been made. So, I think that there is a lot for us to do, and we do have limited resources, but I think this can actually be an important rallying point. If we see a need in our community and we see violations of human rights and dignity in our community, we can rally to change that. We don't have to be the Billings, Montana that has the most number of trafficked people in our community. We can be the community that actually addresses that and invests in the kinds of law enforcement, public safety, whatever it takes to have that positive impact. So, I will support the motion. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much, Council member Joy.

Chris, can I ask you a question? I've said before that I'm very sympathetic to arguments that this problem could, in theory, be solved through more law enforcement, because I think that's true, but it is expensive. It's not easy. We've had discussions. Do we have a commitment from City administration and the Billings Police

Department, though, to commit more resources than have been devoted in the past to helping to solve this problem, if this ordinance is passed so that we can have more of an all-the-above-type response? And if so, what would that additional effort look like, in general terms, recognizing that we are not going to be able to devote massive resources to this problem?

MR. KUKULSKI: So, the answer's
absolutely.
In working with the Chief, as he said earlier, we're comfortable with the resources we have, that half of a full-time detective can be dedicated to this effort. I think the recognition that this is a violent crime places it appropriately with any other violent crime, and violent crime takes priority over nonviolent crimes. So, with what we have today, the Chief has communicated that that's the increased effort he feels can be squarely dedicated to it. And I do believe, when we talk about our public safety, increase investments -you know, code enforcement has always been on the table, as well as other components.

So, I believe, based on the conversations specifically with Trevor and Aurora in
coordination, in working with the Chief and talking to how we coordinate currently with the State of Montana and the FBI, that we can absolutely and will make a difference on multiple fronts in this area. And we will ask, as a part of the next public safety conversation, that we further increase some of those components, and you will be the determiners as to whether we get that right balance there.

But we're not just relying on this ordinance and a code enforcement officer to be the sole implementer here.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.

Council member Boyett, Ewalt and Purinton.
MR. BOYETT: Thank you. There were a couple of items that came up during the presentations tonight $I$ wanted to make clear.

Maybe this would be for Wyeth, it sounds kind of crazy, but what is your definition of a complaint? Does that mean that $I$ can drive by a business and say, "Oh, I think there's sex happening there," and you're going to go storming into the business, or what do you need from a complaint in order to start an investigation? That's my first question.

MR. KUKULSKI: Wyeth, can you -- Sorry. WYETH FRIDAY: Yep, I'm here.

MAYOR COLE: Yeah, Wyeth, go ahead.
WYETH FRIDAY: Mayor, and Council, and Council member Boyett. I think we would very much be looking at the couple of sections in the ordinance that have the requirements for operation and what they would have to be following. So, I mean, if you went by and all the windows were -you know, the windows were all blocked and couldn't see anything, and something like that which is spelled out in the ordinance, or as -and we've talked about this with Chief St. John, as well. If, you know, the facility was open and it's midnight, then that, again, is clearly spelled out in the ordinance. Those would be things that we would then be following up on, based on that complaint.

So, we would be very much looking at those couple of sections that list out those requirements and what's allowed or not allowed for them to operate.

MR. BOYETT: Thank you. And then my second question is for you, also, Wyeth, is that realizing that the code enforcement is not
proactive, it's complaint-based; and according to the testimony here, apparently everybody knows where these businesses are, what is your plan to attack them right off the bat and not sit back and wait for a complaint?

I think all this work's gone into this; we shouldn't just do nothing. I think you need to, in my mind, have a plan to go out and hit 10 or 12 businesses right away. Do you have that in mind?

WYETH FRIDAY: Council member Boyett, Mayor, and Council. Yes, we've been discussing that with finance, and legal, and police, and city administration, in terms of how this will move forward, if it's passed, and we've agreed that we have to be proactive in this case, in this situation. So, both, with after it takes effect. And we're going to be notifying businesses, working with finance on that piece, but also being proactive at that initial licensing application process, to do some on-site inspections. And then be following up, obviously, at that same time with complaints, but being proactive with the licensing process right away. I mean, we're going to have to do that to have this be effective.

So, it's going to be a more proactive portion of what we do than what we've been doing with other code violations in the past.

MR. BOYETT: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you.
Council member Ewalt and Purinton.
MR. EWALT: Thank you, Mayor. I got to
try to follow up on that, what Council member Boyett was talking about. There's, what, 10 or 13 of those in the city right now, and they fall under the three categories that you already named off, Wyeth. So, why do you need to wait to have a license filled out to go in and get the three common things that -- The closed windows, and the ATM machine, and the locked doors? Why do you need to wait to have a license filled out to pursue those issues?

WYETH FRIDAY: Council member Ewalt, Mayor, and Council. We don't necessarily need it filled out, but we would start with those different pieces. So, the first thing is that all these businesses must have a license and must make application for that. So that could be the first thing that we could be notifying them of, that you must get this license. And then we also, at that
point, if they are coming in for the license, would be potentially doing inspections. Or if they don't, then we're going to be going and following what, you know, if they don't have a license, now they're out of compliance, and then looking at the other issues.

So, either way we're going to be pursuing them to figure out where are they falling in the situation. Are they actually going to get a license, or are they not? And if not, then they're also going to be not compliant.

MR. EWALT: So, they're not a complaint anyway. So, under Section 7-1913(A) (3), it says the State has revoked the massage therapy license of the licensee. So, if the State can revoke the license, why do we need this to have a license that needs to be revoked, if the State can do it?

We got two State employees that are working in Billings right now, the way $I$ understood earlier this evening. So, what's the hold-up? Everybody knows where these places are. So, why don't they do something about it?

WYETH FRIDAY: Council member Ewalt, Mayor, and Council. I'm not sure if that's directed just for me, but $I$ guess that's part of
what we would be looking at for the requirements. So after, again, this has to be enacted and in place. If that were the case, then we would be both following up on what's, you know, do they get a license from us, what's their status with the State. There would be that investigation and research to determine what the situation is, and then we would proceed, whether it was to, you know, cite them because they don't have the license, or that they're not getting the license, or whether they're already out of compliance regardless. And maybe there's something at the state level that would also, like that, come into play, if they don't have their State license. So, there's several levels that we would be going through. I think the key here is that this is new, and that we will be proceeding through and being very methodical about what the requirements are, what we do in the research and investigation to then deal with these, so that they go well in the sense that we, you know, address them correctly and deal with the violations.

MR. EWALT: So, may I continue, Mayor? MAYOR COLE: Sure. Go ahead.

MR. EWALT: Okay. So, in Chief St. John's letter, second paragraph about halfway through it says, "candidly speaking for the PD, it is a low priority. We know they are out there, but they are difficult to police. Investigations are challenging. Victims fail to cooperate and do not trust law enforcement. Currently, a successful prosecution is beyond our resources, specialization, and scope. All critical when you are investigating criminals who are business savvy, well-organized, adept at hiding resources, and changing tactics."

What will this ordinance change about that? Is the police going to all of a sudden have a high priority on it? Are these businesses not going to be as savvy as they are, these criminal businesses? Are they going to be less adept at hiding their resources?

MAYOR COLE: Council member Ewalt, who's the question directed to?

MR. EWALT: Well, whoever can answer it.
I don't know.
(Unintelligible responses.)
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Gina or Chris, did you want to --

CHIEF ST. JOHN: Mayor, Council, Council member Ewalt. It's not going to change the scope of what we need to do to put a criminal case together. It's going to give us another tool to access the information and evidence that we do need to bring a case together. You know, we hear that we keep turning a blind eye to the sole priority, that we don't pay attention. Frankly, you know, we need to prioritize, based on the resources we have.

We had 22 deliberate and negligent homicides last year. I have one investigator working over 1900 domestic abuse cases. I had 57 child pornography cases, and, to my knowledge, we had two trafficking complaints. And I hear as so many emails that people are getting about, "Oh, all this activity's going on, people are coming and going." Not one person, to my knowledge, has called the Police Department and complained. So, the situation that Detective Scaramucci -- I probably butchered his name there -- related, that is a full-time proactive unit that does that, what he described is what our drug and street crimes unit do. They follow people, they get license plates, they stop them, they drive by, they
hangout.
In the meantime, our officers are running from call to call to call. So, based on what our tasking and staffing is right now, a low priority. I mean, it doesn't send a good message, but the fact of the matter is that's just where we're at. If you give us a complaint, we will follow up on it, whether it comes from code enforcement, whether it comes from our observation, whether it comes from a citizen. If Council mandates, and administration does, we can work it full time, but understand something's got to give. I hope that answers your question.

MR. EWALT: Okay. And so, then, in your third paragraph you go on to say that you have the one investigator and you've only received one complaint. So, are we -- is that the only complaint we're going to get once we get this massage ordinance passed, if it passes?

You've only received one complaint, and that was after the publicity of the IBM ordinance. So, are the complaints that low on these issues?

CHIEF ST. JOHN: Well, Council member, yes, they are. Again, it's very difficult to get victims to come forward. They're not calling.

The customers or the johns, they're not complaining about stuff. People they're not driving by all the time, giving us phone calls about the nefarious activity. So, there's just low victim and citizen interest to file complaints.

When code enforcement, fire department, if they're in, and us now are involved with another tool, you know, we can be complainants, as well. And I would venture to say whether or not we make a case, at least we're looking into things where things were not being looked at before. And again, it's a tool for us. To get the law enforcement involved, it's labor-intensive, and it's expensive, and it takes a long time.

And so, like I said, if we could accomplish, you know, providing relief for victims, and make a few arrests along the way, and disrupt and dismantle, I'm all for that if we can do it with a business license.

MR. EWALT: Business license, in addition to the State license, you're saying? CHIEF ST. JOHN: Certainly. But if I understand right, the State license only pertains to the individual therapist. The City license is
in relation to the business and requirements therein on how you're going to have them operate. Two separate entities.

MAYOR COLE: Okay.
MR. EWALT: (Unintelligible.)
MAYOR COLE: Thank you.
MR. EWALT: Can I continue, please?
MAYOR COLE: We should move on, but
Council member Ewalt, you've got another, maybe wrap-up question, or another question?

MR. EWALT: Well, I got a couple more points that I'd like to make. It's against City ordinance to sell medical marijuana in the city of Billings, and supposedly there's only supposed to be one that's legally being able to do it. Do you believe, Chief, that there's only one place that you can buy medical marijuana in the city?

CHIEF ST. JOHN: I don't have any
licensed --
UNKNOWN: (Unintelligible objection.)
MAYOR COLE: Yeah.
UNKNOWN: (Unintelligible) discretion
on --
MAYOR COLE: Council member Ewalt, can
you make your point on how that's germane to this
issue?
MR. EWALT: Well, I don't like to make ordinances that aren't enforced or can't be enforced.

And then, getting to my final thing is, if you are really against human trafficking, if we do this in the city of Billings, and you refer to it more than once, that these people are just going to move, you're not stopping human trafficking. All you're doing is repositioning it in another place, underground, or outskirts of the city of Billings.

We got all kinds of county property inside the city limits of the city of Billings, and they can just move there, and we have no control over it because that is now county, not city of Billings. So, you're really not stopping human trafficking, you're just moving it. And so, for that reason, I can't support this ordinance, because it's not doing what it's supposed to do.

I'm against human trafficking, but moving it is not against it. All you're doing is letting the criminals get by with what they want to do. MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Council member Purinton.

MS. PURINTON: Thank you. With regard to some of the things that you were talking about, Chris. You were talking primarily about law enforcement. It's my understanding that this ordinance is a civil ordinance that will be addressed through code enforcement. If code enforcement runs into an issue, or it's after hours, then law enforcement has said that they will address whatever issues come up. So, I guess even continuing on with that, it's my understanding that law enforcement has not been able to do anything, just for the pure and simple fact that the laws aren't there. The state laws aren't there. Federal laws don't allow local law enforcement to do their job. So, I'm concerned about that wonkiness, if you will, between code enforcement and law enforcement.

As I've mentioned before several times, that in the opening paragraph -- excuse me -- it's talking about providing for criminal and civil penalties upon conviction.

And then, Chief, you just said that these convictions are very, very difficult criminally. So, we keep putting the criminal element in there, and I have a real concern about how we're just
kind of meshing too many things. Detective Scaramucci made the comment about having code and law enforcement officers work in tandem, but $I$ don't think in the state of Montana that we have that capability at this point.

So, am I saying -- you know, the Chief has said it, you know, he's been accused of the Police Department turning a blind eye. I absolutely do not believe that. They know what's going on, and I think within their limitations with what they can do, they're doing a darn good job. Because they're looking, human trafficking has not just gone on at these places. I mean, it's everywhere in the city, and it's not just attacking the Asian women that have been enticed to come over here, for whatever reason, it is also our children, our young girls in Billings are being trafficked. So, I think our community has turned a blind eye all these years.

I applaud what the OUR gals do, and they know I have a lot of respect for what they do, but I think we need to start looking at State enforcement or state laws or legislature. It's not just a Billings problem. I think that's a cop-out to say it's a Billings problem, if our
legislators won't look at it a little bit more seriously than that.

So, I guess that's my spiel, but one of the other things that $I$ really wanted to address was when we talk about victims of human trafficking and we're going to shut down these brothels and everything, what happens to the victims? As far as $I$ know, we have no victim services for these people.

I think, Penny, you probably could address that, or even Brandon. But so, you shut down the brothels, what are you going to do with these girls or these women? Because they're considered victims, you have got to do something. So, do we have something in place that we can address that?

And I guess that is a question, Chris, to you.

MS. SHAW: Point of order, your Honor. This isn't before us tonight. I mean, I'm very empathetic and I agree that's an important question, but that's not --

MS. PURINTON: It's on the ordinance.
MS. SHAW: (Indiscernible argument.)
MS. PURINTON: It's part of the
ordinance.
MAYOR COLE: Yeah. I appreciate it. But, Chris, go ahead and try to answer the question and we'll try to move on, but it's related.

MR. KUKULSKI: So, too, what I think is the last question regarding victim services, I don't have any involvement. I don't know what our community, Yellowstone County, offers specifically for victim services in this area. I struggle, and maybe this doesn't -- Knowing that it's going on and not doing anything about it for fear that the victims won't have a better choice than they currently have. I don't believe that. I think shutting these operations down provides those opportunities, but $I$ would have to look to law enforcement, the court system, other social services to know what is out there to help these victims in these circumstances.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you for that. Anybody who has not addressed the amended motion who would like to do so before we proceed to a vote?

Council member Ronning. You're allowed --

MS. RONNING: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: We have a rule that allows two comments; so, it's all yours.

MS. RONNING: I just want to make sure we really clarify, because there was a speaker before us who was very impassioned about her belief that it would be an additional fee. I just want us to be really, really clear and make sure that these businesses and the licensed massage therapists who testified tonight and those that may be watching. Andy, if you could just really clear that up and make sure it's crystal clear that there is no additional fee. This is the exact same price that a regular business license is that they would already have if they are a business and this is a business license.

MAYOR COLE: Andy Zoeller. ANDY ZOELLER: Yeah, Council member Ronning, Mayor, and Council. The current base business fee is $\$ 55$, and it goes up from there, but that's the base minimum fee, is $\$ 55$. Our understanding is the background check is right around $\$ 30$. It might be plus or minus a dollar or $\$ 0.50$, but right around 30 bucks. So that's why we are recommending lowering that annual
application fee to $\$ 25$ for the first year, because they'll have $\$ 30$ on the background check, and then $\$ 25$ on the application. The combined fee there is \$55, which should match the renewal for an application that currently exists today.

MAYOR COLE: Okay.
MS. RONNING: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Thank you for that
clarification.
Council member Choriki and then Council
member Brown.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mayor.
Andy, this is for you, as well.
(Indiscernible interruptions)
MAYOR COLE: Yeah. I'm sorry, Council member Brown, I recognized Council member Choriki first.

MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Go ahead.

MAYOR COLE: Council member Choriki?
MR. CHORIKI: I just want to make a couple of comments. One, I really want to commend staff for doing a really good job of listening to the issues that people are coming up with and addressing them. I really like the fact that this
is focusing on the businesses and that the individuals who are being involved in this are no longer having to comply with this ordinance. I think that is a very, very important shift in how this has been and how it's rolling out.

Having said that, however, it still bothers me that we're using the business license and requiring people to say you can come into my business and look to see if I'm doing something illegal, when the type of thing that we're trying to manage is not the type of thing that that business is engaged in. Yes, I get the confusion between the two and all of that, but it, to me, is just a really bad precedent to sit there and say that, like, in order to get a business license, I have to say that the City, the State can come in and inspect and see if $I$ am doing something illegal.

Admittedly, this one doesn't say that, but it doesn't also say that they can't come in and do some follow-up and say that I found something else that they're doing illegal and use that information in order to get a search warrant and start criminal proceedings. It bothers me. I would really prefer it if we get written down
specific things that said that businesses should not do, which identify the sexual and trafficking practices that we don't want to see, and then say, "Hey, okay. If someone has a complaint and thinks that this is going on, then we can go in and look at that." At least then we're not targeting one small industry.

We're sitting there and saying, "Hey, everybody has to comply with these laws." To me, that is still a bad solution because I don't -you know, I've been sitting here and staring at the 4th through 8th Amendments in the Bill of Rights and trying to wrap my head around how I can support that and support those amendments, and I just can't. It just doesn't work for me. It's too much of a shortcut for law enforcement, and I can't support it. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much.
Council member Brown.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mayor. And Danny, I apologize for stepping on you there. Andy, the question that I've got is two things, I think. First, with the business license. Sole proprietors still need to have a business license, but they don't need to do the background check and
all of that, correct?
ANDY ZOELLER: Correct. They would continue to follow the same process they do today. MR. BROWN: Okay. The second part, then, is: Okay. So now they've got the business license. I don't want people to think that sole proprietors still don't have to comply with the ordinance. They still have to comply with the ordinance. And maybe that's not your answer, Andy, I don't know, but I think there -- I'm afraid there's a misconception here that they don't have to comply with the background check and all of that, but they do still have to comply with all of the ordinance.

ANDY ZOELLER: Council member Brown, Mayor, and Council. I'll take a stab at that. You are correct. They do have to comply with the requirements of the ordinance, just not the application requirements. We intend, on having as much as we can in a one-page brochure that we hand out with it that says, "Hey, you know, here's your simplified application, which exists today, and then be aware all these requirements exist, as well. No covered windows, the log, everything we talked about today," to help notify them that they
still have to comply, but they don't have to fill out the long application.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, guys, for clarifying that.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much.

Council member Shaw.

MS. SHAW: Thank you. This is really
fast. I won't make the big speech, everyone's made it. You know, strong cases on both sides. I do support this ordinance.

Gina, my question is for you. We heard from a number of public commenters and people saying that they consider this unconstitutional, see it as unconstitutional. Obviously, you're not a constitutional law expert and I'm not asking you to be, but I would like to get that on the record. Would you speak to answering that question, a little bit about Basically, I'm asking, is this unconstitutional? Take it away.

GINA DAHL: Thank you, Council member Shaw, and Council. No, I do not believe that this ordinance is unconstitutional, and all of the opponents were simply saying if this was unconstitutional in a very broad sense. The only specific contention that I've ever heard was a
council member asked about this being a violation of the equal protection clauses of the Constitution, and it is not a violation of equal protection.

Basically, equal protection is that the law must treat similarly situated individuals in a similar manner. An injured party has to demonstrate that the law issue has discriminated against them impermissibly by impermissibly classifying them and treating them differently based on that classification. And this ordinance is specifically provided for under Montana law. It's a valid exercise of police power. It has a substantial bearing on the public health and safety and morals, general welfare of the community. Like I said, it's specifically authorized by Montana law. Any classifications made in this, there's a reasonable basis for that. So, there is no equal protection violation. And, in my opinion, there aren't any other constitutional violations that are implemented by this ordinance.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Further discussion on the motion.

Council member Yakawich and Purinton.

MR. YAKAWICH: Thank you, Mayor, and Council. Truly, you all are endurers. One of our longer stretches here. I really appreciate those who spoke today, too, because I've never had a massage therapist, but $I$ can truly believe that they do a great job. They're very important, and obviously, because there are so many in the city. I respect them like physical therapists, like others in that profession of medical. So, I'm learning a lot more about them, as well, and I admire their hard work and effort.

I do want to thank the Councilman -Council person Ronning. She's invested a lot on this here and taken a lot of hits, and I appreciate her resilience. Great resilience. And as well as the City Administrator and our City Attorney, you are making more than us, so you get hit harder sometimes, but thank you for your hard work and that.

So, I don't want to -- you know, I have a lot of things I'd like to say, but obviously I support it and I really just wanted to share this idea of burden and benefit. That was quite enlightening to me. It's like, as a Council, you know, this is one of these decisions that we're
going to look back and say, "Oh, we did a good job where we did." For me, I've done this, this is my eighth year. This is a significant vote. It will have a significant ramification. I only can see good from this here, because, you know, we actually are respecting the massage therapists so much, that we want them to be background-checked and fingerprinted, so that they'll be safe and secure themselves.

I have some kids who are teachers. So, one of them said to me, you know, it makes -teachers have to be background-checked and fingerprinted. That it just made sense to them, or it made sense to this one. But then I segue into, I'm checking on fingerprints. So, I'm talking with the person who does fingerprints today, right? Does fingerprints for all the city. He said, medical people actually have to get fingerprinted twice. You got banks, you got people working with minors, everybody working gets fingerprinted, so it's really not a big deal, really, when you think about it. And so, when we're thinking about, not trying to burden them, but actually just saying, "Hey, it's something that everybody, pretty much everybody, is doing."

Whether you do sensitive information at a bank or whatever. That they are massage therapists, and they want to be in the medical area, then we should acknowledge that and encourage them to have that same kind of background check as a medical profession.

So, I think this is just a positive thing, and what $I$ want to wrap my mind around is an enhanced collaborative model. What a cool
idea. We're working together. We're taking the city to another level, I think, in that. I totally have trust in Mr. St. John. I do. Okay. You can call me prejudice, but I really like the guy, I like his staff. He does a great job, he's doing drug enforcement. He's changing a lot and his leadership has done a lot.

Mr. Kukulski and Gina, you know, she's just starting out here, so it's hard to pick on her. I mean, she's just learning the ropes here, right? But I have trust in Mr. Wyeth Friday. I have trust in Craig Salzer. You know, we have quality leadership in our city. So, I'm not afraid that they're going to come and bust down some doors. These people are very professional. They know what they're doing, and they'll approach it
wisely.
So, I just like this, that we're sending a message to the community. We're letting them know that we're for a positive and healthy community, and this is just moving it forward. We're not persecuting, we're not beating up on anyone, we're just trying to make it better.

And finally, there's a lady, young lady, I think she's about 70 or so. She didn't tell me how old she was. She was No. 7 in getting the massage license. So, she said I could talk about her. So, if she's listening, she inspired me the most, 'cause she said, "Mike, I've been doing this a long time. It's not that the request is not insurmountable. Really, to do the book work, to do some of this back -- It's not as overwhelming as it's being portrayed." And from her view, after doing this for 50 years -- I think it was 50 years she's been doing massage therapy -- she's all for it. And that inspired me that it's not -- We're doing good rather than oppressing or belittling or demeaning another occupation.

So, thank you, Council, for letting me share, and $I$ know it's not always an easy decision. I admire each of you sitting here trying
to digest it. Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much.
Council member Purinton, then Council member Neese.

MS. PURINTON: Well, (indiscernible) do we have a motion on the --

MAYOR COLE: We do. I've had -- Was that amended twice? So, the motion is for approval, subject to those two groups of amendments.

MS. PURINTON: Okay. So, I have another amendment that -- Can I do that?

MAYOR COLE: Sure.
MS. PURINTON: Okay.
MAYOR COLE: Let's make the motion.
MS. PURINTON: Okay. So, I would move that there is a two-year sunset. Whenever the sunset was brought up, and I think it was, Detective Scaramucci said, "Well, I guess it depends on what you're doing it for." To me, the two-year sunset absolutely puts the burden, --

MR. NEESE: (Indiscernible interruption)
MS. PURINTON: -- if you will, on code enforcement --

MAYOR COLE: I apologize, we have a point
of order, so $I$ will have to listen to it.
What's the objection?
MR. NEESE: She's making a motion. She needs a second before she can speak to it. MAYOR COLE: Good point. Sure. MS. PURINTON: Okay.

MAYOR COLE: So, but we've got the concept, might have to worry about the language, but I think we got the concept.

MS. PURINTON: Sunset for two years.
MAYOR COLE: Is there a second for the motion?

MR. NEESE: I'll make a second to -- for discussion.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. So there's a second. Go ahead, then --

MS. PURINTON: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: -- Council member Purinton.
MS. PURINTON: So -- (indiscernible) take this off or not.

Anyway, to me, it puts the burden of actual enforcement of this ordinance, that the work is done. And it doesn't mean, whenever you say "sunset," it doesn't say terminate it. It says, you sunset it, so that in two years you come
back, you evaluate.
Chris, you made the comment even on the news station, "Hey, if it doesn't work in 18 months, we'll take it off." Well, I think you have to have a little bit more refinement to that statement. But I do think that it shows that you're progressing, that it's working. If it's not working, then you re-revaluate. Why is it not working? Do we not have the laws? What is the reason it's not working? And if it is working, okay. Then you keep it on the books and you can make it permanent, or whatever you do after the two years.

So, I would suggest that you definitely have a sunset on it.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. So, motion was made and seconded. Discussion now on the motion.

It's obviously not in specific
terminology, but the concept should be fairly straightforward. I assume the idea would be that you give Gina, as the City Attorney, the opportunity to then find the appropriate place to include that kind of automatic repeal two years after the effective date. Maybe in the effective date, Section 2 or someplace else, so --

MS. PURINTON: Mr. Mayor?
MAYOR COLE: Council member Purinton.
MS. PURINTON: It would be under Section 4. After Section 4 to Section 5. And I was basing it on the sense that that was provided in the House Bill 7-496, whatever it was, and basically it just says that it would sunset after two years from the day of adoption.

MAYOR COLE: Okay.
MS. PURINTON: I think that you'd terminate Section 1, which is the whole thing.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. So, the motion is to add a new Section 5 that would accomplish that. Okay. Discussion on the motion. I'll look at my screen. Is there any discussion on the motion?

Council member Shaw.
MS. SHAW: Thank you. I really feel very strongly that a sunset is a really bad idea and I'm going to oppose it. A couple of reasons: First, as I believe Chris mentioned in the beginning, this problem has been going on in our community for decades. Certainly, my entire lifetime. I think putting a two-year cap on a problem that's taken decades is extremely problematic.

The second point is that Council can sunset this at any time. Any Council can repeal this at any time they want to. If in two years the Council wants to repeal it, they can do it. So, to me, I don't see the need to do this, and I think it's going to cause more harm than good. Because if I put myself in the mind of somebody who runs one of these illegal businesses, I think, "Oh, two years, okay. I'll go set up business somewhere else and then I'm going to come back."

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you for the discussion.

Council member Ronning and Neese.
MS. RONNING: Thank you. No, I won't support a sunset either. House Bill 749, which was changed to a different house number, House Bill number, this year, actually, the State of Montana, repealed the two-year sunset that was on that bill. And Representative Zolokov actually was there to testify in support of the repeal of the sunset which was his original bill.

So yeah, I won't support a sunset. We already have tools in place to repeal an ordinance, and I guess $I$ would like to hear City Attorney Dahl's comments on a sunset.

MAYOR COLE: Gina --
MS. RONNING: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: -- and then Council member
Neese.

Do you want to comment, Gina?

GINA DAHL: Sure. Mayor, and Council. I do not think sunset and automatic repeal would be a good idea. Generally, for the same reasons that have been stated. This is a forty-year problem, two years isn't very long. I agree that if this were automatically repealed in two years, I think the businesses would just creep back in. They're going to want to go where the environment is tolerating their illicit businesses. If there's no regulations, we would just be making it hospitable for them again. And this is a hub, this is where they want to be. So, two years isn't very long to wait for that.

MS. RONNING: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Yeah, thank you.
Council member Neese.

MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor. Creating regulations is always tough for me. I think one of the speakers mentioned, you know, the Republican name. It goes against them, and this kind of goes
against me just creating regulations.
The City created the cell phone ordinance several years ago and I still see people, you know, on their cell phone. I don't think that's worked, but yet you don't see the City Council repealing that. There's countless in number of ordinances we probably need to go through, that we haven't updated.

A sunset doesn't necessarily mean that it will sunset. The sunset means, if Council is forced to look at it and say, "Hey, did this work? Is this working? Should we keep it or is this not working and we need to let it sunset?" Rather than putting the onus on the Council to bring something back to review, or the staff to bring it back to the Council for review, this would then, you know, really require the staff to bring it to the Council to review, to say, "Hey, this is working, and we did a good job."

Some of the testimony, although we didn't hear it from, you know, the experts, we have some people saying that these organizations still are in those cities. I don't know. I didn't go to those websites and look, they said they did, and they found them. So, I take them at their word,
but I like that that does appeal to me as far as the sunset. If this thing is working in two years, and I'm on the Council in two years, I would vote to keep it going because it's working. If it's not working, then we'd have to address it at that time, and maybe change it to make it work.

MAYOR COLE: Council member Neese, you said something that was confusing to me, because my understanding of the word "sunset" was repeal. So then, after two years, it would automatically repeal, and you suggested that your understanding is that it would require a review and the default, I guess, would be continuity in continuing. So, I guess we need to ask for clarification from the party that made the motion.

Council member Purinton, is your motion to require a review after two years, or is your motion that the ordinance goes away and terminates after two years?

MS. PURINTON: Review.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. I guess I would say, let's use the terminology "review," not "sunset" in that.

Council member Neese, you made the second, so I assume that's consistent with your
intent then, as well.
MR. NEESE: So, the sunset, as I understand, the Council would be required to then reapprove the ordinance.

Is that correct, Gina?
GINA DAHL: I'm not familiar with this. This is new to me. Sunset, in my experience, has meant an automatic repeal. You are all creating something different here, so you are going to have to be specific with the language that you want.

MR. NEESE: And Council, then, would have to, if you wanted to continue that, to say, "Hey, we want to continue this," and then just bring the same ordinance back for approval before it sunseted.

GINA DAHL: So, it sounds to me like what you're suggesting is an automatic sunset, unless the Council takes some action. So, this doesn't sound --

MR. NEESE: Well, would the difference between that and an automatically --

MAYOR COLE: Council member Neese. I'm going to rule this discussion as out of order because the Movant has already clarified that she meant review. So, I don't know what you meant by
a second --
MR. NEESE: Yeah.
MAYOR COLE: -- but the Movant said review. So, what that means is that we review it after two years. That doesn't mean it repeals, it doesn't mean it terminates. That's the motion, automatic guaranteed review, but only review. Did --

MR. NEESE: I'll accept the Movant's change.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. And so, are you saying you're keeping your second or withdrawing your second?

MR. NEESE: I'll keep my second.
MAYOR COLE: I'm sorry?
MR. NEESE: I'll keep my second.
MAYOR COLE: Keep this second. So that is the motion.

Council member Yakawich.
MR. YAKAWICH: Thank you, You Honor, and Council. I see this as a very bad decision. And one reason is, it takes so much energy to get where we're at right now. In theory, a lot of us are going to be off Council and some of you will be running for re-election or whatever.

It's a very bad idea. We've had so much energy here to get to this point to, in two years, with new Council, without Miss Ronning, without -I mean without the energy, you guys are going to be thinking about other things besides this. It just seems premature. That would be my word, "premature." Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Further discussion, then, on the clarified motion.

I'm going to recognize Council member Joy, just because, Council member Ronning, you did speak before, albeit on what we understood to be different. So, we'll come back to you.

Council member Joy and Ronning.
MS. JOY: Thank you. I will not support the motion for an automatic review in two years' time. If we expect legitimate massage therapists to go through this process of learning how to comply with new regulations, if we ask them to keep the log, if we ask them to do all those things and then two years' time kind of throw the ball in the air and say "Gosh, what'll we do now," we've really then asked them to do something that then we may not be intending on continuing? If we're going to ask someone to do this, then we
should make that commitment to have the impact on human trafficking and not try to review it and, essentially, throw the ball back in the air and see what happens. Thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you.
Council member Ronning and Boyett.
MS. RONNING: Thank you. So, I won't support this either, but $I$ think if there's going to be a review, then there needs to be a standard set by which is acceptable to say this, the ordinance, is working. There needs to be very clear language as to what the movement is and what she wants in regard to that review. That there must be 5 of 11 illicit massage businesses shut down. There must be -- What's the standard by which the judgment is going to be in two years? I guess that would be my question. I don't support it anyway, but that would be my question. So, thank you.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much.
Council member Boyett.
MR. BOYETT: I kind of like the idea that in two years the staff will come back and say, "This has really been a good ordinance. We've actually closed down 10 or 11." Or at the same
time, if they come back and say, "I haven't done any," that would tell us whether this worked or not. I like the idea of a review, versus sunset, to force the Council to look at something that's been this important.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Further discussion on this amendment.

Council member Brown.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Mayor. I don't think I'm going to support this motion. You know, honestly, tonight $I$ didn't know how I was going to vote. I really didn't. I waffled on this so many times. You know, my concern is this is a slippery slope. Once we start doing this with business licenses, where are we going to go next? What's going to happen next? You know, I like to think that we're all better than that. Future Council members will be better than that.

But what did sway me tonight was I was hearing a lot from the massage therapists, you know, that they've had trouble getting out of that, you know, giggles and, you know, things like that because they're masseuses or whatever. You know, and in my experience, the best way to get out of that is to regulate yourself and be above
what you're trying to fight. Okay? If you want to get out of that and you don't want to be giggled at, you don't want to be laughed at, you don't want those kinds of things, then you got to rise above that, and the way to do that is regulate her, right?

So, my fear would be that if we do enact this, we do vote for this, you know, two years is not going to do that. It's going to take that long to really just get things moving, to get things actually moving forward and the public understand that, you know, these guys are regulated, They are the real deal. And I think it'll take a couple of years.

So, I think that I'm going to support the ordinance, but $I$ don't think that $I$ can support the sunset in two years. I just think it'll take longer, and I don't want to take the chance that it's just abolished, because I'll be long gone. I mean, not dead, I hope, but --
(Multiple indiscernible comments.)

MAYOR COLE: We hope not either.

MR. BROWN: Guess I'd better clarify that.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. All right. Further 289
discussion on the proposed amendment.
I intend to vote against it, but a review is a lot less difficult than a total termination. I just hate to give the illegal massage businesses reason to think that this might go away after two years. My best-case scenario is that they're not going to want to comply and try to get the license. There's a lot of reasons it's going to be very, very difficult for them to do that. So, the best-case scenario is that they just go away. Because if they don't get a license, it's going to be very easy to shut it down. It's black and white. And if they think that, "Well, if we hang out for two years, maybe this is going to go away," I would just hate to give them that possible lifeline or that they might interpret it that way.

So anyway, any other discussion on the proposed mandatory review before we proceed to a vote?

Council member Choriki.
MR. CHORIKI: I'll just say that, in my opinion, every ordinance that we do should have clear criteria for what success or failure means in measurable ways and that they should be
reviewed on a regular basis. So, while I don't support the overall thing -- a thing? Motion, I do support this amendment.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much.
Are we ready to vote, Council? Any other comments before we proceed to a vote? Not? Okay. So, the motion is the proposed mandatory review period after two years. That would be the amendment. Those in favor of Council member Purinton's motion, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are Council members Boyett, Ewalt, Choriki and Purinton. Voting in opposition, please raise your hand. Voting in opposition are Council members Cole, Joy, Ronning, Yakawich, Brown, Shaw, and Neese. So, the amendment fails.

We're back to the underlying motion that was also amended. Further discussion on the underlying motion.

Council member Neese.

MR. NEESE: Council member Brown convinced me on that last vote, but thank you. You know, Penny brought this to my attention I think sometime last summer. She gave her presentation that had her come and talk to a group of people. And I was, as well as they were,
educated on what's happening in our city. And frankly, I was pretty shocked that it was as bad as it was. We heard some of the stories tonight. So, I appreciate that this is being brought before the Council.

This ordinance, when $I$ first looked at it, it was a lot of work. It was going to be a lot of work for the massage therapist, and it was targeting them individually, and I really did not like that at all. I dug into the state laws, looked at it. A lot of the problem is, the state laws themselves, are not enforcing them. It doesn't give the City the power to enforce them. And we have to have an ordinance so we can enforce them. So, I think that this, now that it's been changed, there's been a lot of good compromise, and I think it targets what it should. It targets the facilities and doesn't target individuals. I will support this.

Again, it's difficult for me to vote for more regulation, but $I$ think in this case, as Councilwoman Joy said, is we have got to do something. There is a problem in the city; and if we don't do something, we're, you know, contributing to that. And so, I think this is
going to do it. As people have said, it's not going to solve it. I think it's going to be one little tool.

It may drive them out of our city. That means maybe Laurel is going to have to have something like this to stop it in their city or in the county or somewhere like that. To where they have to follow suit so that we can stand as a community to get rid of this type of thing that is happening in our community. So, I will support this.

I appreciate the staff going through a lot of work to try and get massage therapists involved. They've held a lot of meetings and I hope we get good support of our Council on it and in the community. So, thank you very much.

MAYOR COLE: Thank you very much. Any further discussion on the underlying, now-amended motion before we proceed to a vote?

Council member Purinton.
MS. PURINTON: I just have a question that hasn't been addressed, which is, what is the financial impact for the City? Because we've talked about training of code enforcement. At first the fingerprint check was $\$ 25$ and then Andy
said he thought it was closer to \$30. I know that, I guess, those details will come out in the second reading, but what does the City foresee with code enforcement, with the Police Department, with the licensing, what is the financial impact?

MR. KUKULSKI: So, we do not expect to generate any additional revenue from this. MS. PURINTON: Okay.

MR. KUKULSKI: We've been criticized on both sides now. This fee is not to generate revenue to cover enforcement. If you recall, you know, the concept is that for the first 12 months, we actually reduced the fee. That's going to reduce our revenue coming in for permits, because they have to pay the State of Montana Department of Justice for their (indiscernible). When I looked on the DOJ site, I think it was $\$ 15$ to $\$ 30$. So, I don't know precisely what it is, but we were seeking to make it cost neutral on that.

I think the only cost, you would say direct costs, we will incur, is we will do some training with our code enforcement folks. We've had Trevor in Aurora offer to help us in that regard. We've had offers from some of our folks who have helped us out tonight. And so, I don't
expect that to cost us tens of thousands of dollars. But I do believe we will spend thousands properly training our folks to be able to enforce this at the code level.

Now, the overall net effect of that is less than to do it with law enforcement. So, I think that that's been an easily misunderstood component tonight, is we do believe, black and white, we can shut you down -- or if this passes, you don't have a business license, then you can't operate. So direct cost for training, no additional revenue from the licensing of it. The licensing has never been meant to cover the total cost, I don't think. I'm told that licensing business tax, technically, hasn't been changed in decades.

MS. PURINTON: So, what is the estimation of how many facility licenses you will have to issue?

MR. KUKULSKI: Well, if you just pull the number of business licenses that include spa or massage in their name, I believe Andy has told me the number is right around 75 to 80. That's with the name. If you take the sole practitioners and all the exclusions out, $I$ believe that number will
be substantially less than that. But I don't have a precise number that will be worked through with the definition tonight, so.

MS. PURINTON: Thank you.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you very much. Further discussion.

I'll just second what you've heard many times, which is this is a very different ordinance than it was, even a few months ago, and that's largely because of the objections of the licensed massage therapists. And so, I thank you for your efforts on that. There's no longer a requirement that you post a sign that says "no sex sold here," or whatever the whole language was. The solo exemption for businesses is a huge deal. The fact that the fee is awash and no additional fee over regular business license cost. The variance option for not having locked doors is a big deal, that you don't have to lock doors, if you only have one license massage therapist. Again, a major change. And that there are no criminal penalties anymore, unless you're running, truly, a sex business is another major step forward to make this that much less intrusive for licensed massage therapists, legitimate licensed massage
therapists, while still giving the opportunity for code enforcement to shut down the IMB's and to prevent the IMB's from even applying for one of these licenses. So, I think we've come a long ways because of the hard work of many people, staff included. Shout out to Council member Ronning, for her years of hard work here.

So, further discussion before we proceed to a vote? Any further discussion? If not, hopefully the underlying motion is now clear with those two sets of amendments. We'll proceed to a vote, then.

I'm sorry, Council member Choriki, did you have your hand up?

No? Okay. So, if you're in favor of the motion for passage, please raise your hand. Voting in favor are Cole, Boyett, Joy, Ronning, Yakawich, Brown, Shaw, and Neese. If voting in opposition, please raise your hand. Voting in opposition to are Council members Ewalt, Choriki, and Purinton. Motion passes on first reading 8 to 3.

Thank you very much, everybody. That was a lot of hard work. It's after midnight. Everybody can go to bed, except for the Council and people who still have Item No. 6.

UNKNOWN: Your honor. Your honor, Jeff Kanning and Erin are still on.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. All right. So, we've now moved to tomorrow, so we'll try to move though this next item as quickly as possible.

Jeff, wake up out there, you know.
Toni, are you still awake? If you are, would you please read Item No. 6?

TONI KEEHNER: Second and final reading, ordinance for zone change 987, a zone change from agricultural to heavy commercial on Tracts 1A and 1B on COS 1889, located east of Billings Flying Service at 3655 AJ Wayne. Aviation Properties, LLC, owner; Collaborative Design Architects, agent. Approval of the zone change and adoption of the ten criteria. Action: Approval or disapproval of staff recommendation.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you.
Do we have a staff presentation by Nicole or anybody else on this item? Wyeth.

WYETH FRIDAY: Yeah, Mayor, and Council, just very briefly, not a full presentation here, but this item is on your regular agenda. This was approved on first reading on March 22 nd by a unanimous vote of the Council, but because there
has been a valid protest, we needed a super majority to approve it, so that occurred on first reading. So, we were working with City legal advice to put this on the regular agenda, since it needs that same super majority vote for second reading. So that's really the main reason you have it tonight like this on your regular agenda.

So, with that I'd be happy to answer any questions; and, as you know, Erin and Jeff are here as applicant and agent, as well.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Council members
Yakawich and Neese, did you have something before, or --

Let me just ask, does the applicant have any presentation on this item? Jeff or Erin?

ERIN HERINGER: No, we didn't --
JEFF KANNING: (Indiscernible) Councilmen.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. So, nope.
All right. Then, Council member Neese and then Council member Yakawich.

Council member Neese.
MR. NEESE: Thank you, Mayor. I move to approve the second reading for zone change 987 and adoption of the ten criteria as recommended by staff.

MR. YAKAWICH: Second.
MAYOR COLE: Okay. And Council member Yakawich made the second. So, the motion is for approval consistent with staff recommendation on second reading. Discussion now on the motion. Is there any discussion?

There is no public hearing on this item that was on first reading. Any discussion? If not, we're going to proceed to a vote. Maybe we can drag this on until tomorrow, Wednesday.

Okay. All in favor of the motion, please raise your hand. Voting in favor of the motion are all members of City Council. Motion passes unanimously.

Jeff, Erin, you get the award for most patient of the meeting.

ERIN HERINGER: I'm going to award myself with an extra cup of coffee in the morning. Thank you, everyone.

MAYOR COLE: Well-deserved, sorry to keep you.

MR. BROWN: Shows their commitment.
MAYOR COLE: Yes, this shows commitment.

Okay. That concludes Item No. 6 of the regular agenda.

We still have public comment on non-agenda items. There are -- there's nobody left. We've scared them all off in the library to make public comment.

Do we have any Zoom commenters, Toni, for public comment on non-agenda items?

TONI KEEHNER: I have not had anybody raise their hand.

MAYOR COLE: Okay. Thank you. Any Council initiatives?

Then, with that, we are adjourned. Thank you, everybody. Good job. Thank you for your work.
(Recording Ends.)
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| 76:21;138:3; | Day (21) | 64:13,16;245:14 | deliberately (1) | description (1) |
| 226:24 | 10:5;11:21;12:1; | decided (4) | 79:4 | 38:3 |
| Cybercrime (1) | 13:14,16,17;14:10, | 12:19;25:20;70:14; | delivering (1) | deserves (2) |
| 116:5 | 10;28:11;87:6;96:23; | 118:4 | 58:15 | 192:12,13 |
|  | 126:15;132:15; | decision (10) | delivery (1) | Design (1) |
| D | 138:15;177:9;185:4; | 47:15;57:2;106:3; | 64:7 | 298:14 |
|  | 222:10;233:5;240:1, | 136:25;137:2;155:9; | demand (1) | designed (2) |
| Dahl (37) | 19;279:8 | 212:7;237:8;275:25; | 101:10 | 115:11;202:20 |
| 82:5;83:18,21; | Daybreak (1) | 285:21 | demeaned (1) | desperate (1) |
| 84:3;90:9;94:3; | $170: 23$ | decisions (2) | $220: 20$ | 246:6 |
| 137:19;138:1; | day-cares (1) | 181:16;272:25 | demeaning (2) | desperately (1) |
| 144:22;165:8;225:8; | 176:13 | declines (1) | 190:10;275:22 | 173:1 |
| $227: 23 ; 228: 25$ | days (3) | 221:21 | demonstrate (1) | DESTA (2) |
| 229:7;230:17;231:2, | 100:2,3;237:5 | dedicated (5) | 271:8 | 166:14,14 |
| 6,10,13,15,24;232:3, | day-to-day (2) | 61:24;115:13; | denied (1) | detail (1) |
| 8;233:12;235:1,11; | 114:10;180:16 | 225:12;248:13,20 | 57:1 | 113:6 |
| 238:6;239:3,7;240:6; | dead (2) | Dedication (1) | density (2) | details (3) |
| 241:17;242:16,22; | 193:23;289:20 | 151:19 | 62:13,18 | 199:13,21;294:2 |
| 270:20;281:6;284:6, | deadline (1) | deep (1) | dental (2) | detective (34) |
| 16 | 55:24 | 33:24 | 176:20;177:8 | 100:20;105:16; |
| Dahl's (1) | deal (16) | default (1) | Denver (1) | 109:18,22,25;110:4, |
| 280:25 | 15:5;79:9;88:6; | 283:12 | 158:25 | 5,7,10,13,19;111:2, |
| daily (1) | 121:12;143:1; | defense (1) | deny (1) | 23,25;114:22;115:1; |
| 109:4 | 177:18;188:18; | 137:7 | 92:4 | 122:14;123:5;130:1, |
| damages (2) | 194:8;200:8;221:12; | defenses (1) | denying (1) | 3,5,6;131:10,22; |
| 62:20,23 | 254:20,22;273:21; | 137:5 | 55:24 | 133:8;138:14;141:7; |
| damper (1) | 289:13;296:15,18 | deferred (1) | Department (17) | 142:9;143:6,19; |
| 130:23 | dealership (1) | 155:2 | 98:12;99:10; | 248:13;256:20; |
| danger (1) | 178:2 | define (3) | 100:25;111:17; | 262:1;276:19 |
| 214:5 | dealing (6) | 118:17;134:3,19 | 115:11;117:15; | deter (2) |
| DANIELLE (2) | 21:19;33:6;68:13; | defined (2) | 127:24;131:15; | 88:6,8 |
| 173:8,9 | 136:16,22;137:4 | 133:20;189:8 | 138:19;164:7;170:4; | determination (1) |
| Danny (1) | deals (2) | definite (1) | 248:1;256:19;258:7; | 100:1 |
| 268:20 | $41: 9 ; 75: 5$ | $20: 9$ | 262:8;294:4,15 | determine (2) |
| dare (1) | dealt (2) | definitely (8) | departments (1) | 114:6;254:7 |
| 185:12 | 89:3;224:4 | 52:5;114:19,21; | 139:9 | determined (1) |
| darkness (1) | Deb (2) | 123:1,6;130:24; | depend (1) | 35:15 |
| 200:5 | 227:20;228:6 | 133:9;278:14 | 71:9 | determiners (1) |
| darn (2) | debate (2) | definition (10) | depending (4) | 249:8 |
| 168:11;262:11 | $221: 20 ; 222: 7$ | $56: 10 ; 59: 13 ; 61: 7$ | 70:23;72:17;74:9; | deterred (1) |
| darnedest (1) | debates (1) | $62: 5 ; 136: 20 ; 144: 23$ | 239:13 | 108:4 |
| 13:12 | 18:24 | 145:2;217:16; | depends (3) | deterrence (1) |
| data (7) | Debi (25) | 249:19;296:3 | 71:19;134:18; | 139:18 |
| 133:22;134:4,6; | 24:13;25:8,15; | definitions (1) | 276:20 | developer (1) |
| 139:5,7;147:22; | 26:13;27:13,18; | 144:24 | deploying (1) | 50:7 |
| 222:1 | 37:20,23;38:1,10; | degradation (2) | 67:14 | developers (2) |
| database (1) | 72:2,8,8,9,12,16; | 185:3;218:18 | deployment (2) | 50:14,18 |
| 99:13 | 73:18;74:5,8,23; | déjà (1) | 67:13;68:3 | development (3) |
| date (9) | 76:17,25;77:4,19,20 | 44:11 | depositing (1) | 50:21;51:2;53:18 |
| 60:24,24;95:11; | DEBORAH (3) | Delaware (1) | 13:24 | developments (3) |
| 116:16;183:25; | 213:15,15;216:7 | 119:18 | depressing (1) | 45:5,7,16 |
| 232:1,17;278:24,25 | debt (3) | delay (2) | 222:2 | devices (2) |
| dated (1) | 40:23;202:20 | 52:14;223:25 | deprives (1) | 2:12;58:14 |
| 163:25 | 217:15 | delayed (1) | 119:6 | devil (1) |
| daughter (2) | debts (1) | 155:1 | depth (1) | 199:13 |
| 65:11;206:2 | 202:12 | delegation (1) | 146:19 | devote (1) |
| daughter's (1) | decades (7) | 15:8 | deputy (2) | 248:7 |
| 190:4 | 18:9;85:13;87:3; | delete (1) | 45:2;187:24 | devoted (1) |
| Dave (2) | 210:10;279:22,24; | 237:11 | described (3) | 248:2 |
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| dial (1) | director (11) | 279:14,15;280:12; | 219:24;294:17 | Dr (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:14 | 10:7;28:24;37:23; | 284:23;286:8;288:7; | dollar (2) | 179:24,24;199:13, |
| dialed (1) | 40:7;83:2;90:4; | 290:1,18;291:17; | 20:25;265:23 | 25;200:19;210:4; |
| 23:5 | 115:8,18;127:7; | 293:18;296:6;297:8, | dollars (4) | 214:10;219:5 |
| dialogue (1) | 182:11;213:17 | 9;300:5,6,8 | 15:1;62:23,25; | draft (2) |
| 67:17 | dirty (1) | discussions (1) | 295:2 | 117:3;159:8 |
| Diamond (1) | 141:14 | 247:24 | domestic (1) | drafted (1) |
| 116:6 | disagree (2) | disease (1) | 256:13 | 120:11 |
| dictate (1) | 143:12,13 | 221:25 | done (29) | drag (1) |
| 235:10 | disagreed (1) | disguise (3) | 18:13;29:20;41:5, | 300:10 |
| difference (7) | 159:18 | 94:11;106:9 | 8;42:17;43:7,11; | drags (1) |
| 50:1;85:24;122:17; | disappointed (1) | 120:10 | 46:15;63:4;72:22; | 221:20 |
| 125:6;171:14;249:4; | 12:13 | disguised (2) | 74:19;84:19;93:19; | dramatic (1) |
| 284:20 | disapproval (4) | 94:9;107:4 | 113:1;118:21;177:1; | 125:10 |
| different (29) | 40:4;53:5;82:2; | dismantle (2) | 183:12;184:13; | draw (2) |
| 14:2;25:11,24; | 298:16 | 101:11;258:19 | 208:22;211:18,19,20; | 179:15;221:13 |
| 26:13;34:20;35:6; | disaster (1) | dispatchers (1) | 219:12;222:13,14; | drawing (2) |
| 46:21;61:9;71:21; | 190:2 | 16:12 | 273:2;274:16; | 183:8;221:9 |
| 87:4;92:21;139:3,13, | disband (1) | display (3) | 277:23;288:1 | Drive (11) |
| 18;141:5;163:17; | 151:11 | 95:3,4;183:22 | door (14) | 45:18,20;179:25; |
| 170:7;199:23; | disciplinary (1) | disposal (1) | 87:10,10;96:16; | 185:8;191:21;193:6; |
| 200:10;209:16; | 179:6 | 203:20 | 123:20;149:11; | 203:25;212:13; |
| 217:17;223:24; | discipline (1) | disqualified (1) | 154:17,19,22,24,25; | 249:20;256:25;293:4 |
| 224:5;225:22; | 92:5 | 35:7 | 170:5;193:11; | driven (1) |
| 252:21;280:16; | disclosure (1) | disrespect | 205:16,18 | 163:12 |
| 284:9;286:13;296:8 | 98:7 | 170:8 | doors (17) | drivers (1) |
| differentiating (1) | discontinued (1) | disrupt (2) | 52:16;54:18;95:18, | 73:9 |
| 185:16 | 5:18 | 115:11;258:19 | 21,25;96:4,5,9; | driving (3) |
| differently (2) | discrepancies (1) | disrupted (1) | 145:11;149:13; | 115:7;207:24; |
| 13:2;271:10 | 29:11 | 205:2 | 180:19;191:24; | 258:3 |
| difficult (12) | discretion (1) | disruption (1) | 218:10;252:15; | drop (1) |
| 4:13;14:4;103:1; | 259:22 | 115:9. | 274:24;296:18,19 | 42:17 |
| 125:20;150:22; | discriminate (2) | distancing (3) | dormitory (3) | dropped (1) |
| 241:12;255:5; | 69:22;174:19 | 3:13;4:2,7 | 87:19;97:1;157:18 | 40:15 |
| 257:24;261:23; | discriminated (1) | distracting (1) | dotted (1) | Drug (3) |
| 290:3,9;292:20 | 271:8 | $2: 13$ | 31:7 | 188:1;256:23; |
| dig (2) | discrimination (1) | distributed (2) | doubt (2) | $274: 15$ |
| 76:8;79:7 | 64:3 | 8:8;236:13 | 43:16;89:22 | drugs (2) |
| digest (1) | discriminatory (1) | distribution (1) | Dover (1) | 168:25;221:23 |
| 276:1 | 161:24 | 62:16 | 160:21 | due (5) |
| digital (1) | discuss (4) | district (7) | down (43) | 3:13;29:20;102:25; |
| 58:15 | 47:16;113:5;223:2; | 17:7,8,9;19:3;57:3; | 20:17;89:6,16; | 161:16;170:13 |
| dignity (3) | 224:11 | 78:6;115:20 | 90:13;91:4;102:2; | $\operatorname{dug}(1)$ |
| 182:25;246:24; | discussed (7) | districts (5) | 109:1;112:17; | 292:10 |
| 247:8 | 79:18;107:14; | 17:6,8,10;19:4; | 130:17;132:14,21; | dumbfounded (1) |
| diligence (1) | 108:8;131:12; | 20:23 | 142:16;151:16,18,19, | 177:11 |
| 29:20 | 132:17;135:2;154:21 | disturbing (1) | 22;152:1;153:22; | duress (10) |
| dire (1) | discussing (2) | 88:15 | 158:2,25;159:6; | 137:9,11,13,15; |
| 156:3 | 138:15;251:12 | dive (1) | 162:3;163:5;173:4; | 149:18;155:19; |
| direct (4) | discussion (65) | 83:15 | 180:6;184:17; | 156:19;162:14; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 140: 20 ; 226: 10 ; \\ & 294 \cdot 21 \cdot 295 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 12,23,23 ; 16: 23 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{105 \cdot 23}{\operatorname{Division}(1)}$ | 191:14;196:10; <br> $204 \cdot 13 \cdot 205 \cdot 11$ | $237: 11,13$ |
| directed (5) | $28: 9 ; 30: 12 ; 31: 15,15$ | 105:23 doctoral (1) | 211:3,13;240:2; | $2: 7,10 ; 4: 23 ; 5: 17$ |
| 76:11;131:13; | 32:16,17,19;33:15; | 68:19 | 263:6,12;264:15; | 9:21;11:20;95:19; |
| 164:18;253:25; | 34:13,13;37:6,7; | doctors (2) | 267:25;274:23; | 149:14;154:19; |
| 255:20 | 38:24,24,25;39:5,14, | 209:2;211:24 | 287:15,25;290:12; | 227:18;249:16 |
| direction (1) | 15,22;43:1,2,20; | doctor's (1) | 295:9;297:2 | duty (2) |
| 198:11 | 80:19,20,22;81:5; | 161:3 | downtown (1) | 100:23;157:17 |
| directive (1) | 223:6;226:3;227:14; | document (1) | 13:25 | dwelling (1) |
| 4:5 | 230:7;235:18,20; | 179:4 | dozen (1) | 157:18 |
| $46: 10: 54: 18: 59: 22$ | 237:21,22;238:9,18; | $29: 14: 32: 2$ | 136:9 | E |
| $\begin{aligned} & 46: 10 ; 54 \\ & 166: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 277:14;278:17; | 29:14; | $171: 24$ | E |

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 316 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021

| eager (1) | 182:21 | e-mail (5) | 158:10 | 11:12;107:2; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29:17 | efficacy (1) | 13:7,8;159:20; | ended (2) | 124:15 |
| earlier (11) | 205:22 | 65:1;236:11 | 131:19;206:4 | engaged (1) |
| 83:13;124:1;132:1, | efficient (1) | emailed (2) | ending (1) | 267:12 |
| 16;141:23;184:6,15; | 102:8 | 37:22;160:1 | 10:3 | engages (2) |
| 228:19;236:8; | effort (8) |  | endings | 10:16;11 |
| 8:11;253:20 | 1:2;103:14; | 1:18;214 | 94 | engaging (2) |
| early (2) | 25:12;150:21; | 256:16 | ends (3) | 114:17;117:22 |
| 83:11;190:3 | 248:6,14,19;272:11 | emergency (1) | 206:17;208:6; | English (1) |
| earnings (1) | efforts (6) | 16:13 | 01:15 | 181:3 |
| 202:13 | 14:14;151:4;164:6; | emotion (1) | endurers (1) | enhanced (3) |
| Earth (3) | 205:9;222:19;296:12 | 243:9 | 272:2 | 112:11;132:17; |
| 13:16,18;14:10 ease (1) | $\underset{182.24}{\text { egregious (1) }}$ | emotional (2) | energy (6) ${ }_{\text {125:12.168.17 }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 274:9 }}{\text { enlightening (1) }}$ |
| ease (1) 184:8 | 182:24 | 168:2;213:20 | 125:12;168:17; | enlightening (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} 184: 8 \\ \text { easier (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { eight (1) } \\ 180: 22 \end{array}$ | empathet | 286:2,4 | $\begin{gathered} 272: 24 \\ \text { enough (14) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 9:1;52:18;85:21 | eighth (1) | emphasize (1) | enforce (6) | 2:22;25:20;26:3, |
| easily (2) | 273:3 | 84:12 | 141:1;149:8; | 17;100:9;103:5; |
| 188:5;295:7 | either (20) | employed (1) | 197:18;292:13,14 | 10:3;159:7;165:7; |
| east (4) | 22:3;28:19;57:3; | 111:9 | 295:3 | 172:24;182:1;187:4, |
| 45:20;88:15;198:2; 298:12 | 69:4;71:1;97:8; | employee (8) | enforced (2) | 10;245:15 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 298: 12 \\ \text { easv } \mathbf{( 7 )} \end{array}$ | 100:1,21;103:6; $106: 13,24 ; 109: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95: 13,22,23 ; \\ & \text { 220:12;21:2;232:4, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 260:3,4 } \\ & \text { enforcement (97) } \end{aligned}$ | ensure (4) 78:7:149•11 |
| 27:1;140:25;167:2; | 118:9;121:20;123: | 20,12,22 | 88:12;89:18;90: | 13;24 |
| 2:7;247:23; | 156:2;253:7;280:15; | employees (4) | 9:18,20;100:15,2, | ensures (1) |
| 275:24;290: | 7:8; | 94:23;96:3;113:2 | 3;101:1,7;107:2 | 143:24 |
| conomic (2) | orate | 253:18 | 10:7;112:14,16 | ensuring (2) |
| 69:13;80:25 | :22 | employment | 116:19;117:15; | 50:13;51:2 |
| economy (4) | elected (3) | 99:7 | 118:10;119:22; | entailed (1) |
| 40:22;66:13,14; | 18:18;148:9 | empower (1) | 126:13,20,23;127:11, | 35:3 |
| 68:17 | 160:16 | 119:10 | 16,25;128:4;131:13, | enter (4) |
| Edgewood (4) | electorate (2) | empowered (1) | 14,18,19;132:8; | 4:1;157:21;165:18 |
| 44:6;45:8,17;47:23 | 17:13;18:19 | 56:16 | 138:21;140:8,10,13, | 215:22 |
| edit (4) | electronic (1) | empowering (1) | 14;142:12,13;143:4; | entering (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 12 ; 144: 22 ; \end{aligned}$ | 99:17 element | 182:17 | 157:20;158:2; | 65:24 enterprise |
| 145:5;22 | ement (1) | empower | 164:13;165:15 | enterprise <br> 203:18 |
| $160: 5 ; 228: 18$ | elevated (1) | enact (3) | 173:2;177:5;178:7; | enterprises (3) |
| educate (1) | 40:25 | 132:10;187:11 | 180:11,13;184:23; | 101:11;102:2; |
| 126:5 | elevating (1) | 289:7 | 185:23;196:20; | 218:21 |
| educated (1) | 11:17 | enacted (8) | 202:24,24;203:4; | entertainment (3) |
| $\stackrel{\text { 292:1 }}{\text { educating (1) }}$ | ${ }_{\text {eliminate ( (4) }}^{\text {el }}$ | 55:1,23;93:17; $158 \cdot 7 \cdot 159 \cdot 4 \cdot 197.3$ | 204:16;205:20; | 173:18;174:9; |
| educating (1) | 18:15,22;64:6 | 158:7:159:4;197:3; | 210:17,18;211:2, | 196:1 |
| 198:6 | 102:21 | 242:18;254:2 | 215:22;216:11 | entice (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { education (6) } \\ & 59: 6 ; 68: 19 ; 168: 12 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { eliminated } \\ 211: 16 \end{array}$ | $\underset{158: 11}{\text { enacting }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229: 13 ; 232: 21,22 ; \\ & 247: 14,22 ; 248: 22 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 107:1 } \\ \text { enticed (1) } \end{array}$ |
| 199:24;200:1;244:10 | Elizabeth (1) | encourage (5) | 249:11;250:25; | 262:15 |
| educational (1) | 179:24 | 13:5;146:17; | 255:7;257:8;258:7, | entire (5) |
| 59:20 | Elmo (2) | 166:19;173:16;274:4 | 14;261:4,6,7,8,11,15, | 14:24;172:1 |
| educators (2) | 45:18,20 | encourages (2) | 17,17; 262:3,23; | 185:18;186:2;279:22 |
| 68:18;164:13 | else (19) | 4:6;10:20 | 264:17;268:16; | entirely (1) |
| eerily (1) | 3:7:36:3:52:4 | encouraging (1) | 274:15;276:24; | 200:2 |
| 217:7 | 76:13;120:23; | 51:2 | 277:22;293:24; | entities (1) |
| effect (5) | 137:15;147:15,18; | end (19) | 294:4,11,22;295:6; | 259:3 |
| 27:8;61:11;128:3; | 167:8,8;170:14,21 197:14:214:18. | 4:9:11:14;14:2; | 297:2 | entity (3) ${ }_{\text {50 }}$ |
| 251:17;295:5 effective (12) | 197:14;214:18; | 19:10,16;96:14; | ${ }_{87.3}^{\text {enforcement's (1) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:6;56:15;143:22 } \\ & \text { entrance (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { effective (12) } \\ & \text { 119:22;129:11,13; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 235:4;267:22; } \\ & \text { 278:25;280:10; } \end{aligned}$ | $121: 7 ; 128: 5 ; 145: 7$ | enforcers (1) | $96: 20 ; 184: 8$ |
| 167:16;174:14; | 298:20 | 157:25;164:10; | 215:22 | entrapment (1) |
| 202:21;207:13,16; | else's (1) | 179:4;182:13; | enforcing (3) | 171:22 |
| 214:23;251:25; | 76:21 | 187:13;219:12; | 116:22;120:5; | entry (1) |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { 278:24,24 }}$ effects (1) | email (2) | $\stackrel{\text { 237:11 }}{\text { endeavors (1) }}$ | $292: 12$ engage (3) | 122:19 Entryway (1) |
| effects (1) | 14:24;238:3 | endeavors (1) | engage (3) | Entryway (1) |


| 219:5 | Evans (1) | 70:3 | 167:11 | 89:18;101:13; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| environment (2) | 187:22 | Ewalt (45) | Excuse (3) | 102:4,9;210:13; |
| 11:19;281:13 | Even (34) | 7:16,17;25:3,4; | 21:8;57:13;261:19 | 247:23;258:15 |
| environmental (1) | 3:1;12:17;49:22; | 26:5;27:4,16;30:13, | execute (1) | experience (11) |
| 12:3 | 50:5;52:23;93:9; | 14,18,21,24;33:16, | 132:12 | 2:6;88:25;112:3; |
| environments (1) | 101:15;118:2,8; | 17;34:11;70:5;71:23; | executive (3) | 131:16,16;132:21; |
| 114:15 | 119:6;123:24;124:6, | 74:3,4,15,18;75:17; | 10:7,182:11 | 141:6;154:16; |
| equal (4) | 15;133:11;139:4; | 236:5;238:13; | 213:16 | 186:23;284:7;288:24 |
| 271:2,3,5,19 | 157:7;172:14; | 249:14;252:6,7,18; | exempt (6) | experienced (1) |
| equality (1) | 178:19;193:16; | 253:12,23;254:24; | 51:9;93:1,9;94:6; | 106:5 |
| 225:22 | 200:16;201:19; | 255:1,19,21;256:2; | 97:4;136:11 | expert (4) |
| equipment (2) | 206:8;211:25;222:6; | 257:14;258:21; | exempted (4) | 111:3;163:11; |
| 25:6,17 | 225:5;228:14;233:9; | 259:5,7,9,11,24; | 92:10,17;93:18; | 214:8;270:15 |
| equivalent (3) | 234:21;240:4; | 260:2;291:11;297:20 | 94:12 | expertise (1) |
| 117:25;118:4; | 261:10;263:11; | exact (2) | exemption (9) | 203:3 |
| 124:21 | 278:2;296:9;297:3 | 76:2;265:13 | 45:3,10;47:7; | expertly (1) |
| Eric (5) | evening (24) | exactly (4) | 94:13;136:5;160:7; | 172:4 |
| 163:24,24;164:3,6; | 9:5;10:4;12:5,11; | 29:15;76:2;184:1 | 191:23;205:17; | experts (12) |
| 165:16 | 14:19;16:23;19:14 | 246:3 | 296:15 | 83:5;140:3;158:11, |
| ERIN (9) | 24:12;40:9;41:15; | example (10) | exemptions (1) | 15;166:3;182:2; |
| 185:7,7;223:20; | 44:9;49:2;53:11,15; | 51:5;93:16;96:2 | 92:16 | 184:5;200:24;203:5; |
| 298:2;299:9,15,16; | 65:8;66:10;82:5; | 112:25;123:17; | exercise (1) | 205:21;216:12; |
| 300:15,17 | 111:24;116:11; | 170:24;171:2;202:3; | 271:13 | 282:21 |
| error (4) | 127:21;167:24; | 205:15;215:21 | exhibit (5) | expiration (2) |
| 38:8;46:9,12;47:4 | 194:15;243:9;253:20 | examples (3) | 41:2,16;42:4,7, | 60:24,24 |
| escape (1) | event (5) | 134:14;151:25 | exist (3) | explain (5) |
| 217:7 | 9:19,20,22;82:19 | 200:3 | 46:19;56:22 | 23:3,7;28:17;35:3; |
| especially (8) | 233:16 | excavator (1) | 269:23 | 72:7 |
| 26:9;34:7;36:24; | events (2) | 77:25 | existence (1) | explained (4) |
| 88:15;168:23; | 11:5;110:14 | exceeding (1) | 237:13 | 54:5;60:9;187:2; |
| 172:11;221:24; | eventually (3) | 58:16 | Existing (4) | 204:20 |
| 232:25 | 23:11;141:15; | exceedingly (1) | 45:5,7,13;47:6 | explains (1) |
| essentially (5) | 163:8 | 35:13 | exists (6) | 202:10 |
| 97:14;119:19; | Everybody (24) | except (8) | 68:12;212:25 | explanation (1) |
| 120:8;123:15;287:3 | 52:4;104:14,24; | 24:22;61:4;93:10; | 219:21;221:15; | 28:22 |
| established (3) | 105:1;112:12;135:3; | 145:14;185:23; | 266:5;269:22 | explicitly (1) |
| 11:1;63:17;91:13 | 150:20;157:11; | 204:6;229:15;297:24 | expand (2) | 157:6 |
| establishing (1) | 187:5;191:12; | exception (4) | 90:11;238:2 | exploitation (9) |
| 55:23 | 207:23;222:21,23; | 39:18;94:9;95:24; | expanded (6) | 117:16,20;118:11, |
| establishment (7) | 224:11;245:3;251:2; | 160:7 | 209:10;212:5; | 15;119:8;120:5; |
| 91:23;158:7,12; | 253:21;268:9; | exceptions (2) | 238:25;239:2,3,14 | 182:14,17;185:2 |
| 174:6,7;183:17; | 273:20,25,25;297:22, | 93:4;95:20 | expanding (1) | exploited (2) |
| 200:15 | 23;301:12 | Excessive (1) | 238:22 | 119:3;243:19 |
| establishments (4) | everybody's (2) | 157:17 | expansion (1) | exploiters (1) |
| 92:5;130:22; | 82:8;222:25 | exchange (1) | 142:20 | 120:13 |
| 184:18;186:11 | everyone (11) | 92:14 | ex-parte (1) | exploiting (1) |
| esthetician (1) | 2:20;11:18;36:3; | exchanged (1) | 16:20 | 186:2 |
| 153:12 | 157:9;167:8;210:8; | 214:9 | expect (10) | expose (1) |
| estimate (6) | 225:25;244:20; | excited (1) | 67:10;83:9;101:22; | 102:20 |
| 72:5,17;106:10; | 246:3,16;300:19 | 65:24 | 112:16;124:24; | express (3) |
| 183:14;215:4,5 | everyone's (2) | exciting (1) | 146:16;197:12; | 109:3,5;162:25 |
| estimation (1) | 39:20;270:8 | 42:14 | 286:17;294:6;295:1 | expressed (1) |
| 295:17 | everything's (1) | exclaiming (1) | expectation (1) | 156:4 |
| et (1) | 72:23 | 164:2 | 241:5 | expressing (1) |
| 237:1 | everywhere (3) | exclude (1) | expected (1) | 238:5 |
| ethical (1) | 129:14;158:24; | 35:18 | 76:19 | extend (2) |
| 97:17 | 262:14 | excluded (1) | expecting (1) | 15:7;62:19 |
| evaluate (1) | evidence (5) | 32:5 | 21:23 | extended (2) |
| 278:1 | 87:2;184:16,21; | exclusions (1) | expeditiously (1) | 60:25;61:4 |
| evaluating (1) | 199:6;256:5 | 295:25 | 39:21 | extension (3) |
| 49:21 | evil (1) | exclusive (3) | expense (1) | 62:13,14,18 |
| evaluation (1) | 222:8 | $55: 14,17 ; 56: 21$ | $220: 25$ | extensive (3) |
| 91:19 | Ewald (1) | exclusively (1) | expensive (7) | 89:8;98:2;184:13 |
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| extensively (1) | 222:13 | 72:18,18;74:10; | 296:16,16 | figure (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112:6 | factors (5) | 128:3;270:8 | feedback (3) | 83:19;84:24;253:8 |
| exterior (3) | 32:3,7;64:15; | faster (5) | 2:14;29:3;156:15 | figured (2) |
| 95:21;96:16; | 120:3;123:23 | 6:18;7:2;60:13 | feel (28) | 175:7,21 |
| 113:17 | facts (1) | 70:12;204: | 5:24;6:10;122:24 | file (6) |
| external (1) | 85:22 | fastest (1) | 128:17;151:12; | 140:24;142:8,10; |
| 95:25 | factual (1) | 71:15 | 153:1,19;163:4; | 204:12;205:17;258:5 |
| extra (7) | 109:8 | fathom (1) | 169:3,5;170:9;183:1, | filing (1) |
| 129:20;148:4; | fail (2) | 204:5 | 1,4;195:6;196:18,23; | 136:23 |
| 211:15,16,21,21; | 192:4;255: | fault (1) | 197:4,17,20,21; | fill (4) |
| 300:18 | failed (1) | 176:15 | 206:10;220:19,20; | 93:24;168:23; |
| extract (2) | 162:11 | favor (29) | 228:20;239:8; | 169:2;270:1 |
| 57:19,22 | fails (1) | 8:24;27:25;28:3; | 243:11;279:17 | filled (8) |
| extreme (1) | 291:15 | 34:15,17;37:8,9,11; | feels (2) | 13:22,24;74:25; |
| $162: 25$ | failure (1) | 39:1,2,15,17;43:23, | 148:17;248:19 | $75: 1 ; 233: 7 ; 252: 13,$ |
| extremely (4) | 290:24 | 24;81:6,8,10;185:2; | fees (8) | 16,20 |
| 102:3;194:3;223:1; | fair (4) | 194:17;235:23; | 57:4,20;73:4,25; | final (6) |
| 279:24 | 19:11;39:24;50:8; | 236:1;238:11,12 | 174:12;211:16; | 159:7,8;179:8,14; |
| eye (4) | 84:18 | 291:9,11;297:15,17; | 220:24;245:9 | 260:5;298:9 |
| $246: 7 ; 256: 7 ; 262: 8,$ | fairer (1) | $300: 11,12$ | fell (1) | finalist (1) |
| 19 | 19:2 | favorable (1) | 168:21 | 116:8 |
| eyes (4) | fairly (4) | 40:14 | Fellow (2) | finally (7) |
| 180:25;187:5; | 122:5;128:6;175:6; | FBI (12) | 116:9;159:20 | 42:15;58:23;64:5, |
| 194:22;218:10 | 278:19 | 83:6;84:7;105:10, | felonies (1) | 13;145:18;229:18; |
|  | faith (2) | 18,20;108:25;109:12, | 220:17 | 275:8 |
| F | 93:5;1 | 15;134:7;175:2 | felony ( | Finance (6) |
|  | fake (1) | 219:24;249:3 | 98:9 | 40:7;83:1;90:4; |
| face (6) | 218:5 | FCC (3) | felt (3) | 99:10;251:13,19 |
| $4: 7,9 ; 31: 22 ; 150: 9,$ | fall (3) | 55:13;58:16,18 | 26:16;153:24; | finances (1) |
| $15 ; 200: 21$ | 95:24;161:14; | fear (8) | $168: 22$ | 40:23 |
| Facebook (1) | 252:10 | 171:16,17,17 | Felton (2) | financial (18) |
| 205:12 | falling (1) | 204:7,9,21;264:12; | 148:24;149:7 | 56:7;58:20,21,21; |
| faced (1) | 253:8 | 289:7 | Feminist (1) | 63:14;64:1;98:9,25; |
| $85: 12$ | falls (2) | feature (5) | 115:18 | 149:22;160:23; |
| facilities (13) | 149:7;176:1 | 5:8;22:16;48:6 | few (18) | 162:18;176:12; |
| 16:23;28:24;63:25; | false (1) | 79:22;191:4 | 2:9;4:22;6:14; | 210:11;225:15; |
| $81: 25 ; 92: 9,25 ; 93: 8$ | $136: 18$ | February (2) | 15:20;66:8;75:8,12; | 233:21;240:3; |
| 95:7;96:19;126:17; | familiar (2) | 4:5;46:8 | 81:2;98:4;103:6; | 293:23;294:5 |
| 224:18;234:18; | 196:23;284:6 | fed (1) | 121:5;157:10; | find (15) |
| 292:18 | families (2) | 177:23 | 158:16;178:3;193:9; | 56:22;69:15;74:21; |
| facility (30) | 86:14,16 | federal (27) | 217:7;258:18;296:9 | 86:12;94:5;100:17; |
| $30: 8 ; 91: 21 ; 92: 11 \text {, }$ | family (2) | 55:1,8,13,22;56:10, | fiber (8) | 113:8;147:23;148:5, |
| $12 ; 93: 25 ; 94: 6,17,22$ | 97:3;155:4 | 17;57:15;59:24; | 54:15,17,18;59:2; | $7 ; 196: 21 ; 207: 14$ |
| 25;95:9,17,18,21,23; | family-owned (1) | 63:11;101:4;102:1, | 63:22,23;71:15,20 | 212:24;215:3;278:22 |
| 96:1,9,22;97:1,3,24; | 54:6 | 14;103:12;106:20; | fiber-to-fiber-to-home (1) | finding (1) |
| 107:1;136:9;161:2; | fan (1) | 110:20;111:11,12; | 58:11 | 171:5 |
| 196:20;232:19; | 205:4 | 132:6;133:9;134:10, | field (3) | fine (3) |
| 234:17;236:25; | fantastic (2) | 16,17;148:25;179:8; | 117:4;172:12 | 165:16;175:2; |
| 241:8;250:14;295:18 | 13:18;42:18 | 184:22;197:22; | 184:5 | 213:1 |
| facility's (1) | far (11) | 261:14 | fieldwork (1) | fingerprint (1) |
| 95:22 | 29:19;68:10;77:16; | federal- (1) | 119:21 | 293:25 |
| facing (1) | 98:2;102:8;167:9,11; | 131:24 | fight (12) | fingerprinted (4) |
| 183:2 | 176:2;218:3;263:8; | federally (2) | 120:16;148:11; | 273:8,13,19,21 |
| fact (19) | 283:1 | 60:15;106:16 | 151:20;184:24; | fingerprinting (2) |
| 63:20;64:10;88:1 | Farm (1) | Federation (2) | 195:19;225:15,17,19, | 179:12;181:20 |
| 119:13;138:24; | 154:23 | 91:12;214:18 | 20;226:13;227:2; | fingerprints (3) |
| $189: 6 ; 192: 2 ; 194: 16$ | FARNSWORTH (3) | fee (25) | 289:1 | 273:15,16,17 |
| 200:15;214:5; | 199:1,1,4 | 57:7,20,24;61:13 | fighting (7) | fire (8) |
| 215:12;220:18; | Farsi (1) | 16,19;66:20,24; | 115:13;157:15; | 15:15;24:5,5; |
| 238:23;240:12,16; | 116:1 | 67:21;98:15,17,20, | 186:3;198:9;219:11, | 34:19;37:3;50: |
| 257:6;261:13; | fashion (1) | 23;102:17;169:11; | 23;221:18 | 220:11;258:7 |
| 266:25;296:15 | 238:25 | 265:7,13,20,21; | fights (1) | firmly (1) |
| factor (1) | fast (5) | 266:1,3;294:10,13; | 165:24 | 226:16 |
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| first (82) | floor (1) | forces (3) | 115:19 | 1:7; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2:3,7;4:25;5:15; | 114:21 | 111:18,20;220:13 | four (11) | 122:19;123:20; |
| 15:20;16:23;22:5; | Florida (3) | forcing (1) | 28:12;60:8;74:18; | 136:2;175:3;182:3; |
| 23:24;28:6,13;29:1; | 28:16;35:7;88:16 | 171:12 | 8:21;98:15;154:13; | 205:18;237:10 |
| 47:3;48:1;53:2; | flourish (2) | Ford (1) | 159:5;200:18;232:5; | fronts (1) |
| 66:10,11;77:21; | 120:10;124:18 | 7:2 | 235:19;242:1 | 249:5 |
| 79:19;80:15;81:24; | flow (1) | Foreign (2) | Fourth (1) | frozen (2) |
| 82:17;83:25;85:9; | 27:9 | 58:7;107:1 | 171:24 | 126:25;127:7 |
| 89:3;91:7;92:7; | Flying (1) | foresee (1) | foyer (2) | frustrating (1) |
| 98:18,20;102:16; | 298:12 | 294:3 | 48:11,13 | 201:17 |
| 103:7;104:2;105:9, | focus (7) | forge (1) | fraction (1) | fry (1) |
| 22;115:3,23,24; | 107:9,13;108:3,8, | 170:15 | 86:11 | 178:9 |
| 116:14;121:20; | 21;119:23;120:1 | forgive (1) | frame (1) | FSMTB (4) |
| 131:23;133:25; | focused (5) | 4:19 | 82:23 | 91:13,23,23; |
| 140:5;144:22;146:7; | 106:21;117:6; | forgotten (1) | framework (1) | 124:15 |
| 147:8;155:23; | 119:23;122:5;135:12 | 187:7 | 118:13 | FTEs (3) |
| 162:24;171:9; | focusing (3) | form (9) | franchise (42) | 72:3;74:6,20 |
| 178:25;179:9;180:3; | 107:8;108:5;267:1 | 17:5,20;54:15; | 53:3;54:3,14;55:3, | fueled (1) |
| $187: 18 ; 188: 13$ | folder (1) | 101:16;143:22; | 19,21,25;56:6,9,14, | 204:9 |
| 191:23;198:4; | 178:15 | 161:8;163:2;178:24; | 16,22,24,25;57:4,7, | full (9) |
| 201:12;204:11; | folks (13) | 233:7 | 10,20,23,24;59:12, | 29:14;30:7;35:17; |
| 213:25;214:17,18; | 33:7;68:22;78:21; | former (1) | 25;60:4,6,12,19; | 41:22;89:1;99:9; |
| 224:16,25;225:14; | 83:8;85:2,2;210:19; | 225:6 | 61:11,13,15,16; | 153:14;257:11; |
| 228:25;235:4;244:7, | 211:9;212:2;213:1; | formerly (2) | 62:22;63:1,9;64:2,6, | 298:22 |
| 14,21,25;249:25; | 294:22,24;295:3 | 49:11;115:8 | 8,14,16;66:20,23; | full-time (3) |
| 252:21,23;266:1,17; | follow (17) | forms (2) | 80:16;81:7 | 74:21;248:13; |
| 268:23;279:20; | 4:7;63:10;120:18 | 93:6;182:13 | franchises (2) | 256:22 |
| 292:6;293:25; | 139:2;141:13; | formula (2) | 55:6,15 | fully (4) |
| 294:12;297:21; | 155:22;156:23; | 17:18;20:5 | franchising (6) | 4:21;61:5;94:23; |
| 298:24;299:2;300:8 | 162:12;165:14,17; | Fort (2) | 55:16;56:11,21; | 230:23 |
| firsthand (1) | 189:19;192:19; | 199:5;214:6 | 57:1;60:1,21 | fund (2) |
| 186:20 | 252:8;256:24;257:7; | forth (4) | frankly (6) | 19:2;245:23 |
| fiscal (1) | 269:3;293:8 | 17:24;196:9 | 67:1;78:25;86:23; | funded (1) |
| 41:15 | following (12) | 228:18;236:24 | 148:5;256:8;292:2 | 111:18 |
| fiscally (1) | 5:5,22;129:6,10; | Fortune (1) | fraternizing (1) | funding (5) |
| 184:25 | 142:24;223:19; | 54:8 | 185:22 | 15:2;167:12,15,17, |
| fish (1) | 235:3;250:8,17; | forty-year (1) | fraudulent (2) | 18 |
| 178:9 | 251:22;253:4;254:4 | 281:9 | 99:15;155:12 | funds (2) |
| fits (1) | follows (2) | forums (1) | fraudulently (1) | 73:12;189:13 |
| 203:14 | 10:11;183:4 | 205:6 | 200:1 | Further (42) |
| fitting (1) | follow-up (9) | forward (23) | free (2) | $5: 12 ; 30: 11 ; 31: 15$ |
| 43:14 | 29:23;36:18;74:15; | 14:10; $43: 10,10$; | 5:24;6:10 | $32: 9 ; 33: 14 ; 34: 12,13$ |
| five (3) | 76:9;77:9;100:21; | 51:1,11;81:1;84:20; | FREEDMAN (6) | 53:13;57:19;66:6; |
| $74: 5,9,19$ | 101:1;133:16;267:21 | 120:17;125:19; | 176:6,7;201:3,6,9, | 75:19;99:18;110:15, |
| five-year (1) | food (3) | 126:24;147:13; | 12 | 24;111:4,8,9,16; |
| 195:18 | 142:24;202:16; | 162:6;198:23;225:4, | freedom (1) | 114:7;117:19,20; |
| FIX (2) | 217:14 | 21,22;243:8;246:10; | 246:24 | 140:11;144:20; |
| 166:14,14 | foot (3) | 251:15;257:25; | free-for-all (1) | 150:6;153:8;179:22; |
| fixed (1) | 118:18;163:18; | 275:5;289:11;296:23 | 176:24 | 210:20;227:14; |
| 192:24 | 206:3 | forwarded (1) | Freeman (1) | 235:17,20;238:18; |
| fixing (1) | force (12) | 14:24 | 201:10 | 242:5;249:7;271:23; |
| 193:1 | 91:11;110:21; | foster (1) | FRIDAY (16) | 286:8;288:6;289:25; |
| flag (4) | 112:16;115:7; | 205:7 | 44:15,24;45:1; | 291:17;293:18; |
| 6:6;31:3;33:2;34:7 | 131:23;151:24; | found (11) | 67:25;127:7;223:23; | 296:6;297:8,9 |
| flags (3) | 161:19;162:19; | 6:13;46:9;76:20; | 225:9;240:21,25; | Furthermore (1) |
| 31:6;100:17; | 188:1;219:16,24; | 87:2;91:23;118:7; $139 \cdot 17 \cdot 197 \cdot 1 \cdot 215.4$. | 250:2,4;251:11; | $184: 12$ |
| $196: 19$ flames (1) | $288: 4$ forced (11) | 139:17;197:1;215:4; 267:21;282:25 | $252: 18 ; 253: 23 ;$ 274:20;298:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { future (7) } \\ & \text { 12:4;17:10;51:12; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 205:4 | 107:6;119:3; | foundation (1) | friend (1) | $63: 18 ; 68: 17 ; 239: 2$ |
| flashing (1) | 149:17;156:18; | 173:13 | 188:3 | 288:17 |
| 87:7 | 162:13;171:15; | founder (1) | friends (1) |  |
| flaws (1) | 180:8;202:5,13,17; | $182: 12$ | 187:1 | G |
| 171:9 | 282:11 | founding (1) | front (10) |  |
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| gain (4) | 77:18;155:7;245:3; | goes (17) | 147:16 | :19;75:10; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149:22;162:18; | 273:20 | 27:7;28:16;31:5; | Grace (2) | 168:19 |
| 164:21;165:24 | Gianforte's (1) | 61:17;74:10;88:14 | 183:13;184:10 | grown (1) |
| gals (2) | 4:5 | 99:25;102:18; | grade (1) | 203:18 |
| 175:6;262:20 | gift (1) | 122:14;141:15 | 180:2 | growth (4) |
| game (4) | 169:1 | 148:3;181:24; | Grand (3) | 17:15,17,21;40:22 |
| 70:13;71:6;80:25; | gig (3) | 243:10;265:20; | 71:4;153:11;175:4 | guarantee (1) |
| 157:25 | 71:16,17;74:20 | 281:25,25;283:18 | grandchildren (1) | 189:2 |
| Gandhi (1) | giggled (1) | gonna (1) | 86:13 | guaranteed (1) |
| 6:21 | 289:2 | 116:1 | granddaughter's (1) | 285:7 |
| gangs (1) | giggles (1) | good (63) | 190:5 | guess (29) |
| 221:22 | 288:22 | 4:20;12:11;31:15; | grant (6) | 45:5;60:11;69:5 |
| gaping (2) | Gina (71) | 40:9,18,21;41:6; | 55:6;56:5,16,19; | 105:2;107:8;116:8; |
| 186:10;203:1 | 82:5,15,16,25; | 43:8;48:17;53:11,15; | 57:10,23 | 121:14,15;134:7; |
| Garrett (1) | 83:18,21,22;84:2,3; | 55:12;58:8;62:9; | granted (1) | 153:12,16;200:17; |
| 151:3 | 90:8,9;94:1,3;97:23; | 67:17;75:3;83:11,22; | 34:3 | 204:8;223:7;227:14; |
| GARTNER (2) | 121:3;125:25; | 89:10;97:15,17; | granting (6) | 240:6;242:19; |
| 167:24,25 | 137:16,19,25;138:1; | 100:11;116:7;118:9; | 53:2;55:24;63:9; | 253:25;261:9;263:3, |
| gas (5) | 139:24;144:21,22; | 124:11;129:23; | 64:1;80:15;81:7 | 17;276:19;280:24; |
| 18:8;19:1,21,23; | 145:24;165:7; | 130:12,25;133:4,13; | grateful (1) | 283:13,14,21;287:17; |
| 169:17 | 188:19;189:17; | 143:24;163:13; | $120: 19$ | 289:23;294:2 |
| gathered (1) | 225:8;227:17,23; | 167:24;168:11; | gratify (1) | guest (1) |
| 140:10 | 228:25;229:7; | 173:13;175:10,18,22, | 97:7 | 115:2 |
| gathers (1) | 230:10,17;231:2,6, | 23;176:1;185:5; | gray (1) | guests (13) |
| 6:18 | 10,13,14,15,20,24; | 191:11;198:22; | 196:4 | 105:2;109:10; |
| gave (4) | 232:3,8;233:12; | 206:14;226:5;234:1; | Great (23) | 121:17;122:3,10,13; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 22 ; 40: 20 ; 70: 6 ; \\ & 291: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 235:1,11,19;238:1,6, | 243:13;245:15; | 10:5,12;11:1,4,21, | $126: 4,8,12 ; 131: 4$ |
|  | 21;239:3,7,25;240:6, | 246:17;257:5 | $24,25 ; 12: 14 ; 13: 2$ | 77:22;138:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gazette (2) } \\ & 153: 6 ; 201: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 22;255:24;270:11, | 273:1,5;275:21; | 113:6;138:5;147:12; | $4: 8 ; 155: 24$ |
| gear (1) | 20;274:17;278:21; | 277:5;280:6;281:8 | 150:13;153:6;155:2; | guy (2) |
| 13:12 | 281:1,5,6;284:5,6,16 | 282:19;287:24; | 173:20;217:25; | 175:21;274:14 |
| Gen (1) | Gina's (1) | 292:16;293:15; | 272:6,15;274:14 | guys (18) |
| 116:8 | 230:1 | 301:12 | greatest (1) | 25:10;31:6;68:24 |
| gender-based (1) | girls (4) | good-sized (1) | 10:13 | $75: 9 ; 118: 21 ; 120: 11$ |
| $115: 15$ | 180:5;221:24; | 31:4 | green (1) | 128:23;132:10; |
| general (9) | 262:17;263:13 | Gosh (1) | 10:25 | 163:19;166:21; |
| 40:2,12;42:23; | given (8) | 286:22 | greeted (1) | 169:11;173:8; |
| 98:4;137:1,17; | 2:16;3:6;113:9; | gotta (1) | 96:17 | 175:13,16;193:21; |
| 140:18;248:6;271:15 | 166:2,18;169:10; | 83:24 | Greg (1) | 270:3;286:4;289:12 |
| generally (6) | 171:2;240:18 | governed (1) | 19:8 | gyms (1) |
| 99:14;100:2; | gives (3) | 192:6 | grew (1) | 92:23 |
| $122: 10 ; 139: 19$ | $67: 3 ; 75: 2 ; 165: 18$ | $\underset{55 \cdot 16}{\operatorname{governing}(1)}$ | $88: 16$ | H |
| 230:18;281:8 <br> enerate (2) | givin |  | gripes (1) | H |
| 294:7,10 | 166:19;182:6;195:7; | 11:13;56:18; | gross (2) | haircut (2) |
| generated (1) | 199:20;206:10; | 103:12;134:10,17 | 57:8,12 | 169:25,25 |
| 240:19 | 258:3;297:1 | 179:9;181:13,24 | ground (3) | hairstylist (1) |
| generation (2) | glad (4) | governmental (4) | 57:16;68:8;191:16 | 170:7 |
| 115:24;192:21 | 19:16;121:8; | 50:6;56:15;59:7,20 | grounds (1) | hairstylists (2) |
| generational (1) | 244:22;245:23 | government-issued (2) | 136:18 | 169:21;170:8 |
| 221:25 | glass (3) | 141:22;142:3 | groundwork (1) | half (10) |
| generations (1) | 96:16,17,20 | governments (3) | 124:12 | $10: 16 ; 11: 6 ; 28: 13,$ |
| 12:4 | Glen (4) | 55:3,6;57:7 | group (15) | 19;41:13;107:5; |
| generous (2) | 44:7;45:9,21;47:23 | Government's (1) | 13:3;84:19;86:21; | 146:4;215:3,8; |
| 13:22;116:15 | global (2) | 207:9 | 164:17,23;169:19; | 248:13 |
| genitalia (1) | 95:4;164:24 | Governor (1) | 172:16;175:16,24; | half-truths (1) |
| 190:12 | goal (2) | 4:5 | 186:2;194:11;215:7; | 204:7 |
| Georgia (1) | 102:10,10 | governs (1) | 216:4;238:11;291:24 | halfway (1) |
| 200:12 | goals (1) | 57:6 | groups (3) | 255:2 |
| germane (1) | 226:22 | grab (1) | 13:5;164:19; | Hall (2) |
| 259:25 | $\boldsymbol{G o d}(3)$ | 147:14 | 276:10 | 59:16;233:5 |
| gets (4) | 6:8;130:16;175:12 | grabbing (1) | growing (3) | hand (42) |

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 321 of 350 Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021

| 5:8;8:25;9:2,25; | 152:25;159:7;165:7; | 144:14,16,17;147:25; | 95:16;198:11,12; | highest (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14:3;21:1;22:13,16 | 168:6,7,11;180:9; | 154:8;165:5;177:12; | 05:25;221:8;235:4 | 193:15 |
| 22;23:4,7,15,17; | 95:18;208:25 | 185:8;201:5,6; | 16:5 | highlight (3) |
| 27:24;28:2;34:17 | 210:13,15;222:25 | 206:19,22,24;209:25; | 264:18;269:2 | 16:24;19:15;98:3 |
| 37:9;39:1,16;43:24 | 272:11,18;274:18 | 213:21;216:19; | 294:23 | highlighted (2) |
| 48:5;64:22;79:22; | 297:5,7,23 | 219:7;246:10,13,14, | helped (3) | 58:3;228:9 |
| 80:7;81:9;88:9; | harder (1) | 15,16;256:6,15; | 62:9;168:23 | Highline (1) |
| 105:23,23;146: | 72:18 | 280:24;282:21 | 4:25 | 210:4 |
| 177:16;191:4; | hard-working ( | heard (30) | helpful (3) | highly (1) |
| 235:25;236:4; | 124:11 | 17:4;52:19;79 | 137:20;145:2 | 175:25 |
| 238:12;269:20; | harm (6) | 83:6;103:23;109: | 236:10 | high-speed (2) |
| 291:10,13;297:14,16, | 129:3,19; | 125:21;146:17; | helping (5) | 54:12,18 |
| 19;300:12;301:8 | 162:1;165:6;280 | 166:15;180:3;182 | 196:24;19 | Highway (1) |
| andful (2) | harmful (1) | 184:6,15;186:15; | 225:4;243:12;248:2 | 21:21 |
| 82:17;90:2 | 194:3 | 187:14;198:21; | helps (1) | highways (3) |
| handle (3) | Harringt | 201:22;204:11; | 101:14 | 25:9,14;26:6 |
| 12:18;100 | 62:8 | 205:15,20;210:2 | HELRAND | hijack (1) |
| 153:23 | harsher | 211:9;214:24,2 | 154:4,4,9, | 185:12 |
| handled (2) | 111:6 | 235:12;246:8; | Henry (1) | hijacked (1) |
| 76:18;100:24 | HART | 270:11,25;292:3 | 7:2 | 85:15 |
| handles (1) | 163:24, | 296:7 | hereby | hijacking (1) |
| 83:2 | hassle (1) | hearing (37) | 11:23 | 205:9 |
| handout | 52:17 | 9;21:9,15 | Here's | hill (1) |
| 9:25 | hat (1) | 23:22;42:20;45: | 41:12;89:1 | 65:13 |
| hands (3) | $3: 2$ | 46:3,6;47:12,15,16 | 138:16;269:2 | HIPAA (12) |
| 20:19;22:24;19 | hate (3) | 20;48:14;52:5,15; | Heringer (3) | 160:23;169 |
| hang (1) | 226:9; | 53:1;69:1;75:20; | 223:20;299:1 | 178:15,23;181 |
| 290:13 | hazardo | 78:11;79:16;80:9,13; | 300:17 | 193:22;196:14,23,25 |
| hangout (1) | 12:18 | 81:23;105:6;120:24; | Hero (1) | 197:6;228:1;233:11 |
| 257:1 | HB749 | 122:1,6;198:3;203:2; | 116:5 | HIPPA (8) |
| happen | 157:2 | 204:7;222:22; | herself (3) | 161:1,2,3,15; |
| 212:21;23 | he | 224:15;234:6 | 115:24;149:2 | 192:6;193:21; |
| 288:16 | 73:20; | 288:20;300:7 | 162:20 | 230:18;233:13 |
| happened (4) | headaches (1) | hearings (2) | Hey (13) | hire (1) |
| 28:11;36:19 | 68:7 | 19:11;44: | 19:21;65:17 | 75:3 |
| 208:17 | headline | heart (2) | 27:10;141:2 | hired (2) |
| happening | 199:9 | 190:16, | 173:8;268:4,8 | 74:20;85: |
| 13:13;19:12;75 | heads (1) | heartbreaking | 69:21;273:2 | historically (1) |
| 85:22;107:7;113:10, | 17:2 | 217:6 | 278:3;282:11,1 | 20:17 |
| 20;118:11;133:6,23; | healing | heath (1) | 284:12 | history (1) |
| 134:2;166:12; | 93:5 | 145:9 | Heyrick | 99:7 |
| 177:19;180:6;18 | health | heavy (4) | 202:9 | hit (9) |
| 199:17;210:12; | 95:15 | 9:18,18 | Hi (10) | 75:25;76:7;77:14, |
| 212:18;217:19; | 143:9;145:22 | 298:11 | 7:2;65:5;151 | 8;78:2,3;159: |
| 245:18;246:2,17 | 180:21;215:15 | he | 62:23;166:14 | 251:8;272:18 |
| 249:22;292:1;293:10 | 228:3,10,17;229:9 | 139:11 | 8:12;179:24 | hits (2) |
| happens (6) | 20,23;230:12,18,2 | Heights ( | 185:7;209:25;219:4 | 200:6;272:14 |
| 67:15;74:19;76 | 233:1;234:9,10; | :1;45:16;77: | hidden (1) | hold (1) |
| 220:7;263:7;287:4 | 235:5,8;271:14 | 78:5;208:10 | 172:4 | 46:3 |
| happy (11) | healthcare (8) | held (5) | hide (1) | holding (2) |
| 42:2;65:22;68 | 0:24;174:9 | 9:19;33:5;143 | 42 | 33:7;114:25 |
| 108:2;194:2;221:3; | 181:11,22;193:13 | 205:6;293:14 | hiding (5) | hold-up (1) |
| 246:9,12,21;247:3; | 211:23;218:4;230:22 | Helena (1) | 72:6;17 | 253:21 |
| 299:8 | healthy (2) | 29:1 | 255:11,1 | hole (2) |
| happy- (1) | 142:24;275 | Hello (4) | high (10) | 186:10;203:1 |
| 180:3 | hear (50) | 49:1;170:2 | 8.15,59.13,61.7, | Hollaback (1) |
| harass (1) | 2:21,23;3:5;5:11; | 194:25 | 62:5;149:12,12; | 115:19 |
| 189:16 | 16:5;23:12,13;40:11; | help (24) | 185:13;189:15; | home (8) |
| harassment (1) | 48:12,15;55:9;68:11, | 12:3;44: | 202:19;255:15 | 15:2;97: |
| 124:22 | 13;84:14;85:22;88:3; | 92:16;101:2;103 | high-definition (2) | 104:6;123:18; |
| hard (24) | 100:10;102:13,14; | 104:19; | .25,62.7 | 163:12;193:15; |
| 3:3;33:18;12 | 104:1;109:9,23; | 155:24;156:10; | higher (2) | 218:16 |
| 135:24;136:1;151:4; | 114:25;125:22; | 158:1;194:10,20; | 66:14,15 | HomeFront (5) |

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 322 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021

```
49:11,13;50:4,16;
51:13
Homeland (1)
    131:23
homeowners (5)
49:11,13;50:4,16; 51:13
Homeland (1)
131:23
homeowners (5)
```

    79:6;171:11,15,16,
    23
    HOMER (2)
182:9,10
homes (2)
54:19;176:21
homicides (1)
256:12
honest (2)
175:12;198:5
Honestly (2)
239:8;288:11
honor (12)
13:18;80:12;
108:16;128:12;
226:14,15;227:11;
263:19;276:2;
285:20;298:1,1
honorable (2)
161:21;213:1
hooked (1)
70:14
hope (23)
2:5;4:19;23:12;
31:3,6;86:1;101:21;
109:18;120:16;
125:11;130:16;
140:5;172:2;182:4;
194:19;195:15;
198:21;226:22;
227:1;257:12;
289:20,22;293:15
hoped (1)
209:17
hopefully (5)
104:3;105:5;
127:15;191:12;
297:10
hoping (5)
164:21;200:5;
216:11;218:12;226:4
horrible (2)
151:11;244:3
horrific (1)
148:8
horrified (1)
180:5
horse (1)
168:20
horses (1)
7:2
hospitable (1)
281:15
hospitals (3)
176:20;193:17,18
hot (2)
2:24;88:13
hour (5) 79:6;171:11,15,16, 23
HOMER (2)
182:9,10
homes (2) 54:19;176:21 256:12
honest (2) 175:12;198:5
Honestly (2) 239:8;288:11
honor (12) 13:18;80:12; 108:16;128:12; 226:14,15;227:11; 263:19;276:2; 285:20;298:1,1
honorable (2)
hooked (1) 70:14
hope (23) 2:5;4:19;23:12; 31:3,6;86:1;101:21; 109:18;120:16; 125:11;130:16; 140:5;172:2;182:4; 194:19;195:15; 227:1;257:12; 289:20,22;293:15
hoped (1)
hopefully (5) 104:3;105:5; 127:15;191:12; 297:10
hoping (5) 164:21;200:5; 16:11;218:12;226:4 151:11;244:3
horrific (1) 148:8
horrified (1) 180:5 168:20
horses (1) 7:2
hospitable (1) 281:15
hospitals (3) 176:20;193:17,18
hot (2)
hour (5)

135:18;146:4,16; 177:9,10
hours (21)
5:17;41:4;87:6; 95:19;96:23,23; 112:25;113:3; 119:24;122:16; 145:15;149:14; 163:11;183:22;
187:22;199:24;
200:1;205:2;209:8;
229:16;261:8
hour's (1)
191:13
House (13)
17:2,3,16;18:5;
111:5;139:16;
157:19;171:4;
189:13;279:6;
280:15,16,16
households (2)
13:6;60:4
housing (28)
15:6;44:5;45:5,7,
11,11,25;46:4,21;
47:17,22;49:12,15,
17,20,25,25;50:1,2,
14,19;51:3,5,6,12,13;
97:1;177:5
Houston (1)
111:19
hub (1)
281:16
HUD (1)
15:2
huge (5)
133:9;143:8;
196:13;202:11;
296:15
hugely (1)
132:25
human (79)
7:3,4;91:10,20;
94:8;102:7;105:21;
110:12,17;111:3,7,
15;114:3;115:12,22;
116:19,22;133:21,23;
134:2,4,19;139:17;
143:7;148:7;152:4;
157:15;159:3;
161:19,20;162:18;
163:2;164:11;
172:25;173:11;
174:10,17;175:8,12;
180:23;182:23,24;
184:17,24;185:10;
186:21;188:1;
190:21;193:24;
198:9;203:5;212:9, 11;214:7;217:5,16; 218:23,24;219:10,11, 16;220:3;221:7,15, 18,21;222:6;225:16;

226:13;245:14,25;
247:8;260:6,9,18,21; 262:12;263:5;287:2
hundreds (1) 54:14
hurt (3)
52:6;186:12;
211:11
husband (1) 220:4
hygiene (1) 177:8
hypersensitive (1) 34:8

|  |
| :--- |

IAFCI (1) 116:5
IBM (1)
257:21
ID (1) 141:22
idea (16)
73:16;128:17;
129:5,23;130:7,13;
154:20;180:5;
272:23;274:10;
278:20;279:18;
281:8;286:1;287:22;
288:3
identifiable (5)
95:14;191:25;
230:19;231:22,25
identification (1) 110:18
identified (4)
84:10;117:21,24; 121:5
identifiers (2)
122:16;123:3
identify (6)
101:9;117:16,18; 122:8;230:21;268:2
identifying (1) 234:8
ignore (1) 245:18
ignored (1) 187:9
illegal (13) 123:7;135:11; 166:11;172:6;196:1; 207:19;208:17; 244:1;267:10,18,22; 280:8;290:4
illegally (1) 124:5
illicit (63) 85:14;87:21,24; 92:1,3;102:11,18;

106:12;107:13;
112:3,17,21;116:22; 117:23;122:22; 123:17;124:3,13; 130:17;132:21;
133:21,24;134:1,1,5; 148:4;157:14;158:3,
6,10,20,24;159:2;
162:3,5,7;173:4;
183:7,10,14;186:1;
192:17;195:13,17,23;
196:3;197:10;
198:16;199:8;200:6,
12;207:9;209:15;
214:2,3;218:13,17;
221:16;222:3;
226:23;243:15;
281:14;287:14
imagine (1) 233:23
imagined (2)
171:17;186:16
IMB (3)
202:17;212:15,18
IMB's (12)
114:14;116:22;
199:23,25;202:2;
210:12,23;211:3;
212:13,22;297:2,3
immediate (1) 178:18
immediately (1) 4:20
immigration (1) 107:21
impact (18)
10:19;11:7;50:22;
85:25;87:23;91:20;
174:17;196:24;
198:7;245:14;246:4,
9,12,20;247:15;
287:1;293:23;294:5
impacted (1) 245:6
impacts (4)
76:23;114:12;
158:13;203:13
impassioned (1) 265:6
impede (1) 207:17
impermissibly (2) 271:9,9
implement (1) 183:12
implementation (3) 86:2;129:21;184:9
implemented (1) 271:21
implementer (1) 249:12
important (20)
7:4;50:8;91:2;

112:21;119:20; 120:3;121:24; 132:25;138:4;221:4; 226:16;245:12,21; 246:23,24;247:6;
263:21;267:4;272:6; 288:5
importantly (1) 195:11
impose (1) 92:4
imposing (1) 174:11
improve (1) 10:20
improved (1) 116:21
improvement (2) 10:14,23
improvements (1) 38:4
improving (2) 84:5,14
inaccurate (1) 237:1
Inaudible (4)
218:12,16;222:12; 237:18
incentive (1) 50:18
incentives (1) 50:21
incident (2) 154:23;155:2
in-city (1) 33:3
inclination (2) 103:25;223:7
inclined (1) 3:25
include (21)
13:15;18:2;29:14; 36:20;39:13;69:19; 92:17,20;93:4;95:10; 145:8,22;161:12; 179:6;183:23;212:4; 215:15;228:4;239:5; 278:23;295:21
included (9)
18:1;38:4;41:17; 95:20;144:24;
178:20;179:19; 183:17;297:6
includes (4)
11:4;38:5;91:19; 219:21
including (10) 59:6;76:6,7;90:21; 97:13;111:19; 116:23;184:8; 200:17;230:20
inconveniences (2) 177:3,4

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 323 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021
incorporated (2) 20:6;239:18
incorporates (1) 93:3
increase (3)
61:20;248:21; 249:7
increased (2)
115:21;248:19
increasing (1) 199:24
incredible (2) 118:21;141:20
incumbent (1)
54:23
incur (1)
294:21
independent (1) 154:14
index (2) 17:15,17
indicate (2)
5:8;145:12
indicating (1) 5:6
indicator (1) 114:9
indicators (3) 114:3;123:14,16
indicted (1) 106:18
indiscernible (30) 8:17;9:11;20:22; 24:23;25:6;26:7,10; 31:21;48:18;64:23; 65:2;72:15;73:9; 77:24;84:3;104:12, 21;109:22;118:1; 123:11;223:11,15; 263:24;266:14; 276:6,22;277:19; 289:21;294:16; 299:17
individual (5) 90:24;115:6; 165:24;214:10; 258:25
individually (1) 292:9
individuals (12) 103:7,17;104:2; 105:14;106:17; 107:22;108:10; 110:17;183:21; 267:2;271:6;292:18
indivisible (1) 6:9
Indonesia (1) 186:23
industries (6)
172:15;176:11; 177:14;221:11; 239:6,10
industry (28)
4:8;85:14;118:15;
143:15,20;171:1,7; 172:11,19;177:17,20; 183:10,15;193:13,13, 16;196:2;199:15; 200:6,13;203:13; 217:3;218:19; 220:19;239:14,18; 245:2;268:7
ineffective (1)
200:2
infamous (2)
155:18;157:19
infiltrated (1) 174:13
inflation (1) 17:21
inflationary (1) 17:14
influence (1) 175:23
info (1) 98:5
informal (1) 3:8
information (63) 58:2;83:7;84:7; 95:15;96:7;98:4,7; 99:8,16;103:19;
105:13;121:1;
136:20;140:10; 145:9,22;146:3; 149:3;159:10; 161:11;178:18,22; 179:4;192:1;196:18; 215:15,17;228:4,10, 17;229:10,20,24; 230:12,13,18,23; 231:4,21,21,23,25; 232:6,16,20;233:8, 10,13;234:10,11,14, 14,22;235:2,6,8; 237:2;240:2;241:24; 242:3;256:5;267:23; 274:1
informations (1) 95:10
informative (1) 6:13
infrastructure (5) 20:24;68:21;73:11; 76:7,9
inhibit (1) 114:10
in-house (1) 75:5
initial (7)
56:10;99:3,19,23; 125:6;129:21;251:20
initially (1) 36:20
initiated (1)

20:13
initiative (2) 43:6;225:3
initiatives (1) 301:10
injured (1) 271:7
innate (1) 182:25
innocence (1) 196:2
Innovate (1) 116:7
In-person (2) 3:10;4:24
input (4) 47:24;164:19; 166:17;191:14
inquiry (1) 144:20
insert (2) 138:19;237:9
inside (9) 52:24;83:10;87:11; 96:21;108:10;147:5; 177:6;180:7;260:14
insight (1) 183:11
insist (2) 147:6;220:2
insists (1) 219:19
inspect (2) 234:4;267:17
inspection (6) 95:9;99:24;165:21, 22;202:23;235:2
inspections (6) 91:1;94:25;99:20; 145:13;251:21;253:2
inspector (3) 74:25;75:2;229:13
inspectors (10) 72:20;73:3,23; 74:10,12;94:25;95:6; 96:10;117:15;149:9
Inspector-wise (1) 74:24
inspired (2) 275:12,20
instance (4) 57:9;60:2;123:21; 213:6
in-state (1) 33:4
instead (7) 160:9;167:6,17; 185:19;200:22; 211:7;228:12
institutionalized (1) 92:2
institutions (1) 176:13
instructions (3) 5:5,12,22
insulting (1) 148:6
insurance (2) 152:24;154:22
insurmountable (1) 275:15
integral (1) 218:6
intelligence (1) 113:20
intelligence-driven (1) 117:5
intend (2) 269:19;290:2
intended (3) 97:7;136:15; 145:13
intending (1) 286:24
intensive (1) 102:4
intent (12) 36:14,16,24;94:8; 96:12;98:22;136:15; 165:9,13;228:2; 234:1;284:1
interacting (1) 4:18
Interest (14)
40:15;41:6;64:14; 67:24;149:21,25; 162:20;182:22; 186:14;190:16,17; 202:19;245:5;258:5
interested (4)
134:11;135:25;
224:9;228:23
Interesting (2)
18:7;57:14
interests (1) 59:1
interfere (1) 192:16
interim (1) 85:10
internal (2) 19:12;96:5
international (1) 102:24
internationally (2) 112:9;182:15
internet (2) 54:12;66:15
interpret (1) 290:16
interpretation (1) 196:5
interrupt (3) 145:13;224:6; 229:14
interrupted (2)

145:16;229:16
interrupting (2) 16:4;96:11
interruption (1) 276:22
interruptions (1) 266:14
intersection (1) 45:24
interstate (2) 26:6;107:1
interview (1) 142:12
interviews (1) 132:14
into (73) 11:10;29:17;33:13, 20,24;47:8;68:17; 70:25;75:16;77:15; 91:6;92:6;99:16; 100:16;102:18; 105:5;106:23;107:6; 113:15;119:4,14,25; 121:14;123:15; 126:6,16;128:3; 129:8;146:19;148:3, 15;149:18;150:21, 21;151:12;153:2,3, 13;156:5,18;161:1; 162:5,7,14;163:1,6,9, 17;171:3;174:2; 178:5;183:11; 186:25;200:5;206:3; 207:22,25;208:14,25; 209:15;210:18; 212:17;227:24; 239:19;247:2; 249:23;251:6; 254:13;258:11; 261:7;267:8;273:14; 292:10
introduce (4) 105:3,9,15;109:18
introducer (1) 44:13
introducing (1) 54:2
introduction (1) 110:2
introductory (1) 6:1
intrusive (1) 296:24
invest (1) 54:14
invest- (1) 117:20
invested (1) 272:13
investigate (3) 118:5;119:7; 140:19
investigated (1)

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 324 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021

| 100:25 | irony (1) | 249:16;301:2,6 | join (2) | 147:20,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| investigating (5) | 18:16 | iterations (2) | 12:1;120:14 | KEEHNER (67) |
| 110:11;177:25; | i's (1) | 55:2;85:1 | Joining (1) | 7:10,12,14,16,18, |
| 178:8;210:19;255:10 | 31:7 | Iverson (10) | 53:16 | 20,22,24;8:1,3,5,9; |
| investigation (12) | Isaac (2) | 28:24;29:4,6;30:4, | joke (2) | 22:12,24;23:13,19; |
| 100:19;101:13; | 6:18,24 | 17,20,23;31:8,23; | 182:5;194 | 40:1;44:3;48:25; |
| 105:24;114:7; | islands (1) | 35:9 | jokes (1) | 49:8;51:18;53:1; |
| 115:21;133:6; | 71:4 |  | 180:3 | 80:6;81:19,23;191:7, |
| 140:11;188:4; | issue (42) | J | JOPELA (2) | 9,18,22;193:3,7; |
| 233:16;249:24; | 26:9,12,17;33:2; |  | 160:2,2 | 194:24;195:6,9; |
| 254:6,20 | 64:14;67:9;84:12; | January (2) | Joseph (1) | 197:15,24;198:24; |
| investigations (13) | 86:8;96:3;103:4; | 159:16;208:20 | 128:13 | 199:3;201:1,7,11; |
| 110:20,22;111:15; | 117:13;118:23; | Jasper (1) | Josh (13) | 203:21;204:1; |
| 112:8;117:6;131:24, | 119:1;140:22;143:7, | 191:20 | 60:9;64:20,25; | 206:16,23,25;207:4, |
| 25;132:6,20;203:6; | 8,9;163:14,14; | jawed (1) | 65:4,7,18;68:1;69:2, | 7;208:5,11;209:21; |
| 210:13,17;255:5 | 167:13;178:21; | 177:12 | 5,17;70:5;72:2;75:23 | 210:1,6;212:19; |
| investigative (1) | 193:12,21,23;196:13; | jaws (1) | Josh's (1) | 213:4,9,13;216:5,15, |
| 141:12 | 203:3;213:7,20; | 201:25 | 72:19 | 22;217:1;219:2,6; |
| Investigator (3) | 223:19;228:2,14,18; | Jeff (7) | Joshua (7) | 222:12,16;298:9; |
| 116:5;256:12; | 232:24;239:11; | 223:20;298:1,6; | 53:17;65:5,9,14, | 301:7 |
| 257:16 | 242:2;243:15; | 299:9,15,17;300:15 | $20 ; 69: 19 ; 70: 21$ | keep (46) |
| investigators (2) | 245:22;246:20; | JENAE (2) | Joy (21) | 2:9;10:21,22;11:3, |
| 116:17;119:6 | 260:1;261:7;271:8; | 162:23,23 | 7:18,19;14:21,22; | 23;12:21;22:20;26:4; |
| investing (1) | 295:19 | JENNIFER (9) | 32:16;37:1,2;39:13; | 56:4;67:6;83:23; |
| 68:21 | issued (4) | 156:25,25;193:5,5, | 146:25;236:2; | 94:2;95:18;103:20; |
| investment (1) | 40:16;56:11;177:7 | 8;203:22,24,24;204:2 | 238:13;242:10,25; | 112:1;122:5;130:21; |
| 47:8 | 179:8 | Jeremy (1) | 243:1;247:18; | 146:12,24;149:17; |
| investments (4) | issues (19) | 189:13 | 286:11,14,15;291:14; | 152:1;154:8,17; |
| 67:16;71:14;86:16; | 25:21,24;26:21,25 | Jessica (14) | 292:22;297:17 | 156:17;162:13; |
| 248:21 | 33:6;49:15;68:13; | 28:23;29:2,4,6; | judgment (1) | 191:15;194:8; |
| invests (1) | 143:2,5;173:12; | 30:4,17,20,23;31:8, | 287:16 | 196:16;202:12,20; |
| 247:13 | 215:21;225:23; | 18,23;35:4,8,9 | juggle (1) | 218:10;228:15; |
| invitation (1) | 227:5;244:19; | Joanie (7) | 128:2 | 235:11,13,14;241:23; |
| 149:5 | 252:17;253:6; | 10:8,9;12:6,7,10; | JULIE (3) | 256:7;261:24; |
| invite (1) | 257:22;261:9;266:24 | 14:13,15 | 150:18;151:2,2 | 278:11;282:12; |
| 186:6 | it- (1) | job (22) | jump (1) | 283:4;285:14,16,17; |
| invited (1) | 244:7 | 9:10,12;40:22; | 185:11 | 286:20;300:20 |
| 166:4 | item (68) | 75:14;110:14; | June (1) | keeping (3) |
| invocation (1) | 5:6;16:22;21:18, | 116:15;118:22; | 128:5 | 27:1;97:16;285:12 |
| 6:4 | 18,20,23;23:18,21, | 133:4;162:9;175:10, | jurisdiction (6) | KELLY (3) |
| involve (3) | 25;24:9,13,22,22; | 22;177:1;181:13; | 92:2;132:4,22,23; | 208:9,9,12 |
| 13:3;164:7;216:11 | 28:6,6,17;32:19; | 186:24;261:15; | 139:11;172:20 | Kendra (1) |
| involved (16) | 34:15,19,23;35:25; | 262:12;266:23; | jurisdictions (4) | 159:14 |
| 12:8;133:1;134:12; | 36:1,8,25;37:13; | 272:6;273:1;274:14; | 112:5;118:7;133:4; | KERCHECK (2) |
| 156:13,13;162:18; | 38:11;39:4,19,22,24, | 282:19;301:12 | 141:24 | 147:20,20 |
| 172:23;182:14; | 25;40:1;44:2,3,18; | Joe (3) | just- (1) | key (3) |
| 194:11,13;210:18; | 46:8;47:20,25;48:3, | 133:3;138:10; | 26:16 | 15:25;199:21; |
| 214:1;258:8,14; | 23;51:17,21,22; | 141:5 | justice (5) | 254:16 |
| 267:2;293:14 | 52:25;53:1;79:16,25; | John (24) | 6:9;98:12;111:18; | Keyhan (20) |
| involvement (1) | 80:5,9;81:22,23; | 83:3;100:6,8,11, | 115:13;294:16 | $105: 16 ; 115: 6,12$ |
| 264:8 | 223:3,4,19;224:1,3,7, | 13;109:2;131:12; | justify (1) | 17;116:2,13;124:14; |
| involves (1) | 7,9,12;297:25;298:5, | 138:18;189:12; | 147:22 | 127:5;128:20;129:2; |
| 148:20 | 8,20,23;299:15; | 198:20;206:22,24; |  | 133:2,14;140:12,23; |
| involving (1) | 300:7,24 | 207:2,5,5,5,8;225:10; | K | 142:6;144:2,5,9,12, |
| 161:22 | items (30) | 250:13;256:1; |  | 15 |
| IP (1) | 2:9;4:22;5:6; | 257:23;258:23; | Kalispell (2) | keynote (1) |
| 141:18 | 15:20;16:25;21:15, | 259:18;274:12 | 21:3;85:10 | 9:10 |
| Iranian (1) | 25;22:2,6,11,14,18; | johns (3) | Kanning (3) | Kick (2) |
| 115:24 | 23:22;25:2;27:22; | 138:12,12;258:1 | 223:20;298:2; | 80:9;208:18 |
| Ironically (2) | 28:2;32:13;36:14; | John's (2) | 299:17 | kicks (1) |
| 68:4;86:9 | 39:14;97:10,12;98:4; | 154:5;255:2 | KATE (2) | 10:14 |
| Ironwood (1) | 131:11;142:4; | Johnson (1) | 176:6,7 | kidding (1) |
| 203:25 | 171:13;226:1;242:1; | 43:15 | Kathy (2) | 9:14 |

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 325 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021
kidnapped (1) 186:24
kids (6)
9:21;107:6,10;
108:9;176:13;273:10
KIM (4)
167:24,25;178:12, 12
KIMMET (5)
213:15,16;216:7; 227:20;228:6
kind (53)
2:17;17:7;26:8; 33:23;41:12;44:13; 48:20;58:3;60:10; 62:3,11,21;63:5,5; 64:21;65:17;67:6; 70:6;72:12;77:21;
78:24;83:5;86:6,24; 89:8,9;96:19;101:19; 109:6;113:15;126:4; 130:18;140:14,15; 146:18;163:9; 174:23,23;180:6,9; 181:14;188:7;216:3; 220:14;222:9; 227:15;249:19; 262:1;274:5;278:23; 281:25;286:21; 287:22
kindergarten (1) 68:18
kindergartener (1) 52:22
kinds (5)
184:14;194:2; 247:13;260:13;289:4
King (1) 45:22
knew (3) 52:12;197:5; 237:12
knowing (2) 212:18;264:11
knowledge (4) 203:8,10;256:14, 18
known (4) 18:7;178:19;210:9; 237:12
knows (7) 48:6;78:7;135:3; 157:12;207:23; 251:2;253:21
Kraft (1) 138:17
Kukulski (51) 15:18,19;16:6,8; 19:25;20:3,8,14,25; 21:10;29:2;32:24,25; 33:21;52:10;66:7; 72:8,12,15;76:16; 78:19;82:16;83:20,

25;84:4;100:6; 101:18;105:3,8; 109:17,24;110:1,6, 11;115:5;120:25; 121:15;127:18; 136:2;148:2;165:8; 188:16;189:18; 225:8;248:9;250:1; 264:6;274:17;294:6, 9;295:20
KULR (1)
$157: 22$

label (1) 119:5
labeled (1) 119:14
labeling (1) 119:8
labor-intensive (1) 258:14
lack (8)
85:15;88:14;120:8; 124:16;164:8,16,20; 217:4
lacked (1)
164:10
lacks (1)
196:3
lady (3)
168:3;275:8,8
laid (2) 65:23;98:3
Lake (2) 45:18,20
Lane (4) 49:7;160:21; 163:25;173:9
language (19)
17:7;107:17; 136:16;137:6;
145:17;177:20;
185:15;187:2;192:4;
217:11;227:19;
228:1,5,7;243:6;
277:8;284:10;
287:12;296:14
large (4)
3:14;119:1;139:20; 141:8
largely (2)
118:13;296:10
larger (5)
140:21;143:2,3,4,7
largest (1)
10:13
LARSON (5)
153:10,10;174:25;
175:3,4
Las (1)
111:19
last (30)
4:22;12:14;14:9;
40:20;53:18;55:7;
56:3;58:2;60:9,25;
61:22;67:9;70:5;
72:3;82:18;101:19;
103:6;121:5;146:4;
163:15;165:6;
188:20;198:8;205:5;
210:25;215:25;
256:12;264:7;
291:21,23
lasting (4)
10:19;11:7;145:15;
229:15
Lastly (5)
16:24;68:15;137:4;
172:8;237:9
lasts (1)
205:2
late (2)
19:13;191:13
later (8)
9:14;22:1;24:15;
88:3;102:14;120:18;
128:15;180:12
latest (1) 183:9
laughed (2) 188:16;289:3
laundering (2)
89:17;102:7
Laurel (3)
154:6;174:1;293:5
LAUREN (3)
216:24,24;217:2
law (82)
49:17;56:10,17;
59:24;77:4;87:2;
88:11;89:18;90:13;
93:4;95:3;101:6;
107:24;110:6;111:3;
112:15;114:11,14;
115:17;116:23,24;
118:19,23;120:5,11;
123:7;125:7;130:11;
138:21;148:25;
151:17;152:4,5;
155:22;157:20;
158:1;164:13;
166:25;171:5,18;
173:2;177:5;178:7;
180:10,13;184:22;
185:23;196:25;
202:24;203:4,7;
205:20;210:17,18;
211:2,4;212:8;
215:21;216:11;
220:10;229:13;
232:21;233:25;
241:21;247:13,22;
255:7;258:13;261:3,
8,11,14,17;262:3;

264:16;268:16;
270:15;271:6,8,12, 17;295:6
law-abiding (2)
156:7,22
lawfully (1)
88:10
Lawn (1) 49:7
laws (36)
101:25;102:1,1;
111:6,7;116:21;
118:5,9,24;119:12;
123:9,13;129:10;
149:1,7,9;155:24;
157:15,17,17;158:5;
161:1,3,4,15;172:5,
15;202:23;261:13,13,
14;262:23;268:9;
278:9;292:10,12
lawsuits (4)
155:5,16,18;
165:25
lawyer (1)
196:21
lay (1)
54:14
laying (1)
124:12
layoff (1)
78:24
lead (8)
20:17;100:19;
110:18;114:14;
120:18;123:23;
127:11;207:12
Leader (1)
116:8
leaders (2)
11:13,13
leadership (3)
120:19;274:16,22
leading (6)
10:23;110:21;
111:6;120:15; 123:16;202:9
leads (1) 210:19
League (1) 213:17
learned (3) 85:1;87:16;222:24
learning (3)
272:10;274:19; 286:18
least (14)
3:23;58:13;82:9; 84:23;98:6;125:22; 135:18;146:15,16; 183:5;212:25;226:3; 258:11;268:6
leave (9)
30:3;138:6;140:3;

147:5,10;184:18;
187:20;196:4;202:15
leaves (2)
90:20;164:17
leaving (4)
3:23;138:22;
174:15;193:1
led (3)
117:4;131:19;
164:7
left (4)
12:25;109:6;
177:11;301:3
legal (15)
46:7;56:7;58:5;
63:15;123:8;144:15;
166:7,10;174:15,19;
175:10;179:1,7;
251:13;299:3
legally (12)
142:15;149:17;
156:17;162:13;
164:22;165:10;
177:10;181:10;
184:24;189:16;
203:11;259:15
legislation (6)
158:1;184:4,17;
192:6;199:22;207:21
legislative (3)
16:25;18:17;
117:17
legislatively (1) 18:9
legislators (1) 263:1
legislature (2)
17:6;262:23
legit (2) 34:3;208:1
legitimacy (1)
195:16
legitimate (41) 85:15;86:3;87:8, 21,23;89:23;91:25; 94:9;102:11,17; 114:11;120:7;123:3; 125:1;148:3;151:21; 157:4;161:6,22;
162:2;163:20;167:9; 172:7;178:8;181:7; 184:3,19;185:17; 194:21;198:7,17;
200:3;204:13,19; 221:10,11;226:24; 240:8,11;286:17; 296:25
legs (1) 206:4
Lemon (1) 110:8
length (1)
86:18

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 326 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021

| lengthy (2) | 167:5;170:1;193:19; | lighting (1) | 266:23;275:12 | located (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39:21;101:13 | 197:12;207:15; | 200:17 | lists (1) | 2:16;49:20;59:17; |
| less (14) | 220:11;234:18; | lights (2) | 86:11 | 298:12 |
| 67:2,2;102:9; | 236:25;237:7; | 26:11;27:6 | literally (2) | locates (1) |
| 107:12;129:20; | 252:13,16,22,25; | likely (3) | 16:13;181:4 | 79:5 |
| 146:13,24;186:16; | 253:1,5,10,14,16,16; | 93:1;114:15; | litter (4) | locating (2) |
| 218:3;255:17;290:3; | 254:5,10,10,14; | 155:19 | 12:2,22,25;13:22 | 72:24;79:12 |
| 295:6;296:1,24 | 256:24;258:20,21,22, | likes (1) | littering (1) | Location (3) |
| letter (2) | 24,25;265:14,16; | 172:15 | 11:14 | 73:8,9;99:8 |
| 214:22;255:2 | 267:7,15;268:23,24; | Likewise (1) | little (31) | Location-wise (1) |
| letters (1) | 269:6;275:11;290:8, | 192:11 | 13:1;14:2;18:7; | 75:7 |
| 139:16 | 11;295:10;296:17,20 | limit (2) | 35:8;52:7;58:1;59:9; | locator (2) |
| letting (4) | licensed (29) | 128:21;169:3 | 61:8;62:17;74:24; | 73:18,22 |
| 221:4;260:22; | 87:14,16;89:13; | limitations (1) | 91:10;92:15;103:24; | locators (7) |
| 275:3,23 | 94:16;122:23; | 262:10 | 112:2;114:25; | 72:4,24;73:2,11, |
| level (11) | 123:18;124:1,3,7,20; | limited (10) | 116:16;125:20; | 13;74:11,12 |
| 18:10;68:19; | 136:7,8;154:11; | 3:10,13;17:14; | 127:19;132:18; | lock (5) |
| 119:21;132:7;133:5; | 158:13;159:4;160:3; | 107:12;128:2; | 150:9,15;176:8; | 95:21;149:10; |
| 169:13;172:13; | 166:22;168:1; | 168:24;209:6,9; | 178:24;193:17; | 205:16,18;296:19 |
| 197:23;254:13; | 173:17;196:11; | 210:14;247:5 | 208:16;223:18; | locked (13) |
| 274:11;295:4 | 211:1,10,19;236:19; | limits (3) | 241:12;263:1; | 87:10;96:1,5,5,9; |
| levels (1) | 259:19;265:9; | 159:1,2;260:14 | 270:18;278:5;293:3 | 123:20;145:11; |
| 254:15 | 296:10,24,25 | Lincoln (1) | live (4) | 155:1;180:18; |
| leverage (3) | licensee (1) | 59:18 | 11:1;59:15;154:5; | 193:11;218:10; |
| 67:1,5,8 | 253:15 | line (10) | 167:25 | 252:15;296:18 |
| levies (3) | licenses (21) | 5:18;64:2;65:13; | livelihood (1) | Locker (2) |
| 17:25;18:1;203:17 | 92:4;95:1,2; | 76:20,21;77:15;78:1; | 183:2 | 9:18,24 |
| lewd (1) | 132:13;141:9; | 179:15;184:5;200:14 | lives (3) | locking (1) |
| 183:20 | 142:15;167:2,3; | lines (6) | 18:25;130:15; | 191:24 |
| LFA (1) | 177:7;181:10; | 63:11,21;79:8; | 180:16 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }}$ (28) |
| 57:2 | 189:10;194:21; | 135:11;221:10,12 | living (6) | 95:10,15;145:8; |
| LGBTQ (3) | 200:1;220:11,24; | lineup (1) | 12:16;97:2;171:16, | 215:13,14;228:10; |
| 69:13,22;225:21 | 221:6;241:7;288:15; | 109:20 | 17;183:21;218:9 | 229:21;231:8,20; |
| liabilities (1) | 295:18,21;297:4 | lingerie (2) | Liz (1) | 232:17;233:2,17; |
| 40:25 | licensing (26) | 113:25;202:18 | 31:24 | 234:5,7,13,22;235:5, |
| liability (1) | 81:25;91:22,24; | lingo (1) | LLC (5) | 13;239:25,25;240:1, |
| 154:22 | 93:2;149:19;153:24; | 173:15 | 37:4;53:3;54:4; | 5,11,17;241:4,8; |
| liable (2) | 156:20;158:7,12,18; | link (1) | 80:16;298:14 | 269:24;286:20 |
| 143:25;161:12 | 162:15;174:6,8,12; | 61:6 | LMT's (8) | logs (6) |
| liberty (2) | 183:17;193:12; | linkage (1) | 161:7;171:3;183:1; | 145:5,6,21;160:23; |
| 6:9;115:8 | 200:15;220:4;221:9; | 61:8 | 203:2;205:5,6,24; | 233:13;235:14 |
| library (18) | 224:18;251:20,23; | links (1) | 226:8 | long (25) |
| 5:1;21:19,19;40:2, | 294:5;295:12,13,14 | 59:14 | loaded (1) | 12:19,20;36:23; |
| 13;42:1,22;43:16,17; | licensure (4) | lips (1) | 73:19 | 66:11;73:6;86:1; |
| 48:1,7;79:20,24; | 183:22;195:14,20, | 180:25 | loading (1) | 102:25;115:13; |
| 80:2;179:22;187:17; | 22 | liquidated (2) | 14:3 | 130:14;135:17; |
| 191:1;301:3 | licensures (1) | 62:20,22 | lobbies (1) | 136:10;155:7; |
| library's (1) | 241:14 | list (6) | 113:16 | 181:25;199:11; |
| 3:16 | lie (1) | 164:18;183:23; | lobby (6) | 205:13;206:1;245:1; |
| license (89) | 204:9 | 200:18;227:17; | 3:12,18,22;4:1; | 258:15;270:2; |
| 87:18;88:1,2,10; | lied (1) | 241:23;250:20 | 83:24;120:1 | 275:14;281:10,17; |
| 90:18;92:8;93:11,12, | 197:5 | listed (5) | local (17) | 289:9,19;297:4 |
| 16,20,25;94:18; | lieu (2) | 92:22;93:4,18; | 18:8,18;29:19; | longer (9) |
| 97:21,24,24;98:1,14, | 50:7;51:10 | 167:10;200:4 | 51:13;55:3,15;56:18; | 94:6;140:20;186:8; |
| 23;99:13,25;101:16; | life (3) | listen (6) | 57:1,7,9;65:25;85:2; | 187:15,23;267:3; |
| 102:6;107:22;124:8, | 6:16;208:23;217:9 | 158:14;160:10; | 117:10;158:22; | 272:3;289:18;296:12 |
| 10;130:21;136:12, | lifeline (1) | 199:9;200:23; | 182:12;205:8;261:14 | long-term (1) |
| 17;137:2;139:14; | 290:16 | 216:12;277:1 | local-level (1) | 182:21 |
| 140:18,24;141:2,11, | lifetime (1) | listened (2) | 117:9 | Look (41) |
| 18,21;142:7,8,10,20; | 279:23 | 85:1;186:19 | locally (2) | 14:10;15:4;25:15; |
| 143:1,4;149:16; | light (4) | listening (6) | 83:5,8 | 43:10;51:12;56:5; |
| 156:17;157:22; | 6:24;26:9;27:10; | 118:22;163:11; | locate (1) | 60:20;61:10;79:19; |
| 159:17;162:12; | 222:10 | 191:13;200:22; | 79:8 | 81:1,2;82:13;83:16; |
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| 88:23;113:7,10,23; | low (8) | 196:2;220:14; | March (8) | 17;199:6,8,15;200:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 117:24;135:23; | 33:8;34:8;35:13; | 241:12;246:21; | 2:4;8:7,11,13,16; | 9,12,24;204:3,5,14, |
| 146:7;156:5;190:1; | 40:23;255:4;257:4, | 273:11 | 39:6,6;298:24 | 23;205:1,25;206:3,3, |
| 202:1;213:7;215:24, | 22;258:5 | making (17) | marijuana (2) | 6,10;208:12,22,24; |
| 25;218:2;241:8,9,21; | lower (1) | 12:17;27:2,3;30:6; | 259:13,17 | 209:4,7,9;211:1,10, |
| 244:21;248:6;263:1; | 29:16 | 67:16;70:19;71:14; | mark (9) | 20;212:14;213:3,17; |
| 264:16;267:9;268:5; | lower-income (1) | 132:19;151:13; | 79:10;201:3,5,5,6, | 214:11,14,19;215:1, |
| 273:1;279:14; | 45:12 | 164:21;167:6; | 9,10,11,12 | 2,6,9,16;217:3;218:5, |
| 282:11,24;288:4 | lowering (2) | 194:21;212:23; | market (3) | 11,13;219:21;221:13, |
| looked (11) | 43:9;265:25 | 228:23;272:17; | 29:17;40:14;65:24 | 14,16;222:4,5; |
| 21:2;25:17;86:21; | lowest (3) | 277:3;281:15 | markets (1) | 224:17;226:19,19,23; |
| 175:8;209:12;238:2; | 31:1;35:20;42:10 | $\boldsymbol{m a n}(1)$ | 71:20 | 232:3,18,24,25; |
| 244:21;258:12; | low-income (5) | 163:1 | mask (3) | 234:15,16;236:19,25; |
| 292:6,11;294:17 | 44:5;45:3;47:22; | manage (1) | 4:15,20;52:18 | 241:5,7,21,22; |
| looking (23) | 49:25;50:1 | 267:11 | masks (3) | 243:15,22;245:2; |
| 28:11;55:20;56:6; | lubricants (1) | managed (1) | 4:6,9,13 | 253:14;257:19; |
| 72:19;73:21,22;84:1; | 97:9 | 217:7 | masquerading (1) | 265:9;272:5;273:6; |
| 106:5;123:14;127:7, | luckily (1) | manager (4) | 102:11 | 274:2;275:11,19; |
| 22;142:23;159:11; | 208:18 | 30:5;46:22;53:17 | Mass (2) | 286:17;287:14; |
| 241:16,25;242:4; | lump (1) | 62:8 | 116:6;149:8 | 288:20;290:4;292:8; |
| 250:6,19;253:6; | 195:25 | managers (1) | massacre (1) | 293:13;295:22; |
| 254:1;258:11; | lumped (1) | 103:3 | 212:15 | 296:11,20,24,25 |
| 262:12,22 | 214:3 | managing (1) | massage (247) | massages (3) |
| looks (5) | lumping (1) | 85:11 | 16:22;21:24;81:24; | 92:19;180:4; |
| 63:3;65:12;82:9; | 220:15 | mandate (1) | 85:16;87:8,17;89:24; | 240:18 |
| 201:3;240:11 | luncheon (1) | 78:22 | 90:16,17,23;91:13, | masseuses (1) |
| Los (1) | 13:3 | mandates (2) | 16,21,21,25;92:9,11, | 288:23 |
| 107:18 | lured (1) | 149:8;257:10 | 11,12;93:1,25;94:17, | massive (1) |
| lose (2) | 186:23 | mandating (3) | 24;95:2,8,12;96:22; | 248:7 |
| 19:7;155:19 |  | 183:22;215:16,18 | 97:3,6,10;98:14; | match (3) |
| loss (1) | M | mandatory (2) | 102:12,16,17,18; | 240:20;242:3; |
| 155:4 |  | 290:19;291:7 | 106:10,12;107:4,21; | 266:4 |
| lost (2) | ma'am (1) | Mann (1) | 112:3;113:2,8,24; | matches (1) |
| 79:2;177:8 | 152:10 | 106:25 | 114:16;116:23; | 241:10 |
| lot (48) | machine (2) | manner (2) | 117:6,22;118:14,16, | material (1) |
| 19:12;25:8;70:8, | 219:18;252:15 | 124:6;271:7 | 17;119:2;120:10; | 237:10 |
| 10;71:3,6,9,12;79:9; | machines (1) | manpower (1) | 122:24;123:3,18; | materially (2) |
| 82:7;92:20;122:23; | 170:6 | 189:13 | 124:1,3,16,17,18,24; | 137:8;236:25 |
| 146:3;156:10; | Madison (2) | manufactured (1) | 125:8;130:18,22; | materials (3) |
| 166:16;168:4,11,19; | 54:5,11 | 99:15 | 132:22;133:22,24; | 56:3,4;58:22 |
| 169:13,15;194:14; | magazines (1) | many (46) | 134:1,5;136:7,12; | math (1) |
| 209:1,2;219:7,12; | 107:17 | 13:21;25:18;54:24; | 145:21;148:3; | 106:15 |
| 222:24;243:23; | Mahatma (1) | 66:22;68:11;84:4; | 153:11;154:11; | matter (10) |
| 244:6,13;247:4; | 6:21 | 87:16,16;88:4;101:9; | 156:1,6,7,22;157:4, | 3:24;17:19;66:6; |
| 262:21;272:10,13,14, | main (1) | 103:14;132:16; | 21,22;158:3,11,14, | 75:24;111:3;149:24; |
| 21;274:15,16; | 299:6 | 135:5;143:9;155:10; | 23;159:4,6,17;160:3; | 194:16;205:13,21; |
| 285:23;288:20; | Maine (1) | 157:10;161:5;162:4, | 161:8,13,13;162:2; | 257:6 |
| 290:3,8;292:7,8,11, | 71:5 | 5;173:1;183:25; | 163:20;165:4,10,19; | matters (1) |
| 16;293:13,14;297:23 | mains (1) | 184:5;186:14; | 166:18,21;167:7; | 177:15 |
| lots (1) | 77:13 | 190:11;192:1;200:8; | 168:1,15,16,22; | maxes (1) |
| 200:17 | maintain (6) | 210:11;211:9,14; | 169:2,12,22,23; | 21:2 |
| loud (3) | 4:2;47:9;59:14; | 212:14;213:2;215:2; | 170:1;172:9;173:5, | maximum (2) |
| 2:22;100:9;205:14 | 94:23;95:1,8 | 219:8,25,25;222:1; | 18,22;174:2,5,8,20; | 61:12,16 |
| loudly (2) | maintained (1) | 225:11,22;240:18; | 176:22;177:2,17,22; | may (71) |
| 5:1;90:10 | 95:15 | 256:16;262:1;272:7; | 179:16;181:7,9; | 4:14;13:17;23:4; |
| Louise (1) | major (3) | 288:12;295:18; | 183:10,15,24,25; | 35:7;55:18;57:2; |
| 173:9 | 86:10;296:21,23 | 296:7;297:5 | 184:3,19;185:8,13, | 82:10,12;94:4;95:21; |
| love (3) | majority (5) | $\boldsymbol{m a p}(2)$ | 17,21,22;187:3; | 96:1,4,5;97:16,22; |
| 6:25;14:7;169:14 | 141:2;160:16; | 88:13,19 | 188:13,18;189:3,4,7, | 99:15;101:3;104:14; |
| lovely (1) | 194:15;299:2,5 | maps (2) | 10,17,18,21;190:3,4, | 109:7,10;114:23; |
| 65:11 | makes (9) | 166:9,10 | 5,6,9;192:13,24; | 122:22,23;125:18,21; |
| loves (1) | 52:14;119:3; | marathon (1) | 193:25;194:15,20,21; | 128:15;136:24; |
| 203:16 | 148:14;177:17; | 222:23 | 195:10,18;198:7,15, | 145:8,15,22;149:21; |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 155:1,3;163:8; \& 16,16;126:2,9;127:4, \& M \& (1) \& 47:11;49:9,14;50:4 <br>
\hline 74:11 \& \& \& 46:4 \& 53:16;65:20;81:10; <br>
\hline 91:9,18 \& 0,2, \& \& megabit (1) \& 97:3;104:23;105:19; <br>
\hline :25;195:3 \& 133:1 \& 6:8;34 \& 71:13 \& 31:4;135:24;146:2; <br>
\hline 198:25; \& , \& 137 \& AN \& 150:20;162:9; <br>
\hline 201:7;206:18;208 \& 138:2;139:24;140:2, \& 143:6,9;150:22; \& 219 \& 13. <br>
\hline \& 4;144:4,7,11,14,16 \& 173:5,11;189:7 \& MEL \& <br>
\hline 215:14;216:22; \& 145:24;147:12,17; \& 196:16;230 \& 25:15;26 \& 218:22;220:21; <br>
\hline 28:1 \& 150:1,7,8,11,13,19 \& 231:3;233:3,5;2 \& $$
18: 3
$$ \& 236:1,4;238:20; <br>
\hline $$
14,16,20 ; 23
$$ \& $$
25 ; 151: 6 ; 152: 10,1
$$ \& $$
249: 20 ; 250:
$$ \& 3; \& 43:17;288: <br>
\hline $$
14,16,19 ; 240: 1
$$ \& \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 251: 24 ; 257: \\
& 262: 13 ; 263:
\end{aligned}
$$ \& member (163) \& $$
\begin{array}{ll}
9: 1: 10
\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 4:24;265:10; \& \& 19;277:23 \& , \& men (5) <br>
\hline 286:24;293:4 \& 164:4,15;167:23 \& 5,6;286:4; \& 20;9:6,6,16;10: \& 113:5;114 <br>
\hline aybe (23) \& (1) \& 89:20 \& ,19.20,24.1, \& 124:23;180 <br>
\hline 19:9;65:13 \& 174:21;175: \& means (13) \& 20,25;25:3;28:7 \& Mentari (1) <br>
\hline 89 \& \& 40:17;5 \& ;31:1 \& 186:21 <br>
\hline 125:23 \& \& 92:12;106:22;133:3; \& \& <br>
\hline 131:18;171:16 \& 187:16;188:9,14,24; \& 176:10;192:3 \& 34:22;35:10,23;36:9, \& $18: 4$

1 <br>
\hline 188:16;223:23 \& 190:23;191:8,11 \& 282:1 \& \& entioned (11) <br>
\hline 227:20;249:18; \& 222:18;223:10,12, \& 285:4;290:24;293 \& 38:15,20,23;39 \& 84:16;88:21; <br>
\hline 254:12;259:9; \& 224:20:227:7,12 \& meant (7) \& 11,13,18 \& 119:11;121 <br>
\hline 264:11;269:9 \& 228:21;229:6,25 \& 197:9;2 \& 43:4,8;48:2,10;69:3, \& 22:18;132:1; <br>
\hline 278:24;283:6 \& 230:6,10;231:16,19; \& 84 \& 15;70:3,3,22;71:25; \& 6:8;261:18 <br>
\hline 290:14;293:5;300 \& 232:11;235:17 \& :1 \& 73:15;74:3;75:2 \& 279:20;281:24 <br>
\hline MAYOR (356) \& 5;2 \& antime (1) \& 11,19,23;81: \& Merchandise (1) <br>
\hline 2:2;7:7:8:5,6, \& 0.21 \& 257:2 \& 104:23;108:14; \& 97:8 <br>
\hline 15 \& \& urab \& 115:20;122:7,7,1 \& mercy (1) <br>
\hline 11:22 \& 243:1;247:17; \& 0:25 \& 125:15;126:10; \& 205:19 <br>

\hline 14:11,13,16,22, 24.15.10,19•19 \& 49:13;250:3 \& | measure |
| :--- |
| 129:3 | \& | 128:11;130:4;131:8; |
| :--- |
| 135:21.25:138:8: | \& MERECKI <br>

\hline 18,19;21:13;22:15, \& 53:24;254:24,2 \& Meat (2) \& 140:1;144:189 \& meshing (1) <br>
\hline 23;23:1,2,6,10,16,20 \& 255:19,24;256:1 \& :18,2 \& 146:25;151: \& 262:1 <br>
\hline 24:4,8,10,24;25:4; \& 259:4,6,8,21,24 \& mechanics \& 164:16;165:2;194 \& mess (1) <br>
\hline 27:20;28:10,23;29 \& 0:24:264:2,20 \& 92:6 \& 224:13,21,23;225:3 \& 190:15 <br>
\hline 7,22,24;30:11,14; \& 265:2,17,19;266 \& mechanism \& 227:8;228:22;230:2, \& message (4) <br>
\hline 31:14,18;32:9 \& 12,15,20;268:18 \& 102:5 \& 7,9:231:17:237 \& 117:12;11 <br>
\hline 25;33:14,17;34:1 \& \& media (2) \& \& 257:5 <br>
\hline 35:4,9,22,23;36:1, \& 271:23;272:1; \& 70:25 \& 239-23.241.1.19 \& messed (1) <br>
\hline 11,21;37:5,19;38:1, \& 8,13 \& medical ( \& 42:7,9,25;244 \& 188:2 <br>
\hline 16,18,23;39:12;40:6 \& 11,15,18;278:1 \& 2:24;148 \& 246:25;2 \& et (5) <br>
\hline 9;42:3,8,11,24;43:5, \& 79:1,2,9,12;280 \& 149:4;161:1; \& 249:14;25 \& 2:4,8;70 <br>
\hline 12;44:10,16,22,25; \& 281:1,3,6,20,22 \& 168:15;169:6,8 \& 251:11;252:6,8 \& 220:18 <br>
\hline 46:25;47:3,10;48:9 \& 283:7,21;284:2 \& 72:11;178:14 \& 3:23;2 \& methamp <br>
\hline 10,13,19;49:9;51:16 \& 285:3,11,15,1 \& 2:14;193:20 \& 259 \& 176:14 <br>
\hline 20;52:10,21;53:7,12 \& 286:8;287:5,20 \& 226:20;230:19,2 \& 2;260:25;264:2 \& method (1) <br>
\hline 15, \& 88:6,9;289 \& 259:13,17;2 \& :18;266:10,1 \& 184:13 <br>
\hline 64:19,25;65:3,6 \& \& 273 \& \& methodical <br>
\hline 16,20;66:2,7;68 \& \& \& \& 254:18 <br>

\hline 69:7;70:1,5;71:24; \& $$
18,22 ; 300: 2,20,23
$$ \& \& $71 \cdot 1$ \& methods <br>

\hline $$
75: 18,22 ; 76: 11,25
$$ \& \& \& \& 8.2 <br>

\hline ,11,19;78:9 \& 8:5;238 \& 89:14 \& 283:7,16,24;284:22; \& 53:3;54:4;58:1,7; <br>
\hline 13,18;79:15;80:8,18 \& MCA (1) \& meeting \& 285:19;286:10,11,1 \& 62:25;79:17;80:1,16 <br>
\hline 81:4,13,16,21;82:3 \& 4:8 \& 2:5,7,10;3:9,18 \& 287:6,21;288:8 \& mic (1) <br>
\hline 22;83:16,22;94:1 \& McCa \& 4:11;6:2;22:1 \& 290:21;291:9,19 \& 150:15 <br>
\hline 100:9,12;101:19 \& 225: \& 46:8;47:5,17;58:16; \& 293:20;2 \& MICHAELSO <br>
\hline 103:16,21;104:18 \& \& \& 299:19 \& 160:20,21 <br>
\hline 105:8,18;108:13; \& $9: 20$,
MDT \& 223:16;236:8;300: \& members (49) \& 88:17 <br>
\hline 109:7,13,15,16,1 \& MDT \& meetings (6) \& 8.9.2.12 \& 88:17 <br>

\hline 114:22;115:2,5; \& 7:15 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 84: 22 ; 148: 2 \\
& 190: 13 ; 205
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9: 2 ; 12 \\
& 7,12: 2
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& microphone (3) <br>

\hline 22,23;122:12;125:14, \& 44:6;45:8,16;47:22 \& 219:24;293:14 \& 37:9;39:2,17;43:24; \& microphones (1) <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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| 2:10 | minutes (20) | 141:14 | 159:11;167:18; | 15;283:15,16,18; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| middle (3) | 5:14;8:7,10,11,13, | mongering (1) | 169:18;173:2; | 285:6,18;286:9,16; |
| 19:13;153:16; | 16;22:20;49:2;63:6; | 204:7 | 181:19;182:19; | 288:10;291:2,7,10, |
| 201:24 | 83:10;103:20,23; | Mongolian (1) | 184:23;185:25; | 16,18;293:19;297:10, |
| midnight (2) | 104:9;108:1;119:17; | 111:12 | 186:17;194:16; | 16,21;300:3,5,11,12, |
| 250:15;297:23 | 146:13,15,25;177:14; | monitor (2) | 195:11;196:19; | 13 |
| midst (1) | 199:19 | 3:18;48:21 | 197:22;200:16; | motion- (1) |
| 185:4 | mirror (1) | monitoring (1) | 204:18;206:4; | 43:21 |
| might (24) | 86:25 | 30:1 | 207:12,16;209:6,10, | motivating (1) |
| 3:2,9,15;12:15; | mirrors (1) | Montana (59) | 12;222:17;226:10, | 11:18 |
| 27:1;29:10;48:4; | 145:16 | 9:11;15:8;18:9; | 12;228:14;229:16; | motive (1) |
| 49:15;109:19; | misapplication (1) | 19:1;20:11;26:10,11, | 230:12;231:1; | 212:16 |
| 120:20;125:4;129:8, | 199:16 | 22;27:6,13,14;45:4; | 234:14;238:4; | Mountain (1) |
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Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-10 Filed 11/28/23 Page 340 of 350
Billings City Council Meeting 4.12.2021

| 275:2 | 193:24;196:9,17 | share (13) | ing (2) | simpler (1) |
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| separate (8) | 95:20;101:3;14 | 14;43:8;159:14; | 162:3;184:17; | 251:4;2 |
| 24:2,14,15; | 0:3,4;206:2; | 236:2;238:14 | 190:14;191:1 | site (7) |
| 146:21;196:1 | 208:14;213:18 | 263:19,24;270:6,7, | 196:10;204:1 | 72:21;73:7;113 |
| 239:17;259:3 | 254:15;261:18; | 21;279:16,17; | 211:3,12;263:6 | 96:6,11;218 |
| separated (3) | severe (1) | 291:15 | 287:14;290:12 | 294:17 |
| 28:6;37:13;170:10 | 5 : | Shaw- | 295:9;297:2 | sitting (6) |
| Separation (3) | sewer | 24.7 | shut | 2:8;122:1;163:10 |
| 24:4;28:7;37: | 72:25;73 | Shawn | 152:1;205:1 | 268:8,11;275:25 |
| separations (4) | $\boldsymbol{s e x}$ (50) | 4:7 | 264:15 | situated (1) |
| 23:24;24:11,12, | 6:9,2 | Shaw's | side (10) | 271:6 |
| Sergeant (2) | 110:15;113:4,5,10 | 104:23 | 2:23;17 | situation (6) |
| 9:20,21 | 1;114:16;119:4 | sheet (1) | 1:7,10;112:14 | 8:21;155:12, |
| Series (2) | 124:23;134:22,2 | 194:6 | 128:1;156:10;166:6; | 251:17;253:9;254:7; |
| 41:24,25 | 135:3,8;138:24 | sheriff (1) | 201:20 | 256:20 |
| serious (1) | 141:18;148:5 | 187:24 | sidebar | situations (3) |
| 178:1 | 150:23;151: | S | 3:1,8 | 25:19;140:19 |
| seriously | 5:13;157:14 | 110:8 | sides (3) | 246:6 |
| 220:2;263:2 | 158:6,10,20,24 | shift (3) | 67:10;27 | $\boldsymbol{s i x}(3)$ |
| serve ( | 159:2;162:5,7;1 | 21:5;119:14;267: | 294:10 | 104:8; |
| 14:19;46: | 66:23;168:5; | shifted | siding (5) | 160:4 |
| served (3) | 173:19;180:8 | 21:4 | 24:6;34:20; | six-month |
| 60:22;71:8 | 85:16;186:25 | Shiloh (5) | 17;37:3 | 55:24 |
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| 66:15,17;73:9;125:1; | 212:17;249:21 | 26:17;148 |  | 198:5 |
| 149:3;153:16; | 296:13,23 | 292 | 17;25:7;72: | ski (1) |
| 219:25;298:13 | sex-traffick | sh | signals (3) | 65:12 |
| services (20) | - 3 | 175:20 | 25:11;26:15; | skies (1) |
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| session | 218:18;268:2 | shortc | ignificantly (1) | 116:4;186:25 |
| 18:17;19:10;53:19 | sexually (4) | 268:16 | 1:2 | eping (1) |
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| 154:20 | Shandra (1) | 133:23;141:16; | milarly (2) | 86:4,17;88:13,20; |
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| snickers (2) | 205:15;207:14; | 2:19,22;4:24;5:1,4, | 183:8;194:23; | 6:3;114:18;150:18; |
| 168:4,8 | 214:5,25;226:9; | 7,9;16:7,9;22:13; | 295:2 | 203:7;206:13;212:8, |
| snows (1) | 268:4;286:25 | 27:24;29:15;52:17; | spent (3) | 8,9,10,21;244:16; |
| 12:24 | someplace (1) | 76:18;82:24;83:17; | 115:14;198:6,8 | 293:8 |
| social (10) | 278:25 | 90:10;105:16; | spiel (1) | standard (9) |
| 3:13;4:2,7;70:25; | something's (1) | 125:19,20;147:11; | 263:3 | 33:5,10;60:12; |
| 140:21;143:2,7,8; | 257:12 | 175:25;181:3;214:8; | spiral (1) | 62:3;181:21,23,23; |
| 230:14;264:17 | sometime (1) | 216:18;222:17; | 233:6 | 287:9,15 |
| society (3) | 291:23 | 223:22;224:24; | spoke (3) | standards (4) |
| 6:18;175:9;222:7 | sometimes (1) | 227:9;239:1;270:17; | 53:18;72:3;272:4 | 58:17,18;63:17; |
| software (3) | 272:18 | 277:4;286:12 | spoken (3) | 197:13 |
| 25:11,16;26:7 | somewhat (1) | speaker (5) | 3:25;80:14;224:15 | standing (5) |
| SOLBERG (5) | 97:25 | 174:23;178:11; | sports (1) | 3:21;6:4;58:8; |
| 150:18;151:2,3; | somewhere (4) | 206:17,21;265:5 | 92:24 | 175:1,5 |
| 178:12,12 | 74:22;82:14;280:9 | speakers (3) | spread (5) | standpoint (1) |
| sold (2) | 293:7 | 2:11;174:22; | 4:4;186:5;204:8; | 141:12 |
| 186:25;296:13 | sorrow (1) | 281:24 | 205:3;221:23 | stands (3) |
| sole (9) | 162:25 | speaking (6) | spreads (2) | 6:8;175:21;222:9 |
| 154:14;163:6; | sorry (34) | 3:6;4:14,17; | 204:9;221:25 | staring (1) |
| 168:14;191:23; | 32:23,25;36:7; | 160:17;175:5;255:3 | spring (2) | 268:11 |
| 249:12;256:7; | 57:13;78:18,19; | speaks (1) | 10:15;11:5 | start (16) |
| 268:23;269:6;295:24 | 81:13;83:16,18; | 115:25 | squarely (2) | 22:5;28:8;48:1; |
| solely (1) | 127:3,4;128:22; | special (18) | 20:19;248:19 | 56:5;102:15;104:14; |
| 106:21 | 130:4;134:1;144:18; | 105:2,10,20; | squeeze (1) | 118:17;127:22; |
| solicit (1) | 152:8,12;188:2,20, | 109:10;122:3,10; | 206:4 | 128:4;187:19;188:9; |
| 46:3 | 24;189:1;190:19; | 126:4;131:4,11; | St (20) | 249:24;252:20; |
| solicitation (1) | 197:11;207:2,19; | 132:1;133:18,19; | 83:3;100:6,8,11, | 262:22;267:24; |
| 138:13 | 210:2,3;235:3;250:1; | 137:22;138:2,5; | 13;109:2;131:12; | 288:14 |
| soliciting (2) | 266:15,18;285:15; | 141:23;177:18;188:2 | 154:5;167:25;189:2, | started (4) |
| 208:16,21 | 297:13;300:20 | specialization (1) | 12;198:20;225:9 | 70:10;104:19; |
| solid (1) | sort (8) | 255:9 | 250:13;255:1;256:1; | 106:7;223:18 |
| 184:16 | 70:18;73:1;104:19; | specific (9) | 257:23;258:23; | starters (1) |
| solo (9) | 117:8;118:19;119:4; | 26:25;77:5;139:10; | 259:18;274:12 | 188:13 |
| 24:9;92:18;93:17; | 174:2,25 | 172:17;183:15; | stab (2) | starting (3) |
| 136:6,10;160:7; | sought (1) | 268:1;270:25; | 77:20;269:16 | 41:14;124:21; |
| 168:14;236:19; | 183:14 | 278:18;284:10 | STACEY (19) | 274:18 |
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| starts (2) | statistics (2) | 256:25;293:6 | 264:10 | 89:10;290:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:14;148:2 | 84:7;215:5 | stopped (1) | struggles (1) | successes (1) |
| starving (1) | stats (1) | 130:9 | 158:9 | 85:3 |
| 207:17 | 86:5 | stopping (2) | studies (2) | successful (2) |
| State (85) | status (4) | 260:9,17 | 115:10;183:9 | 18:12;255:8 |
| 9:11;25:9,12,13, | 50:17;51:9;107:21; | stops (4) | studio (1) | successfully (3) |
| 25;26:6;30:16;33:11; | 254:5 | 27:7;128:25; | 208:19 | 20:11;115:23; |
| 46:1;49:17;55:3; | statute (6) | 138:22;218:24 | study (9) | 183:18 |
| 57:3;77:4;90:15,22, | 18:13;20:3,5; | storage (1) | 49:18;86:21;91:19; | successive (1) |
| 24;91:4,12,15;93:4; | 69:20,23;123:15 | 165:20 | 119:16,19;183:12,13; | 245:22 |
| 95:1,3;98:14;99:13; | stay (3) | stories (3) | 184:11;200:11 | Sudafed (2) |
| 101:4;102:1;103:3,4, | 70:15;119:16; | 85:10;201:23; | stuff (3) | 176:13,16 |
| 12;105:11;106:13, | 217:21 | 292:3 | 181:21;215:18; | Sudafed's (1) |
| 23;108:6;109:4; | stayed (1) | storm (2) | 258:2 | 176:14 |
| 110:20;111:5; | 61:22 | 72:25;73:12 | sub (1) | sudden (1) |
| 114:12;116:23; | staying (1) | storming (1) | 34:1 | 255:14 |
| 118:6;121:18; | 195:23 | 249:22 | sub-i (1) | suggest (4) |
| 123:10;132:7; | stays (1) | story (10) | 145:3 | 36:21;121:4;176:3; |
| 135:11;138:15; | 125:10 | 186:12;187:4,6,11; | subject (15) | 278:14 |
| 145:16;148:22; | steep (1) | 201:20;203:1; | 93:15;111:3;123:8, | suggested (4) |
| 154:23;157:22; | 128:8 | 205:23;206:8; | 12,19;165:20; | 136:4;137:6;211:1; |
| 166:25;171:3; | step (8) | 217:18;221:19 | 176:12;177:14 | 283:11 |
| 172:13,21;176:9; | 108:14;150:9,16 | straight (1) | 192:8;205:21; | suggesting (2) |
| 184:22;189:8; | 17;185:18;198:11 | 202:13 | 218:19;228:11; | 144:23;284:17 |
| 194:22;195:14,22; | 246:19;296:23 | straightforward (3) | 229:22;235:2;276:10 | suggestion (1) |
| 197:22;200:9; | Stephanie (8) | 128:7;140:6; | subjects (1) | 228:8 |
| 214:19;215:5; | 209:23,25;210:2,4, | 278:20 | 124:22 | suggestive (1) |
| 220:10,11;221:5; | 7;212:20;213:6,11 | strain (1) | submit (5) | 218:8 |
| 241:21;249:3; | stepping (1) | 52:3 | 63:12,19;64:3,5; | suit (2) |
| 253:14,15,17,18; | 268:21 | strange (1) | 98:10 | 192:19;293:8 |
| 254:6,13,14;258:22, | stewards (1) | 180:9 | submitted (3) | suited (1) |
| 24;261:13;262:4,22, | 11:19 | strategies (1) | 56:2;99:10;135:23 | 31:24 |
| 23;267:16;280:17; | stewardship (1) | 115:9 | subpoena (5) | Sullivan (3) |
| 292:10,11;294:15 | 12:3 | straw (1) | 240:2;242:2,14,17, | 225:1,2,7 |
| stated (14) | stick (1) | 159:8 | 21 | summary (2) |
| 38:17;45:2;98:10; | 108:1 | street (9) | subpoenaed (1) | 41:12;137:16 |
| 134:1;157:6;158:19, | still (35) | 13:24;26:9;27:5, | 140:15 | summer (1) |
| 23;159:20;161:5,18; | 4:2;5:21,21;51:2; | 10;180:6;183:13; | subpoenas (1) | 291:23 |
| 165:9;192:1;196:22; | 66:22;93:11;99:6; | 184:10,16;256:23 | 242:13 | sunset (33) |
| 281:9 | 134:14;149:1;155:8; | streetlights (3) | sub-r (1) | 128:17,18,21; |
| state-level (2) | 157:12;158:9,24; | 38:4,5;72:25 | 145:4 | 129:4,23;276:17,18, |
| 124:16;131:25 | 177:1;191:5;204:17, | streets (5) | subscriber (3) | 21;277:10,24,25; |
| statement (3) | 21;223:3,19,20; | 25:13;26:22;73:12; | 61:17,21;67:2 | 278:15;279:7,18; |
| 58:22;100:4;278:6 | 224:7;267:6;268:10, | 203:13;210:12 | subscribers (2) | 280:2,15,18,21,22, |
| States (17) | 24;269:7,8,13;270:1; | strengthen (1) | 59:22;61:18 | 25;281:7;282:9,10, |
| 6:7;67:15;110:23; | 282:3,22;297:1,25; | 198:17 | subsection (4) | 10,13;283:2,9,22; |
| 111:1,10,19;112:5; | 298:2,7;301:1 | strengths (1) | 229:1,3,8,12 | 284:2,7,17;288:3; |
| 115:12;117:11; | sting (3) | 40:21 | subsidiary (1) | 289:17 |
| 120:15;154:17; | 110:15,25;151:17 | stretches (1) | 54:8 | sunseted (1) |
| 158:8;165:19; | stings (1) | 272:3 | subsidized (1) | 284:15 |
| 193:16;199:21,25; | 89:17 | stretching (1) | 50:2 | sunsetting (2) |
| 221:14 | stole (1) | 232:22 | substantial (4) | 129:18;188:17 |
| State's (1) | 171:4 | strives (1) | 21:1,5;179:12; | super (2) |
| 58:6 | stolen (1) | 10:24 | 271:14 | 299:1,5 |
| statewide (1) | 178:3 | strong (3) | substantially (1) | supersede (1) |
| 111:20 | stood (2) | 40:22,22;270:9 | 296:1 | 148:25 |
| stating (1) | 190:18,19 | strongest (2) | substantiated (1) | supervisors (1) |
| 161:9 | stop (18) | 112:13;119:20 | 186:16 | 107:9 |
| station (6) | 46:24;116:4;120:5; | strongly (2) | substitute (1) | supplies (3) |
| 24:5,6;30:15; | 129:12,14;157:14; | 66:9;279:18 | 236:21 | 13:11,20;202:18 |
| 34:20;37:3;278:3 | 158:5,12,15;182:5; | structure (2) | suburban (1) | supply (2) |
| stationed (1) | 189:24,25;195:17,22; | 67:21;73:5 | 117:10 | 101:10;231:4 |
| 108:7 | 207:13;210:22; | struggle (1) | success (2) | support (55) |
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| $49: 21 ; 59: 19 ; 61: 18$ | 186:21 | 250:13;269:25 | $0: 2,7,16 ; 61: 3,6,14,$ | $136: 21 ; 189: 7,9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64:1;85:19;101:8,14; | SUSAN (4) | 293:24 | 3;62:6,16,25;63:16, | termed (1) |
| 106:1;109:1;111:14, | 187:18;188: | talking | 19,23,24;64:16,21; | 187:3 |
| 17;114:20;153:17; | 89:1,1 | 25:10;26:25;66:1 | 67:4,14;68:1;76:5 | erminate (2) |
| 156:1;159:21;160:9; | suspect (1) | 68:1;74:14;113:11 | 78:7,10;79:17;80: | 277:24;279:1 |
| 167:8;168:13,13,14, | 212:16 | 25:17,18;127:17 | 6;81:7 | terminates (2) |
| 15,15,16,16;170:19; | suspected | 37:17;171:13; | TDS's (3) | 283:18;285:6 |
| 173:2,10,11;195:24; | 139:17 | 234:23;242:13; | 57:12;63:12;67:23 | termination (1) |
| 198:20;204:2; | suspic | 249:2;252:9;261:2,3, | teacher (1) | 290:3 |
| 225:10;226:21; | 114:6;210 | 20;273:15 | 52:23 | terminology (2) |
| 243:5;247:15; | suspicious | talks (1) | teachers (2) | 278:19;283:22 |
| 260:19;268:14,14,17; | 100:18 | 38:3 | 273:10,12 | terms (25) |
| 270:10;272:22; | sway (1) | Tampa (1) | team (6) | 57:4;58:9,20,23; |
| 280:15,20,22;286:1 | 288:19 | 111:19 | 16:15;33:7;42: | 59:1,9;60:15,20;61:2 |
| 287:8,17;288:10; | sympathet | tandem | 117:17,188:15 | 3,9,19,23;62:9,13,24, |
| 289:15,16;291:2,3; | 247:20 | 262:3 | 220:21 | 25;137:17;149:19; |
| 292:19;293:10,15 | sy | targ | teamwork | 56:20;162:15; |
| supported (2) | 19:3,6;25:2 | 112:22;123:2 | 132:24;152:6, | 185:22;245:9;248:6; |
| 20:18;116:20 | 26:14,16,18; | 143:21;151:23 | tears (1) | 251:14 |
| ppporting (4) | 55:4,4,15,17;56:1 | 204:14;292:18 | 87:4 | TERRA (4) |
| 66:9;139:8;148:15; | 58:12;59:3,5,25; | targeted (4) | technical | 154:4,4,9,11 |
| 166:5 | 63:21;78:4;79:8,1 | 2:25;139:11 | 2:6;51:25;56: | terrible (1) |
| supportive (1) | 111:5;174:15;178:5; | 172:17 | 58:4,16;63:14 | 159:23 |
| 225:4 | 202:19;264:17 | targeting | 111:14,17;227:16 | terribly (1) |
| supports | syst | 122:22;124:11 | technically (1) | 172 |
| 219:22 | 26:2;56:17 | 210:14;220:20 | 295:15 | tested (2) |
| ppose (3) | system's (1) | 268:6;292:9 | techniques | 184:16;203:13 |
| 126:14;240:9, | 57:9 | targets (4) | 139:19 | testified (4) |
| pposed (5) | T | 112:21:1 | technology (3) | 111:4;202: |
| 234:17;259 |  | task | teeth (5) | testify (8) |
| 260:20 |  | 6:14;91:11;110:21 | 24:9;129:20,2 | 18:6;83:7;103:17 |
| supposedly | 42:9 | 111:18,20;112:1 | 201:25;242:14 | 11:21;138:23 |
| 259:14 | table | 131:23;151:23; | Telecom (1) | 146:12;243:8;280:20 |
| suppression (1) | 121:2;2 | $1: 19 ; 162: 19$ | 54:8 | testifying (1) |
| 110:14 | tablets (1) | 188:1;219:16,2 | Telecommunications (2) | 83:12 |
| re (44) | 2:11 | tasked (1) | 16:3,10 | testimonies (4) |
| 27:2,3;30:6;38:12; | tackle (2) | 105:21 | television (3) | 90:6;184:6;198:2 |
| 43:6;46:13,14;49:6 | 12:23;84 | ta | 3:18;48:14;62 | 217:6 |
| 50:3,20;52:4;62:9; | tactics (1) | 257: | tells (1) | testimony (19) |
| 69:20,23;72:22;76:4; | 255:12 | tatt | 190:1 | 80:14;85:18; |
| 77:16,20;78:2; | tailored | 4: | template | 01:22;121:7, |
| 114:23;118:23; | 212:2 | taught (1) | 161:8 | 35:18;146:17,2 |
| 125:10;126:9; | tainte | 205:12 | ten (4) | 153:9;168:3;182 |
| 128:22;137:19; | 244: | $\boldsymbol{t a x}(20)$ | 2:15;202:6 | 187:17;190:25; |
| 140:4,7;151:22; | tales | 18:8,20;19:2,4,5,5 | 298:16;299:2 | 213:23;214:24; |
| 166:10;178:21; | 217: | 21,23;21:5,6;41:14 | tenants (1) | 224:16;227:19; |
| 199:12;226:6,11; | talk (29) | 45:3;47:7;49:25 | 45:12 | 251:2;282:20 |
| 227:3,23;240:7; | 3: | 50:2;51:9;93:12,16 | tend (1) | Texas (8) |
| 253:24;254:25; | 66:13,16;72:9,10 | 21;295 | 25:2 | 83:13;1 |
| 265:4,8,12;276:13; | 78:6;84:14;88:4; | tax | tender (1) | 199:14,17,22;200:4; |
| 277:5;281:6 | 89:20;91:7,10;92:15; | 50:24;169:17 | 64:16 | 225:6 |
| surgeon (1) | 97:20;106:4,6 | taxes (4) | Tenney (9) | texts (1) |
| 201:23 | 143:10;166:16 | 42:17;43 | 53:9,11,15, | 208:21 |
| surgery (1) | 186:16;201:4 | 51:10 | 54:1;55:12;63:7 | Thanks (5) |
| 168:25 | 213:25;214:15,18 | tax-exem | 69:14;76:14 | 21:12;97:23;138:6; |
| surprised (2) | 215:10;248:20; | 50:17 | tens (1) | 174:20;210 |
| 66:22;204:8 | 263:5;275:11;291:24 | taxpayers | 295:1 | that- (1) |
| surprising (1) | talked (14) | 41:10;155:1 | TERESA (6) | 124:25 |
| 86:7 | 30:6;36:4;74:23; | TDS (42) | 151:7,7;152:12,16 | That'll (1) |
| surveillance (1) | 86:18;89:4;90:12,14; | 53:3,17 | 18,21 | 104:19 |
| 113:17 | 101:20;166:22; | 16;56:1,6;57:10,23 | term (6) | thee (1) |
| survivor (1) | 194:7;244:24; | 58:1,4,6,22;59:11,13; | 60:19;85:15;88:14; | 148:18 |
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| theft (1) | there-(1) | 220:12;221:2,20; | told (17) | 62:1;190:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154:24 | 26:12 | 252:11,13 | 30:25;85:10; | tool (10) |
| theirs (2) | therefore (8) | threefold (1) | 102:15;107:9,23; | 91:24;101:14; |
| 26:8;27:13 | 11:22;12:17;56:24; | 101:7 | 121:12;157:2; | 138:21;184:25; |
| theory (4) | 124:4;135:4;172:21; | throughout (5) | 159:16,18;178:4; | 202:21;203:19; |
| 19:5;177:24; | 176:17;209:13 | 54:16;110:22,25; | 188:14,21;189:17; | 256:4;258:9,13; |
| 247:21;285:23 | therein (1) | 111:18;116:2 | 196:13;197:9; | 293:3 |
| therapeutic (3) | 259:2 | throw (3) | 295:14,22 | Tooley (4) |
| 125:1;168:15; | there'll (1) | 212:6;286:21; | tolerating (1) | 10:8;12:6,10;14:15 |
| 232:25 | 2:13 | 287:3 | 281:14 | tools (7) |
| therapist (30) | there're (1) | thumbs-up (3) | TOM (3) | 158:2,4;173:1,4; |
| 90:24;95:2;123:4, | 169:24 | 40:10;48:15,16 | 174:25;175:3,4 | 184:23;207:25; |
| 18;136:7,8,13; | THERESA (5) | Thunder (1) | tomorrow (7) | 280:23 |
| 153:12;154:12; | 195:4,4,8,10; | 198:2 | 17:4;18:3,4;19:7; | top (3) |
| 160:4;161:8;168:1; | 197:17 | thus (1) | 21:10;298:4;300:10 | 73:20;200:18; |
| 179:17;183:24; | thieves (1) | 88:1 | Tomorrow's (1) | 221:5 |
| 190:4,5,5,6;195:11; | 220:15 | tied (1) | 18:14 | topics (1) |
| 196:11;208:13,24; | thinking (4) | 148:4 | Toni (94) | 138:11 |
| 209:9;211:20; | 103:4,5;273:23; | TIFFANY (2) | 7:9,10,12,14,16,18, | total (4) |
| 234:15;236:19; | 286:5 | 170:22,22 | 20,22,24;8:1,3,5,7,9; | 41:19;154:13; |
| 258:25;272:5;292:8; | third (8) | tight (1) | 22:9,12,17,21,24; | 290:3;295:13 |
| 296:20 | 106:18;115:2,6; | 224:3 | 23:2,6,10,13,16,19; | totality (2) |
| therapists (79) | 171:21;179:14; | till (1) | 39:25;40:1;44:2,3; | 114:4;123:13 |
| 87:17;92:24; | 192:15;237:4;257:15 | 103:22 | 48:6,21,22,25;49:8; | totally (5) |
| 122:24;124:1,4,22; | thirteen (1) | Tim (1) | 51:16,18;52:25;53:1; | 121:21;173:2; |
| 125:8;136:10; | 17:11 | 62:7 | 65:6;79:23;80:4,6; | 239:12;245:17; |
| 154:13,15;156:7,22; | Thomson (1) | time-consuming (1) | 81:17,19,23;191:3,4, | 274:12 |
| 157:4;158:14,23; | 116:4 | 102:3 | 6,7,9,17,18,22;193:3, | touch (3) |
| 159:5,6,17;161:14; | THORMAHLEN (6) | timed (2) | 7;194:24;195:6,9; | 13:19;124:6; |
| 162:3;163:20; | 151:7,8;152:12,16, | 26:4;27:14 | 197:15,24;198:24; | 139:14 |
| 164:22;165:10; | 18,21 | timer (2) | 199:3;201:1,7,11; | touched (1) |
| 166:18,21;167:7; | thorough (3) | 147:1;176:8 | 203:21;204:1; | 206:5 |
| 169:12;172:10, | 63:13;118:20; | timers (1) | 206:16,23,25;207:4, | tough (1) |
| 173:18,22;174:16,20; | 200:21 | 27:2 | 7;208:5,11;209:21; | 281:23 |
| 177:2,22;184:3,19; | though (13) | times (11) | 210:1,6;212:19; | touted (1) |
| 188:13,19;189:17,18, | 27:14;50:5;52:23 | 2:13,24;106:15 | 213:4,9,13;216:5,15, | 158:16 |
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| 217:14 | 186:18 | weekend (1) | whispered (1) | 4:23;6:20;111:20 |
| wait (9) | watching (7) | 100:23 | 3:1 | withdraw (1) |
| 3:15;22:1;103:18; | 22:15;48:5;186:4 | weekly (1) | white (4) | 124:7 |
| 187:23;204:17; | 191:3,6;217:21; | 30:2 | 2:18;189:23; | withdrawing (1) |
| 251:5;252:12,16; | 265:10 | weeks (3) | 290:13;295:9 | 285:12 |
| 281:18 | water (10) | 89:14;121:5;178:3 | whole (9) | within (20) |
| waited (1) | 72:25;73:12;76:20; | week's (1) | 14:24;15:1;136:11; | 15:2;41:4;49:20; |
| 187:22 | 77:13,15,18,25;78:3, | 19:8 | 171:7;193:20; | 57:8;60:8,19;89:13; |
| waiting (4) | 5;234:20 | weigh (2) | 205:23;209:12; | 92:9;94:15;98:3; |
| 3:22;22:18;104:4; | Watterson (1) | 181:12,1 | 279:11;296:14 | 100:2,3;124:20; |
| 135:17 | 15:14 | weighing (1) | who's (6) | 130:25;132:3;134:2, |
| wake (1) | wave (2) | 181:17 | 141:18;147:8; | 5;164:12;172:14; |
| 298:6 | 40:10;64:22 | welcome (8) | 168:10;187:25; | 262:10 |
| walk (4) | waxing (1) | 49:1;65:18;66:18; | 189:23;255:19 | without (10) |
| 22:8;37:24;142:12; | 153:15 | 104:25;186:8; | whose (2) | 85:6,20;141:2; |
| 170:4 | way (46) | 218:16;226:11,12 | 134:9;182:12 | 149:5;181:25;197:2; |
| walked (1) | 13:18;17:20;19:2; | welfare (1) | who've (4) | 240:2;286:3,3,4 |
| 126:16 | 20:20;34:9,10;41:5; | 271:15 | 83:9;104:4;160:14, | witnesses (1) |
| Walker (3) | 45:15;51:25;52:3,7; | well- (1) | 14 | 163:12 |
| 133:18;185:7,7 | 76:19;78:2;89:16; | 204:3 | wide (1) | woman (2) |
| walking (1) | 100:1;109:5;119:5; | Well-deserved (1) | 59:5 | 163:1;217:17 |
| 80:3 | 120:6,17;133:13; | 300:20 | wife (1) | women (28) |
| wall (1) | 138:18;139:1; | well-established (1) | 175:4 | 85:18;119:3;162:4, |
| 193:19 | 153:19,23;155:14,15; | 208:19 | Wi-Fi (1) | 6;177:6;180:7,22,24, |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 ; 181: 1 ; 185: 3,19 \\ & 186: 2 ; 201: 15,22,25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 112:4,8,18;119:13; } \\ & \text { 141:8;152:8;153:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 203:6 } \\ \text { written (17) } \end{gathered}$ | 206:2;208:13,16; |  |
| $202: 4 ; 203: 10 ; 217: 8$ | $18 ; 168: 6,7,10$ | $64: 17 ; 80: 14 ; 128: 7$ | 218:18;220:6; | 1 |
| 218:9,18;221:24; | 180:20;184:15; | 155:21,25;161:16; | 221:20;222:10; | 1 (26) |
| 222:3;244:3;246:5,5; | 208:18;241:11 | 173:10;188:22; | 225:11;262:19; | 21:18 |
| 262:15;263:13 | 282:5;288:2;296:2 | 189:3;208:14; | 275:18,18;277:10,25; | $18 ; 23: 18,25 ; 24: 3,4,9,$ |
| Women's (2) | workers (5) | 209:18;214:7; | 278:13,23;279:8; | 22,22,22;32:13,19; |
| 116:9;180:21 | 120:3;159:17; | 215:19;224:15; | 280:3,9;281:10,11, | 34:15;35:25;36:2,4, |
| wonder (3) | 168:6;190:8,9 | 237:6;244:25;267:25 | 17;282:3;283:3,3,10, | 8;37:19;39:4,6,10,19; |
| 85:23;148:14; | workflow (1) | wrong (8) | 17,19;285:5;286:2; | 279:11 |
| 179:10 | 99:17 | 28:14,18;60:23; | 287:16,23;289:8,14, | $1.19 \%$ (1) |
| wondered (1) | working (37) | 70:20;116:1;157:10; | 17;290:6,14;291:8; | 41:7 |
| 108:17 | 23:12;72:9;84:19; | 169:1;218:10 | 297:7 | 1/2 (3) |
| wonderful (2) | 86:12;108:19;109:4; | wrote (1) | years' (2) | 156:2;163:10; |
| 14:8;141:19 | 111:7;112:12; | 153:22 | 286:16,21 | 187:22 |
| wondering (2) | 119:11;127:24; | Wyeth (32) | yellow (1) | 1/4 (2) |
| 142:19;143:17 | 131:17;139:6; | 44:12,15,24;45:1; | 189:25 | 62:15;86:9 |
| wonkiness (1) | 154:21;170:10; | 47:1,13;126:12,13; | Yellowstone (8) | 10 (6) |
| 261:16 | 188:7;222:3;248:11; | 127:7,15;223:23,23; | 21:7;46:10,16; | 41:11;106:15; |
| Woods (1) | 249:1;251:19; | 224:4;225:9;240:21, | 187:24,25,25;207:6; | 221:1;251:8;252:9; |
| 185:8 | 253:19;256:13; | 22,23,25;241:17; | 264:9 | 287:25 |
| word (11) | 273:20,20;274:10; | 249:18;250:1,2,3,4, | Yep (4) | 10:00 (1) |
| 38:6;88:5;233:10; | 278:7,8,9,10,10; | 24;251:11;252:12, | 97:23;206:23; | 10.00 (1) |
| 236:20; 237:1,2,6,10; | 282:12,13,18;283:2, | $18 ; 253: 23 ; 274: 20$ | 231:15;250:2 | 10:15 (1) |
| 282:25;283:9;286:6 | 4,5;287:11;299:3 | 298:20,21 | yesterday (1) | $236: 11$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { worded (1) } \\ 215: 19 \end{gathered}$ | workings (1) | Y | $38: 7$ York (1) | 10:23 (2) |
|  |  |  |  | 223:2,13 |
| 157:6 | 25:23;37:23;77:22; |  |  | 10:30 (1) |
| words (2) | 91:7;105:10;115:18; | 236:2 | 209:4;262:17; | $\begin{array}{\|r} 223: 14 \\ \mathbf{1 0 0 \%}(\mathbf{6}) \end{array}$ |
| 173:16;237:1 | 123:18;175:4; | Yakawich (27) | 275:8 | 70:23;114: |
| work (86) | 202:10;204:16 | 7:12,13;9:7,16,17; |  | 130:6;132:11,21; |
| 11:13;16:18;19:12; | work's (1) | 10:2;80:11,12; | Z | $158: 20$ |
| 26:3,10,20,23;27:6; | 251:6 | 108:12,15,16;128:11, |  | $1021 \text { (1) }$ |
| 29:19;30:7;31:12; | world (5) | 12,24;227:8,10; | zero (1) | 207:5 |
| 32:1;35:17;53:19; | 6:21,23;12:4;26:1; | 238:13;271:25; | 201:16 | 11 (7) |
| 56:3;58:22;65:25; | 198:10 | 272:1;285:19,20 | zip (1) | $41: 5 ; 42: 10 ; 45: 4$ |
| 68:2,3;75:11,24;78:5, | Worrell (8) | 291:14;297:17; | $65: 13$ | $46: 9,21 ; 287: 14,25$ |
| 20,23;79:13;84:18, | 53:17;54:5;65:5,9, | 299:12,20;300:1,3 | Zoeller (12) | $115 \text { (1) }$ |
| 20,23;85:10;87:3; | 14,20;69:19;70:21 | Yakovich (1) | 40:8,9;42:8;83:1; | $110: 16$ |
| 88:23;93:6;101:4; | worried (1) | 224:21 | 97:20,23;127:18; | 117 (2) |
| 105:23;112:7,17; | 220:3 | $y^{\prime}$ all (2) | 225:9;265:17,18; | 215:6,8 |
| 114:15;116:3,16; | worry (2) | 116:24;120:19 | 269:2,15 | 11th (2) |
| 117:1,8,9;118:18; | 165:16;277:8 | year (17) | Zolokov (1) | 16:2,9 |
| 128:14;141:3;151:4, | worse (1) | 12:14,19;41:13,15; | 280:19 | $12 \text { (5) }$ |
| 24;152:23,25; | 212:11 | 51:10;95:16;98:20; | zone (6) | $4: 5 ; 9: 22 ; 221: 1$ |
| 154:12;158:5; | worst (4) | 99:1,3;116:6,8; | 21:21;121:24; | $251: 9 ; 294: 12$ |
| 159:12;166:3; | 60:11;71:2;221:19; | 165:7;221:3;256:12; | 298:10,10,15;299:23 | 120 (2) |
| 169:20;173:14,15; | 222:8 | 266:1;273:3;280:17 | zoning (2) | 54:20;58:13 |
| 178:14;182:15; | worth (3) | years (83) | 117:14;127:11 | 1212 (2) |
| 188:17;192:23; | 177:11;184:7; | 14:2;17:11;41:11; | Zoom (30) | $153: 10 ; 175: 4$ |
| 198:22;210:25; | 199:5 | 54:7,24;55:7,7;60:8, | 2:20;3:4;5:3,5,8, | $123 \text { (1) }$ |
| 211:8,9;214:13; | Woworunto (1) | 10;61:1,22;74:18; | 12,22;15:23;22:3,10, | 215:6 |
| 216:9;222:25; | 186:20 | 75:8,12,15;78:21,22; | 10,16;46:23;48:23; | $13 \text { (3) }$ |
| 225:10;244:12; | wrap (6) | 81:3;88:11;91:19; | 52:1;53:16;79:21; | $111: 10 ; 162: 3$ |
| 257:11;262:3; | 63:5;197:16;216:6, | 101:21;103:6; | 80:4;82:10;105:15; | 252:9 |
| 268:15;272:11,19; 275:15:277:23; | 8;268:13;274:8 | 115:14;128:25; | 109:20;121:17; | 1309 (1) |
| 275:15;277:23; | wrap-up (1) | 130:16,25;151:14; | 146:8,9;170:21; | 170:23 |
| 278:3;282:11;283:6; $292 \cdot 7,8 \cdot 293 \cdot 13$. | 259:10 | 153:3,5,19;154:16; | 191:4;201:2;216:16; | 13th (1) |
| 292:7,8;293:13; | write (3) | 156:3;157:7;158:20; | 218:2;301:5 | 189:2 |
| 297:5,7,23;301:13 | 157:8;196:24; | 160:4;168:2;180:12, | Zoomland (2) | 15 (6) |
| worked (21) | 233:6 | 22;186:6;193:2; | 48:5;191:2 | 3:11;9:22;61:22; |
| 87:1;89:1;110:19; | writing (1) | 195:11;198:8;202:7; |  | $63: 6 ; 103: 22 ; 106: 8$ |
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| 15,000 (4) | 35:25;36:4,5,25;39:4, | 243 (1) | 3668 (1) | 173:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:4;124:19;144:9, | 10,24,25;40:1;46:2; | 51:9 | 191:20 | 58 (2) |
| 15 | 163:10;195:5; | 248-6617 (1) | 3737 (1) | 188:6,6 |
| 150 (1) | 216:25;278:25 | 13:8 | 193:5 | 59106 (1) |
| 220:25 | 2,000 (1) | 2489 (1) | 3835 (1) | 210:5 |
| 15-6-221 (1) | 118:8 | 206:17 | 176:7 | 5-year-old (1) |
| 46:2 | 2.9 (1) | 24th (3) | 385 (2) | 147:22 |
| 15-6-2212 (1) | 15:1 | 12:9;13:4,14 | 17:1;18:2 | 6 |
| 44:7 | 2:00 (2) | 25 (3) | 4 |  |
| 16 (2) | 87:7,9 | 67:7;199:22;202:6 |  | 6 (13) |
| 2:4;195:11 | 20 (5) | 25\% (2) |  |  |
| 160 (1) | 55:7;82:9;153:18; | 61:17,18 | ```4(10) 30:15;38:2;52:25; 53:1;79:17;80:1,5,9; 279:4,4``` | 21:20;22:3,7,11, |
| 9:22 | 180:12;237:5 | 250 (1) |  | 18;96:24;223:19; |
| 16-page (1) | 20,000 (1) | 220:25 |  | 224:3,7,9;297:25; |
| 157:5 | 10:15 | 26 (2) |  | 298:8;300:24 |
| 1709 (1) | 2004 (2) | 208:13,16 | 40 (4) | 60 (1) |
| 198:2 | 110:7;173:9 | 260 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 117: 23 ; 186: 6 ; \\ & 193: 2: 218: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 116:21 |
| 17th (2) | 2005 (1) | 110:18 |  | $600 \text { (1) }$ |
| 16:2,10 | 91:14 | 2619 (1) | $42 \text { (1) }$ | $173: 23$ |
| 18 (5) | 2007 (1) | 154:5 | $\begin{array}{r} 47: 9 \\ 443(2) \end{array}$ | 6093 (1) |
| 16:20;84:19;86:21; | 55:22 | 27-1803 (2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 203:24 } \\ & \mathbf{6 1 1 ( 1 )} \end{aligned}$ |
| 89:7;278:3 | 2008 (3) | 229:3,5 | $\begin{aligned} & 443 \text { (2) } \\ & 147: 20 ; 162: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1820 (1) | 60:22;62:23;110:8 | 2725 (1) | 4432 (1) | 157:1 |
| 151:3 | 2009 (2) | 151:8 | $\begin{gathered} 49: 7 \\ \mathbf{4 5}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ | 6287 (1) |
| 1889 (1) | 17:6;163:24 | 274 (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} 185: 7 \\ \mathbf{6 5 7 - 8 2 1 0}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 298:12 | 2012 (3) | 51:7 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{4 5} \text { (1) } \\ \quad 117: 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 19 (1) | 40:16;42:1;213:12 | 27-611c20 (1) | $4515 \text { (1) }$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mathbf{6 5 7 - 8 2 1 0}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 5: 25 \end{array}$ |
| $151: 16$ | 2013 (2) | 229:2 | 178:13 | 66 (1) |
| 1900 (1) | 25:16;62:1 | 27th (4) |  | $202: 7$ |
| 256:13 | 2014 (3) | 26:9;27:5,10,14 | 4528 (1) $210: 4$ | 662 (1) |
| 1918 (1) | 105:22;106:5; | 28 (1) | $460 \text { (1) }$ | 166:15 |
| 167:25 | 110:13 | 168:1 | 110:15 |  |
| 1934 (1) | 2015 (1) | 28,000 (1) |  | 7 |
| 55:1 | 106:8 | 118:6 | $\begin{gathered} 464(1) \\ 18: 5 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1978 (1) | 2017 (5) |  | 4th (2) ${ }^{\text {9:19;268:12 }}$ |  |
| 190:4 | 84:11,17;91:12; | 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 2,19 ; 59: 11,17 ; \\ & 62: 8 ; 275: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1979(\mathbf{1}) \\ 151: 15 \end{gathered}$ | 151:16;225:2 $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}(\mathbf{3})$ |  | 5 |  |
| 1999 (1) | 116:4;244:8; | 21:21;44:2,3; | 5 (13) | $116: 17$ |
| 85:8 | 245:21 | 47:20;48:24;49:2; |  | 7:12 (1) |
| 1A (1) | 2019 (4) | 51:17,21;156:2; | 16:22;21:23;39:22; | 82:7 |
| 298:11 | 116:7,9;157:23; | 297:21 | $71: 13 ; 81: 20,22,23 ;$106:15;223:3;224:7; | 7:30 (2) |
| 1B (12) | 199:22 | 3\% (1) |  | 82:11,14 |
| 24:3,4,22;32:13, | 2020 (3) | 21:2 | 279:4,13;287:14 | 7:30-7:40 (1) |
| 19;34:15,19;35:25; | 2:4;89:13;164:1 | 3:00 (2) | 5\% (8) | 82:23 |
| 36:1,8,25;298:12 | 2021 (8) | 16:21;21:11 | $57: 7,11,20,24$ <br> $61 \cdot 12,16 \cdot 67 \cdot 7 \cdot 98 \cdot 7$ | 7:44 (1) |
| 1B1 (1) | 4:6;8:16;11:20,23, | 30 (5) |  | 104:8 |
| 28:6 | 25;41:24;62:24; | 82:9;100:2,3; | 50 (4) | 7:50 (1) |
| 1H (1) | 68:17 | 202:6;265:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 7 ; 117: 11 ; \\ & 275: 18,18 \end{aligned}$ | 104:10 |
| 25:5 | 20-plus (1) | 300 (2) |  | 70 (1) |
| 1N(3) | 220:6 | 117:9;199:24 | 50\% (3) | 275:9 |
| 24:23;37:14;38:17 | 2116 (1) | 3035 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 11 ; 107: 3 \\ & 108: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 70\% (1) |
| 1S (4) | 160:3 | 201:10 |  |  |
| 24:9,22;39:4,10 | 21st (1) | 33 (1) | 500 (4) | 7-1902 (2) |
| 1st (2) | 157:23 | 111:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 8 ; 67: 19 ; 173: 22 ; \\ & 199: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 145:3;229:1$\mathbf{7 - 1 9 0 2 0 1 ~ ( 1 ) ~}$ |
| 26:22;163:25 | 22 (5) | 3375 (1) |  |  |
|  | 8:7,11,13,16; | 160:21 | $531(1)$$199: 2$ | 236:177-1904 (1) |
| 2 | 256:11 | 339 (1) |  |  |
|  | 22nd (3) | 111:10 | $\begin{array}{r} 199: 2 \\ \mathbf{5 5 \%}(\mathbf{2}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7-1904 (1) } \\ 237: 15 \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 (28) | 13:17;46:8;298:24 | 3631 (1) | $31: 1,5$ | 7-1910 (1) |
| 21:18;22:3,6,11, | 24 (6) | 179:25 | 57 (1) | 7-1910 23716 |
| $18 ; 24: 3,4,9,11,22,23$ | 11:20,25;41:4; | 3655 (1) | $256: 13$$\mathbf{5 7 0}(\mathbf{1})$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7-1911 (4) } \\ & \text { 145:10;196:12; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 28:17;32:13;34:19; | 96:23;113:3;151:14 | 298:13 |  |  |
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## EXHIBIT <br> 11

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,
Case Number
V. CV-22-30-BLG-KLD

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.
$30(B)(6)$ DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CITY OF BILLINGS BY

KEVIN IFFLAND

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the 30(B) (6)
deposition upon oral examination of CITY OF BILLINGS, by KEVIN IFFLAND, appearing at the instance of Plaintiffs, was taken at the offices of Fisher Court Reporting, 2711 lst Avenue North, Billings, Montana, on Wednesday, September 20th, 2023, beginning at the hour of 9:00 a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Deborah L. Fabritz, Court Reporter - Notary Public.

Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)

## APPEARANCES

ATTORNEYS APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, THERESA VONDRA, ET AL.:

Mr. Daniel T. Woislaw, Esq.
Pacific Legal Foundation
3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
and
Mr. Ethan W. Blevins, Esq.
Pacific Legal Foundation
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
and
Mr. Alexander J. Smith, Esq. (via Zoom)
Pacific Legal Foundation
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290
Sacramento, CA 95814
and
ATTORNEYS APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, CITY OF BILLINGS:

Mr. Gerry P. Fagan, Esq. and
Ms. Stephanie Baucus, Esq.
Moulton Bellingham, PC
27 North 27th Street, Suite 1900
Billings, MT 59103-2559
ALSO PRESENT: Gina Dahl, City Attorney

Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)


Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had and testimony taken, to-wit:

KEVIN IFFLAND,
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Would you please begin by stating and spelling your name for the record?
A. Yeah. My name is Kevin Iffland. $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}, \quad \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}$.
Q. And what is your title?
A. Assistant city administrator.
Q. Is that for the City of Billings?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you understand the oath you just took?
A. I do.
Q. Do you understand that your statements here carry the same force as they would in a courtroom?
A. I do.
Q. Is there anything that would prevent you giving me your full attention today?
A. No.
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Q. Are you on any medications that would affect your memory or focus?
A. No.
Q. Next I would like to ask you -- sometimes I can speak very quickly. So if -- if a question is unclear because of my phrasing or because you didn't catch it, I would like to ask you if you would agree to please just stop me and ask me to repeat the question or clarify it?
A. I will.
Q. And if you need a break at any point, please just let me know. I understand that depositions are stressful, speaking under oath in general, but doing so with a full bladder is much more uncomfortable. So would you agree to just let me know if you need a break?
A. I will.
Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
A. I have.
Q. And what was that in relation to?
A. My career as a law enforcement officer.
Q. Have you ever been convicted of a felony?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime involving lying, cheating, stealing, or dishonesty?
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A. No.
Q. Do you understand that you have been designated by the City of Billings to testify on specific topics?
A. I have.
Q. I'm handing you a document that has been marked Exhibit 7.
A. Thanks.

```
                                    (Whereupon, Exhibit 7 was
                                    marked for identification.)
```

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Could you take a moment to look at that document and then look back at me when you have reviewed it.

Is that document familiar to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had a chance to review this document before today?
A. I have.
Q. And are you prepared to speak as the designated representative of the City with respect to the topics covered in this notice?
A. I am to the best of my ability.
Q. Are there any topics in this document that you are not prepared to testify?
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A. No.
Q. What else did you do to prepare for this deposition today?
A. I reviewed the city ordinance. I reviewed state law in regards to this matter. I reviewed council minutes where this topic was discussed. And I had conversations with our licensing agent.
Q. Did those council minutes include a city council session that was held on April 12th, 2021, concerning the ordinance?
A. I believe so.
Q. Can you give me a description of your role at the City of Billings?
A. So my role as assistant city administrator, $I$ oversee six -- directly oversee six departments, including police, fire, IT, library, airport, and parks and rec, along with four divisions to include fleet services, parking division, building division, and facilities division.
Q. And what are the qualifications for that role?
A. The qualifications were obviously management and supervisory experience in that role. At the time of -- when I accepted the position, a master's degree was preferred, so there's some
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educational requirements, and then general overall experience with city government.

MR. WOISLAW: Do you guys still have a
copy of this?
MR. FAGAN: Yes.
BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. I'm handing you a document that has previously been marked as Exhibit 1. Would you take a look at that and then look back at me when you have familiarized yourself with it.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that the ordinance you reviewed in preparation for your testimony today?
A. It is.
Q. Is that the ordinance by the City of Billings concerning massage businesses?
A. It is.
Q. And if I -- I'm going to be referencing the ordinance several times today. If I say "the ordinance," will you understand that I'm talking about this document?
A. I will.
Q. Saves me repeating a very long title several times.

Okay. I want to ask you some questions
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about this ordinance and the City's approach to administering and enforcing it and using it, including background of the policy behind it.

What spurred the City in the first
instance to develop this ordinance?
A. This originally came about in 2017 by council initiative from Council Member Sullivan, and it was to devise an ordinance to address the problem of illicit massage businesses that we had in Billings.
Q. Can you tell me what that -- what that term illicit massage business means?
A. It means that in Billings we had significant prostitution or human trafficking businesses masquerading as massage therapy businesses. And so his initiative was to go out there and look for options in dealing with that.
Q. So that's your -- these businesses that you're describing, that's what you're referencing when you say illicit massage businesses?
A. Correct.
Q. And so this ordinance was an effort to shut those illicit massage businesses down?
A. Shut those down and be proactive in not allowing them to start.
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Q. And what was different -- what did the City believe would make this ordinance more effective than the law enforcement policies and practices that were in place at the time?
A. So for several years, law enforcement has been impacted significantly by lack of resources. So that was -- you know, one of the issues that was brought forward, is there other ways that we can combat human trafficking, sex trafficking in Billings outside or in conjunction with or alongside of any law enforcement services.
Q. Has it been effective in shutting down illicit massage businesses?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Can you describe how you measure that effectiveness?
A. Absolutely. So two things: The FBI was tracking ads for illicit massage businesses in the Billings and state of Montana area, specifically one FBI agent that I recall, and the ads were in the 20,000 range prior to this ordinance being enacted. After the ordinance was enacted, those ads significantly decreased according to the FBI that conducted that indication.

Secondly, we had -- once the ordinance
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took effect and applications were having to be submitted, we had, to the best of my recollection, 10 -- 10 to 15 businesses that were operating prior to the ordinance that did not apply via application once this ordinance was enacted. And then
subsequently, there was, I believe, five businesses that had submitted an application that were denied licenses because there were significant indicators of an illicit massage business being operated at those locations.
Q. And has the ordinance resulted in saving some of those police resources for other tasks?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like to talk about the way that the ordinance itself works, mechanically. so can you explain how the application and licensing process works from the beginning?
A. Absolutely. So the applicant has to basically fill out an application form and submit if it's their initial application a $\$ 25$ fee with that. And along with that, they do have -- on initial application, they do need to submit fingerprints, so fingerprint cards. They get turned over for a criminal history check.

That then goes to our licensing agent in
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the finance department. They review the application for, you know, any -- against the ordinance for anything that is in conflict there.

From that point, the licensing agent gives that information to code enforcement. Code enforcement then schedules an inspection of that business that put in the application. The inspection is conducted by code enforcement, and the results of that inspection are turned back to the licensing agent to make a determination on either approval or denial or further follow-up information from the applicant.
Q. So for applicants that are applying for a massage therapy or facility business license under the ordinance, the City always conducts an initial inspection?
A. Correct.
Q. Are those initial inspections scheduled with applicants?
A. They are.
Q. Uniformly?
A. Uniform, can you describe -- what do you mean?
Q. Are they uniformly or categorically or in all cases scheduled with the applicants?

Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)
A. Yes. On the initial -- and on the initial application, yes.
Q. And is there a standardized form that the City uses for -- for these applications?
A. Yes. So there's a standardized application form. There's also a standardized inspection form.

> (Whereupon, Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.) BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. So, Mr. Iffland, I'm going to hand you a document now that has been marked Exhibit 8. It is titled Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief.

I would like you to flip to the end of the exhibit. I would like to direct you first to Exhibit B, and that should be on page 3 of $3--$ I'm sorry. That's the wrong notation. But that should be toward the end, attachment B. Have you found it?
A. So we're looking at attachment $B$, not Exhibit B?
Q. Attachment B.
A. Okay.
Q. Correct.

MR. FAGAN: B as in boy?
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MR. WOISLAW: B as in boy, yes.
BY MR. WOISLAW :
Q. Would you take a look at that document in attachment $B$, and then look back at me when you have familiarized yourself with it.
A. Okay.
Q. Is this the standard form or a true and accurate copy of the standard form that is used for business owners to apply for licenses under the ordinance?
A. Yes.
Q. I would like you to flip back to attachment $A$. Review that and look back at me when you're ready.

Is this a true and accurate copy of the form that is used by the City of Billings to approve solo practitioner exemptions from the ordinance?
A. Yes.
Q. And that form is used to exempt solo practitioners merely from the definition of massage or spa facility. Is that correct?
A. So I'm not sure I completely understand the question. This form is used if there is one licensed massage therapist and they're asking for that exemption under the ordinance.
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Q. And that exemption is from being treated as a massage or spa facility. Is that correct?
A. Correct. They don't - - they don't get exempt from the application of the ordinance, just -you know, they still have to follow the rules of the ordinance. But this is for the solo practitioner exemption, yes.
Q. And the reason for that is to ensure that they understand that they are -- that they have to comply with the ordinance?
A. Correct.
Q. If you could hand that to Deb. Thank you.

We were talking about initial inspections under the ordinance. Does the City also conduct inspections of licensed businesses after that initial inspection?
A. They have.
Q. Does the City have any policy related to deciding when a postlicensure inspection takes place?
A. We do not have any policy. We just follow the outline of the ordinance.
Q. Are you aware of how many postlicensure inspections have taken place?
A. I don't know a specific number. I just know that they have occurred.

Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)
Q. Have they been driven in response to complaints?
A. They have.
Q. Each one of them?
A. I believe either a complaint or a
follow-up. I could get that information if needed. but I am aware that they have occurred.
Q. When you say follow-up, what do you mean by that?
A. If -- if there was something that was found or needed to be discussed further in the initial application, you know, there could be -there could be an opportunity then to do a follow-up inspection.
Q. Based on what is found in the initial inspection?
A. Correct.
Q. So the City doesn't conduct any - - any postlicensure inspections just to see if a business is in compliance without a complaint?
A. To my knowledge, I'm not aware of any that have been -- that have been done like that. It's been a complaint or there's been information that's came in that warranted an inspection.
Q. Has the city done any inspections of
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treatment logs required to be kept under the ordinance?
A. I'm not - I'm not familiar, I guess, if the logs -- the ordinance does outline that we can look at the logs was the -- you know, because they're required to keep certain information. So it does -the ordinance does give that inspection of those logs. And I'm not certain if they have looked at the logs. It would be noted on the inspection sheets, I believe.
Q. So there's no general City policy outlining how frequently unannounced on postlicensure inspections are conducted to ensure compliance with either that recordkeeping requirement you were talking about or any of the other requirements of the ordinance?
A. There is no City policy. We just rely on the ordinance.
Q. Does the City have any policy that would limit the frequency of inspections of a business after it's licensed?
A. There is no policy. Again, we just rely on the outline of the ordinance.
Q. And does it have any policy limiting the frequency of inspections of those who have qualified
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for solo practitioner exemptions?
A. No. Again, no -- no internal policy or policy on it. We just rely on the ordinance.
Q. Does the City have any policy limiting the scope of those inspections aside from what is written in the ordinance?
A. No. There's no -- there's no policy. Again, we just rely on the ordinance that does limit the scope.
Q. How does the City train its personnel on this ordinance?
A. So there has been, you know, two trainings that I'm aware of that City personnel that are responsible for this ordinance, have attended. So there's been training -- training conducted in those areas.
Q. And those trainings were specific to enforcement of the ordinance?
A. To the best of my knowledge, it was in regards to some indicators for illicit massage businesses, what to be looking for, things of that nature, yes. Again, we use the ordinance as the outline in our guideline for, you know, how we implement, you know, the enforcement of that ordinance.
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Q. But the trainings were for the purpose of educating personnel for the City who might have something to do with enforcing the ordinance and doing inspections. Right?
A. Inspections and licensing, yes.
Q. Did you review any documents related to those trainings before today?
A. I did.
Q. And which documents did you review, if you could tell me?
A. It was on a June of 2021 training. I believe there was an outline of what was covered in that training, and there was also, I believe, a PowerPoint presentation that was used in that training.

MR. WOISLAW: Gerry, you guys should already have a copy of that.

MR. FAGAN: We do.
BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. I'm handing you a document that has been previously marked Exhibit 2. Would you take a look at that and then familiarize yourself with it and then look back at me.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that one of the documents or group of
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documents that you looked at before today?
A. It is.
Q. And is that the June 2021-- are those the documents referencing the June 2021 training that we were just discussing?
A. Yes.
Q. Thanks. You can give those back to Deb.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you remember whether -- whether the police were there at that training, any police officers?
A. I believe there was.
Q. Do you remember whether anyone from the FBI was present?
A. I wouldn't recall that. MR. WOISLAW: I would like to take a brief recess, about ten minutes. Thank you.
(Whereupon, a break was then taken.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. We have just resumed after a short recess. Mr. Iffland, I would like you to pick up Exhibit 1 there in front of you, the ordinance. I have a couple of questions for you. So starting on page 10, you'll note
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section 7-1911 titled Requirements During Operation.
A. Okay.
Q. And then that goes down. It says "Every massage or spa facility licensee shall" and then it enumerates subsections by lower case letters. I have some questions for you about subsection C.
A. Okay.

Q, If you could go ahead and read subsection $C$ and then look back at me when you have done so.
A. Okay.
Q. I would like to ask you about the log that that subsection requires massage businesses to keep. What's the City do with that -- with information from those logs that it collects?
A. To my knowledge, they just inspect that. I don't know if they do anything further, but they're just, you know, looking for the items that are contained in the ordinance, the date, time, and type of massage therapy administered, and the name of the employee.
Q. And what are employees of the City looking for when they look at that log?
A. Exactly for that type -- that type of information.
Q. For what purpose?

Kevin Iffling, 30(b)(6)
A. To determine if there's any indicators for any illicit massage activity occurring there.
Q. Does the City have any data or document retention policies regarding documents like these if they were collected from a massage business?
A. They do.
Q. Could you explain those to me?
A. I believe it's through the city clerk's office that does all the record retention, and I would have to get you that information of how long these are retained.
Q. Would they be available to public records requests?
A. Almost anything the City does is available for that request. And then the legal department determines, you know, what -- what falls under that requirement for public records.
Q. Is there any reason you can articulate that the city would deny a public record request aimed at obtaining information that the city got from these logs?
A. I would have to confer with legal on that because they're the ones that normally make that determination.
Q. Are you aware that any requests such as
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that have been made?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Are you aware of whether the City has obtained any documents as a result of inspections under this subsection?
A. I'm not aware if we have actually taken photocopies or logs and put them into the record, no.
Q. Are you aware of whether the City has conducted any of these inspections of these logs?
A. I don't know specifically what information they have looked at in the logbooks. I could certainly get that information for you.
Q. Does the City require during initial inspections that -- that the employees conducting those inspections look at these logs?
A. I would have to go back and look at the checklists of what they look at. There's a standardized checklist of what the inspectors are looking for.
Q. Okay. Thanks. You can put the document down.
A. Okay.
Q. I have a few questions about any other ways that massage therapy businesses or solo practitioners of massage therapy are -- are treated
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by the City through its laws and regulations and ordinances.

Other than this ordinance, are there any
laws that the city enforces that -- or any policy the City enforces that treat massage therapists differently from other people?
A. Could you restate that question again.
Q. I can. It was a mouthful, wasn't it?

Does the City have any policies or enforce any laws that treat massage therapy businesses or massage therapy practitioners different from any other practitioner or any other business?
A. We have the ordinance that was created that does, yes.
Q. Aside from the ordinance, nothing?
A. This -- to my knowledge, the City doesn't have any other ordinances or policies, no.
Q. Does the City coordinate with the state massage board with respect to inspections of massage businesses in the city?
A. So the state massage board is responsible for the individual and not the business in the massage therapy area. And there is a state code that indicates that law enforcement can inspect licenses and -- of a massage therapy business.
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Q. Just so I understand, what do you mean when you say that the state massage board is responsible for the individual and the City with this ordinance is responsible for the business? Could you explain what you mean by that?
A. Absolutely. So the state massage board licenses the individual for massage therapy. There has to be a license and there's requirements for that license. But they are licensing the individual, not a business. Like, you know, whatever that business, you know, name would be or something, they're not licensing the business. They're licensing the individual to conduct massage therapy.
Q. Thank you. So the City does not coordinate with the state massage board for its inspections that it does under its state authority?
A. The ordinance does give us that option to coordinate with other departments to include the state massage board if needed, whether that would be from verification of licensing or something of that nature. And, again, then the state massage board and law enforcement can work under the state code.
Q. Has the City ever used this authority?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Are you aware of whether any massage
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therapists or their businesses have been inspected by the state massage board?
A. I'm not aware of that information. MR. WOISLAW: I don't have any other questions at this time.

MR. FAGAN: Let's take a quick break. THE WITNESS: Okay.
(Whereupon, a break was then taken.)

EXAMINATION

BY MR. FAGAN :
Q. So we're back on the record at almost ten after. For the record, I'm Gerry Fagan. I'm one of the defense counsel for the City in this case.

Kevin, I wanted to ask just a couple of follow-up questions to give you a chance to clarify a couple of responses. You had talked with Daniel about whether the City collects patient records during these inspections and then could it be subject to public records requests and those kind of things. Have you had a chance to find out more about what the City does with its review of patient logs?
A. I have.
Q. What have you found out?
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A. Joanne, which is our licensing agent in the finance department, to inquire about that. And the code -the ordinance is specific on, you know, what we can -- you know, what they're required to check, which is date and time and massage therapy thing that was performed and the employee that did that.

We do not collect, get any sort of patient information or any protected health care information off of that. So that would not go, you know, to the City in this inspection.
Q. So are the -- in the patient logs that are required to be kept, is the patient's name required to be stated in that log or just the services?
A. Just the services.
Q. Okay. So just to be clear, the City is not gathering patient records from these inspections then. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. In a public records request that the city could be subject to, do those contain privacy protections for individuals that might be in those communications?
A. It could, yeah, protect, you know, the individuals, again, in a public records request.
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Q. Let's talk briefly, too, about you were asked some questions about postlicense approval inspections by the City under the ordinance. Do you recall those questions?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And I just want to clarify on that. Has the City, to your knowledge, done any inspections of any massage business that has been approved initially?
A. No.
Q. So of those approved businesses under the ordinance, they have only been inspected once at application?
A. Correct.
Q. Any follow-up inspections that you were talking about, what were those inspections about?
A. They were either, you know, had not been issued a license, you know, or, you know, they were some other business, you know, that were inspected because there was indicators of these illicit massage businesses, but they had not been licensed at that point.
Q. And has the City conducted any inspections under this ordinance of solo practitioners?
A. No.
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Q. That's all the questions -- I'm sorry.
A. And I'll clarify that one more. So no inspections under this ordinance, but they are subject -- potentially subject to fire inspections, things of that nature that any other business would be subject to.
Q. Thank you for clarifying that point too. MR. FAGAN: That's the all the questions I have, Kevin. Thank you. MR. WOISLAW: We don't have any redirect. MR. FAGAN: So you're done, Kevin. (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at 10:11 a.m.) SIGNATURE RESERVED.
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|  | always (1) | $11: 19$ | case (2) | commission (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 15 \\ & \text { applicant (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{4: 9}{\operatorname{begin}(1)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 5 ; 26: 14 \\ & \text { cases (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 24 \\ & \text { communications (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| \$25 (1) | 11:18;12:12 | beginning (1) | $12: 25$ | 27:23 |
| 11:20 | applicants (3) | 1:17 | catch (1) | Complaint (4) |
|  |  | behind (1) |  | 13:13;16:5,20 |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { application }(13) \\ & 11: 4,7,16,19,20,22 ; \end{aligned}$ | best (3) | $12: 24$ | 16:2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ability (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 1,7 ; 13: 2,6 ; 15: 4 ; \\ & 16: 12 ; 28: 13 \end{aligned}$ | Billings (11) | 17:6,8 | $14: 22$ |
| 6:23 able (1) | applications (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 15 ; 6: 3 ; 7: 13 ; \\ & 8: 16 ; 9: 10,13 ; 10: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { certainly (1) } \\ 23: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { compliance (2) } \\ 16 \cdot 20 \cdot 17 \cdot 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| 27:1 | 11:1;13:4 |  |  |  |
| Absolutely (4) | apply (2)$11: 4 ; 14: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19;14:16;30:3,25 } \\ & \text { bladder (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CERTIFICATE (1) } \\ & 30: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { comply (1) } \\ 15: 10 \end{array}$ |
| 10:14,17;11:18; |  |  |  |  |
| 25:6 | applying (1) | 5:14 | CERTIFY (1) | concerning (2) |
| accepted (1) | $12: 13$ approach (1) | board (8) | $\begin{gathered} 30: 5 \\ \text { chance (3) } \end{gathered}$ | 7:10;8:16 |
| 7:24 | $\underset{9 \cdot 1}{\text { approach (1) }}$ 9:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 19,21 ; 25: 2,6,15, \\ & 19,21 ; 26: 2 \end{aligned}$ | chance (3) $6: 17 ; 26: 16,2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concluded (1) } \\ & 29: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { according (1) } \\ 10: 23 \end{gathered}$ | approval (2) 12:10;28:2 | boy (2) | changes (1) | conduct (3) |
| accurate (2) | $\begin{gathered} 12: 10 ; 28: 2 \\ \text { approve (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 13:25;14: | $\begin{gathered} 30: 7 \\ \text { cheating (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:14;16:18;25:13 } \\ & \text { conducted (6) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 14:8,15 |  | break (6) |  |  |
| activity (1) | 14:16 approved (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 11,16 ; 20: 18 ; \\ & 26: 6,8 ; 27: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 24 ; 12: 8 ; 17: 13 ; \\ & 18: 15 ; 23: 9 ; 28: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22:2 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { approved (2 } \\ 28: 8,11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 26:6,8;27:1 } \\ & \text { brief (1) } \end{aligned}$ | check (2) 11:24;27: |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { actually }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 23: 6 \end{gathered}$ | April (1) | 20:16 | checklist (1) | 23:14 |
| address (1) |  | briefly (1) | $\begin{gathered} 23: 18 \\ \text { checklists (1) } \end{gathered}$ | conducts (1) |
| 9:8 | area (2) | 28:1 |  | 12:15 |
| administered (1) | 10:19;24:23areas (1) | brought (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 23: 17 \\ \operatorname{city}(57) \end{array}$ | confer (1) |
| 21:19 |  | 10:8building (1) |  | 22:22 |
| administering (1) | areas (1) 18:16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { city }(57) \\ & 4: 14,15 ; 6: 3,21 ; 7: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { conflict (1) } \\ 12: 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9:2 | 18:16 <br> articulate (1) | $7: 18$ | $8,13,14 ; 8: 2,15 ; 9: 4$ |  |
| administrator (2) | $\begin{gathered} 22: 18 \\ \text { aside (2) } \end{gathered}$ | business (18) | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 2 ; 12: 15 ; 13: 4 ; \\ & 14: 16 ; 15: 14,18 \end{aligned}$ | conjunction (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:14;7:15 } \\ & \text { ads (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { aside (2) } \\ 18: 5 ; 24: 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 12 ; 11: 9 ; 12: 7,14 \\ & 14: 9 ; 16: 19 ; 17: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:18,25;17:11,17, } \\ & \text { 19;18:4,10,13;19:2 } \end{aligned}$ | contact (1) |
| 10:18,20,22 | 18:5;24:15 <br> Assistant (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 9 ; 16: 19 ; 17: 20 \\ & 22: 5 ; 24: 12,22,25 \end{aligned}$ |  | $27: 1$ |
| affect (1) | $\begin{gathered} 4: 14 ; 7: 14 \\ \text { attachment }(5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 4,10,10,12 ; 28: 8 \\ & 19: 29: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 13,21 ; 22: 3,8,14 \\ & 19,20 ; 23: 3,8,13 ; 24: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { contain (1) } \\ 27: 21 \end{array}$ |
| $5: 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| Again (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 19,20,22 ; 14: 4, \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | businesses (20) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4,5,9,16,18,20;25:3, } \\ & \text { 14,23;26:14,18,22; } \end{aligned}$ | contained (1) |
| 17:22;18:2,8,22; |  | $20,23 ; 10: 13,18 ; 11: 3,$ |  | 21:18 |
| 24:7;25:21;27:25 | attended |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:11,16,20;28:3,7, } \\ & 23 ; 30: 3,25 \end{aligned}$ | conversations (1) |
| 12:2 | attention (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 24 ; 24: 10,20 ; 26: 1 \\ & 28: 11,21 \end{aligned}$ | City's (1) | convicted (2) |
| agent (6) |  |  |  | 5:22,24 |
| 7:7;10:20;11:25; | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4:24 } \\ \text { authority (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $28: 11,21$ | clarify (4) | coordinate (3) |
| 12:4,10;27:2 | 25:16,23 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 9 ; 26: 16 ; 28: 6 ; \\ & 29: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:18;25:15,18 } \\ & \text { copy (4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5:7,15 | $22: 12,14$ | called (1) | clarifying (1) | 8:4;14:8,15;19:17 |
| ahead (1) |  | 4:5 | $\begin{gathered} 29: 7 \\ \text { clear (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { corrections (1) } \\ & 30: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21:8 | aware (10) 15:22;16:7,21; |  |  |  |
| aimed (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 13 ; 22: 25 ; 23: 3,6, \\ & 8 ; 25: 25 ; 26: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:6;16:24 } \\ & \text { can (15) } \\ & 5: 5 ; 7: 12 ; 9: 11 ; 10: 8 \\ & 15 ; 11: 15 ; 12: 22 ; 17: 4 ; \\ & 20: 7 ; 22: 18 ; 23: 20 ; \\ & 24: 8,24 ; 25: 22 ; 27: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27: 16 \\ \text { clerk's (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { council (5) } \\ 7: 6,8,9 ; 9: 7,7 \end{array}$ |
| 22:20 |  |  |  |  |
| airport (1) | B |  | $22: 8$ code (6) | counsel (1) |
| 7:17 | B |  | code (6) | 26:14 |
| AL (1) | back (11) |  | 12:5,5,8;24:23; | couple (3) $20: 24: 26: 15,17$ |
| 30:25 |  |  | collect (1) | 20:24;26:15,17 courtroom (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { allowing (1) } \\ 9: 25 \end{gathered}$ | 12,13;19:23;20:7; | cards (1) | 27:8collected (1) | 4:21 |
| Almost (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:9;23:16;26:12 } \\ & \text { background (1) } \end{aligned}$ | care (1) |  |  |
| 22:14;26:12 |  | 27:9 | $22: 5$ | $6: 22 ; 19: 12$ |
| along (2) | 9:3 | $5: 21$ | collects (2)$21: 14 ; 26: 18$ | created (1)$24: 13$ |
| 7:17;11:21 | Based (1)$16: 15$ |  |  |  |
| alongside (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { carry (1) } \\ 4: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { combat (1) } \\ 10: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{5: 24}{\operatorname{crime}(1)}$ |
| 10:10 | $\begin{gathered} 16: 15 \\ \text { basically (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
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| $\underset{11: 24}{\operatorname{criminal}(\mathbf{1})}$ | ${\underset{9}{9: 5}}_{\text {develop }(1)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2 \\ & \text { employee (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { familiarized (2) } \\ 8: 10 ; 14: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { further (3) } \\ & \quad 12: 11 ; 16: 11 ; 21: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D | 9:8 | employees (2) | $10: 17,20,23 ; 20: 14$ | G |
|  | DF (1) | 21:21;23:14 | fee (1) |  |
| Daniel (1) | 30:25 | enacted (3) | 11:20 | gathering (1) |
| 26:17 | different (2) | 10:21,22;11:5 | felony (1) | 27:17 |
| data (1) | 10:1;24:11 | end (2) | 5:22 | general (3) |
| 22:3 | differently (1) | 13:15,19 | few (1) | 5:14;8:1;17:11 |
| date (2) | 24:6 | enforce (1) | 23:23 | Gerry (2) |
| 21:18;27:6 | direct (1) | 24:9 | fill (1) | 19:16;26:13 |
| day (1) | 13:16 | enforcement (11) | 11:19 | given (1) |
| 30:17 | directly (1) | 5:21;10:3,5,11; | finance (2) | 30:9 |
| dealing (1) | 7:15 | 12:5,6,8;18:18,24; | 12:1;27:2 | gives (1) |
| 9:17 | discussed (2) | 24:24;25:22 | find (1) | 12:4 |
| Deb (2) | 7:6;16:11 | enforces (2) | 26:21 | giving (1) |
| 15:12;20:7 | discussing (1) | 24:4,5 | fingerprint (1) | 4:24 |
| deciding (1) | 20:5 | enforcing (2) | 11:23 | goes (2) |
| 15:19 | dishonesty (1) | 9:2;19:3 | fingerprints (1) | 11:25;21:3 |
| Declaratory (1) | 5:25 | ensure (2) | 11:22 | government (1) |
| 13:13 | division (3) | 15:8;17:13 | fire (2) | 8:2 |
| decreased (1) | 7:18,19,19 | enumerates (1) | 7:16;29:4 | group (1) |
| 10:23 | divisions (1) | 21:5 | first (3) | 19:25 |
| defense (1) | 7:17 | ET (1) | 4:5;9:4;13:16 | guess (1) |
| 26:14 | document (12) | 30:25 | five (1) | 17:3 |
| definition (1) | 6:6,13,15,18,24; | Exactly (1) | 11:6 | guideline (1) |
| 14:20 | 8:7,21;13:12;14:3; | 21:23 | fleet (1) | 18:23 |
| degree (1) | 19:20;22:3;23:20 | EXAMINATION (2) | 7:18 | guys (2) |
| 7:25 | documents (7) | 4:7;26:10 | flip (2) | 8:3;19:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { denial (1) } \\ 12: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 6,9,25 ; 20: 1,4 ; \\ & 20 \cdot 4 \cdot 23 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {examined (1) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 15 ; 14: 12 \\ & \text { focus (1) } \end{aligned}$ | H |
| denied (1) | done (5) | exempt (2) | 5:2 |  |
| 11:7 | 16:22,25;21:9; | 14:19;15:4 | follow (2) | hand (2) |
| deny (1) | 28:7;29:11 | exemption (3) | 15:5,20 | 13:11;15:12 |
| 22:19 | down (5) | 14:25;15:1,7 | following (1) | handing (3) |
| department (3) | 9:23,24;10:12; | exemptions (2) | 4:1 | 6:6;8:7;19:20 |
| 12:1;22:15;27:3 | 21:3;23:21 | 14:17;18:1 | follows (1) | health (1) |
| departments (2) | driven (1) | Exhibit (10) | 4:6 | 27:9 |
| 7:16;25:18 | 16:1 | 6:7,9;8:8;13:8,12, | follow-up (6) | held (1) |
| deponent (1) | duly (1) | 16,17,21;19:21;20:22 | 12:11;16:6,8,13; | 7:9 |
| 30:4 | 4:5 | experience (2) | 26:16;28:15 | HEREBY (1) |
| DEPONENT'S (1) | During (4) | 7:23;8:2 | force (1) | 30:5 |
| 30:1 | 21:1;23:13;26:19; | expires (1) | $4: 20$ foregoing (2) | herein (1) |
| deposed (1) | $27: 1$ | 30:24 | foregoing (2) | 4:5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 5:18 } \\ \text { deposition (4) } \end{gathered}$ | E | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { explain (3) } \\ 11: 16 ; 22: 7 ; 25: 5 \end{array}$ | $30: 4,5$ form (9) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hereinbefore (1) } \\ & 30: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $7: 3 ; 29: 12 ; 30: 4,9$ |  | F | $11: 19 ; 13: 3,6,7 ;$ $14: 7,8,16,19,23$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { history (1) } \\ 11: 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| $5: 13$ | $19: 2$ |  | forward (1) | human (2) |
| describe (2) | educational (1) | facilities (1) | 10:8 | 9:14;10:9 |
| 10:15;12:22 | 8:1 | 7:19 | found (4) |  |
| describing (1) | effect (1) | facility (4) | 13:19;16:11,15; | I |
| 9:19 description (1) | 11:1 | 12:14;14:21;15:2; | 26:25 |  |
| description (1) | effective (2) | $21: 4$ FAGAN (8) | four (1) | identification (2) |
| designated (2) | effectiveness (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAGAN (8) } \\ & \text { 8:5;13:25;19:18; } \end{aligned}$ | frequency (2) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 6:10;13:9 } \\ \text { IFFLAND (6) } \end{array}$ |
| 6:3,21 | 10:16 | 26:6,11,13;29:8,11 | 17:20,25 | 4:4,11;13:11; |
| determination (2) | effort (1) | falls (1) | frequently (1) | 20:22;30:4,14 |
| 12:10;22:24 | 9:22 | 22:16 | 17:12 | I-F-F-L-A-N-D (1) |
| determine (1) | either (4) | familiar (2) | front (1) | 4:12 |
| 22:1 | 12:10;16:5;17:14; | 6:15;17:3 | 20:23 | illicit (10) |
| determines (1) | 28:17 | familiarize (1) | full (3) | $9: 9,12,20,23 ; 10: 13,$ |
| 22:16 | else (1) | 19:22 | 4:24;5:14;30:8 | 18;11:9;18:20;22:2; |
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| 28:20 | 5:25 | 17:20;18:8 | 11:15 | obviously (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| impacted (1) | issued (1) | limiting (2) | medications (1) | 7:22 |
| 10:6 | 28:18 | 17:24;18:4 | 5:1 | occurred (2) |
| implement (1) | issues (1) | locations (1) | Member (1) | 15:25;16:7 |
| 18:24 | 10:7 | 11:10 | 9:7 | occurring (1) |
| include (3) | items (1) | $\log (3)$ | memory (1) | 22:2 |
| 7:8,18;25:18 | 21:17 | 21:11,22;27:14 | 5:2 | off (1) |
| including (2) |  | logbooks (1) | mentioned (1) | 27:10 |
| 7:16;9:3 | J | 23:11 | 30:10 | office (1) |
| indicates (1) |  | logs (12) | merely (1) | 22:9 |
| $24: 24$ | Joanne (1) | 17:1,4,5,8,9;21:14; | 14:20 | officer (1) |
| indication (1) | 27:2 | 22:21;23:7,9,15; | might (2) | 5:21 |
| 10:24 | June (3) | 26:23;27:12 | 19:2;27:22 | officers (1) |
| indicators (4) | 19:11;20:3,4 | long (2) | minutes (3) | 20:11 |
| 11:8;18:20;22:1; |  | 8:23;22:10 | 7:6,8;20:17 | once (3) |
| 28:20 | K | look (16) | moment (1) | 10:25;11:5;28:12 |
| individual (5) |  | 6:12,13;8:9,9;9:17; | 6:12 | one (7) |
| 24:22;25:3,7,9,13 | keep (2) | 14:3,4,13;17:5;19:21, | Montana (2) | 10:7,19;14:23; |
| individuals (2) | $17: 6 ; 21: 12$ | 23;21:9,22;23:15,16, | 10:19;30:22 | 16:4;19:25;26:13; |
| 27:22,25 | kept (2) | 17 | more (4) | 29:2 |
| information (14) | 17:1;27:13 | looked (3) | 5:15;10:2;26:21; | ones (1) |
| 12:5,11;16:6,23; | KEVIN (7) | 17:8;20:1;23:11 | 29:2 | 22:23 |
| 17:6;21:13,24;22:10, | 4:4,11;26:15;29:9, | looking (5) | mouthful (1) | only (1) |
| 20;23:10,12;26:3; | $11 ; 30: 3,14$ | 13:20;18:21;21:17, | $24: 8$ | $28: 12$ |
| 27:9,9 | K-E-V-I-N (1) | 21;23:19 | much (1) | operated (1) |
| initial (11) | 4:12 | lower (1) | 5:14 | 11:9 |
| 11:20,21;12:15,18; | kind (1) | $21: 5$ |  | operating (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 1,1 ; 15: 13,15 \\ & 16: 12,15 ; 23: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 26:20 | $\underset{5: 25}{\operatorname{lying}(1)}$ | N | $11: 3$ |
| initially (1) | 16:21;18:19;21:1 |  |  | 21:1 |
| 28:9 | 23:2;24:16;25:24; | M | 4:10,11;21:19; | opportunity (1) |
| initiative (2) | 28:7 |  | 25:11;27:13;30:21 | 16:13 |
| 9:7,16 |  | management (1) | nature (3) | option (1) |
| Injunctive (1) | L | 7:23 | 18:22;25:21;29:5 | 25:17 |
| 13:13 |  | many (1) | need (3) | options (1) |
| ink (1) | lack (1) | 15:22 | 5:11,16;11:22 | 9:17 |
| 30:7 | 10:6 | marked (6) | needed (3) | oral (1) |
| inquire (1) | law (7) | 6:7,10;8:8;13:9,12; | $16: 6,11 ; 25: 19$ | $30: 9$ |
| $27: 3$ | 5:21;7:5;10:3,5,11; | $19: 21$ | Next (1) | ordinance (55) |
| inspect (2) | 24:24;25:22 | masquerading (1) | 5:4 | 7:4,10;8:12,15,19, |
| 21:15;24:24 | laws (3) | 9:15 | normally (1) | 20;9:1,5,8,22;10:2, |
| inspected (3) | 24:1,4,10 | massage (41) | 22:23 | 21,22,25;11:4,5,11, |
| 26:1;28:12,19 | legal (2) | 8:16;9:9,12,15,20, | Notary (1) | 15;12:2,15;14:10,17, |
| inspection (13) | 22:15,22 | 23;10:13,18;11:9; | 30:22 | 25;15:4,6,10,14,21; |
| 12:6,7,9,16;13:7; | letters (1) | 12:14;14:20,24;15:2; | notation (1) | 17:2,4,7,16,18,23; |
| $15: 16,19 ; 16: 14,16,$ | 21:5 | 18:20;21:4,12,19; | 13:18 | $18: 3,6,8,11,14,18,22$ |
| 24;17:7,9;27:11 | library (1) | 22:2,5;23:24,25;24:5, | note (1) | 25;19:3;20:23;21:18; |
| inspections (27) | 7:16 | 10,11,19,19,21,23,25; | 20:25 | 24:3,13,15;25:4,17; |
| 12:18;15:13,15,23; | license (4) | 25:2,6,7,13,15,19,21, | noted (1) | 27:4;28:3,12,24;29:3 |
| 16:19,25;17:13,20, | 12:14;25:8,9;28:18 | 25;26:2;27:6;28:8,20 | 17:9 | ordinances (2) |
| 25;18:5;19:4,5;23:4, | licensed (4) | master's (1) | notice (1) | 24:2,17 |
| 9,14,15;24:19;25:16; | 14:24;15:15;17:21; | 7:25 | 6:22 | originally (1) |
| $26: 19 ; 27: 17 ; 28: 3,7,$ | 28:21 | material (1) | number (1) | $9: 6$ |
| 15,16,23;29:3,4 | licensee (1) | 30:6 | $15: 24$ | out (4) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { inspectors (1) } \\ 23: 18 \end{gathered}$ | 21:4 <br> licenses (4) | $\underset{7: 5}{\operatorname{matter}(\mathbf{1})}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 16 ; 11: 19 ; 26: 21, \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| instance (1) | 11:8;14:9;24:24; | mean (4) |  | outline (5) |
| 9:5 | $25: 7$ | 12:23;16:8;25:1,5 |  | $15: 21 ; 17: 4,23$ |
| internal (1) | licensing (11) | means (2) | $4: 17 ; 5: 13$ | 18:23;19:12 |
| 18:2 | 7:7;11:16,25;12:4, | 9:12,13 | obtained (1) | outlining (1) |
| into (1) | 9;19:5;25:9,12,12,20; | measure (1) | $23: 4$ | 17:12 |
| 23:7 | 27:2 | 10:15 | obtaining (1) | outside (1) |
| involving (1) | limit (2) | mechanically (1) | 22:20 | 10:10 |
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| $\begin{array}{r} \text { over (1) } \\ 11: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19:14 } \\ \text { practices (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{5: 5}{\text { quickly (1) }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { requirement (2) } \\ \text { 17:14;22:17 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { section (1) } \\ 21: 1 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| overall (1) | 10:3 |  | requirements (4) | services (4) |
| 8:1 | practitioner (4) | R | 8:1;17:15;21:1; | 7:18;10:11;27:14, |
| oversee (2) | 14:17;15:6;18:1; |  | 25:8 | 15 |
| 7:15,15 | 24:12 | range (1) | requires (1) | session (1) |
| owners (1) | practitioners (4) | 10:21 | 21:12 | 7:9 |
| 14:9 | 14:20;23:25;24:11; | read (2) | RESERVED (1) | several (3) |
|  | 28:24 | 21:8;30:5 | 29:14 | 8:19,24;10:5 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | preferred (1) | ready (1) | Residing (1) | sex (1) |
|  | 7:25 | 14:14 | 30:23 | 10:9 |
| page (2) | preparation (1) | reason (2) | resources (2) | shall (1) |
| $13: 17 ; 20: 25$ | $8: 13$ | 15:8;22:18 | 10:6;11:12 | 21:4 |
| pages (1) | prepare (1) | rec (1) | respect (2) | sheet (1) |
| 30:6 | $7: 2$ | 7:17 | 6:21;24:19 | 30:8 |
| parking (1) | prepared (2) | recall (3) | response (1) | sheets (1) |
| 7:18 | $6: 20,25$ | 10:20;20:15;28:4 | 16:1 | 17:9 |
| parks (1) | present (1) | recess (2) | responses (1) | short (1) |
| 7:17 | 20:14 | 20:17,21 | 26:17 | 20:21 |
| patient (5) | presentation (1) | recollection (1) | responsible (4) | shut (2) |
| $26: 18,22 ; 27: 8,12$ | $19: 14$ | $11: 2$ | 18:14;24:21;25:3,4 | $9: 23,24$ |
| $17$ | prevent (1) | record (6) | restate (1) | shutting (1) |
| patient's (1) | $4: 23$ | 4:10;22:9,19;23:7; | 24:7 | 10:12 |
| $27: 13$ | previously (2) | $26: 12,13$ | result (1) | SIGNATURE (1) |
| people (1) | 8:8;19:21 | recordkeeping (1) | $23: 4$ | 29:14 |
| $24: 6$ | PRINT (1) | $17: 14$ | resulted (1) | signed (1) |
| performed (1) | 30:21 | records (7) | 11:11 | 30:8 |
| 27:7 | prior (2) | 22:12,17;26:18,20; | results (1) | significant (2) |
| personnel (3) | 10:21;11:3 | 27:17,20,25 | 12:8 | 9:14;11:8 |
| 18:10,13;19:2 | privacy (1) | redirect (1) | resumed (1) | significantly (2) |
| photocopies (1) | 27:21 | $29: 10$ | $20: 21$ | $10: 6,23$ |
| $23: 7$ | proactive (1) | referencing (3) | retained (1) | $\operatorname{six}(2)$ |
| phrasing (1) | $9: 24$ | $8: 18 ; 9: 19 ; 20: 4$ | $22: 11$ | $7: 15,15$ |
| $5: 6$ | problem (1) | regarding (1) | retention (2) | solo (6) |
| pick (1) |  | $22: 4$ | $22: 4,9$ | $14: 17,19 ; 15: 6$ |
| $20: 22$ | proceedings (1) | regards (2) | review (6) | $18: 1 ; 23: 24 ; 28: 24$ |
| place (4) | 4:1 | 7:5;18:20 | $6: 17 ; 12: 1 ; 14: 13$ | sometimes (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 4 ; 15: 19,23 \\ & 30: 10 \end{aligned}$ | process (1) 11:16 | regulations (1) | 19:6,9;26:22 <br> reviewed (5) | $\begin{gathered} 5: 4 \\ \text { sorry (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 30: 10 \\ \text { please (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:16 } \\ \text { prostitution (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 1 \\ & \text { related (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { reviewed (5) } \\ 6: 14 ; 7: 4,4,5 ; 8: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { sorry (2) } \\ 13: 18 ; 29: 1 \end{array}$ |
| 4:9;5:8,12 | 9:14 | 15:18;19:6 | Right (1) | sort (1) |
| point (4) | protect (1) | relation (1) | 19:4 | 27:8 |
| 5:11;12:4;28:22; |  | 5:20 | role (4) | spa (3) |
| 29:7 | protected (1) | Relief (1) | $7: 12,14,21,23$ | 14:21;15:2;21:4 |
| police (4) | $27: 9$ | $13: 14$ | rules (1) | speak (2) |
| 7:16;11:12;20:10, | protections (1) | rely (4) | 15:5 | 5:5;6:20 |
| $10$ | 27:22 | $17: 17,22 ; 18: 3,8$ | S | $\underset{5: 13}{\text { speaking (1) }}$ |
| policies (4) $10: 3 ; 22: 4 ; 24: 9,17$ | public (7) $22: 12.17 .19: 26$ | remember (2) | S | specific (4) |
| policy (13) | $27: 20,25 ; 30: 22$ | repeat (1) |  | $6: 4 ; 15: 24 ; 18: 17$ |
| $9: 3 ; 15: 18,20$ | purpose (2) | 5:8 | $4: 20 ; 30: 6$ | $27: 4$ |
| 17:11,17,19,22,24; | 19:1;21:25 | repeating (1) | Saves (1) | specifically (2) |
| 18:2,3,4,7;24:4 | put (3) | $8: 23$ | $8: 23$ | 10:19;23:10 |
| position (1) | $12: 7 ; 23: 7,20$ | representative (1) | saving (1) | spelling (1) |
| $7: 24$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:21 } \\ \text { request (4) } \end{gathered}$ | $11: 11$ | $4: 10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { postlicense (1) } \\ & 28: 2 \end{aligned}$ | Q | request (4) $22: 15,19 ; 27: 20,25$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { scheduled (2) } \\ 12: 18,25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { spurred (1) } \\ 9: 4 \end{array}$ |
| postlicensure (4) | qualifications (2) | requests (3) | schedules (1) | standard (2) |
| 15:19,22;16:19; | 7:20,22 | 22:13,25;26:20 | 12:6 | 14:7,8 |
| $17: 12$ | qualified (1) | require (1) | scope (2) | standardized (4) |
| potentially (1) | $17: 25$ | $23: 13$ | $18: 5,9$ | 13:3,5,6;23:18 |
| 29:4 | quick (1) | required (5) | Secondly (1) | start (1) |
| PowerPoint (1) | 26:6 | 17:1,6;27:5,13,13 | 10:25 | 9:25 |
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## EXHIBIT <br> 12

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Tina Hoeger

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,
Case Number
V. CV-22-30-BLG-KLD

CITY OF BILLINGS,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF TINA HOEGER

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the deposition upon oral examination of TINA HOEGER, appearing at the instance of Plaintiffs, was taken at the offices of Fisher Court Reporting, 2711 Ist Avenue North, Billings, Montana, on Tuesday, September 19th, 2023, beginning at the hour of $1: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} .$, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Deborah L. Fabritz, Court Reporter - Notary Public.


Tina Hoeger

## APPEARANCES

ATTORNEYS APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, THERESA VONDRA, ET AL.:

Mr. Daniel T. Woislaw, Esq.
Pacific Legal Foundation
3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
and
Mr. Ethan W. Blevins, Esq.
Pacific Legal Foundation
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
and
Mr. Alexander J. Smith, Esq. (via Zoom)
Pacific Legal Foundation
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290
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Tina Hoeger

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had and testimony taken, to-wit:


TINA HOEGER, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Hello. My name is Daniel Woislaw, and I represent the plaintiffs in this matter of Theresa Vondra, et al., versus City of Billings.

Would you mind stating and spelling your name for the record.
A. Sure. It's Tina Hoeger, T-I-N-A. The last name is $H-O-E-G-E-R$.
Q. And what is your title?
A. Code enforcement division manager.
Q. Is that for the City of Billings?
A. Yes.
Q. I just have some preliminary questions I want to get through, and then we'll go into sort of your background and then get into some questions about the controversy that we're here to discuss today.

So first, do you understand the oath you
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just took?
A. I do.
Q. Have you ever been deposed before?
A. Yes.
Q. And what were you deposed related to?
A. My prior law enforcement career, I had been deposed in a case.
Q. Were you a police officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Here in the City of Billings?
A. Yes.
Q. So you understand the statements that you're going to give carry the same force as if we were in a courtroom?
A. I do.
Q. And is there anything that would prevent you giving me your full attention today?
A. No.
Q. Are you taking any medications that would affect your memory or focus?
A. No.
Q. Next, I just like to make sure, because I can talk very quickly sometimes, that if you don't understand a question or you need me to repeat it or for Deb to repeat it, would you please just agree to
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let me know?
A. I will.
Q. And also if you need a break at any time -- we're probably going to take at least one break during the deposition, but if you need a break, please let me know and we can take one.
A. I can do that.
Q. It's stressful enough to have to give statements under oath, but it's bordering on cruel and unusual punishment to do so with a full bladder. So please just let me know.

Next, have you ever been convicted of a felony?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been convicted of a crime involving lying, cheating, stealing, or dishonesty?
A. No.
Q. There could be some objections today to questions that $I$ ask. Do you understand that unless counsel instructs you not to answer it, you're going to need to go ahead and answer it?
A. Sure.
Q. Have you ever used a different name --
A. Yes.
Q. -- other than the one you gave?
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Was that a maiden name?
A. That is my maiden name.
Q. That is your maiden name.
A. I was married before.
Q. Okay. And what was your married name?
A. The last one was Meder, $M-E-D-E-R$, and the one prior to that was Walsh.
Q. $\quad W-A-L-S-H$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. When did you become the code enforcement division manager for the City of Billings?
A. January 10th, 2022.
Q. And what are the qualifications for your current role as the code enforcement division manager?
A. I had to have a degree, prior supervisory capacity. There was -- that was two of the major ones as far as that.
Q. Did you do anything to prepare for the deposition today?
A. I reviewed the ordinance and reviewed materials that apply to the ordinance.
Q. And what materials did you review?
A. Well, I'm sure you have a copy of a short
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policy that's in the code enforcement manual, so I looked at that.
Q. Is that policy 3-3?
A. I believe it's 3-3.
Q. Did you review any other -- did you review any other materials or documents in anticipation of this deposition?
A. Yeah. I looked through some of our cases and I looked at the application.
Q. Which application?
A. For the license.
Q. For whose license?
A. Just the generic one --
Q. The generic application form?
A. -- so I could familiarize myself again with the license.
Q. Okay. So could you just give us an overview of what the role of your job is with the City of Billings, what the code enforcement division manager does?
A. I oversee seven officers, and we are tasked with enforcing over 22 different city ordinances, one of which is this ordinance.
Q. I'm handing you a document that has previously been marked Exhibit 1 .
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A. Uh-huh.
Q. Would you just glance at that, familiarize yourself with it. You certainly don't have to read the whole thing word for word, but just familiarize yourself with it. And then look back at me when you -- thank you.

Is this the ordinance you were
referencing?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is the ordinance related to licensing and inspections of massage businesses in the City of Billings?
A. Correct.
Q. And your division is responsible for the enforcement of this ordinance?
A. We are responsible for the inspection and if there's any violations, yes.
Q. So I'm going to refer to "the ordinance" in quotations -- the ordinance quite a bit today. So if I say "the ordinance," will you understand I'm talking about this one?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. It will save me a lot of words. If you look at the title there, it's quite long. I'd prefer not to say that every time.
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So you mentioned earlier that you had gone over a policy related to massage and spa facilities which is the ordinance. Are you responsible for setting policies for the -- for your division, or does somebody else do that?
A. That would be me.
Q. Okay. What's the process for -- for drafting or publishing those policies? How do they become policy?
A. Well, they are created, written, and then they are passed through my boss as well as our legal department to make sure that everything is appropriate.
Q. And who is your boss?
A. Wyeth Friday. He is the planning and community services director, and $I$ report direct to him.
Q. So he approves the policies for your division?
A. He reviews it, makes any kind of edits or suggestions. And then ultimately it goes through legal, and $I$ believe $I$ also sent it to HR.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
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Q. You've just been handed a document marked Exhibit 4. Would you take a look at that, and when you have reviewed it, just take a look back at me.

Are you familiar with this document?
A. I am.
Q. Can you tell me what it is?
A. It's a policy I wrote to establish some guidelines as we moved forward in the massage and spa ordinance.
Q. And you drafted the policy originally?
A. I did.
Q. Has it been revised since this version was created?
A. No.
Q. Is this the only policy that your division has related to the ordinance?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there any other policies that you're aware of within the City of Billings that relate to the ordinance?
A. The guidelines set out in the ordinance is what we follow closely.
Q. So there are no written policies that limit the scope of inspections under the ordinance other than the language in the ordinance that you
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rely on?
A. We follow the ordinance as far as the scope of what we're supposed to do. This policy was basically to document what we do as far as a case.
Q. And are there any -- are there any -- any written policies that you follow in the code enforcement division that would limit the frequency Of inspections of a business that is licensed under the ordinance?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. I'm happy to -- to clarify that.

Are there any written policies that would
prevent your division from doing more than one inspection of a business that is licensed under the ordinance?
A. A specific ordinance or a specific policy?
Q. A specific policy.
A. Telling me how many times?
Q. Yes.
A. I follow the ordinance and there is not anything in there about that.
Q. So nothing beyond the ordinance with
respect to the frequency of inspections of businesses?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
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(Whereupon, Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. I have just handed you a document that has been marked Exhibit 5. When you have a chance, please take a look at that and then look back at me once you have familiarized yourself with it.

Is that document familiar to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me what it is?
A. It's the checklist we use during the inspection of the facility.
Q. And that's the inspection used for -- I'm sorry. That's the checklist that you use for inspections of businesses under the ordinance?
A. Correct.
Q. Is this used in every inspection?
A. For this ordinance?
Q. For this ordinance.
A. Yes.
Q. Is this checklist limited to use in
initial licensing inspections, or does it also apply in -- in inspections of businesses that have already been licensed?
A. This is what we use when we do the initial
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inspection at the application level. There are occasions when a facility is not licensed and the inspection needs to be done.
Q. What process do you follow when the
facility is not licensed?
A. It's usually complaint driven, so we're sent to inspect the business.
Q. Do you seek consent for those searches - for those inspections?
A. What do you mean?
Q. So when you do an inspection of a facility that has not been licensed under the ordinance, how -- how do you gain entry to those businesses?
A. Well, we follow the ordinance as its written. So we would go there during business hours and explain to them why we are there.
Q. And what authority do you rely on to do the inspections?
A. Under this ordinance.
Q. And is the practice to tell businesses or business owners that you're going to search them or to ask if you can search them?
A. We're not necessarily searching them. We're doing an inspection. So we will go and explain the reason why we are there and proceed most always
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-- actually always with cooperation.
Q. After you explain the reason why you're there?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. And that's in reference to the ordinance authorizing an inspection? When you explain the reason, are you explaining that the ordinance authorizes the inspection?
A. Yes. So when we are sent under a new application, we let them know why we're there. They already actually know because in the application it lists what will transpire.

What I was previously referring to was if they're not licensed and we receive a complaint, we use the same checklist. And we explain to them why we're there because perhaps they're not aware of the ordinance.
Q. So next, I want to talk about a business that has already had its initial licensing inspection.
A. Okay.
Q. It's already licensed and the department -- your division is going to do an inspection of that business. Do you use the same checklist for those inspections?
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A.

Why would $I$ be doing another inspection if they're already licensed? Did I receive a complaint?
Q. So if you -- my question was, if you do an inspection of a business that has already been licensed, whether there's a complaint, whether there isn't, whatever the reason is that you're doing an inspection of the business --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- that's already been licensed under this ordinance and you're doing an inspection under the ordinance, is this the same checklist that your division would use?
A. Yes. So if we're out there and it's already been properly licensed, we are out there because there has been either a violation or a complaint, and we will utilize this list.
Q. So I want to talk a little bit about those inspections, inspections of businesses that have already been licensed under the ordinance and you're using the ordinance to do an inspection of those businesses.

What drives those inspections? What causes your division to inspect a massage business that's already been licensed under the ordinance after the initial licensing inspection?

Tina Hoeger
A. us.
Q. Where do the complaints come from?
A. A lot of the complaints we receive are online, and they're anonymous. It could also be an observed violation.
Q. Can you explain what you mean by an observed violation?
A. For example, if it is observed by one of my code officers that they are operating outside of hours or -- I mean, if there's a violation that is observed. And that's rare.
Q. But it happens?
A. I believe once.
Q. I just want to clarify what you mean by once. When you say once, are you referring to there has been one instance in which operating outside of hours has formed the basis for a complaint that spurred a later inspection, or are you saying there's only been one of these postlicensure inspections?
A. The first one.
Q. All right.
A. So there has been one occurrence where we observed that the business was actually -- and I'll correct myself. It wasn't that they were outside of
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hours. It was that they did not have a license. So the inspection was done, $I$ think, within a few days of that.
Q. Have there been any other businesses -have there been any businesses that have already been licensed under the ordinance that you have done inspections on other than initial licensing inspections?
A. I would have to look through the records. If there have been, there have been -- you could count them on one hand.
Q. So who decides when one of these inspections happens other than the initial licensing inspections? You mentioned -- you mentioned earlier that you had an officer out in -- out who observed something happening. There was a complaint generated in response to that.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And an inspection took place.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Who approves those, if anyone?
A. I don't know what you mean by approve.

But if -- my officers are tasked by ordinance that if there's a violation, that they have the duty to look into it. So I mean, that's part of their
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responsibility.
Q. So there's no central -- there's no central approval power that you have over code enforcement officers doing these inspections?
A. You mean when a complaint comes in?
Q. Yes. So a complaint comes in. An officer can go investigate?
A. I don't have to approve the complaint before they do it, no, if that's what you're asking me.
Q. Yes.

And the officers, they don't have to get your permission to do these inspections?
A. They have my permission under the responsibilities of their job.
Q. They don't need your specific permission for any specific inspection under the ordinance?
A. No.
Q. So I want to direct your attention to this Exhibit 5. I would like to refer you to the second page. And above inspector notes where it says BMCC 7-1912, inspections, there's a second bullet point that reads "Upon request, open all locked cabinet, storage areas, or rooms for inspection."

My question about this is whether this
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limits searches -- inspections of these businesses only to areas that are locked?

This says "Upon request, open all locked cabinet, storage areas, or rooms for inspection." Does that mean this is limiting your inspectors to only searching those areas that are locked?
A. No.
Q. So they search other areas that are not
locked?
A. Yes.
Q. And how do they determine which areas to search?
A. There's a list of items to look at in the ordinance, and that's what this checklist is based on as well. So for instance, they talk about the lobby area. They talk about having the services posted that they offer. There are limits to areas such as where there's business records or things like that, but they follow the letter of the ordinance when it comes to what they look at.
Q. Are the inspectors required to look in every room in every area of a business that they do an inspection of under the ordinance?
A. I want to look at the ordinance for a second here.
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Q.

Well, I'm asking whether -- let me clarify that question. I'm asking whether your division requires inspectors to look in every area of a business that is -- that is being inspected under the ordinance.
A. I'm not quite exactly sure what you're asking me.
Q. When your inspectors --
A. Am I asking them to do something outside of the ordinance? No.
Q. My question was whether -- whether you're requiring the officers for the code division when they do an inspection under the ordinance, do you require them to search every area in -- in a business?
A. I require them to search whatever is required in the ordinance.
Q. You mentioned earlier about complaints generating inspections of businesses under the ordinance. If you have -- for all of the businesses that are already licensed, do you require a complaint before you do a second inspection?
A. That is how additional inspections are generated currently, yes.
Q. So your division doesn't do any
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unannounced inspections without a complaint?
A. Correct. Or a license application.
Q. Have you received any guidance or
communication from anyone in the City telling you not to have your division do -- do inspections after a business has been licensed?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that anyone in the City in code enforcement, police department, or anywhere else has been informed not to do inspections of businesses that have already been licensed under the ordinance?
A. I'm not aware of that.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. I have just handed you a document marked Exhibit 6. Would you take a look at that, familiarize yourself with it, and then look back at me when you're ready.

Are you familiar with this document?
A. It's an older inspection.
Q. And is this a document reflecting an inspection that was carried out under the ordinance?
A. Yes.
Q. And is this the same facility checklist as
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Exhibit 5? And I'll hand you Exhibit 5 as well.
A. It appears to be.
Q. So I want to ask you based on the inspector notes in this inspection report. I want to follow up on some earlier questions I had about the way that businesses are searched.

So I would just like to refer you to the language here in inspector notes. The second sentence, it says, "She let us into every area of the place and let us open up every drawer."

My question to you is whether this is -this is standard procedure for the -- for your division is to open every drawer in a business?
A. This inspection occurred before I even worked there, so $I$ don't have any -- I really don't have any input on this one.
Q. Well, let's talk about now with the current inspectors. What term do you prefer for your employees at the City? Inspectors? Officials? Officers?
A. They're officers, code enforcement officers.
Q. I'll use that term then.
A. Okay.
Q. So for your officers in the division, are
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they given broad latitude to decide how to conduct, the -- in terms of whether they open every drawer or some drawers in a business when they do a licensing inspection?
A. The way that we are doing it now is it's by the letter of the ordinance. I don't know what they did initially when the ordinance went into effect. I wasn't part of the division then.
Q. Let's take a step back for a moment then. How -- how do your officers decide whether to open one drawer or another one in a business when they do an inspection?
A. I would have to ask the commercial code officer. He follows the letter of the ordinance. He looks at those cabinets that are in the massage rooms. I don't believe he's looking in anything that is sensitive in nature to the business.
Q. So you don't have any policies beyond the ordinance, in the letter of the ordinance to instruct officers in determining which drawers to open and which areas to search or inspect in a business under the ordinance?
A. We try to closely follow the ordinance and how it's written.
Q. So each officer is using their own
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judgment in compliance with the ordinance?
A. No. I have a specific officer tasked with these now. Prior to that, there was three officers that were primarily handling these.
Q. And what officer is that?
A. Todd Morgan.

MR. WOISLAW: I would like to take a brief recess, about 10 minutes.

THE WITNESS: Sure. Great. (Whereupon, a break was then taken.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Okay. So Ms. Hoeger -- am I pronouncing that correctly?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. I just realized I hadn't addressed you by your name in awhile, so I wasn't sure if I had it right.

I want to go back for a moment to initial licensing inspections, and I would like to ask you what the procedure is for how your division schedules those with -- with applicants under the ordinance.
A. Okay.
Q. Could you just like take me through that?
A. We generally receive the application from
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the licensing division and then -- for example, now Todd gets them, and he'll go out and do the inspection during business hours.
Q. Does Todd coordinate with the business owners to schedule a time with them?
A. Sometimes. Not always.
Q. Are you aware of whether any business owners, when they haven't scheduled the inspections themselves or been given notice, have turned away Todd or another officer from your division?
A. I'm not aware of that, no.
Q. Has your division done any inspections of solo practitioners under the ordinance -- solo practitioner massage therapists under the ordinance?
A. I think it's been all facilities since I started there. I would have to look back in the records, but $I$ believe solo is -- they are excluded from that in the ordinance. But $I$ would have to double-check.
Q. Are You aware of whether there were any inspections of solo practitioners before you came into the division?
A. I'm not aware.
Q. What coordination, if any, does your division engage in with respect to massage businesses
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or illicit massage businesses with the Billings Police Department?
A. I'm not sure what you're asking me.
Q. I'm asking whether the division
coordinates at all with the Billings Police
Department with respect to inspecting massage
businesses or investigating illicit massage
businesses?
A. Do they go with us?
Q. Is there any coordination between your division and the Billings Police Department?
A. No.
Q. Has your -- has your division sent any complaints as a result of inspections done under the ordinance to the Billings Police Department?
A. To the task force, yes.
Q. Which task force is that?
A. It would being DCI that they have a --
Q. What does that stand for?
A. Department of Criminal Investigations.
Q. And what are they tasked with? What is the Department of Criminal Investigations tasked with?
A. Well, the local unit that is working with some human trafficking issues.

Tina Hoeger
Q. And has that task force sent your division any complaints with respect to --
A. No.
Q. -- businesses?
A. No. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Are you familiar with the term illicit massage business?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain to me what that term means to you?
A. It would mean that there is human trafficking going on, sexual activity, things that are not legal in the profession of a legitimate massage facility.
Q. And have inspections done by your division uncovered any suspected illicit massage businesses?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know how many?
A. Not an exact number.
Q. How many can you remember?
A. I know there has been a few that did not meet the inspection. There were some things in there that were questionable. So when the inspection was completed, that was referred back to the licensing administrator.
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Q. And so all of the inspection reports go to the licensing administrator? Was that the term you used?
A. Yeah.
Q. And who is that?
A. That would be Joanne.
Q. Joanne Rindahl?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you spell her name.
A. It's R-I-N-D-A-H-L, I believe.
Q. And is Joanne, J-O-A-N-N-E?
A. Possibly. I don't know if there's an $E$ or not. I can't remember.
Q. That's okay. And those -- any of those businesses that were -- that were flagged after an inspection as possibly be illicit massage businesses after the inspections, none of them were issued licenses. Right?
A. That is up to Joanne's division. So we do the inspection, give her the results of the inspection, and then it's determined in her offices whether they're going to approve the license or not. We're just the vehicle for the inspection.
Q. But you're not aware that any of them were later licensed?
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A. I would have to look back again and maybe communicate with her.
Q. Your division is tasked, I believe you said earlier, with enforcing the ordinance?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. In the ordinance -- and I will refer you -- that's Exhibit 1. I think you have a copy there.
A. I do.
Q. I'll refer you to section 7-1910 on page 9, which is prohibitions during operation.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And on the next page, on page 10 , subsection $M$ prohibits operate an erotic parlor within the city. When your officers or now Officer Todd Morgan is doing inspections, is he looking for evidence that a business is an erotic parlor?
A. He's looking for any evidence of things that don't belong in a massage facility, like condoms and vibrators and things of that nature that are of a sexual nature that should not be there.
Q. So he looks anywhere those things could be found?
A. Correct.
Q. Are your officers, and now perhaps only Todd Morgan, but are any of your officers trained
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specifically on enforcing this ordinance?
A. Yes. As I mentioned before, there were three that were performing these inspections, and they were the three that went through the training. That occurred prior to me becoming the division manager.

I will also note that Todd does not do these inspections alone. He will bring a second officer with him. So it's never just him. He will bring someone else.
Q. Is that other person -- will they have gone through the training?
A. Sometimes it's one of the others. Sometimes it's not. Generally, Todd will lead the inspection, and that other officer will be there to assist.
Q. Have any officers, including Todd, been trained since you took over as division manager? By been trained, I mean been trained on enforcing the ordinance.
A. No. We haven't done an updated training, but it's certainly something that I would consider doing as a refresher.
Q. Are any of the materials from the training shared with new officers who are going to be doing
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these inspections with Todd?
A. No. Now that it falls under his job responsibility as the commercial officer, and since he's gone through the training, he primarily will do the inspections. And he will bring newer officers along when there's the occasion so that they can see what it looks like basically.
Q. So they're learning from Todd now?
A. Sure.
Q. Remind me -- and I'm sorry if I already asked you this -- when you started as division manager.
A. January loth of '22.
Q. And you haven't attended any of the trainings. These were all before you took over?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you or Todd place any particular emphasis or focus or priority on any parts of this ordinance over other parts and how you go about enforcing it? Do you have priorities that you focus on?
A. I'm not sure exactly what you mean by that, but we follow the checklist. We follow the ordinance. We are concerned that -- we want to make sure that people aren't being used improperly in our
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city.
Q. Has your division coordinated at all with any federal agencies or agents --
A. No.
Q. -- with respect to the ordinance --
A. No.
Q. -- or illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. Have you coordinated at all with the State Board of Massage Therapy?
A. No. I believe a copy of that licensing is what is initially required when they apply. And, again, that's handled through finance.
Q. But your division doesn't have a channel of communication with the State Board of Massage Therapy?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of whether the state board has done any inspections under their own authority of any businesses in Billings?
A. I'm personally not aware, no.
Q. And the City or your division hasn't coordinated with them on any inspections?
A. No.
Q. Is this ordinance -- the ordinance I'm
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```
referring to is Exhibit 1. Is that the only document
relating to -- does that contain the only
restrictions on people who practice massage therapy
in the city of Billings that your division enforces?
    I know that was a mouthful. I can repeat
it.
    A. Yeah. If you could.
    Q. Yeah. Are there any other laws or
regulations related to massage therapy that your
division enforces in the city of Billings?
    A. Outside of this ordinance?
    Q. Outside of this ordinance.
    A. Not that I'm aware of.
    Q. Has your division ever sought a search
warrant for an inspection or a search of a massage
therapy business or a business that was suspected to
be an illicit massage business?
    A. NO.
            MR. WOISLAW: I don't think I have any
further questions at this time. Do you guys want
to --
                            MR. FAGAN: Why don't we take a quick
break, and we'll talk, five or ten minutes.
                        THE WITNESS: Sure.
```

                    (Whereupon, a break was then
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taken.)
MR. FAGAN: Okay. Just for the record, we're back after a short break. The City doesn't have any questions of Tina.

MR. WOISLAW: We don't have anything
further.
(Whereupon, the deposition concluded at 2:44 p.m.) SIGNATURE RESERVED.
$\qquad$
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|  |  | facilities (2) | 34:20;35:6 | 14 |
|  | edits (1) $10: 20$ | $10: 2 ; 26: 15$ |  | H-O-E-G-E-R (1) |
|  | effect (1) | facility (7) | G | 4:15 |
| DF (1) | $\begin{gathered} 24: 8 \\ \text { either (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 12 ; 14: 2,5,11 ; \\ & 22: 25 ; 28: 14 ; 30: 18 \end{aligned}$ |  | hours (5) |
| 36:25 |  |  | gain (1) | 14:15;17:11,18; |
| different (2) | $16: 15$else (3) | FAGAN (2) | 14:13 | 18:1;26:3 |
| 6:23;8:22 |  | 34:22;35:2 | gave (1) | HR (1) |
| direct (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:5;22:9;31:10 } \\ & \text { emphasis (1) } \end{aligned}$ | falls (1) | 6:25 | 10:22 |
| 10:16;19:19 |  | 32:2 | generally (2) | human (2) |
| director (1)$10: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 18 \\ & \text { employees (1) } \end{aligned}$ | familiar (4) | 25:25;31:14 | 27:25;28:11 |
|  |  | 11:4;13:8;22:20; | generated (2) |  |
| discuss (1) | 23:19 | 28:6 | 18:16;21:24 | I |
|  |  | familiarize (4) | generating (1) |  |
| dishonesty (1) | $4: 17 ; 5: 6 ; 7: 11,15$ | 8:15;9:2,4;22:18 | 21:19 | identification (3) |
| 6:16 | 8:1,19;9:15;12:7; | familiarized (1) | generic (2) | 10:24;13:2;22:14 |
| division (42) | 19:4;22:9;23:21 | 13:7 | 8:13,14 | illicit (7) |
| 4:17;7:11,15;8:19; | enforces (2) | far (3) | gets (1) | 27:1,7;28:6,16; |
| 9:14;10:4,19;11:15; | 34:4,10 | 7:19;12:2,4 | 26:2 | 29:16;33:7;34:17 |
| 12:7,13;15:23;16:12, | enforcing (5) | federal (1) | given (3) | improperly (1) |
| 23;21:2,12,25;22:5; | 8:22;30:4;31:1,19; | 33:3 | 24:1;26:9;36:9 | 32:25 |
| 23:13,25;24:8;25:21; | 32:20 | felony (1) | giving (1) | including (1) |
| 26:1,10,12,22,25; | engage (1) | 6:13 | 5:17 | 31:17 |
| 27:4,11,13;28:1,15; | 26:25 | few (2) | glance (1) | informed (1) |
| 29:19;30:3;31:5,18; | enough (1) | 18:2;28:21 | 9:2 | 22:10 |
| 32:11;33:2,14,22; | 6:8 | finance (1) | goes (1) | initial (7) |
| 34:4,10,14 | entry (1) | 33:13 | 10:21 | 13:22,25;15:19; |
| document (10) | 14:13 | first (3) | Great (1) | 16:25;18:7,13;25:19 |
| 8:24;11:1,4;12:4; | erotic (2) | 4:5,25;17:21 | 25:9 | initially (2) |
| 13:4,8;22:16,20,22; | 30:13,16 | five (1) | guidance (1) | 24:7;33:12 |
| 34:1 | establish (1) | 34:23 | 22:3 | ink (1) |
| documents (1) | 11:7 | flagged (1) | guidelines (2) | 36:7 |
| 8:6 | et (2) | 29:15 | 11:8,21 | input (1) |
| done (8) | 4:11;36:25even (1) | focus (3) | guys (1) | 23:16 |
| 14:3;18:2,6;26:12; |  | 5:20;32:18,20 | 34:20 | inspect (3) |
| 27:14;28:15;31:21; $33 \cdot 19$ | $23: 14$ evidence (2) | follow (11) | H | $14: 7 ; 16: 23 ; 24: 21$ |
| double-check (1) | $30: 16,17$ | $14: 4,14 ; 20: 19 ; 23: 5$ | H | inspected (1) |
| 26:19 | exact (1) | 24:23;32:23,23 | hand (2) | inspecting (1) |
| drafted (1) | 28:19 | following (1) | 18:11;23:1 | 27:6 |
| 11:10 | exactly (2) | 4:1 | handed (3) | inspection (44) |
| drafting (1) | 21:6;32:22 | follows (2) | 11:1;13:4;22:16 | 9:16;12:14;13:12, |
| 10:8 | EXAMINATION (1) | 4:6;24:14 | handing (1) | 13,17;14:1,3,11,24; |
| drawer (4) | 4:7 | force (4) | 8:24 | 15:6,8,20,23;16:1,4, |
| 23:10,13;24:2,11 | examined (1) | 5:13;27:16,17;28:1 | handled (1) | 7,10,20,25;17:19; |
| drawers (2) | 4:6 | foregoing (2) | 33:13 | 18:2,19;19:17,24; |
| 24:3,20 | example (2) | 36:4,5 | handling (1) | 20:4,23;21:13,22; |
| driven (1) | 17:9;26:1 | form (1) | 25:4 | 22:21,23;23:4,14; |
| 14:6 | excluded (1) | 8:14 | happening (1) | 24:4,12;26:3;28:22, |
| drives (1) | 26:17 | formed (1) | 18:16 | 23;29:1,16,20,21,23; |
| 16:22 | Exhibit (12) | 17:18 | happens (2) | $31: 15 ; 34: 15$ |
| duly (1) | 8:25;10:23;11:2; | forward (1) | 17:13;18:13 | inspections (41) |
| 4:5 | 13:1,5;19:20;22:13, | 11:8 | happy (1) | 9:11;11:24;12:8, |
| during (5) | 17;23:1,1;30:7;34:1 | forwarded (1) | 12:11 | $23 ; 13: 15,22,23 ; 14: 9$ |
| 6:5;13:11;14:15; $26 \cdot 3 \cdot 30 \cdot 10$ | expires (1) | $17: 1$ found (1) | Hello (1) | $18 ; 15: 25 ; 16: 18,18$ |
| 26:3;30:10 duty (1) | $36: 24$ explain (7) | found (1) | $4: 9$ HEREBY (1) | $22 ; 17: 20 ; 18: 7,8,13$ |
| duty (1) | explain (7) | 30:22 | HEREBY (1) | 14;19:4,13,22;20:1; |
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| 21:19,23;22:1,5,10; | 24:1 | 8:2,8,9 | mind (1) | 17:6,8,9,12,24; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:20;26:8,12,21; | law (1) | looking (3) | 4:12 | 18:15 |
| 27:14;28:15;29:17; | 5:6 | 24:16;30:15,17 | minutes (2) | occasion (1) |
| 30:15;31:3,8;32:1,5; | laws (1) | looks (3) | 25:8;34:23 | 32:6 |
| 33:19,23 | 34:8 | 24:15;30:21;32:7 | moment (2) | occasions (1) |
| inspector (3) | lead (1) | $\boldsymbol{l o t}(2)$ | 24:9;25:19 | 14:2 |
| 19:21;23:4,8 | 31:14 | 9:23;17:4 | Montana (1) | occurred (2) |
| inspectors (6) | learning (1) | lying (1) | 36:22 | 23:14;31:5 |
| 20:5,21;21:3,8; | 32:8 | 6:16 | more (1) | occurrence (1) |
| 23:18,19 | least (1) |  | 12:13 | 17:23 |
| instance (2) | 6:4 | M | Morgan (3) | offer (1) |
| 17:17;20:15 | legal (3) |  | 25:6;30:15,25 | 20:17 |
| instruct (1) | 10:11,22;28:13 | maiden (3) | most (1) | officer (12) |
| 24:19 | legitimate (1) | 7:1,2,3 | 14:25 | 5:8;18:15;19:6; |
| instructs (1) | 28:13 | major (1) | mouthful (1) | 24:14,25;25:2,5; |
| 6:20 | letter (4) | 7:18 | 34:5 | 26:10;30:14;31:9,15; |
| into (6) | 20:19;24:6,14,19 | makes (1) | moved (1) | 32:3 |
| 4:21,22;18:25; | level (1) | 10:20 | 11:8 | officers (19) |
| 23:9;24:7;26:22 | 14:1 | manager (7) | myself (2) | 8:21;17:10;18:23; |
| investigate (1) | license (6) | 4:17;7:11,16;8:20; | 8:15;17:25 | 19:4,12;21:12;23:20, |
| 19:7 | 8:11,12,16;18:1; | 31:6,18;32:12 |  | 21,22,25;24:10,20; |
| investigating (1) | 22:2;29:22 | manual (1) | N | 25:3;30:14,24,25; |
| 27:7 | licensed (19) | 8:1 |  | 31:17,25;32:5 |
| Investigations (2) | 12:8,14;13:24; | many (3) | name (11) | offices (1) |
| 27:20,22 | 14:2,5,12;15:14,22; | 12:18;28:18,20 | 4:9,13,15;6:23;7:1, | 29:21 |
| involving (1) | 16:2,5,9,14,19,24; | marked (7) | 2,3,5;25:17;29:9; | Officials (1) |
| 6:16 | 18:6;21:21;22:6,11; | 8:25;10:24;11:1; | 36:21 | 23:19 |
| issued (1) | 29:25 | 13:2,5;22:14,16 | nature (3) | older (1) |
| 29:17 | licenses (1) | married (2) | 24:17;30:19,20 | 22:21 |
| issues (1) | 29:18 | 7:4,5 | necessarily (1) | once (4) |
| 27:25 | licensing (12) | massage (22) | 14:23 | 13:7;17:14,16,16 |
| items (1) | 9:11;13:22;15:19; | 9:11;10:2;11:8; | need (5) | one (20) |
| 20:13 | 16:25;18:7,13;24:3; | 16:23;24:15;26:14, | 5:24;6:3,5,21; | 6:4,6,25;7:6,7; |
| J | 25:20;26:1;28:24; 29:2;33:11 | 25;27:1,6,7;28:7,14, | $19: 16$ needs (1) | $8: 13,23 ; 9: 21 ; 12: 13 ;$ $17 \cdot 9,17,20,21,23$. |
|  | limit (2) | 10,15;34:3,9,15,17 | 14:3 | 18:11,12;23:16; |
| January (2) | 11:24;12:7 | material (1) | new (2) | 24:11,11;31:13 |
| 7:13;32:13 | limited (1) | 36:6 | 15:9;31:25 | ones (1) |
| Joanne (3) | 13:21 | materials (4) | newer (1) | 7:19 |
| 29:6,7,11 | limiting (1) | 7:23,24;8:6;31:24 | 32:5 | online (1) |
| J-O-A-N-N-E (1) | 20:5 | matter (1) | Next (4) | 17:5 |
| 29:11 | limits (2) | 4:10 | 5:22;6:12;15:18; | only (7) |
| Joanne's (1) | 20:1,17 | maybe (1) | 30:12 | 11:15;17:20;20:2, |
| 29:19 | list (2) | 30:1 | none (1) | 6;30:24;34:1,2 |
| job (3) | 16:16;20:13 | mean (11) | 29:17 | open (7) |
| 8:18;19:15;32:2 | lists (1) | 14:10;17:7,11,15; | Notary (1) | 19:23;20:3;23:10, |
| judgment (1) | 15:12 | 18:22,25;19:5;20:5; | 36:22 | 13;24:2,10,20 |
| 25:1 | little (1) | 28:11;31:19;32:22 | note (1) | operate (1) |
|  | 16:17 | means (1) | 31:7 | 30:13 |
| K | lobby (1) | 28:9 | notes (3) | operating (2) |
|  | $20: 15$ local (1) | Meder (1) | 19:21;23:4,8 | 17:10,17 |
| kind (1) | local (1) | 7:6 | notice (1) | operation (1) |
| 10:20 | 27:24 | M-E-D-E-R (1) | 26:9 | 30:10 |
|  | locked (5) | 7:6 | number (1) | oral (1) |
| L | 19:23;20:2,3,6,9 | medications (1) | $28: 19$ | 36:9 |
|  | long (1) | 5:19 | 0 | ordinance (74) |
| language (2) | 9:24 | meet (1) | O | 7:22,23;8:23;9:7, |
| 11:25;23:8 last (2) | look (17) | 28:22 |  | 10,15,18,19,20;10:3; |
| last (2) | 9:5,24;11:2,3;13:6, | memory (1) | oath (2) | 11:9,16,20,21,24,25; |
| 4:15;7:6 | 6;18:9,24;20:13,20, | 5:20 | 4:25;6:9 | 12:2,9,15,16,20,22; |
| later (2) | 21,24;21:3;22:17,18; | mentioned (6) | objections (1) | 13:15,18,19;14:12, |
| 17:19;29:25 | 26:16;30:1 | $10: 1 ; 18: 14,14$ | 6:18 | 14,19;15:5,7,17; |
| latitude (1) | looked (3) | 21:18;31:2;36:10 | observed (6) | 16:10,11,19,20,24; |


| 18:6,23;19:17;20:14, | plaintiffs (1) | 10:7;14:4 | reference (1) | 27:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19,23,24;21:5,10,13, | 4:10 | profession (1) | 15:5 | results (1) |
| 17,20;22:11,23;24:6, | planning (1) | 28:13 | referencing (1) | 29:20 |
| 7,14,19,19,22,23; | 10:15 | prohibitions (1) | 9:8 | review (3) |
| 25:1,22;26:13,14,18; | please (4) | 30:10 | referred (1) | 7:24;8:5,5 |
| 27:15;30:4,6;31:1, | 5:25;6:6,11;13:6 | prohibits (1) | 28:24 | reviewed (3) |
| 20;32:19,24;33:5,25, | pm (1) | 30:13 | referring (3) | 7:22,22;11:3 |
| 25;34:11,12 | 35:8 | pronouncing (1) | 15:13;17:16;34:1 | reviews (1) |
| ordinances (1) | point (1) | 25:13 | reflecting (1) | 10:20 |
| 8:23 | 19:22 | properly (1) | 22:22 | revised (1) |
| originally (1) | police (6) | 16:14 | refresher (1) | 11:12 |
| 11:10 | 5:8;22:9;27:2,5,11, | Public (1) | 31:23 | right (3) |
| others (1) | 15 | 36:22 | regulations (1) | 17:22;25:18;29:18 |
| 31:13 | policies (8) | publishing (1) | 34:9 | Rindahl (1) |
| out (7) | 10:4,8,18;11:18, | $10: 8$ | relate (1) | $29: 7$ |
| 11:21;16:13,14; | 23;12:6,12;24:18 | punishment (1) | 11:19 | R-I-N-D-A-H-L (1) |
| 18:15,15;22:23;26:2 | policy (10) | 6:10 | related (5) | 29:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { outside (6) } \\ & \quad 17: 10,17,25 ; 21: 9 ; \end{aligned}$ | 8:1,3;10:2,9;11:7, 10,$15 ; 12: 3,16,17$ | Q | 5:5;9:10;10:2; 11:16;34:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { role (2) } \\ & 7: 15 ; 8: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 34:11,12 | Possibly (2) |  | relating (1) | room (1) |
| over (6) | 29:12,16 | qualifications (1) | 34:2 | 20:22 |
| 8:22;10:2;19:3; | posted (1) | 7:14 | rely (2) | rooms (3) |
| 31:18;32:15,19 | 20:16 | questionable (1) | 12:1;14:17 | 19:24;20:4;24:16 |
| oversee (1) | postlicensure (1) | 28:23 | remember (2) $28: 20 \cdot 29 \cdot 13$ | S |
| overview (1) | power (1) | - 34:22 | Remind (1) |  |
| 8:18 | 19:3 | quickly (1) | 32:10 | same (6) |
| own (2) | practice (2) | 5:23 | repeat (3) | 5:13;15:15,24; |
| 24:25;33:19 | 14:20;34:3 | quite (3) | 5:24,25;34:5 | 16:11;22:25;36:6 |
| owners (3) | practitioner (1) | 9:19,24;21:6 | report (2) | save (1) |
| 14:21;26:5,8 | 26:14 | quotations (1) | 10:16;23:4 | 9:23 |
|  | practitioners (2) | 9:19 | reports (1) | saying (1) |
| P | 26:13,21 prefer (2) | R | $\begin{gathered} 29: 1 \\ \text { represent (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17: 19 \\ \text { schedule (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| page (4) | 9:25;23:18 |  | 4:10 | 26:5 |
| 19:21;30:9,12,12 | preliminary (1) | rare (1) | request (2) | scheduled (1) |
| pages (1) | 4:20 | 17:12 | 19:23;20:3 | 26:8 |
| 36:5 | prepare (1) | read (2) | require (3) | schedules (1) |
| parlor (2) | 7:20 | 9:3;36:5 | 21:14,16,21 | 25:21 |
| $30: 13,16$ | prevent (2) | reads (1) | required (3) | scope (2) |
| part (2) | 5:16;12:13 | 19:23 | 20:21;21:17;33:12 | 11:24;12:3 |
| 18:25;24:8 | previously (2) | ready (1) | requires (1) | search (9) |
| particular (1) | 8:25;15:13 | 22:19 | 21:3 | 14:21,22;20:8,12; |
| 32:17 | primarily (2) | realized (1) | requiring (1) | 21:14,16;24:21; |
| parts (2) | 25:4;32:4 | 25:16 | 21:12 | 34:14,15 |
| 32:18,19 | PRINT (1) | really (1) | RESERVED (1) | searched (1) |
| passed (1) | 36:21 | 23:15 | 35:9 | $23: 6$ |
| 10:11 | prior (5) | reason (4) | Residing (1) | searches (2) |
| people (2) | 5:6;7:7,17;25:3; | 14:25;15:2,7;16:6 | 36:23 | 14:8;20:1 |
| 32:25;34:3 | 31:5 | receive (4) | respect (5) | searching (2) |
| performing (1) | priorities (1) | 15:14;16:2;17:4; | 12:23;26:25;27:6; | 14:23;20:6 |
| 31:3 | 32:20 | 25:25 | 28:2;33:5 | second (6) |
| perhaps (2) | priority (1) | received (1) | response (1) | 19:20,22;20:25; |
| 15:16;30:24 | 32:18 | 22:3 | 18:17 | 21:22;23:8;31:8 |
| permission (3) | probably (1) | recess (1) | responsibilities (1) | section (1) |
| 19:13,14,16 | 6:4 | 25:8 | 19:15 | 30:9 |
| person (1) | procedure (2) | record (2) | responsibility (2) | seek (1) |
| 31:11 | 23:12;25:21 | 4:13;35:2 | 19:1;32:3 | $14: 8$ |
| personally (1) | proceed (1) | records (3) | responsible (3) | sensitive (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} 33: 21 \\ \text { place (4) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 25 \\ & \text { proceedings (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 9 ; 20: 18 ; 26: 17 \\ & \text { refer (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $9: 14,16 ; 10: 3$ restrictions (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 24: 17 \\ \text { sent (5) } \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 19 ; 23: 10 ; 32: 17 \\ & 36: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 4:1 <br> process (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 18 ; 19: 20 ; 23: 7 \\ & 30: 6,9 \end{aligned}$ | $34: 3$ <br> result (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 22 ; 14: 7 ; 15: 9 ; \\ & 27: 13 ; 28: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
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| sentence (1) | step (1) | 12:18 | unusual (1) | 11:19;18:2;30:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:9 | 24:9 | TINA (5) | 6:10 | without (1) |
| services (2) | storage (2) | 4:4,14;35:4;36:3, | up (3) | 22:1 |
| 10:16;20:16 | 19:24;20:4 | 14 | 23:5,10;29:19 | witness (3) |
| set (1) | stressful (1) | T-I-N-A (1) | updated (1) | 4:5;25:9;34:24 |
| 11:21 | 6:8 | 4:14 | 31:21 | WOISLAW (9) |
| setting (1) | Subscribed (1) | title (2) | Upon (2) | $4: 8,9 ; 10: 25 ; 13: 3$ |
| 10:4 | 36:16 | 4:16;9:24 | $19: 23 ; 20: 3$ | $22: 15 ; 25: 7,12 ; 34: 19$ |
| seven (1) | subsection (1) | today (5) | use (8) | 35:5 |
| 8:21 | 30:13 | 4:24;5:17;6:18; | 13:11,14,21,25; | word (2) |
| sexual (2) | suggestions (1) | 7:21;9:19 | 15:15,24;16:12; | 9:4,4 |
| 28:12;30:20 | 10:21 | Todd (12) | 23:23 | words (1) |
| shared (1) | supervisory (1) | 25:6;26:2,4,10; | used (5) | 9:23 |
| 31:25 | 7:17 | 30:15,25;31:7,14,17; | 6:23;13:13,17; | worked (1) |
| sheet (1) | supposed (1) | 32:1,8,17 | 29:3;32:25 | 23:15 |
| 36:7 | 12:3 | took (4) | using (2) | working (1) |
| short (2) | Sure (13) | 5:1;18:19;31:18; | $16: 20 ; 24: 25$ |  |
| $7: 25 ; 35: 3$ | $4: 14 ; 5: 22 ; 6: 22$ | $32: 15$ | usually (1) | written (6) |
| SIGNATURE (1) | 7:25;10:12;21:6; | to-wit (1) | 14:6 | 10:10;11:23;12:6, |
| 35:9 | 25:9,17;27:3;32:9,22, | 4:2 | utilize (1) | 12;14:15;24:24 |
| signed (1) | 25;34:24 | trafficking (2) | 16:16 | wrote (1) |
| $36: 8$ solo (4) | suspected (2) 28:16;34:16 | 27:25;28:12 trained (4) | V | 11:7 <br> Wyeth (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { solo (4) } \\ & 26: 13,13,17,21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:16;34:16 } \\ & \text { sworn (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { trained (4) } \\ 30: 25 ; 31: 18,19,19 \end{array}$ | $V$ | $10: 15$ |
| somebody (1) | 4:6;36:16 | training (5) | vehicle (1) |  |
|  | T | 31:4,12,21,24;32:4 | 29:23 |  |
| 31:10 |  | $32: 15$ | $11: 12$ | 1 (3) |
| sometimes (4) | talk (7) | transcript (1) | versus (1) | 8:25;30:7;34:1 |
| 5:23;26:6;31:13,14 | 5:23;15:18;16:17; | 36:8 | 4:11 | 10 (2) |
| sorry (2) | 20:15,16;23:17; | transpire (1) | vibrators (1) | 25:8;30:12 |
| 13:14;32:10 | 34:23 | 15:12 | 30:19 | 10th (2) |
| sort (1) | talking (1) | true (1) | violation (5) | 7:13;32:13 |
| 4:21 | $9: 21$ task (3) | $36: 8$ try (1) | 16:15;17:6,8,11; |  |
| sought (1) | task (3) | try (1) | $18: 24$ | 2 |
| 34:14 | 27:16,17;28:1 | 24:23 | violations (2) |  |
| spa (2) | tasked (6) | turned (1) | $9: 17 ; 17: 1$ | 2:44 (1) |
| $10: 2 ; 11: 8$ | 8:22;18:23;25:2; | 26:9 | Vondra (2) | 35:8 |
| specific (6) | 27:21,22;30:3 | two (1) | 4:11;36:25 | 2022 (1) |
| 12:16,16,17;19:16, | Telling (2) | $7: 18$ | vs (1) | $7: 13$ |
| 17;25:2 | $12: 18 ; 22: 4$ | typewritten (1) |  | $2023 \text { (1) }$ |
| specifically (1) $31: 1$ | $\operatorname{ten}(1)$ $34: 23$ | 36:5 | W | 36:17 |
| spell (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 34: 23 \\ \text { term (5) } \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | W | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 22(2) \\ 8: 22 ; 32: 13 \end{array}$ |
| $29: 9$ spelling (1) | 23:18,23;28:6,9; |  | Walsh (1) | 3 |
| speling (1) | 29:2 | uitimately (1) | 7:7 | 3 |
| spurred (1) | $24: 2$ | unannounced (1) | 7:8 | 3-3 (2) |
| 17:19 | testified (1) | 22:1 | warrant (1) | 8:3,4 |
| stand (1) | 4:6 | uncovered (1) | 34:15 | $35 \text { (1) }$ |
| 27:19 | testimony (1) | 28:16 | way (2) | $36: 5$ |
| standard (1) | 4:2 | under (28) | 23:6;24:5 |  |
| 23:12 | therapists (1) | 6:9;11:24;12:8,14; | What's (1) | 4 |
| started (2) | 26:14 | 13:15;14:12,19;15:9; | 10:7 |  |
| 26:16;32:11 | Therapy (5) | 16:9,10,19,24;18:6; | WHEREUPON (7) | $4(2)$ |
| State (4) | 33:10,16;34:3,9,16 | 19:14,17;20:23;21:4, | $4: 1 ; 10: 23 ; 13: 1$ | $10: 23 ; 11: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 9,15,18 ; 36: 22 \\ & \text { statements (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { thereon (1) } \\ 36: 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13,19 ; 22: 11,23 \\ & 24: 21 ; 25: 22 ; 26: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 13 ; 25: 10 ; 34: 25 \\ & 35: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
| 5:12;6:9 | Theresa (1) | 14;27:14;32:2;33:19 | whole (1) | 5 |
| stating (1) | 4:10 | unit (1) | 9:4 |  |
| 4:12 | three (3) | 27:24 | whose (1) | 13:1,5;19:20;23:1, |
| stealing (1) | $25: 3 ; 31: 3,4$ | unless (1) | $8: 12$ |  |
| 6:16 | times (1) | 6:19 | within (3) |  |
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## REDACTED

## EXCERPT

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

## Lynda Larvie

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, DONNA PODOLAK, LYNDA LARVIE, and ADAM POULOS,

PLAINTIFFS, CASE NO. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD vis.

CITY OF BILLINGS,

DEFENDANT.

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF

LYNDA LARVIE

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the deposition upon oral examination of LYNDA LARVIE, appearing at the instance of the Defendant, was taken at the offices of Fisher Court Reporting, 2711 1st Ave. N., Billings, Montana, on Friday, September 22, 2023, beginning at the hour of $9: 35$ a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Sharon L. Gaughan, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified Realtime Captioner, and Notary Public.

## Lynda Larvie



## Lynda Larvie

## DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE

I, LYNDA LARVIE, the deponent in the foregoing deposition, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that $I$ have read the foregoing - 199 - pages of typewritten material and that the same is, with any changes thereon made in ink on the corrections sheet, and signed by me, a full, true and correct transcript of my oral deposition given at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned.

LYNDA LARVIE, Deponent.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2023.

PRINT NAME: $\qquad$
Notary Public, state of
Montana

Residing at: $\qquad$
My commission expires: $\qquad$
SG/BB - Vondra et al. V City of Billings

$$
C E R T I F I C A T E
$$

STATE OF MONTANA )

COUNTY OF YELLOWSTONE )

I, Sharon L. Gaughan, RDR, CRR, CRC and Notary Public for the state of Montana, residing in Billings, do hereby certify:

That $I$ was duly authorized to and did swear in the witness and report the deposition of LYNDA LARVIE in the above-entitled cause; that the foregoing pages 1 - 169, line 21 of this deposition constitute a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes of the testimony of said witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the reading and signing of the deposition by the witness have been expressly reserved.

I further certify that $I$ am not an attorney nor counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal on this, the 28th day of September, 2023.
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## REDACTED

## EXCERPT

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
                BILLINGS DIVISION
```

THERESA VONDRA, DONNA Cause No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD PODOLAK, LYNDA LARVIE, and ADAM POULOS,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

## DEPOSITIONS UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF DONNA PODOLAK

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the deposition upon oral examination of DONNA PODOLAK, appearing at the instance of the Defendants, was taken at the offices of Fisher Court Reporting, 2711 1st Avenue North, Billings, Montana, on Friday, September 22, 2023, beginning at the hour of $3: 19$ p.m., pursuant to the Montana Rules of Civil Procedure, before Barbara J. Batts, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public.

Donna Podolak


Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-14 Filed 11/28/23 Page 4 of 6
Donna Podolak


Charles Fisher Court Reporting 442 East Mendenhall, Bozeman MT 59715, (406) 587-9016

Donna Podolak

## DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE

I, DONNA PODOLAK, the deponent in the foregoing deposition, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that I have read the foregoing 124 pages of typewritten material and that the same is, with any changes thereon made in ink on the correction sheet and signed by me, a full, true and correct transcript of my oral deposition given at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned.

DONNA PODOLAK

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this ___ day of , 2023.

Notary Public State of Montana Print Name $\qquad$
Residing in:
My Commission expires:

BB - VONDRA VS. CITY OF BILLINGS

Donna Podolak
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Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings<br>Case No. CV 22-30-BLGKLD

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
BILLINGS DIVISION
THERESA VONDRA, DONNA PODOLAK, LYNDA LARVIE, and ADAM POULOS,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Plaintiffs, } & \text { Cause No. } \\
\text { vs. } & \text { CV } 22-30-\text { BLG-KLD }
\end{array}
$$

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

## DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION

OF
ADAM POULOS
BE IT REMEMBERED, that the deposition upon oral examination of Adam Poulos, appearing at the instance of the Defendants, was taken in Billings, Montana, on September 21, 2023, beginning at the hour of 2:02 P.M. MST pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Mary (Rainey) Stockton, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public.

## Adam Poulos

Q. And who was that?
A. Theresa.
Q. Was that before the ordinance was enacted?
A. I believe, yes.
Q. And what did you hear?
A. Just the parameters of what was being proposed.
Q. Okay. Was that your first awareness that the City was concerned about human trafficking or prostitution?
A. I don't know if it was the first, but it might have been.
Q. Do you recall hearing, when you first heard about it from Theresa Vondra, why the City was interested in doing a massage ordinance?
A. I don't recall if $I$ knew the why.
Q. She just told you that they were?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. All right. So, when did you meet Theresa Vondra?
A. It would have been, I would say, October of 2017.
Q. And that's a pretty specific date. I saw that in your discovery responses, too.

Was there an event that caused you to start

## Adam Poulos

seeing her?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that?
A. An accident at the ranch.
Q. And what ranch was that again?
A. The Bar Diamond Ranch.
Q. And what happened at the accident?
A. I wrecked a UTV, a side-by-side, and sustained a neck injury.
Q. Was that sort of a workmen's comp covered injury?
A. I believe it was, yes.
Q. Was there any personal injury claims filed in that?
A. As far as -- I mean, I believe it was claimed under workmen's comp.
Q. Because you were an employee of the ranch at the time?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And do you know how long workmen's comp covered you getting massages for --
A. I paid out-of-pocket.
Q. Sorry. Hang on one second. I just want to make sure we finish the question.

So, you did not get any workmen's comp

## Adam Poulos

insurance for Vondra's services?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So, what was workmen's comp covering?
A. It would have been later on the next spring
when $I$ wasn't having any improvement in the condition.

And so, then $I$ sought more in-depth treatment.
Q. Not by Ms. Vondra?
A. No.
Q. From other healthcare providers?
A. Correct.
Q. So, was October of 2017 when you first met

Theresa Vondra?
A. Yes.
Q. And you paid out-of-pocket for massages?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And so you said, I believe, that you were seeing her a few times a week or something?
A. Yeah, there for awhile it was pretty consistent.
Q. And how often do you see her now?
A. Oh, my schedule changed quite a bit. So, it's hit or miss. Lately, once a month.
Q. And what does she do when you work with her now currently?
A. Massage.

## Adam Poulos

Q. Can you tell me a little bit more about that? Like, what kind of massage?
A. Therapeutic massage.
Q. I don't know what that means either, I'm sorry to say.

```
Is it working with a certain area --
```

A. Usually.
Q. -- of your body?

What area is that?
A. My trouble areas. I've got two or three troubled areas that she works on.
Q. Do those all relate to your accident?
A. Some of them do, yes.
Q. What are your troubled areas?
A. Upper back/lower back.
Q. Anything else?
A. Neck.
Q. And have you ever been to a massage therapist before Ms. Vondra?
A. I believe one other time.
Q. Just on a one --
A. It was once.
Q. One time?
A. Yeah.
Q. And who was that?

## Adam Poulos

DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE

I, ADAM POULOS, the deponent in the foregoing deposition, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that I have read the foregoing pages of typewritten material and that the same is, with any changes thereon made in ink on the corrections sheet, and signed by me, a full, true and correct transcript of my oral deposition given at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned.

ADAM POULOS, Deponent.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this
day of
, 2023.

PRINT NAME:
Notary Public, State of
Residing at:
My commission expires:

MLS - Theresa Vondra, et al v City of Billings

Adam Poulos

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF MONTANA )
: ss

COUNTY OF YELLOWSTONE )

I, Mary L. (Rainey) Stockton, CSR No. 746, and Notary Public, residing in Billings, do hereby certify:

That $I$ was duly authorized to and did swear
in the witness and report the deposition of in the above-entitled cause; that the foregoing pages of this deposition constitute a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes of the testimony of said witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the reading and signing of the deposition by the witness have been expressly reserved.

I further certify that $I$ am not an attorney nor counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal on October 3, 2023.
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## Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

Richard St. John

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs,
Case Number
v. CV-22-30-BLG-KLD

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
RICHARD ST. JOHN

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the deposition upon oral examination of RICHARD ST. JOHN, appearing at the instance of Plaintiffs, was taken at the offices of Fisher Court Reporting, 2711 1st Avenue North, Billings, Montana, on Tuesday, September 19th, 2023, beginning at the hour of 9:00 a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Deborah L. Fabritz, Court Reporter - Notary Public.

Richard St. John

## APPEARANCES

ATTORNEYS APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS, THERESA VONDRA, ET AL.:

Mr. Daniel T. Woislaw, Esq.
Pacific Legal Foundation
3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
and
Mr. Ethan W. Blevins, Esq.
Pacific Legal Foundation
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
and
Mr. Alexander J. Smith, Esq. (via Zoom)
Pacific Legal Foundation
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290
Sacramento, CA 95814
and
ATTORNEYS APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, CITY OF BILLINGS:

Mr. Gerry P. Fagan, Esq. and
Ms. Stephanie Baucus, Esq.
Moulton Bellingham, PC
27 North 27th Street, Suite 1900
Billings, MT 59103-2559
ALSO PRESENT: Gina Dahl, City Attorney

Richard St. John

I N D E X

EXAMINATION OF RICHARD ST. JOHN PAGE

Mr. Daniel T. Woislaw................... 4
Mr. Gerry P. Fagan....................... 32
E X H I B I T S

DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER PAGE
Exhibit $1 \quad$ Ordinance 21-5757........... 7
Exhibit 2 Illicit massage business license training City 001061-001105.......... 17

Exhibit 3 Transcript of a Digital recording taken from proceedings recorded on April 12th, 2021............ 26

Richard St. John

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had and testimony taken, to-wit:

RICHARD ST. JOHN,
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION
BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Hello. My name is Daniel Woislaw. I represent the plaintiffs in the case of Theresa Vondra, et al., versus City of Billings.

Would you mind just stating and spelling your name for the record, please.
A. Sure. It's Richard, R-I-C-H-A-R-D; middle initial W; last name St. John, S-T, period, J-O-H-N.
Q. Would you state your title?
A. I'm the chief of police in Billings,

Montana.
Q. For the City of Billings?
A. Correct.
Q. And have you ever been deposed before, Mr. St. John?
A. Yes.
Q. I presumed as much given your background. And so you understand the oath that you just took?

Richard St. John
A. Yes.
Q. Are you prepared to answer my questions today?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything that would prevent your full attention to answering my questions?
A. No.
Q. And are you on my medications that would affect your memory or focus today?
A. No.
Q. Next I would just like to address breaks. If you need a break at any point, please just let me know and we can take one. I know answering questions under oath is, you know, anxiety-inducing enough. It's more difficult if you have a full bladder. So please just let me know if you need a break, and we can take one.

I also ask that if you don't understand a question -- sometimes I can speak very quickly. If you don't understand a question, please stop me. Can you agree to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. And ask me to repeat any questions that you might find unclear.
A. Yes.

Richard St. John
Q. So counsel for the City may object at various times. I'll ask that you give them an opportunity to do that, and then when the objection is finished, if they haven't asked you to not answer the question, that you proceed to answer the question.
A. Understand.
Q. Mr. St. John, did you do anything to prepare for this deposition?
A. I met with City's counsel and reviewed some documents.
Q. And what documents did you review?
A. I reviewed the ordinance itself and then some other transcripts from public hearings that had taken place in regards to the issue.
Q. I'm going to hand you a document, Mr. St. John. And that's just been marked as number 1 - Exhibit Number 1.

Would you just take a look at that. Familiarize yourself with it and then look back at me when you're ready.

MR. FAGAN: Hey, Daniel. Do you have a copy for us?

MR. WOISLAW: Yes. I'm sorry. Here You go.

Richard St. John
(Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)

THE WITNESS: This appears to be the ordinance at issue here identified as 21-5757. BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Throughout this deposition I'm going to ask a number of questions about this ordinance. If I say "the ordinance," will you understand that I'm talking about this ordinance 21-5757?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Okay. Thank you. Did you discuss the testimony that you're going to give here today with anyone other than counsel prior to today?
A. No.
Q. Did you meet with counsel prior to this deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you do anything else to prepare?
A. As I indicated, looked at some documents.
Q. How long have you been the chief of police for the City of Billings?
A. Since 2006 .
Q. What are the qualifications for that job?
A. Well, obviously, you have to - - our
requirements are education, so master's or above. I

Richard St. John
have obviously 20-plus years of experience, a myriad of disciplines within law enforcement, graduate of the FBI National Academy and numerous professional classes.

There was a selection process at the time. I was the interim for quite some time and at the end of that process was made the chief, and that was in 2006 .
Q. And what are the responsibilities of your job as chief of police?
A. Well, essentially, I'm responsible for all enforcement actions, local, city, and state, for the City of Billings. We do overlap a little bit with our federal partners that requires some deputization by U.S. Marshals, but we do have some authority there. But essentially, it's providing law enforcement services for the City of Billings proper.
Q. When you say enforcement actions, you're referring to law enforcement?
A. Exactly.
Q. And does your job entail weighing in on policy of the city council -- at city council hearings on proposed legislation, for example?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said earlier that you had reviewed

Richard St. John
some transcripts from testimony that you had given to -- at the city council sessions. Is that correct?
A. Yes. Just one document.
Q. What was the date of that city council
hearing that you reviewed the testimony for?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You have past experience, you said, as a police officer for 20 -- was that 20 years you were a police officer?
A. I've been a police officer for 43 years.
Q. And were you a police officer in Billings?
A. Yes. My entire career has been here.
Q. And did you have experience as a police officer investigating and enforcing laws related to prostitution, human trafficking?
A. Prostitution earlier in the career and then not so much in human trafficking. Ended up in an administrative position, so not doing the investigation side of things.
Q. Did you investigate as a police officer any illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. Do you understand what I mean when I say
illicit massage businesses?
A. Yes.

Richard St. John
Q. Can you explain how you understand that term?
A. My understanding of that is that you have what is deemed to be illegal activity, whether it's prostitution, whether it's trafficking, whether it's sex acts, under the auspices of a massage parlor or spa or similar business. That's my understanding.
Q. And what are your responsibilities now as chief of police with respect to investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. Well, I don't have any direct involvement in investigating. I don't -- if something is going to be controversial, if something is going to end up being an issue for the city council or administration, then I might be notified of something. But other than that, I have a myriad of division commanders that oversee direct investigations and operations.
Q. Do you set the policies related to how resources are spent on different areas of law enforcement; for example, investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. I set the policy for where we spend our resources, yes.
Q. Do you have any personnel at the police

Richard St. John
department that are specifically tasked to
investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. Had you previously had staff at the -- at the police department who are specifically tasked to investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any staff at the police department who are tasked specifically toward coordinating with the City in its investigation of illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. Do you have anyone at the department who is tasked with coordinating with the City with respect to the ordinance?
A. I won't say a person. Our detective division is responsible to coordinate with code enforcement specifically.
Q. And how exactly do they coordinate with code enforcement with respect to the ordinance?
A. So what -- what, generally code enforcement will contact the police or contact our detective division or vice-a-versa if we end up in a situation where we think there may be some illegal activity. So if, for example, somebody calls the

Richard St. John
police department and we respond and we see some indicators, then we will refer that to code
enforcement and if code enforcement gets -- is
investigating something and they see something that may be illegal, then they will contact the police department. So we coordinate that way on the best approach on how we deal with things.
Q. So just so I understand, if the police department -- when the police department receives a complaint about an illicit massage business, you reach out to code enforcement to tell them about this?
A. We respond and we gather information as -as much as we can, and then that case is referred to our detective division who in turn is the point of contact with code enforcement and, again, depending on what the nature of the complaint is and what the officers may find.
Q. Sure. And those detectives, they coordinate with code enforcement. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And what is the -- what is the purpose of that coordination postcomplaint?
A. Well, I think to make sure that -- you know, that the area that is reported is not something

Richard St. John
that is covered by -- by the ordinance. We want to let the code enforcement know of potential ordinance violations. The police department does not write a lot of ordinance citations and things of that sort. A lot of that is related to code enforcement issues. We do a few but not a lot. So that's a code enforcement issue.

If we find something that is clearly
illegal and a violation of state statute, then, of course, that is going to be in our -- in our bailiwick. And then that's where we will move forward with enforcement or investigative actions.
Q. Is there anything that prevents the police department from issuing code citations for violations of the city code and ordinances?
A. NO.
Q. Including the ordinance?
A. Correct.
Q. Does the -- does your department coordinate -- I'm sorry. Let me repeat that.

You mentioned earlier your department coordinates with federal officials in investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. We have one detective that is assigned to a human trafficking task force which is essentially a

Richard St. John
federally operated FBI program.
Q. And in your experience as chief of police or before that, has the City of Billings -- so your police department, has the Billings police department sought search warrants for illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. So you're saying the -- just so I understand, the department -- the Billings police department has never sought a search warrant to search an illicit massage business in Billings?
A. To my knowledge, we have not sought a search warrant for the IMB.
Q. Has the department -- have any personnel from your department participated in any inspections that have been conducted by the City under the ordinance?
A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Is there anyone at the police department who -- who would have better knowledge than you on whether your department -- any personnel from your department have participated in such inspections?
A. No. Because $I$ have asked this question of staff, whether we have done anything, and it has been the same. To their knowledge, no.

Richard St. John
Q. Is there any written policy at the police department that prevents your department from participating in those inspections?
A. No.
Q. Is there any such policy that would prevent the department from conducting inspections under the ordinance itself?
A. No.
Q. So when your department does seek search warrants -- and I know you mentioned that you haven't sought any for illicit massage businesses. But in general, when your department seeks search warrants, are those typically ex parte hearings before judges where there is no opposing counsel or party present?
A. For the most part, yes.
Q. How quickly can you get a search warrant for -- for a suspected criminal business if you needed one quickly?
A. I would say that we would be able to get one within a day or two.
Q. Does your department ever use telephonic means to acquire search warrants?
A. We do. Generally for fatal accidents for blood draws is where we see that the most. And then I don't know for sure, but $I$ would assume if we had

Richard St. John
something exigent, that the detectives would use that. But for the most part, no. They have time and fill it out and get it presented.
Q. Are there -- so when you need to get these telephonic warrants, for example, can you do that at any hour?
A. Yes.
Q. Even in the middle of the night?
A. Yes.
Q. On weekends?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember participating in any trainings after the -- after the ordinance passed related to enforcement of the ordinance?
A. I vaguely remember somebody coming out, talking to staff and/or our officers about the -- the ordinance and how it was intended to work and some of the things to be looking for and reporting mechanisms. I'm not quite sure what -- when or what that format was, but $I$ seem to remember that.
Q. Do you recall whether that was in June of 2021?
A. I do not.

MR. WOISLAW: Would you mind marking this document for me.

Richard St. John
(Whereupon, Exhibit 2 was
marked for identification.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. I would like you to take a moment and review the document that $I$ just handed to you which has been marked as Exhibit 2. Take your time and then just look up at me when you're done reading it.

Thank you, Mr. St. John. I would like you to look at that first page which at the bottom says City 001061. Do you see your name on the right column?
A. I do.
Q. Does this reflect -- refresh your recollection of whether you attended a training on June 8th, 2021?
A. Well, yes.
Q. Does this document reflect materials that you received related to that training?
A. Yes. Now that $I$ look at it, it does look familiar.
Q. You mentioned that some other staff were in attendance other than yourself. Do you remember what other departments or government agencies were there?
A. I just -- just glancing at the list, if

Richard St. John
that's acceptable.
Q. I would like you to answer from your memory first, and then we can look at it if you don't recall.
A. Yeah. Well, obviously, the police department had representatives there. Code enforcement would have been there. I would think probably some of our policy body would have been in attendance.
Q. Do you recall whether anybody from the FBI was there?
A. I don't recall but very possible.
Q. Do you remember whether anyone from the DOJ was there?
A. Don't recall.
Q. I would like you to review that first page and see if that refreshes your recollection. When you're done reviewing, just look up at me.
A. You need bigger print.
Q. Did that refresh your recollection?
A. Well, it confirms my -- my belief on who was there, but --
Q. Okay. We can move on. What was -- where was this training held if you recall?
A. If $I$ recall, it was at the library.

Richard St. John
Q. Is there a property known as $P D-1$ ?
A. Yes. And that would be the -- another place that we did have some meetings at as well. That would be a police facility.
Q. Okay. And you said you don't recall, but you think it might have been held -- this meeting might have been held at the library?
A. Yeah. There were meetings at the library, and there were meetings at $P D-1$, so $I$ don't recall.
Q. Okay. Some of the meetings were at the library and others were at PD-1?
A. Correct.
Q. And PD-1, you said, is a police facility?
A. It's a police facility, yes.
Q. And could you tell me a little bit -- with respect to this training on June 8th that we're talking about, what was the purpose of that?
A. My recollection was that it was an introduction and an overview of the problem at hand and some solutions that other cities had come to that had been successful, gave some examples, and then a lot of dialogue and question and answer following --
Q. When you say the problem at hand, is that the illicit massage business problem?
A. Human trafficking and the sex trade
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specifically.
Q. And was the Billings ordinance discussed, the ordinance that --
A. The ordinance itself? I don't recall.
Q. I want to talk a little bit about -- about the ordinance and -- and police or City
investigations of illicit massage businesses. How does -- how does the police department distinguish between a legitimate massage business and an illicit massage business?
A. We don't. We will obviously through our training and increased awareness brought about by, for example, training sessions and then things we have told our officers, things to look for related to behaviors, related to things that you might see at a particular business. But other than that, I mean, what we're --
Q. Can you tell me about those behaviors? Go ahead and answer.

MR. FAGAN: You're kind of cutting him off.

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Okay.
A. Good enough.
Q. If you would like to finish your -- if you
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would like to read back the former question, Mr. St. John, you can finish answering if you would like.
A. So the behaviors I'm referring to would be when -- and this was not only to our officers but to the public as well, to tell -- you know to have people be aware. Where you might see a male and a young female that doesn't look to be of a family-type setting or a parent setting, somebody that is not allowed to speak for themselves, people that are closely guarded.

You have residences that have blacked-out windows or aluminum foil on the windows. You see homes that have an inordinate number of residents. Those sort of things is what I'm referring to, that are basically, you know, red flags that you potentially have a trafficking situation.
Q. Does the ordinance in any ways make it easier for your department to enforce prostitution, sex trafficking, human trafficking laws in general?
A. Make it easier? No. I don't think it -doesn't make it easier. Doesn't make it harder. We're going to act on the facts at hand, refer it appropriately either to code enforcement or if we have criminal -- evidence of criminal activity, then
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we're going to move on that.
Q. Have you received any evidence of criminal activity from code enforcement inspections under the ordinance?
A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. What is the source of most complaints that the City receives against illicit massage businesses?
A. In general would be citizens who live nearby one of these businesses that are calling about -- about activity that is taking place, people coming and going, afterhours business, and those type of things. So it's a complaint-initiated action.
Q. Prior to the passage of the ordinance, was it a priority in how you distribute the resources of your department to investigate illicit massage businesses?
A. No.
Q. And why is that?
A. At the time -- and, you know, we have a serious problem with violent crime. We have a serious problem with methamphetamine and fentanyl, and that is where the balance of our resources are focused at this point.
Q. In comparison to other areas of the law you enforce -- that your department enforces in
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Billings, how resource intensive is investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. It's very resource intensive. I mean, you're dealing with, you know, very, very difficult landscape. We have victims that don't trust law enforcement. You have financial situations. You have perpetrators that are not dumb. They know how to hide activities and -- and disguise the things that they're doing, move assets around. It is -it's labor intensive and it's a difficult prosecution.
Q. Could you explain what resources would have to be deployed by the police department? So you said that it's labor intensive. You explained some of the problems. But what are the actual like deployment of resources? What are the tactics that the police department uses?
A. Well, a wide variety of investigative tools. Obviously, if we -- if we had investigators dedicated full-time, they would certainly be, you know, locating witnesses, locating suspects and victims. They would be conducting surveillance. They would be probably trying to develop informants. They potentially would try to get somebody inside, possibly wearing a wire, to capture an illegal
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transaction, trying to disrupt a trafficking organization at the highest level, which, of course, is now going to be multistate for the most part, depending on what it is.

And then of course we would be working with our federal partners on anything that is going to be interstate. And certainly you're going to get into the money side of things as well. So you have that aspect.
Q. When you said surveillance -- when you said officers conducting surveillance, what would those officers be looking for?
A. I think they would be looking for some of those red flags that $I$ indicated to you before. They would be looking for, lack of better terms, customers going to the business and leaving, to potentially contact them later. Anything that would suggest that you have -- that you have trafficking activities or illegal activities taking part inside.
Q. So I want to talk about inside the suspected illicit massage businesses and differentiating between legitimate operations and criminal operations. What would officers be looking for inside of these buildings if they got inside of them?
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A.

Well, again, $I$ think anything that would -- that would lead one to believe that you have those -- those type of activities taking place. Off the top of my head, $I$ can't think of any -- you know, anything specific. But you're going to find things that don't -- you know, that are out of place or don't belong in a legitimate massage parlor. So those are things that officers would be -- would be looking for.
Q. Would that include women living in the property?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there any other indicators like women living in the property that investigators would be looking for?
A. Again, I think, you know, probably some of the setup of the building, if you will, you know, locked doors, screens and -- you know, windows that are covered up that, you know, normally may not be in another business, things like that.
Q. Do Your officers do -- have they in the past done any investigation online with respect to illicit massage businesses, looking for advertisements?
A. Yes. Probably not specific to illicit
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massage, but they do online investigations.
Q. Just give me a moment, Mr. St. John.

MR. WOISLAW: I would like to take a brief
recess for a minute. We're going to take a quick
break.
(Whereupon, a break was then taken.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Are you ready to resume?
A. I am.
Q. Okay.

MR. WOISLAW: I'm going to hand this -- a copy of this document to opposing counsel and a copy to you, Deb.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. So we've just handed you a document marked Exhibit 3, Mr. St. John. Would you just take a look at that briefly and then -- review it briefly then look back at me.

MR. FAGAN: Daniel, can I ask a question
about this?
MR. WOISLAW: Yes.
MR. FAGAN: Is this something you guys
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have had transcribed?
MR. WOISLAW: Yes. And we can send you
the OCR pdf to that as well.
MR. FAGAN: Yeah. Please do.
MS. BAUCUS: You don't happen to have another copy, do you?

MR. WOISLAW: I think I do actually.
MS. BAUCUS: Sorry. Or if you want to
just ask somebody to e-mail it, I've got e-mail right now. That might be easier.

MR. WOISLAW: That's okay. You can have that one. I've got it here.

MR. FAGAN: Are you asking him to review this entire transcript right now?

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. Would you just take a brief glance -actually, Mr. St. John, would you look at pages 10 to 15. Yeah. If you would just read pages 10 to 15 to yourself just to familiarize yourself with this.

MS. BAUCUS: Is this the entire
transcript?
MR. WOISLAW: It's not.
MS. BAUCUS: Do you have the whole thing? MR. WOISLAW: We plan to get it.

MS. BAUCUS: Okay.
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THE WITNESS: Did you say 10 through 15? BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. 10 through 15, that's correct. Thank you, Mr. St. John.

I asked you a question earlier during the deposition about your preparation for today, and you mentioned that you had reviewed some transcripts of statements that you had made to the city council. Is that right?
A. Yes. Not for city council but for some public meetings or whatnot.
Q. For some public meetings?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. You may recall I asked you earlier in the deposition whether you recalled speaking to city council on April 12th, 2021, during a city council session. And you stated that you didn't remember?
A. Didn't recall that specific date.
Q. Does this document refresh your
recollection?
A. Yes, it does.

MR. FAGAN: And just for the record I'll make an objection for the City that we would reserve any objections to authenticity or foundation to this
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Exhibit 3, I believe it is, since we haven't seen it before, so --

MR. WOISLAW: Okay.
MR. FAGAN: But you can go ahead and answer questions about it.

MR. WOISLAW: All right. Thanks.

BY MR. WOISLAW:
Q. So do you remember giving statements now to city council on April 12th, 2021?
A. Yes.
Q. I asked you earlier whether you had any staff who were tasked specifically to the -- to coordinating with the City on the ordinance, and I would just like to ask you a follow-up on that. If you will refer to -- sorry. Sorry. Just a minute. I'm going to retract that question.

And I will ask you, Mr. St. John, to view pages 1 through 4 at this time of that Exhibit 3 in front of you.

Do you remember, Mr. St. John, if during 2021 you had any detectives who were tasked to working with the City for purposes of the ordinance or investigating IMBs?
A. Would this be preordinance or postordinance?
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Q. And -- let's start preordinance.
A. So preordinance I had one detective assigned to Internet Crimes Against Children task force, which was affiliated to the FBI.
Q. And how about postordinance?
A. Postordinance, this particular detective was initially the point of contact for coordinating any cases involving IMBs in coordination with other City departments.
Q. And can you just explain a little bit about what that -- what form that coordination took?
A. Well, essentially, it was sharing information, getting the case in the appropriate venue for follow-up, whether it was a criminal that needed to be investigated by Billings police department or any of our partners or whether it was more of a business license or code enforcement violation.
Q. Do you remember whether you submitted a letter to the City in advance of this April 12th city council session?
A. I do.
Q. Did you submit such a letter?
A. Yes. And just referencing this, they're referencing as well. So I do recall writing a
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letter, an opinion.
Q. Do you remember how you submitted that letter to the city council?
A. It would have been submitted through the city administrator, and then the city administrator would share it with council.
Q. Did you put it in an e-mail or was it --
A. I believe it was an e-mail.
Q. Okay. Next -- and you can put the document down for now. I would like to ask you about training department -- police department personnel on the ordinance or investigating illicit massage businesses. Do you have any training materials that you give to police -- police department employees related to investigating illicit massage businesses?
A. We have nothing specific to the ordinance. We have general instruction both through our academy for our field training process, and then we have continuing education online where that is one of the -- one of the topics. As far as having anything in writing, $I$ don't know if we have that.
Q. And none of those training materials reference the ordinance?
A. No.
Q. Does your department have any written
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policies that would -- that restrict the police department's -- the frequency of the police department's ability to conduct inspections under the ordinance?
A. No.
Q. Does the police department have any written policies that would limit the scope of inspections under the ordinance?
A. No.

MR. WOISLAW: I don't have any further
questions.
MR. FAGAN: Let's take a break real quick, I want to talk to the chief for a second. Like five minutes.
(Whereupon, a break was then taken.)

MR. WOISLAW: I do have one quick thing so as we're on the record now. I just want to clarify that when $I$ said I don't have any further questions that $I$ reserve the ability to do a redirect if I need to. Otherwise, he's yours.

MR. FAGAN: Yeah. That's not a problem. EXAMINATION

BY MR. FAGAN:
Q. Just for the record, Chief, I'm Gerry
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Fagan. I'm one of defense counsel for Vondra [sic] as you know.

I just want to ask you to clarify a previous response. Daniel had asked you about your knowledge of the city police department investigating IMBs, and I think your response was it had not. Correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. When you were answering that question, were you talking about postordinance investigations or preordinance investigations?
A. Post.
Q. To your recollection, had the city police department done some investigations over time prior to the ordinance of IMBs?
A. Yes. They had done a couple over the years going back to the '80s and '90s specifically.

MR. FAGAN: I just wanted to make sure that was clear for the record. That's all the questions I have, Chief. Daniel has a right to ask You some questions if he wants to.

MR. WOISLAW: I don't have any redirect.
(Whereupon, the deposition concluded at 10:21 a.m.) SIGNATURE RESERVED.
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| [ | $\begin{gathered} \text { agree (1) } \\ 5: 21 \\ \text { ahead (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13: 11 \\ \text { balance (1) } \\ 22: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 7,9,16 ; 23: 2 \\ & 24: 21 ; 25: 23 ; 31: 13, \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { clearly (1) } \\ & \text { 13:8 } \\ & \text { closely (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \text { [sic] (1) } \\ 33: 1 \end{array} \\ \hline & \mathbf{A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \mid \text { al (2) } \\ 4: 11 ; 34: 25 \\ \text { allowed (1) } \\ 21: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { basically (1) } \\ & 21: 16 \\ & \text { BAUCUS (5) } \end{aligned}$ | C | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 11 \\ & \text { code }(17) \\ & 11: 17,20,21 ; 12: 2,3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAUCUS (5) } \\ & 27: 5,8,20,23,25 \\ & \text { behaviors (3) } \end{aligned}$ | called (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 17,20,21 ; 12: 2,3, \\ & 11,16,20 ; 13: 2,5,6,14, \\ & 15 ; 18: 6 ; 21: 24 ; 22: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| ability (2) | $\underset{21: 13}{\operatorname{aluminum}}(\mathbf{1})$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 20: 15,18 ; 21: 4 \\ \text { belief }(1) \end{array}$ | $\underset{22: 9}{\text { calling (1) }}$ | column (1) |
| 32:3,20 |  |  |  |  |
| able (1) | and/or (1) $16: 16$ | 18:21 belong (1) | calls (1) $11: 25$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 17:11 (2) } \\ \text { coming } \end{array}$ |
| above (1) | anxiety-inducing (1) | 25:7 | can (18) | 16:15;22:10 |
| $7: 25$ | 5:14 | best (1) | 5:13,17,19,20; | commanders (1) |
| Academy (2) | $\underset{7}{\text { appears (1) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12: 6 \\ \text { better (2) } \end{gathered}$ | 10:1;12:14;15:16; | 10:17 |
| $8: 3 ; 31: 17$ acceptable (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { approach (1) } \\ 12: 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:20;24:15 } \\ & \text { bigger (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 2 ; 26: 22 ; 27: 2,11 ; \\ & 29: 4: 30: 10: 31: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 34:24 |
| acceptable (1) |  |  |  | comparison (1) |
| accidents (1) | $\underset{30: 13}{\text { appropriate (1) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 19 \\ & \text { Billings (15) } \end{aligned}$ | capture (1) | 22:24 |
| 15:23 |  |  | 23:25 | complaint (2) |
| acquire (1)15:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appropriately (1) } \\ & 21: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 11,17,19 ; 7: 21 ; \\ & 8: 13,17 ; 9: 11 ; 14: 3,4 \end{aligned}$ | career (2) | $\begin{gathered} 12: 10,17 \\ \text { complaint-initiated (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 9:12,1 |  |
| act (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April (3) } \\ & \text { 28:16;29:9;3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,11 ; 20: 2 ; 23: 1 ; \\ & 30: 15 ; 34: 25 \end{aligned}$ | case (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 12 \\ & \text { complaints (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 21: 23 \\ \text { action (1) } \end{gathered}$ | area (1) | bit (4) | 4:10;12:14;30:13 cases (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { complaints (1) } \\ & 22: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22:12 | 12:25 | 8:13;19:15;20:5; | 30:8 | concluded (1) |
| actions (3) | areas (2) | 30:10 | certainly (2) | 33:24 |
| 8:12,18;13:12 | 10:20;22:24 | blacked-out (1) | 23:20;24:7 | conduct (1) |
| activities (4) | around (1) | 21:12 | CERTIFICATE (1) | $32: 3$ |
| 23:8;24:18,19;25:3 | 23:9 | bladder (1) | 34:1 | conducted (1) |
| activity (5) | aspect (1) | 5:15 | CERTIFY (1) | 14:16 |
| 10:4;11:25;21:25; | 24:9 | blood (1) | 34:4 | conducting (3) |
| 22:3,10 | assets (1) | 15:24 | changes (1) | 15:6;23:22;24:11 |
| acts (1) | 23:9 | body (1) | 34:6 | confirms (1) |
| 10:6 | assigned (2) | 18:8 | chief (9) | 18:21 |
| actual (1) | 13:24;30:3 | both (1) | 4:17;7:20;8:7,10; | contact (6) |
| 23:15 | $\underset{15 \cdot 25}{\text { assume (1) }}$ | $31: 17$ bottom (1) | $10: 9 ; 14: 2 ; 32: 13,25 ;$ $33 \cdot 20$ | $11: 22,22 ; 12: 5,16 ;$ $24 \cdot 17 \cdot 30 \cdot 7$ |
| actually (2) | 15:25 | bottom (1) | 33:20 Children | 24:17;30:7 |
| 27:7,17 | attendance (2) | 17:9 | Children (1) | continuing (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { address (1) } \\ 5: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $17: 22 ; 18: 9$ attended (1) | break (6) 5:12,16;26:5,6 | 30:3 citations (2) | $31: 19$ controversial (1) |
| administration (1) | 17:14 | 32:12,15 | 13:4,14 | 10:13 |
| 10:15 | attention (1) | breaks (1) | cities (1) | coordinate (5) |
| administrative (1) | 5:6 | 5:11 | 19:20 | 11:17,19;12:6,20; |
| 9:18 | auspices (1) | brief (2) | citizens (1) | 13:20 |
| administrator (2) | 10:6 | 26:3;27:16 | 22:8 | coordinates (1) |
| $31: 5,5$ | authenticity (1) | briefly (2) | City (37) | 13:22 |
| advance (1) | 28:25 | 26:20,20 | 4:11,19;6:1;7:21; | coordinating (4) |
| 30:20 | authority | brought (1) | 8:12,13,17,22,22;9:2, | 11:10,14;29:13; |
| advertisements (1) |  | buildin | 4;10:14;11:10,14; | $30: 7$ coordination (3) |
| $\begin{gathered} 25: 24 \\ \text { affect (1) } \end{gathered}$ | aware 21:7 | building | 13:15;14:3,16;17:10; | coordination (3) |
| 5:9 | awareness (1) | buildings (1) | 16,24;29:9,13,22; | copy (4) |
| affiliated (1)$30: 4$ | 20:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 24 \\ & \text { business (12) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 9,20,20 ; 31: 3,5,5 ; \\ & 33: 513: 34: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:23;26:13,13;27:6 } \\ & \text { corrections (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| afterhours (1)22:11 | B | 10:7;12:10;14:11; | City's (1) | 34:7 |
|  | back (4) | 15:17;19:24;20:9,10, | $6: 10$ | council (13) |
| again (3) |  | 16;22:11;24:16; $25 \cdot 20 \cdot 30 \cdot 17$ | clarify (2) | 8:22,22;9:2,4; |
| 12:16;25:1,16 | 6:20;21:1;26:21; | $25: 20 ; 30: 17$ businesses (19) | 32:18;33:3 | 10:14;28:8,10,16,17; |
| $\underset{\text { against (2) }}{ }$ | background (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { businesses (19) } \\ & 9: 21,24 ; 10: 10,22 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { classes (1) } \\ 8: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:9;30:21;31:3,6 } \\ & \text { counsel (7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 22: 7 ; 30: 3 \\ \text { agencies (1) } \end{array}$ | background (1) $4: 24$ | $11: 2,6,11 ; 13: 23$ | 8.4 clear (1) | 6:1,10;7:13,15; |
| 17:23 | bailiwick (1) | 14:6;15:11;20:7; | 33:19 | 15:14;26:13;33:1 |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { couple (1) } \\ 33: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4: 21 \\ \text { deposition (8) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { during (3) } \\ & 28: 5,16 ; 29: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { examples }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 19: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { first (4) } \\ & 4: 5 ; 17: 9 ; 18: 3,16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| course (3) | 6:9;7:6,16;28:6,15; |  | Exhibit (8) | five (1) |
| 13:10;24:2,5 | 33:23;34:4,9 | E | 6:18;7:1;17:1,6; | 32:13 |
| covered (2) | deputization (1) |  | 26:15,19;29:1,18 | flags (2) |
| 13:1;25:19 | 8:14 | earlier (6) | exigent (1) | 21:16;24:14 |
| crime (1) | detective (6) | 8:25;9:16;13:21; | 16:1 | focus (1) |
| 22:20 | 11:16,23;12:15; | 28:5,14;29:11 | experience (4) | 5:9 |
| Crimes (1) | 13:24;30:2,6 | easier (4) | 8:1;9:7,13;14:2 | focused (1) |
| 30:3 | detectives (3) | 21:19,21,22;27:10 | expires (1) | 22:23 |
| criminal (6) | 12:19;16:1;29:21 | education (2) | 34:24 | foil (1) |
| 15:17;21:25,25; | develop (1) | 7:25;31:19 | explain (3) | 21:13 |
| 22:2;24:23;30:14 | 23:23 | either (1) | 10:1;23:12;30:10 | following (2) |
| customers (1) | DF (1) | 21:24 | explained (1) | 4:1;19:22 |
| 24:15 | 34:25 | else (1) | 23:14 | follows (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { cutting (1) } \\ 20: 20 \end{gathered}$ | dialogue (1) 19:22 | $7: 18$ e-mail (4) | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:6 } \\ & \text { follow-up (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | different (1) | 27:9,9;31:7,8 |  | 29:14;30:14 |
| D | 10:20 | employees (1) | facility (3) | force (2) |
|  | differentiating (1) | 31:14 | 19:4,13,14 | 13:25;30:4 |
| Daniel (5) | 24:22 | end (3) | facts (1) | foregoing (2) |
| 4:9;6:22;26:22; | difficult (3) | 8:6;10:13;11:23 | 21:23 | 34:4,5 |
| $33: 4,20$ | 5:15;23:4,10 | Ended (1) | FAGAN (13) | form (1) |
| date (2) | direct (2) | 9:17 | 6:22;20:20;26:22, | 30:11 |
| 9:4;28:19 | 10:11,17 | enforce (2) | 25;27:4,13;28:23; | format (1) |
| day (2) | disciplines (1) | 21:19;22:25 | 29:4;32:12,22,24; | 16:20 |
| 15:20;34:17 | 8:2 | enforcement (24) | 33:1,18 | former (1) |
| deal (1) | discuss (1) | 8:2,12,17,18,19; | familiar (1) | 21:1 |
| 12:7 | 7:12 | 10:21;11:18,20,22; | 17:20 | forward (1) |
| dealing (1) | discussed (1) | 12:3,3,11,16,20;13:2, | Familiarize (2) | 13:12 |
| 23:4 | 20:2 | 5,7,12;16:14;18:7; | 6:20;27:19 | foundation (1) |
| Deb (1) | disguise (1) | 21:24;22:3;23:6; | family-type (1) | 28:25 |
| 26:14 | 23:8 | 30:17 | $21: 8$ | frequency (1) |
| dedicated (1) | disrupt (1) | enforces (1) | far (1) | 32:2 |
| 23:20 | 24:1 | 22:25 | 31:20 | front (1) |
| deemed (1) | distinguish (1) | enforcing (1) | fatal (1) | 29:19 |
| $10: 4$ | 20:8 | 9:14 | 15:23 | full (3) |
| defense (1) | distribute (1) | enough (2) | FBI (4) | $5: 6,15 ; 34: 8$ |
| 33:1 | 22:14 | 5:14;20:24 | 8:3;14:1;18:10; | full-time (1) |
| department (42) | division (4) | entail (1) | 30:4 | 23:20 |
| 11:1,5,9,13;12:1,6, $99 \cdot 13 \cdot 3,14,19,21$. | 10:17;11:17,23; 12.15 | $8: 21$ | federal (3) | further (2) |
| 9,9;13:3,14,19,21; | 12:15 | entire (3) | 8:14;13:22;24:6 | 32:10,19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14: 4,4,9,10,14,15,19 \\ & 21,22 ; 15: 2,2,6,9,12 \end{aligned}$ | document (9) $6: 16 ; 9: 3 ; 16: 25 ;$ | 9:12;27:14,20 essentially (4) | $\begin{gathered} \text { federally (1) } \\ 14: 1 \end{gathered}$ | G |
| 21;18:6;20:8;21:19; | 17:5,17;26:13,18; | 8:11,16;13:25; | female (1) |  |
| 22:15,25;23:13,17; | 28:20;31:10 | 30:12 | 21:8 | gather (1) |
| 30:16;31:11,11,14, | documents (3) | et (2) | fentanyl (1) | 12:13 |
| 25;32:6;33:5,14 | 6:11,12;7:19 | 4:11;34:25 | 22:21 | gave (1) |
| departments (2) | DOJ (1) | Even (1) | few (1) | 19:21 |
| $17: 23 ; 30: 9$ department's (2) | 18:14 | 16:8 | 13:6 | general (4) |
| department's (2) | done (6) ${ }^{14 \cdot 24 \cdot 17 \cdot 7 \cdot 18 \cdot 18 .}$ | evidence (2) | field (1) | 15:12;21:20;22:8; |
| $\begin{gathered} 32: 2,3 \\ \text { depending (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 24 ; 17: 7 ; 18: 18 ; \\ & 25: 22 ; 33: 14,16 \end{aligned}$ | 21:25;22:2 | $31: 18$ fill (1) | $31: 17$ generally (2) |
| $12: 16 ; 24: 4$ | 25:22;33:14,16 doors (1) | $\operatorname{ex}(1)$ $15: 13$ | fill (1) | 11:21;15:23 |
| deployed (1) | 25:18 | Exactly (2) | financial (1) | Gerry (1) |
| 23:13 | down (1) | 8:20;11:19 | 23:6 | 32:25 |
| deployment (1) | $31: 10$ | EXAMINATION (2) | find (4) | gets (1) |
| 23:16 | draws (1) | 4:7;32:23 | 5:24;12:18;13:8; | 12:3 |
| deponent (1) | 15:24 | examined (1) | 25:5 | given (3) |
| 34:3 | duly (1) | 4:6 | finish (2) | 4:24;9:1;34:9 |
| DEPONENT'S (1) | 4:5 | example (5) | 20:25;21:2 | giving (1) |
| $34: 1$ | dumb (1) | $8: 23 ; 10: 21 ; 11: 25$ | finished (1) | $29: 8$ |
| deposed (1) | $23: 7$ | $16: 5 ; 20: 13$ | $6: 4$ | glance (1) |
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| 27:16 | 13:9;23:25;24:19 | 23:1;29:23;31:12,15; | 22:24;23:5 | 22;11:2,6,11;12:10; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| glancing (1) | illicit (23) | 33:5 | laws (2) | 13:23;14:5,11;15:11; |
| 17:25 | 9:21,24;10:9,21; | investigation (3) | 9:14;21:20 | 19:24;20:7,9,10;22:7, |
| Good (1) | 11:2,6,11;12:10; | 9:19;11:10;25:22 | lead (1) | 15;23:2;24:21;25:7, |
| 20:24 | 13:23;14:5,11;15:11; | investigations (6) | 25:2 | 23;26:1;31:12,15 |
| government (1) | 19:24;20:7,9;22:7, | 10:18;20:7;26:1; | leaving (1) | master's (1) |
| 17:23 | 15;23:2;24:21;25:23, | 33:11,11,14 | 24:16 | 7:25 |
| graduate (1) | 25;31:12,15 | investigative (2) | legislation (1) | material (1) |
| 8:2 | IMB (1) | 13:12;23:18 | 8:23 | 34:6 |
| guarded (1) | 14:13 | investigators (2) | legitimate (3) | materials (3) |
| 21:11 | IMBs (4) | 23:19;25:14 | 20:9;24:22;25:7 | 17:17;31:13,22 |
| guys (1) | 29:23;30:8;33:6,15 | involvement (1) | letter (4) | may (6) |
| 26:25 | include (1) | 10:11 | 30:20,23;31:1,3 | 6:1;11:24;12:5,18; |
| H | 25:10 Includin | involving (1) $30: 8$ | level (1) $24: 2$ | 25:19;28:14 mean (3) |
|  | 13:17 | issue (4) | library (4) | 9:23;20:16;23:3 |
| hand (5) | increased (1) | 6:15;7:4;10:14; | 18:25;19:7,8,11 | means (1) |
| 6:16;19:19,23; | 20:12 | 13:7 | license (1) | 15:22 |
| 21:23;26:12 | indicated (2) | issues (1) | 30:17 | mechanisms (1) |
| handed (2) | 7:19;24:14 | 13:5 | limit (1) | 16:19 |
| 17:5;26:18 | indicators (2) | issuing (1) | 32:7 | medications (1) |
| happen (1) | 12:2;25:13 | 13:14 | list (1) | 5:8 |
| 27:5 | informants (1) |  | 17:25 | meet (1) |
| harder (1) | 23:23 | J | little (4) | 7:15 |
| 21:22 | information (2) |  | 8:13;19:15;20:5; | meeting (1) |
| head (1) | 12:13;30:13 | job (3) | 30:10 | 19:6 |
| 25:4 | initial (1) | 7:23;8:10,21 | live (1) | meetings (6) |
| hearing (1) | 4:15 | JOHN (15) | 22:8 | 19:3,8,9,10;28:11, |
| 9:5 | initially (1) | 4:4,15,22;6:8,17; | living (2) | 12 |
| hearings (3) | 30:7 | 17:8;21:2;26:2,19; | 25:10,14 | memory (2) |
| 6:14;8:23;15:13 | ink (1) | 27:17;28:4;29:17,20; | local (1) | 5:9;18:3 |
| held (3) | 34:7 | 34:3,14 | 8:12 | mentioned (5) |
| 18:24;19:6,7 | inordinate (1) | J-O-H-N (1) | locating (2) | 13:21;15:10;17:21; |
| Hello (1) | 21:14 | 4:15 | 23:21,21 | 28:7;34:10 |
| 4:9 | inside (5) | judges (1) | locked (1) | met (1) |
| HEREBY (1) | 23:24;24:19,20,24, | 15:13 | 25:18 | 6:10 |
| $34: 4$ | 24 | June (3) | long (1) | methamphetamine (1) |
| herein (1) | inspections (7) | 16:21;17:15;19:16 | 7:20 | 22:21 |
| 4:5 | 14:15,22;15:3,6; |  | look (13) | middle (2) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { hereinbefore (1) } \\ 34: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $22: 3 ; 32: 3,8$ <br> instruction (1) | K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:19,20;17:7,9,19, } \\ & \text { 19:18:3,18:20:14: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 14 ; 16: 8 \\ & \text { might (7) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Hey (1) | 31:17 | kind (1) | 21:8;26:19,21;27:17 | 5:24;10:15;19:6,7; |
| 6:22 | intended (1) | 20:20 | looked (1) | 20:15;21:7;27:10 |
| hide (1) | 16:17 | knowledge (6) | 7:19 | mind (2) |
| 23:8 | intensive (4) | 14:12,18,20,25; | looking (8) | 4:12;16:24 |
| highest (1) | 23:1,3,10,14 | 22:5;33:5 | 16:18;24:12,13,15, | minute (2) |
| 24:2 | interim (1) | known (1) | 23;25:9,15,23 | 26:4;29:15 |
| homes (1) | 8:6 | 19:1 | $\operatorname{lot}(4)$ | minutes (1) |
| 21:14 | Internet (1) |  | 13:4,5,6;19:22 | 32:14 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { hour (1) } \\ 16: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 30: 3 \\ \text { interstate (1) } \end{array}$ | L | M | moment (2) $17: 4 ; 26: 2$ |
| human (5) | 24:7 | labor (2) |  | money (1) |
| 9:15,17;13:25; | into (1) | 23:10,14 | male (1) | 24:8 |
| 19:25;21:20 | 24:8 | lack (1) | 21:7 | Montana (2) |
|  | introduction (1) | 24:15 | marked (6) | 4:18;34:22 |
| I | 19:19 | landscape (1) | 6:17;7:2;17:2,6; | more (2) |
|  | investigate (2) | 23:5 | 26:16,18 | 5:15;30:17 |
| identification (3) | 9:20;22:15 | last (1) | marking (1) | most (5) |
| 7:2;17:2;26:16 | investigated (1) | 4:15 | 16:24 | 15:15,24;16:2; |
| identified (1) | 30:15 | later (1) | Marshals (1) | 22:6;24:3 |
| 7:4 | investigating (13) | 24:17 | 8:15 | move (4) |
| illegal (6) | $9: 14 ; 10: 9,12,21$ | law (6) | massage (26) | $13: 11 ; 18: 23 ; 22: 1$ |
| 10:4;11:24;12:5; | 11:2,6;12:4;13:22; | 8:2,16,19;10:20; | 9:21,24;10:6,10, | $23: 9$ |
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| much (3) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { officials }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 13: 22 \\ \text { one }(14) \\ 5: 13,17 ; 9: 3 ; 13: 24 ; \\ 15: 18,20 ; 22: 9 ; 25: 2 \\ 27: 12 ; 30: 2 ; 31: 19,20 ; \\ 32: 17 ; 33: 1 \\ \text { online }(3) \\ 25: 22 ; 26: 1 ; 31: 19 \end{array}$ | parte (1) | 18:12 | 19:1;25:11,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:24;9:17;12:14 |  | 15:13 | possibly (1) | proposed (1) |
| multistate (1) |  | participated (2) | 23:25 | 8:23 |
| 24:3 |  | 14:15,22 | Post (1) | prosecution (1) |
| myriad (2) |  | participating (2) | 33:12 | 23:11 |
| 8:1;10:16 |  | 15:3;16:12 | postcomplaint (1) | prostitution (4) |
|  |  | particular (2) | 12:23 | 9:15,16;10:5;21:1 |
| N |  | 20:16;30:6 | postordinance (4) | providing (1) |
|  |  | partners (3) | 29:25;30:5,6;33:10 | 8:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { name }(5) \\ & 4: 9,13,15 ; 17: 10 \\ & 34: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { only (1) } \\ & 21: 5 \\ & \text { operated (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 8:14;24:6;30:16 | potential (1) | public (5) |
|  |  | party (1) | 13:2 | 6:14;21:6;28:11, |
|  |  | 15:14 | potentially (3) | 12;34:22 |
| National (1)8:3 | 14:1 operations (3) | passage (1) | 21:17;23:24;24:16 | purpose (2) |
|  |  | 22:13 | preordinance (4) | 12:22;19:17 |
| nature (1)$12: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 18 ; 24: 22,23 \\ & \text { opinion (1) } \end{aligned}$ | passed (1) | 29:24;30:1,2;33:11 | purposes (1) |
|  |  | 16:13 | preparation (1) | 29:22 |
| nearby (1) | 31:1 opportunity (1) | past (2) | 28:6 | put (2) |
| 22:9 |  | 9:7;25:22 | prepare (2) | 31:7,9 |
| need (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:3 } \\ & \text { opposing (2) } \end{aligned}$ | PD-1 (4) | 6:9;7:18 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 12,16 ; 16: 4 \\ & 18: 19 ; 32: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | 19:1,9,11,13 | prepared (1) | Q |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 14 ; 26: 13 \\ & \text { oral (1) } \end{aligned}$ | pdf (1) | 5:2 |  |
| needed (2) |  | 27:3 | present (1) | qualifications (1) |
| 15:18;30:15 | 34:9 | people (3) | 15:14 | 7:23 |
| Next (2) | ordinance (31) | 21:7,10;22:10 | presented (1) | quick (3) |
| 5:11;31:9 | 6:13;7:4,7,8,9; | period (1) | 16:3 | 26:4;32:12,17 |
| night (1) | 11:15,20;13:1,2,4,17; | 4:15 | presumed (1) | quickly (3) |
| $\begin{gathered} 16: 8 \\ \text { none (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | perpetrators (1) | 4:24 | 5:19;15:16,18 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 17 ; 20: 2,3,4,6 ; 21: 18 \\ & 22: 4,13 ; 29: 13,22 \end{aligned}$ | 23:7 | prevent (2) | quite (2) |
| $31: 22$ |  | person (1) | 5:5;15:6 | 8:6;16:19 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { normally (1) } \\ 25: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 12,16,23 ; 32: 4,8 \\ & 33: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 11:16 personne | prevents (2) 13:13;15:2 | R |
| Notary (1) | ordinances (1) | 10:25;14:14,21; | previous (1) |  |
| notified (1) | 13:15 | 31:11 | 33:4 | reach (1) |
|  | organization (1) | place (6) | previously (1) | 12:11 |
| $10: 15$ | $24: 2$others | 6:15;19:3;22:10; | 11:4 | read (3) |
| number (4) |  | 25:3,6;34:9 | print (2) | 21:1;27:18;34:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:17,18;7:7;21:14 } \\ & \text { numerous (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $19: 11$ | plaintiffs (1) | 18:19;34:21 | reading (1) |
|  | Otherwise (1) | 4:10 | prior (4) | 17:7 |
| 8:3 | out (4) | plan (1) | 7:13,15;22:13; | ready (2) |
|  |  | 27:24 | 33:14 | 6:21;26:9 |
| 0 | over (2) | please (5) | priority (1) | real (1) |
|  |  | 4:13;5:12,16,20; | 22:14 | 32:12 |
| oath (2) | $33: 14,16$ | 27:4 | probably (4) | recall (14) |
| 4:25;5:14 | overlap (1) | point (4) | 18:8;23:23;25:16, | 9:6;16:21;18:4,10, |
| object (1) | $8: 13$ | 5:12;12:15;22:23; | 25 | 12,15,24,25;19:5,9; |
| 6:1 | oversee (1) | 30:7 | problem (6) | 20:4;28:14,19;30:25 |
| objection (2) | $\begin{gathered} 10: 17 \\ \text { overview (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { police (43) } \\ & 4: 17 ; 7: 20 ; 8: 10 ; 9: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 19,23,24 ; 22: 20, \\ & 21 ; 32: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { recalled (1) } \\ 28: 15 \end{gathered}$ |
| objections (1) | $19: 19$ | 9,10,11,13,20;10:9, | problems (1) | received (2) |
| obviously (5) |  | 25;11:5,8,22;12:1,5, | 23:15 | 17:18;22:2 |
|  | P | 8,9;13:3,13;14:2,4,4, | proceed (1) | receives (2) |
| $7: 24 ; 8: 1 ; 18: 5$ |  | $9,19 ; 15: 1 ; 18: 5 ; 19: 4,$ | 6:5 | 12:9;22:7 |
| OCR (1) | $17: 9 ; 18: 16$ | 17,30:15;31:11,14, | proceedings (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ecess}(1) \\ & 26: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:3 | pages (4) | 14;32:1,2,6;33:5,13 | process (3) | recollection (6) |
| off (2) | 27:17,18;29:18; | policies (3) | 8:5,7;31:18 | 17:14;18:17,20; |
| 20:21;25:3 | 34:5 | 10:19;32:1,7 | professional (1) | 19:18;28:21;33:13 |
| officer (6) | parent (1) | policy (5) | 8:3 | record (5) |
| 9:8,9,10,11,14,20 | 21:9 | 8:22;10:23;15:1,5; | program (1) | 4:13;28:23;32:18, |
| officers (9) | parlor (2) | 18:8 | 14:1 | 25;33:19 |
| 12:18;16:16;20:14; | 10:6;25:7 | position (1) | proper (1) | red (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21: 5 ; 24: 11,12,23 ; \\ & 25: 8,21 \end{aligned}$ | part (4) $15: 15 ; 16: 2 ; 24: 3,19$ | $\begin{gathered} 9: 18 \\ \text { possible (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8: 17 \\ \text { propertv (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $21: 16 ; 24: 14$ <br> redirect (2) |
| 25.8,21 | 15:15,16.2,24.3,19 | possible (1) | property (3) | redirect (2) |
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| 32:20;33:22 | responsibilities (2) | setup (1) | staff (6) | 4:2;7:12;9:1,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| refer (3) | 8:9;10:8 | 25:17 | 11:4,8;14:24; | Thanks (1) |
| 12:2;21:23;29:15 | responsible (2) | sex (3) | 16:16;17:21;29:12 | 29:6 |
| reference (1) | 8:11;11:17 | 10:6;19:25;21:20 | start (1) | thereon (1) |
| 31:23 | restrict (1) | share (1) | 30:1 | 34:7 |
| referencing (2) | 32:1 | 31:6 | state (4) | Theresa (1) |
| 30:24,25 | resume (1) | sharing (1) | 4:16;8:12;13:9; | 4:10 |
| referred (1) | 26:9 | 30:12 | 34:22 | Throughout (1) |
| 12:14 | retract (1) | sheet (1) | stated (1) | 7:6 |
| referring (3) | 29:16 | 34:7 | 28:17 | times (1) |
| 8:19;21:4,15 | review (5) | side (2) | statements (2) | 6:2 |
| reflect (2) | 6:12;17:5;18:16; | 9:19;24:8 | 28:8;29:8 | title (1) |
| 17:13,17 | 26:20;27:13 | SIGNATURE (1) | stating (1) | 4:16 |
| refresh (3) | reviewed (5) | 33:25 | 4:12 | today (5) |
| 17:13;18:20;28:20 | 6:10,13;8:25;9:5; | signed (1) | statute (1) | 5:3,9;7:13,13;28:6 |
| refreshes (1) | 28:7 | 34:8 | 13:9 | told (1) |
| 18:17 | reviewing (1) | similar (1) | stop (1) | 20:14 |
| regards (1) | 18:18 | 10:7 | 5:20 | took (2) |
| 6:15 | RICHARD (4) | situation (2) | submit (1) | 4:25;30:11 |
| related (8) | 4:4,14;34:3,14 | 11:24;21:17 | 30:23 | tools (1) |
| 9:14;10:19;13:5; | R-I-C-H-A-R-D (1) | situations (1) | submitted (3) | 23:19 |
| 16:14;17:18;20:14, | 4:14 | 23:6 | 30:19;31:2,4 | top (1) |
| 15;31:15 | right (6) | solutions (1) | Subscribed (1) | 25:4 |
| remember (10) | $17: 10 ; 27: 9,14$ | 19:20 | 34:16 | topics (1) |
| 16:12,15,20;17:22; | $28: 9 ; 29: 6 ; 33: 20$ | somebody (5) | successful (1) | 31:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 13 ; 28: 18 ; 29: 8,20 \\ & 30: 19: 31: 2 \end{aligned}$ | S | $11: 25 ; 16: 15 ; 21: 9 ;$ $23.24 \cdot 27.9$ | 19:21 suggest (1) | toward (1) |
| 30:19;31:2 | S | $23: 24 ; 27: 9$ sometimes (1) | $\underset{\substack{4 \cdot 17}}{\text { suggest (1) }}$ |  |
| $5: 23 ; 13: 20$ | same (2) | sometimes (1) $5: 19$ | Sure (6) | to-wit (1) $4: 2$ |
| reported (1) | 14:25;34:6 | sorry (5) | 4:14;12:19,24; | trade (1) |
| 12:25 | saying (1) | 6:24;13:20;27:8; | 15:25;16:19;33:18 | 19:25 |
| reporting (1) | 14:8 | 29:15,15 | surveillance (3) | trafficking (10) |
| 16:18 | scope (1) | sort (2) | 23:22;24:10,11 | 9:15,17;10:5; |
| represent (1) | 32:7 | 13:4;21:15 | suspected (2) | 13:25;19:25;21:17, |
| $4: 10$ | screens (1) | sought (4) | 15:17;24:21 | $20,20 ; 24: 1,18$ |
| representatives (1) | 25:18 | 14:5,10,12;15:11 | suspects (1) | training (10) |
| 18:6 | search (8) | source (1) | 23:21 | 17:14,18;18:24; |
| requirements (1) | 14:5,10,11,13;15:9, | 22:6 | sworn (2) | 19:16;20:12,13; |
| 7:25 | 12,16,22 | spa (1) | 4:6;34:16 | 31:11,13,18,22 |
| requires (1) | second (1) | 10:7 |  | trainings (1) |
| 8:14 | 32:13 | speak (2) | T | 16:13 |
| reserve (2) | seek (1) | 5:19;21:10 |  | transaction (1) |
| 28:24;32:20 | 15:9 | speaking (1) | tactics (1) | 24:1 |
| RESERVED (1) | seeks (1) | 28:15 | 23:16 | transcribed (1) |
| 33:25 | 15:12 | specific (4) | talk (3) | 27:1 |
| residences (1) | seem (1) | 25:5,25;28:19; | 20:5;24:20;32:13 | transcript (3) |
| 21:12 | 16:20 | 31:16 | talking (4) | 27:14,21;34:8 |
| residents (1) | selection (1) | specifically (7) | 7:9;16:16;19:17; | transcripts (3) |
| 21:14 | 8:5 | 11:1,5,9,18;20:1; | 33:10 | 6:14;9:1;28:7 |
| Residing (1) | send (1) | 29:12;33:17 | task (2) | true (1) |
| 34:23 | 27:2 | spelling (1) | 13:25;30:3 | 34:8 |
| resource (2) | serious (2) | 4:12 | tasked (6) | trust (1) |
| 23:1,3 | 22:20,21 | spend (1) | 11:1,5,9,14;29:12, | 23:5 |
| resources (6) | services (1) | 10:23 | 21 | try (1) |
| 10:20,24;22:14,22; | 8:17 | spent (1) | telephonic (2) | 23:24 |
| 23:12,16 | session (2) | 10:20 | 15:21;16:5 | trying (2) |
| respect (5) | 28:17;30:21 | ST (15) | term (1) | 23:23;24:1 |
| 10:9;11:15,20; | sessions (2) | 4:4,15,22;6:8,17; | 10:2 | turn (1) |
| 19:16;25:22 | 9:2;20:13 | 17:8;21:2;26:2,19; | terms (1) | 12:15 |
| respond (2) | set (2) | 27:17;28:4;29:17,20; | 24:15 | two (1) |
| 12:1,13 | 10:19,23 | 34:3,14 | testified (1) | 15:20 |
| response (2) | setting (2) | S-T (1) | 4:6 | type (2) |
| 33:4,6 | 21:9,9 | 4:15 | testimony (4) | 22:11;25:3 |
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# EXHIBIT <br> 17 <br> REDACTED 

## EXCERPT

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
                BILLINGS DIVISION
```

THERESA VONDRA, DONNA Cause No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD PODOLAK, LYNDA LARVIE, and ADAM POULOS,

Plaintiffs,
vs.
CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

## DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF THERESA VONDRA

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the deposition upon oral examination of THERESA VONDRA, appearing at the instance of the Defendants, was taken at the offices of Fisher Court Reporting, 2711 1st Avenue North, Billings, Montana, on Thursday, September 21, 2023, beginning at the hour of 9:34 a.m., pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Barbara J. Batts, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public.

Theresa Vondra


Charles Fisher Court Reporting
442 East Mendenhall, Bozeman MT 59715, (406) 587-9016

Theresa Vondra


Charles Fisher Court Reporting 442 East Mendenhall, Bozeman MT 59715, (406) 587-9016

Theresa Vondra


Charles Fisher Court Reporting 442 East Mendenhall, Bozeman MT 59715, (406) 587-9016

Theresa Vondra


Charles Fisher Court Reporting

Theresa Vondra


Charles Fisher Court Reporting 442 East Mendenhall, Bozeman MT 59715, (406) 587-9016

Theresa Vondra

BY MR. FAGAN:
Q. Okay. So, Theresa, what I'm marking there as Exhibit 14 is a copy of documents from the City's file related to your facility and inspection and application that you turned in. For the record, it's City 1532 through 1567. So can you look at that and familiarize yourself with it, please?
(Pause.)
A. Okay.
Q. So do you recall that application?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's the application you submitted to the City?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. So this was submitted on -- by yourself on January 4th, 2022. Do you see that? That's on about page 1537.
A. Okay. Yeah.
Q. The first question $I$ have is at the beginning on the first page, it has initial application fee of $\$ 25 ?$
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I just want to confirm, that's the only fee that you've had to pay pursuant to this

Theresa Vondra
ordinance, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Why did you wait until January 2022 to file this application?
A. Part was -- part of it was, like, in disagreeance with it. I wasn't sure how I felt. But then also just a lot was going on with my business during that time, and we were consolidating back from two locations down to one location. And so my issue was do I fill out two applications, one for each location? Do I just have one application? So I waited until we consolidated down before I submitted my application.
Q. And my understanding is that the City contacted you three times in writing to ask you to submit your application pursuant to the new ordinance. Does that sound right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had counsel on hand by this time, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you had counsel submit a letter, correct?
A. Uh-huh.

Theresa Vondra
Q. That is on 1538.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. That's the letter your counsel sent to the City basically reserving your objection to the ordinance, correct?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. And he was authorized by you to do that, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So let's talk about the actual inspection. If you look back at 1542, please, Theresa. Do you know -- do you see at the bottom there's an email from Tanya Punt? Do you see that at the very bottom?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you know her?
A. No.
Q. She's talking to Joanne Rindahl, who also works at the City. She said, "Can you email me the application for Theresa Vondra, The Massage Company. I'm going to call her in advance and set up a day and time next week to get the inspection scheduled."

Do you recall that Tanya did that?
A. Yes.

Theresa Vondra
Q. So they actually contacted you and let you pick when the inspection would be?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you decide to do?
A. I scheduled the inspection.
Q. Did you think that was respectful of your time for the City to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. So it wasn't an unannounced inspection. It was actually a scheduled inspection?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have patients on-site when the inspection occurred?
A. I scheduled it for a time when that wasn't going to be the case.
Q. So who was there at the time of inspection? Do you recall now? With the massage company.
A. My receptionist, Mikayla Westerbeck, and also I believe one of my other therapists that was with me at the time, Delaney Sharbono. But I believe that was it.
Q. So let's look at the next page here, please, Theresa. Looks like the inspection occurred on March 7, 2022. Sound correct to you?

Theresa Vondra
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## DEPONENT'S CERTIFICATE

I, THERESA VONDRA, the deponent in the foregoing deposition, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that I have read the foregoing 104 pages of typewritten material and that the same is, with any changes thereon made in ink on the correction sheet and signed by me, a full, true and correct transcript of my oral deposition given at the time and place hereinbefore mentioned.

THERESA VONDRA

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me this ___ day of , 2023.

Notary Public State of Montana Print Name $\qquad$
Residing in:
My Commission expires:

BB - VONDRA VS. CITY OF BILLINGS

Theresa Vonda

CERTIFICATE
STATE OF MONTANA ) : SS
COUNTY OF YELLOWSTONE )

I, BARBARA J. BATTS, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public for the State of Montana, residing in Billings, do hereby certify:

That I was duly authorized to and did swear in the witness and report the deposition of THERESA VONDRA in the above-entitled cause; that the foregoing pages of this deposition constitute a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes of the testimony of said witness, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the reading and signing of the deposition by the witness have been expressly reserved.

I further certify that $I$ am not an attorney nor counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal on this the 16th day of October, 2023.


Bochurad batt
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Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

## Montana State Board of Barbers and Cosmetologists

## Inspection Report

## Record ID COS-SLN-LIC-0000

| Licensee Name: | Inspection Date: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Licensee Address | Inspector Name: |
|  | Inspector Phone: |
| Licensee Phone | Inspector Email: |
| Inspection Type: |  |

Inspection Status:

Checklist Name: Salon_Shop

| Check List Item | Status | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Does the salon/shop have a board granted variance? <br> ARM24.121.405(1) | N/A | Variance |
| Does the salon/shop have a current license? <br> ARM24.121.403(1) | Yes | Facility License |
| Is the salon/shop ownership and/or location <br> accurately reflected?ARM24.121.403(4) | Yes | Facility License |
| Are all individuals performing services currently licensed? <br> ARM24.121.2301(1)(h) | Yes | General License |
| Are all booth rental licenses current? <br> Yes/No ARM24.121.2301(1)(h) for booth renter | N/A | General License |
| Are all licenses unaltered, uncut, and not defaced? <br> ARM24.121.403(5) | Yes | General License |
| Are current licenses displayed conspiculously? <br> ARM24.121.403(3) | Yes | General License |
| ls the NIC blood spill procedure posted in the facility? <br> ARM24.121.1522(2) | Yes | General License |
| ls there at least one sink or basin within the confines of <br> the salon/shop?ARM24.121.1301(4)(a) | Yes | Water Supply |
| Does the salon/shop have a public restroom facility available <br> on or near the premises?ARM24.121.1505(1) | Yes | Water Supply |

## State of Montana Department of Labor and Industry Business Standards Division 301 South Park 4th Floor Helena, MT 59620

## Montana State Board of Barbers and Cosmetologists

## Inspection Report

| Does the salon/shop have hot and cold running <br> water connected to an appropriate sewage system? <br> ARM24.121.1507(1)(a) | Yes | Water Supply |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Do the restrooms have hand basins? <br> ARM24.121.1505(1) | Yes | Water Supply |
| Does the restroom have liquid soap dispensers available <br> for hand washing? ARM24.121.1505(6) | Yes | Water Supply |
| Are there single service towels or a workable hot air blower? <br> ARM24.121.1505(5) | Yes | Water Supply |
| Are there hand washing instructions posted in each restroom? <br> ARM24.121.1505(2) | Yes | Water Supply |
| Are the restroom fixtures clean and in safe condition? <br> ARM24.121.1505(3) |  | Water Supply |
| Is there a covered waste container in the restroom? <br> ARM24.121.1505(7) | Yes | Water Supply |
| If the restroom is used for storage, is a closet/cabinet provided? <br> ARM24.121.1505(4) | N/A | Water Supply |
| If chemicals/cleaners are stored in the restroom, are they <br> stored in a locked cabinet/closet?ARM24.121.1505(4) | N/A | Water Supply |
| If a dog is present on the premises are the requirements met? <br> ARM24.121.407(6)(b)(i)(ii)(iii) | N/A | General Requirements |
| Previous inspection report available? <br> ARM24.121.1301(2)(a) | General Requirements cl |  |
| Are there any prohibited items? <br> ARM24.121.1517(3)/1509(8)(9) List Items as needed | Yes | General Requirements |
| Is there mechanical ventilation provided for the total cubic <br> feet of the salon/shop?ARM24.121.1301(1) | Yes | General Requirements |
| Does the mechanical ventilation provide four air exchanges <br> per hour?ARM24.121.1301(1) | Yes | General Requirements |
| Is the ventilation system operating continuously during <br> business hours?arm24.121.1301(1) | Yes | General Requirements |
| Is the furniture kept clean and in a safe condition? <br> arm24.121.407(5) <br> screens, entrances and receptacles clean and in a safe | General Requirements |  |
| working areas non- carpeted?ARM24.121.1501(1) |  |  |

## Montana State Board of Barbers and Cosmetologists

## Inspection Report

| Are all areas adequately lit? <br> ARM24.121.1503 | Yes | General Requirements |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Are the light fixtures/covers clean? <br> ARM24.121.1503 | Yes | General Requirements |
| Are the salon/shop shelves, tables and station clean and <br> in good repair?ARM24.121.1509(2)(a)(b) |  | General Requirements |
| Is there a covered clean disinfectant available in the salon/ <br> shop of appropriate depth?ARM24.121.1301(4)(b) | Yes | General Sanitation |
| Is the disinfection agent board approved? <br> ARM24.121.1514(1)(2)(3) | Yes | General Sanitation |
| Is there a disinfected, dry, covered container for all <br> implements and tools?ARM24.121.1511(4) | Yes | General Sanitation |
| Are soiled implements/tools sorted separate from <br> disinfected implements/tools?ARM24.121.1515(1) | Ges | General Sanitation |
| Are neck strips, towels or clean capes used to prevent <br> hair clippings from contacting client?ARM24.121.1515(3) | General Sanitation |  |
| Are garments and garment pockets free of tools/implements? <br> ARM24.121.1515(4) | Yes | General Sanitation |
| Are machines, implements, tools and equipment clean and <br> in safe condition?ARM24.121.1509(2)(b) | G/A | General Sanitation |
| Is there cleanable, leak proof, non-absorbent, plastic <br> lined, covered refuse container(s)?ARM24.121.1519(1)(a) | Yes | General Sanitation |
| Is the refuse container frequently emptied to <br> prevent overflowing?ARM24.121.1519(1)(b) | Yeneral Sanitation |  |
| Are hair/nail clippings swept and properly disposed after <br> each client?ARM24.121.1519(2) | Yes | General Sanitation |
| Are salon preparations stored, handled and applied to <br> protect against contamination?ARM24.121.1517(1)(a)(b) | General Sanitation |  |
| Product not in its original container, is new container <br> labeled with the same product name?ARM24.121.1517(8) <br> board, separated by a full-length petition?ARM24.121.407(3) | General Sanitation |  |
| Is there at least one enclosed dust-free cabinet to store <br> clean towels and linens?ARM24.121.1301(4)(e) | General Sanitation |  |
| Are all persons working in the salon/shop professionally <br> attired and are shoes worn at all times?ARM24.121.1521(1) | Ges | General Sanitation |
| Do all operators wash their hands or use hand sanitizer <br> before serving each patron?ARM24.121.1521(2)(a)(3) | Yenitation |  |

## State of Montana Department of Labor and Industry Business Standards Division 301 South Park 4th Floor Helena, MT 59620

## Montana State Board of Barbers and Cosmetologists

## Inspection Report

| Acids used for exfoliation are PH levels less than 3 or <br> acid concetration above 30\%?ARM24.121.1517(6) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | General Sanitation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Are all mechanical exfoliation machines board <br> approved? ARM 24.121.1509(5) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Esthetic Services |
| Are licensees endorsed by the <br> board?ARM 24.121.403(8) | Yes | Esthetic Services |
| Is microdermabrasion machine a closed looped <br> system?ARM 24.121.1509(5)(i) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Esthetic Services |
| Are the aluminum oxide crystals or approved corundum at least <br> 120 granule size? <br> ARM 24.121.1509(b) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Esthetic Services |
| Are single use plastic tips being <br> used?ARM 24.121.1509(d) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | Esthetic Services |
| Is wax system board <br> approved?ARM 24.121.1509(1) | Yes | Esthetic Services |
| Are all electrical file machines used specifically manufactured <br> for use in the nail industry? <br> ARM 24.121.1509(4) | Yes | Manicuring Services |
| Are nail bits used specifically manufactured for use on the nail <br> plate of the natural nail? <br> ARM 24.121.1509(4)(a) | Yes | Manicuring Services |
| Is there direct entry into the salon/shop from a public access <br> area? <br> ARM24.121.407(4) | Yes | Residential Facilities |
| Does salon/shop have an outside entrance with <br> doors?ARM24.121.1301(1) | Yes | Residential Facilities |
| ARM24.121.1517(2) | Residential Facilities |  |
| Do clients walk through living areas to reach the <br> restroom?ARM24.121.1505(1)(a) |  |  |
| Are services being conducted in living <br> quarters? ARM24.121.407(2) |  |  |
| Is there a pedicure cleaning log being used? <br> ARM24.121.1511(5) |  |  |

Case 1:22-cv-00030-KLD Document 79-18 Filed 11/28/23 Page 6 of 6

| Additional Comments |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

Additional Comments:
$\square$

## EXHIBIT <br> 19

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
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Facility Check List - BMCC 7-1900
BMCC 7-1910. Prohibitions during Operation:
$\square$ No licensed massage therapist is in the facility during open hours;
No
$\square$ Evidence the facility is used for living or sleeping; $y \in s$
[ Employees are not fully clothed or are wearing transparent clothing;
[7 Advertising to suggest sexual services are available at the facility;
No
$\square$ Possession or display of sexually oriented toys, merchandise, sexual aids or contraceptives No
$\square$ Employees remain behind a locked door during the inspection; No

BMCC 7-1911. Requirements during operation

- List of current employees and independent contractors providing services at the facility;
U) Copies of each massage therapists license from the State of MT
$45^{s 3}$
$\square$ Operate only under the business name on the COB OL License yes
口. Exterior (entry) door must be unlocked during hours of operation, except when permitted to remain locked through a Variance from the license administrator $y \in s$
Fail to open a locked interior door upon request that is not locked to protect client confidentiality/privacy or business information.

NC
$\square$ Reception area visible from the exterior of the building (building glass may not be fully covered); yes. HAve buinds but veet oren
$\square$ Advertising lists the physical address of the facility
N/A
$\square$ A written list of services and prices for all services available in the reception area yes

Page 1 of 2

- Hours of operation allowed between 6 am and 10 pm yes
- Confirm the name of the business owner is the name of the person who holds or applied for the license
- Location is in a zone district that allows the spa/massage facility
yEs

BMCC 7-1912. Inspections

- Conspicuous display of each massage therapists' license from the State of MT N6
- Upon request, open all locked cabinet, storage areas or rooms for inspection yEs
Inspector Notes:
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Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
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Therapeutic Massage (within 4 weeks)(Code

## Info

Treatment
Therapeutic Massage (within 4 weeks)(Code
M79.1)
May 04, 2022 @ 1:15pm (90 min.)

## Practitioner

 Kyle BuffingtonConsent
Obtained

Snapshots as of Jan 19, 2023

Conditions
Area of Complaint
Left Side of Low Back - it switches between left and right side
Right Side of Neck - typically my right side is worse then the left
Right Side of Low Back - its switches between left and right side
Right Shoulder - always a problem spot back by the shoulder blade
Family History
Arthritis
Injuries
Uncategorized
Injuries - none specific

Medications
Uncategorized
Medications - just supplements

Surgeries
Uncategorized
Surgeries - none

Subjective
my body hurts

Objective
No objective data recorded.

Analysis
No analysis provided

Plan
No plan created

Kyle Buffington

The Massage Company
1311 11th St. W
Billings, Montana 59102
406-702-1128
406-318-4072
billingsmassagetherapists@gmail.com

## About You

| First Name | Last Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| Theresa | Vondra |

Email Address
thopkins.balanceandharmony@gmail.com

| Home Phone |  | Work Phone | Mobile Phone |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( ) |  | ( ) | 40602084072 |  |  |
| Address |  | City |  | Prov. | Postal Code |
| 321 Prospectors Ln |  | Billings |  | Montana | 59105 |
| Source Of Referral | Date Of Birth | Gender | Occupation |  |  |
|  | 01 Mar 1986 | Female | you know |  |  |

Primary Care Info

| Doctor's Name | Doctor's Phone | Doctor's Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Emergency Contact
Emergency Contact Name

## Andrew Sanders

4068761770
Relationship
my man

## Other Items

## Medical Info

Primary Complaint
my body hurts

General Health
good

Current Treatment

Past Treatment (from other practitioners)

Medications
just supplements

Injuries
none specific

Surgeries
none

Additional Info

## Insurance Info

Insurer's Name

Adjuster's Name

Policy Number

Office Address

Unit \#

City

## Conditions

COVID-19 Questionnaire (Check Appropriate Boxes to Comment Below)
$\square$ Have you tested positive for COVID-19?

## Area of Complaint




Headaches
$\square$ Migraines
$\square$ Rebound
$\square$ Tension

Cardiovascular
$\square$ Heart Attack
$\square$ Congenital Heart Defect
$\square$ Blood Clots
$\square$ Lymphedema
$\square$ Pericarditis
$\square$ Cardiovascular Accident

## Vondra_00005



| Musculoskeletal |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | Strain/Sprain | Hereditary/Congenital Deformity | Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) |
| $\square$ | Joint Injury | Muscular Dystrophy | Osteoporosis |
|  | Osgood-Schlatter Disease | Osteoarthritis | Myasthenia Gravis |
|  | Gout | Ankylosing Spondylitis | Osteomalacia |
|  | Tendonitis/Bursitis | Bone Disease | Sinus Problems |
|  | Paget Disease | Artificial Joints / Special Equipment | Compartment Syndrome |
|  | Psoriatic Arthritis | Dislocation | Fibromyalgia |
|  | Scleroderma | Scoliosis | Arthritis |
|  | Jaw Pain (TMJD) | Fracture |  |

## Gastrointestinal

Poor Appetite
Digestive Conditions
$\square$ Constipation

$\square$ Athletes Foot
$\square$ Hypersensitive Reactions
$\square$ Pigmentary Disorder
$\square$ Plantar's Wart
$\qquad$

## Hearing

$\square$ Conductive Hearingloss
$\square$ Tinnitus
$\square$ Hearing Loss

## Blood

## $\square$ Hypercoagulability

$\square$ Haemophilia
$\square$ Anemia
$\square \mathrm{HIV} /$ AIDS

## Kidney

$\square$ Renal Cysts
Urinary IncontinenceUrinary Tract Infection
$\square$ Bladder Disorder
Chronic Kidney Disease
-
$\qquad$
$\square$ Electrolyte Imbalance


Kidney Stones

## Reproductive

| $\square$ Menstrual Cycle Disorder | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Respiratory

$\square$ Chronic Cough
$\square$ Asthma
$\square$ Bronchitis
$\square$ Infectious Respiratory ConditionsCystic Fibrosis
$\qquad$

Pregnancy

Endometriosis

## Immune

$\qquad$

## Endocrine

| $\square$ Acute Pancreatitis | $\square$ | $\square$ Diabetes | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | Prostate Condition |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |

## Family History

$\checkmark$ Arthritis
$\square$ CardiovascularRespiratory

## Miscellaneous


$\square$ Other Diagnosed Diseases

## Massage Therapy Waiver and Consent Form

## Massage Therapy Waiver and Consent Form

I understand that the massage I receive is provided for the basic purpose of relaxations, stress reduction, and relief of muscular tension. I further understand that the massage should not be construed as a substitute for medical examination, diagnosis, or treatment and that I should see a physician, chiropractor, or other qualified medical specialist for mental or physical ailment that I am aware of.

I understand that massage therapists are not qualified to preform skeletal adjustments, diagnose and/or prescribe, and that nothing said in the course of the session should be construed as such.

Because massage is contraindicated under certain conditions, I affirm that I have stated all my known medical conditions and answered all questions honestly. I agree to keep the therapist updated as to any changes in my medical profile and understand that there shall be no liability on the therapist's part should I forget to do so.

I understand that I am reserving a spot and will give at least 24 hours notice for cancellations. If given less then 24 hour notice, I understand that I will be responsible for any late cancellation fees.

Agreed on December 31, 2020 at 1:14pm
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DANIEL T. WOISLAW,* Va. Bar No. 91180
Pacific Legal Foundation
3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
Telephone: (202) 888-6881
ALEXANDER J. SMITH,* NV \# 15484
Pacific Legal Foundation
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 419-7111
MARK MILLER,* FL \# 0094961
Pacific Legal Foundation
4440 PGA Boulevard Suite 307
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
Telephone: (561) 691-5000
ETHAN W. BLEVINS, Mont. Bar No. 37415893
Email: EBlevins@pacificlegal.org
Pacific Legal Foundation
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
Telephone: (206) 619-8944
Fax: (916) 419-7747
*Pro Hac Vice
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, et al.,
Plaintiffs, -vs-

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD
AFFIDAVIT OF THERESA VONDRA

I, Theresa Vondra, declare:
I am a state-licensed massage therapist and reside in Billings, Montana where I own, operate, and practice massage therapy at The Massage Company, located at 1311 11th St. W. in Billings, Montana. I've been practicing massage therapy for over 17 years. Before 2010, massage therapy did not require a license, so when I graduated from massage school in 2006, I obtained a private certificate through the National Certification Board for Therapeutic Massage and Bodywork-a private organization. In 2010, when the state license requirement went into effect, I was grandfathered in. Since then, I've renewed my license every 2 years by paying a fee and proving I completed continuing education credits.

Working for myself has been empowering and I am proud of the service that my business provides to our patients, whether they are suffering from chronic pain and injuries, or they just need to find some moments of peace in their lives. Because of the sensitive information that our patients share with us, their privacy and trust is essential. To avoid areas that might trigger past physical or psychological trauma, the intake process my company uses for new patients includes private details of our patients' personal lives. That information is stored in our electronic database and occasionally printed for patients to be able to share their treatment information with their attorneys, insurers, or other healthcare providers.

The Massage Company is separated into two distinct areas by a wall and door. The public lobby contains a waiting and reception space. Beyond that, the patient-and-employee-only area includes massage therapy rooms, an infrared sauna, closets, administrative space for the reception desk, lockers for employees to store personal property, and lockers for patients to store personal property.

At the reception desk is a computer with access to an electronic database where patient files containing private information are kept. The electronic files contain sensitive information, including a patient's prior injuries and trauma, which can be a combination of physical, sexual, or psychological, including how pain or injury affects the patient's activities of daily life; whether the patient has seen another massage therapist, physician, physical therapist, or chiropractor; a diagram depicting the bodily locations of pain or discomfort and the seriousness and type of each; the objectives a therapist and patient hope to achieve through massage therapy; the planned course of treatment; and assessments and notes by the massage therapist. All of this is associated with the patient's name, their massage therapist's name, the date, and duration of treatment.

The employee-only area of the business contains lockers that I have provided, which employees use to store their personal property during business hours. When I am at the business, I keep my purse in in one of these employee lockers.

The business also has a space in the back area containing lockers for patients to store their property as well. Adam Poulos, one of my regular patients, keeps property in a patient locker when receiving massage therapy treatments. His personal information is also stored in the electronic database. The dates, times, type of massage, and my name, are also recorded on a separate log of treatment for each of his sessions-though it does not include his name.

The Massage Company contains several closets, cabinets, file drawers, and an enclosed storage area outdoors that are not open to the public.

After receiving a City of Billings facility license, I began having my receptionist keep a separate physical log of massage therapy treatments containing the information required by Ordinance 21-5757 in the hopes that it would keep the City out of my electronic database since removing identifying information from the entries in that database would be difficult.

Dated: November $\qquad$ 2023.


## STATE OF Montana Yellowstore COUNTY, SS:

Personally appeared the above named THERESA VONDRA, and made oath that the above stated facts are true based on her own personal knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, that she believes this information to be true.


Notary Public
My Commission Expires: feb 26, 2024
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DANIEL T. WOISLAW,* Va. Bar No. 91180
Pacific Legal Foundation
3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
Telephone: (202) 888-6881

ALEXANDER J. SMITH,* NV \# 15484
Pacific Legal Foundation
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 419-7111
MARK MILLER,* FL \# 0094961
Pacific Legal Foundation
4440 PGA Boulevard Suite 307
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
Telephone: (561) 691-5000
ETHAN W. BLEVINS, Mont. Bar No. 37415893
Email: EBlevins@pacificlegal.org
Pacific Legal Foundation
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
Telephone: (206) 619-8944
Fax: (916) 419-7747
*Pro Hac Vice
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, et al.,
Plaintiffs, -VS-

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD
AFFIDAVIT OF DONNA PODOLAK

I, Donna Podolak, declare:
I began working as a massage therapist in December of 1999 after reading several books about its many healing benefits. This led me to attend massage school and begin a long and happy career as a solo practitioner treating people's aches, pains, injuries, and mental well-being with massage therapy. It wasn't until I had been practicing about a decade that Montana started requiring licenses, but I was grandfathered in around 2010 and have only been required by the state to submit education credits and a payment every couple of years to keep my license current.

I practice massage therapy out of my home apartment in an over-55 community located at 4125 Montana Sapphire Drive in the City of Billings. I welcome patients into my home by appointment only. Some of my patients are people who were injured during a car accident or at work and I bill through their insurances directly. Others include those seeking relaxation massages or neuromuscular work. I specialize, in particular, in neck, shoulders, and back pain.

As patients walk through the entryway door to my apartment, they can see into the living room, kitchen, and even the primary bedroom beyond those areas. Immediately to one side, as patients walk in, is a doorway to my massage room and office, which contains a massage table, chair, desk, file cabinet, closet, small stand, small freezer, and small table.

I use the closet within the massage therapy room to keep a massage chair, sheets, housecoats, towels, and patient files. The desk is for administrative work related to my business, including the drafting of insurance claims, and organization of patient files, and payment processing. The chair is for my patients to fill out information upon their arrival. The room also contains a copier and CD player. The small stand is for massage therapy items, including oils, patient notes, and towels. The chair is where patients leave their clothes and personal belongings when they undress to their comfort level before getting under the sheet on the massage therapy table.

The file cabinet and closet within the massage therapy room both contain sensitive and identifying information for my patients. At any given time, the desk might contain this information as I work on processing files, claims, and payments for my patients, or generating and organizing other business-related files.

Directly across the hall from the massage room is a bathroom that my patients use. My patients will also sometimes get a glass of water from the kitchen. In addition to patient files, I keep a separate physical log of massage therapy containing the information required by Ordinance 21-5757.

I only take patients by appointment. I do not accept walk-ins and no portion of my home is generally open to the public. I do not have any public lobby or waiting
area for patients to schedule appointments in the way that a commercial property would.

I am offended by the City of Billings law that treats me like a criminal or sex worker. I am a massage therapist and proud of the work I do helping people with physical and mental injuries. Relaxation is one of the most healings things you can do, and I should not have to let the government into my home to continue this important work.

Dated: November $/ \ell, 2023$.



Personally appeared the above named DONNA PODOLAK, and made oath that the above stated facts are true based on her own personal knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, that she believes this information to be true.


My Commission Expires: 7-2-27

## EXHIBIT 23

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

DANIEL T. WOISLAW,* Va. Bar No. 91180<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000<br>Arlington, VA 22201<br>Telephone: (202) 888-6881<br>ALEXANDER J. SMITH,* NV \# 15484<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290<br>Sacramento, CA 95814<br>Telephone: (916) 419-7111<br>MARK MILLER,* FL \# 0094961<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>4440 PGA Boulevard Suite 307<br>Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410<br>Telephone: (561) 691-5000<br>ETHAN W. BLEVINS, Mont. Bar No. 37415893<br>Email: EBlevins@pacificlegal.org<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>839 W 3600 S<br>Bountiful, UT 84010<br>Telephone: (206) 619-8944<br>Fax: (916) 419-7747<br>*Pro Hac Vice<br>Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA <br> BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
-vs-
CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD
AFFIDAVIT OF LYNDA LARVIE

I, Lynda Larvie, declare:
I am a state-licensed massage therapist and own a physical property located at 629 Grand Ave in Billings, Montana where I have operated Bella Spa: Mind Body Spirit for 18 years as a solo practitioner of massage therapy.

I began practicing massage therapy over 23 years ago and am fulfilled by the experience of helping people with pain and injuries enjoy a better quality of life. Massage is not just a livelihood for me, but a calling. Sometimes I'm the last resource people who suffer from chronic pain have and it is my life's work and passion to help them. I take new patients only through referrals, typically from physicians, chiropractors, and other patients. My practice is strictly limited to therapeutic massage. People do not come to me for "foo-foo" relaxation massages. Instead, I use deep-tissue and neuromuscular techniques. My patients tend to be elderly, those suffering from injuries, or people with physically demanding jobs. While the massage therapy I do might not be comfortable, it helps people and I'm proud of that. Some of my patients jokingly complain that I'm "the meanest woman in Montana" because of how intensive deep-tissue and neuromuscular massage therapy can be.

Some of my patients pay for my services through Federal Spending Accounts or Health Savings Accounts, and I used to bill insurance directly, but it became too
burdensome to continue. Now, many of my patients seek reimbursement from their medical insurers themselves after receiving their bills.

My business includes a waiting area, massage room, and a desk with drawers that I use for administrative work related to my business. The massage room is only open to me and my patients with appointments. The desk and its drawers are for my use alone. I keep patient files containing private information related to massage therapy treatments in a filing cabinet in a closet within my business. The massage room and contents of my desk are not open to the public.

When the massage ordinance went into effect, I became concerned about the privacy of my patients and my property. Several of my patients told me that they were upset, too. One thing that worried me was how the government could look through my patients' treatment files. Because of this, I started keeping a separate log with the information required by that law because separating patients' identifying information from the files would be difficult.

The Montana Board of Massage Therapy issued me an occupational license as a massage therapist in 2010 under the grandfather clause of the law when it went into effect. My only interaction with the Board has been to renew my license by certifying that I've completed continuing education credits and paying a fee every other year. The Massage Board has never inspected my business. In fact, I've never heard of them ever inspecting anyone's business.

Dated: November H, 2023. $\frac{\text { Innda }}{\text { Lynd Larvie }}$ Lurvie

Personally appeared the above named LYNDA LARVIE, and made oath that the above stated facts are true based on her own personal knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, that she believes this information to be true.


Notary Public
My Commission Expires: Jan. 30, 2027

## EXHIBIT 24

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

DANIEL T. WOISLAW,* Va. Bar No. 91180<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>3100 Clarendon Blvd., Suite 1000<br>Arlington, VA 22201<br>Telephone: (202) 888-6881<br>ALEXANDER J. SMITH,* NV \# 15484<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290<br>Sacramento, CA 95814<br>Telephone: (916) 419-7111<br>MARK MILLER,* FL \# 0094961<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>4440 PGA Boulevard Suite 307<br>Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410<br>Telephone: (561) 691-5000<br>ETHAN W. BLEVINS, Mont. Bar No. 37415893<br>Email: EBlevins@pacificlegal.org<br>Pacific Legal Foundation<br>839 W 3600 S<br>Bountiful, UT 84010<br>Telephone: (206) 619-8944<br>Fax: (916) 419-7747<br>*Pro Hac Vice<br>Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA <br> BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, et al.,
Plaintiffs, -vs-

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

AFFIDAVIT OF ADAM POULOS

## I, Adam Poulos, declare:

I am a regular patient of Theresa Vondra's and have been receiving massage therapy treatments from her at The Massage Company in the City of Billings since October of 2017 following an accident during which I suffered a physical injury to my neck.

When I attend my appointments at The Massage Company, I regularly store my wallet, pocket knife, keys, and other personal effects in the lockers provided for patients in the back area of the business.

I understand that the times of my appointments and the name of my massage therapist are recorded in a log that The Massage Company is required to keep under the City of Billings' massage ordinance.

Dated: November 17, 2023.

state of Monteena
yelowstone COUNTY, SS:

Personally appeared the above named ADAM POULOS, and made oath that the above stated facts are true based on his own personal knowledge, information, or belief; and, so far as upon information and belief, that he believes this information to be true.


My Commission Expires: feb 12025

## EXHIBIT 25

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

DANIEL T. WOISLAW*
Pacific Legal Foundation
Va. Bar No. 91180
3100 Clarendon Blvd, Ste 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
Tel: (202) 888-6881
DWoislaw@pacificlegal.org
ETHAN W. BLEVINS
Pacific Legal Foundation
Mont. Bar No. 37415893
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
Tel: (206) 619-8944
Fax: (916) 419-7747
EBlevins@pacificlegal.org
BRIAN T. HODGES*
Pacific Legal Foundation
WSBA \# 31976
255 South King Street, Suite 800
Seattle, WA 98104
BHodges@pacificlegal.org

* ro ac ice

Attorneys for Defendants

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA BILLINGS DIVISION

THERESA VONDRA, et al.
Plaintiffs,
-vs-

CITY OF BILLINGS,
Defendant.

Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

LAINTIFF THERESA VONDRA S RES ONSE TO DEFENDANT CIT OF BILLINGS FIRST DISCOVER RE UESTS





INTERROGATOR NO Please identify and describe in detail any and all inspections of your business that you contend were done pursuant to the Ordinance. For each and every such inspection, please provide the following information: (1) the date or approximate date, time, and duration; (2) the identity and any known contact information of the individual or entity involved in the inspection;
(3) a description of what was said and done by the individuals or entities involved in the inspection and what was inspected; (4) information about where you were and
where the individual or entity inspecting your business was during the inspection; (5) anything you said or did in response to the inspection; and (6) a description of any documents in your possession documenting or relating in any way to the inspection.

ANS ER Plaintiff res onds on infor ation and elieft at er siness $e$ assa $e$ any asins ectedon onday arc ea oint ent as set for $\quad a$ and $s$ Vondra recei ed a call fro er rece tionist indicatin $t$ at $e$ ity ins ectors ere already resent at $e$ siness at $a \quad s$ Vondra as not resent $t$ rried to $t$ e office to eet $t$ eins ectors oco rised one ale and one fe ale fro $t e$ ity $s$ ode nforce ent de art ent $t \quad s$ Vondra does not recall t eir na es $\quad s$ Vondra does not elie e anyone as resent ot er $t$ an $t e$ ins ectors $t e$ siness rece tionist $i$ ayla ester ec and once $s e$ arri ed $\quad s$ Vondra erself $e$ ity ie ed $t$ e licenses of eac $t$ era ist on staff too oto ra $s$ al ed tro $t$ e office and o ened so ee loyee loc ers





## INTERROGATOR NO Please describe in detail the Vondra

 Inspection, including, but not limited to, listing all clients who were at the business at the time, listing all employees or independent contractors who were present at the business at the time, and providing a list of all documents and property specifically inspected by the City and the scrutiny given each document or piece of property.ANS ER Plaintiffo ects to nterro atory $o$ on $t e$ ro nds tat it is a e o er road and see s infor ation o tside Plaintiffs no led e c stody ossession or control ot it standin $t$ ese $o$ ections Plaintiff res onds on infor ation and elieft at t ere ere no atients or clients of er siness resent $d$ rin $t e V o n d r a n s$ ection and $t$ at only Plaintiff er rece tionist $i$ ayla ester ec and a for er e loyee na ed Delaney ar ono ere resent aside fro $t$ e officers fro $t e$ ity $e$ ityloo ed at $t$ e licenses of eac $t$ era ist on staff too otos oft $e$ and al ed tro te ole office ta in otos alon $t e$ ay eofficers also loo ed inside liti le loc ers ta in ict res

DATED this 31st day of March, 2023.

# PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION 

By: s Daniel T. Woislaw
DANIEL T. WOISLAW*
Va. Bar No. 91180
3100 Clarendon Blvd, Ste 1000
Arlington, VA 22201
Tel: (202) 888-6881
DWoislaw@pacificlegal.org

ETHAN W. BLEVINS
Mont. Bar No. 37415893
839 W 3600 S
Bountiful, UT 84010
Tel: (206) 619-8944
Fax: (916) 419-7747
EBlevins@pacificlegal.org

Brian T. Hodges*
WSBA \# 31976
255 South King Street, Suite 800
Seattle, WA 98104
BHodges@pacificlegal.org

* ro ac ice

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

## VERIFICATION

## STATE OF MONTANA

County of Yellowstone
Theresa Vondra, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I have read Plaintiff Theresa Vondra's Response to Defendant City of Billings' First Discovery Requests ("Response").
2. That I believe the facts stated in this Response are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.


SWORN AND SUBSCRIBED before me, a Notary Public, in and for the State and County, on this $\qquad$ day of March, 2023.


My Commission
Expires: $1 / 101 / 2026$

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 31st day of March, 2023, a copy of the foregoing was served upon the following by emailing a true and correct copy thereof addressed to:

Gerry Fagan,
Gerry.Fagan@moultonbellingham.com
Stephanie Baucus,
Stephanie.Baucus@moultonbellingham.com

By: s Daniel T. Woislaw<br>Daniel T. Woislaw

## EXHIBIT <br> 26

Vondra, et al., v. City of Billings
Case No. CV 22-30-BLG-KLD

# City Council Work Session 

Virtual Meeting - 5:30 PM
Tuesday, January 19th, 2021

## ATTENDANCE:

Mayor/Council (please check) X Cole, X Shaw, X Yakawich, X Neese, X Ewalt, X Joy, X Choriki, X Purinton, X Ronning, X Boyett, X Brown CM excused: None

ADJOURN TIME: 11:28 PM

| Agenda |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Legislative Update |
| PRESENTER | Chris Kukulski, City Administrator |
| NOTES/OUTCOME |  |

- Chris Kukulski: Provided an update of Legislative topics concerning the City.

| TOPIC \#1 | COVID-19 Update by Unified Incident Command |
| :--- | :--- |
| PRESENTERS | John Felton, Yellowstone County Health Officer |
| NOTES/OUTCOME |  |

- John Felton: Gave updated numbers of in-patient numbers, COVID positive numbers, and vaccine availability.
- Council discussed various vaccine manufacturers, and vaccination updates.
- Public Comment:
- Ellen Mellor,

Billings, MT 59102, stated she was recently diagnosed with COVID-19 and was getting her care through RiverStone Health. She stated the community had reacted very negatively toward RiverStone and she stated she has had nothing but the best care from their staff.

| TOPIC \#2 | MDT 27 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street Railroad Crossing Study Alternatives |
| :--- | :--- |
| PRESENTERS | Wyeth Friday, Planning Director <br> Debi Meling, Public Works Director <br> Rod Nelson, MDT Representative <br> Tim Erickson, HDR Engineering |
| NOTES/OUTCOME |  |

- Debi Meling: Gave a history and named several projects that are coming up.
- Rod Nelson: Stated it was time for the community to provide comments on the study.
- Tim Erickson: Explained that the railroad crossing at the $27^{\text {th }}$ Street was the busiest railroad crossing in the State. He stated that in 2016-2018 there were 36 trains per day and 6 switching trains per day. He stated most of the weekday wait times were about 6 minutes and weekend was about 4 minutes. He discussed short term alternatives, over and/or under $27^{\text {th }}$ Street options, costs, and long-term alternatives. He reviewed the community outreach meetings and participation results.
- Councilmember Yakawich indicated $21^{\text {st }}$ Street needed improvements and may be a good option for the project. Ms. Meling indicated there were challenges to developing $21^{\text {st }}$ Street due to depth issues.
- Council discussed costs of each option, impact studies, traffic and pedestrian accident results, economic impacts and first responder access.
- Public Comment:
- Paul Schoemer, , Billings, MT, stated he was not in favor of an underpass and stated it was prohibitive. He preferred a 4-lane overpass. He stated it was very important that EMS services be able to get to their destinations and not be hindered by waiting for trains.
- Eric Schmidt, Billings, MT, stated he was the Chair for Yellowstone Valley Citizens Council, and they were not in support of either option given. It would severely impact the area, neighborhoods and pedestrians. The YVCC would support a non-build option and asked that Council move for more options to be considered.
- Shaw: Moved to direct staff to work on tunnel option only, seconded by Ronning.
- Ms. Meling indicated MDT would be given that feedback.
- Boyett: Made a substitute motion to continue to research all options, seconded by Councilmember Purinton.
- Wyeth Friday, Planning Director, spoke of various routing and signage options that could be implemented. He reminded Council of the $27^{\text {th }}$ Street pedestrian project that had been way over budget. He indicated DBP staff had indicated concern with an overpass.

Council consensus: continue researching options for build options and impacts involved.

Recess at 7:27 PM. Reconvene at 7:38 PM.

| TOPIC \#3 | Massage Therapy Business License Ordinance |
| :--- | :--- |
| PRESENTER | Chris Kukulski, City Administrator <br> Gina Dahl, Interim City Attorney <br> Stephanie Baucus, Yellowstone County Area Human Trafficking Task <br> Force |
| NOTES/OUTCOME |  |

- Chris Kukulski gave a history of the 2017 Initiative. He indicated the 2018 working group developed a draft after reviewing dozens of ordinances.
- Stephanie Baucus reviewed the issues Illicit Massage Businesses (IMB's) had caused, how to identify, FBI statistics showed the City at a much higher number of IMB's due to website advertisements. She reviewed money made through IMB's and crimes involved, why they were hard to shut down, illicit websites and advertisements. - Chris: reviewed various Massage Facilities Business License Ordinances from other cities, and proposed changes to the Business License Ordinance application process. He explained how the ordinance would help regulate legitimate businesses and discourage / disrupt illegal activity.
- Gina Dahl gave an overview of renewal of licenses, and denial, revocation or suspension of licenses, code enforcement involvement, violation/penalties, and affirmative defenses.

Council discussed how home massage businesses would be affected by the proposed business license ordinance. Gina Dahl indicated additional language could be added to address the issue.

Chris: indicated there had been a language requirement outlining illicit acts, but it had been requested that the requirement be removed.

Gina: explained the process of drafting the ordinance, various meetings, and people involved in the process. She indicated several massage therapists had attended and staff had tried addressing issues as best as possible.

Ronning: reviewed the meetings that had occurred, and the people involved in the ordinance drafting.

Chief St. John: explained the Police departments role in policing the illicit businesses and how they would provide support for Code Enforcement.

Council asked for clarification on how the ordinance would affect landlords.

Gina: staff was working on adding something that could require a notarized statement signed by landlord ensuring appropriate conduct and compliance with the law.

## Public Comment:

- Teresa Thormahlen, $\qquad$ Billings, MT, asked about revenue generated by business licenses to help fund the departments needed to address the issue. She indicated a tax on all residents would be fairer.
- Brittney Homer, , Billings, MT, spoke in support of the ordinance.

```
- Linda Nielsen, , Billings, MT, spoke in favor of the
``` ordinance and how it could help end human trafficking.
- Carey Fleming, no address given, Billings, MT, spoke of the ease of having fingerprints and background checks done and indicated it was worth the inconvenience for helping victims of human trafficking.
- Deanna Young, Billings, MT, spoke against the ordinance.
- Melanie Tripp, Human Trafficking Task Force, spoke in support of the ordinance.
- Lynda Larvie, , Billings, MT, explained medical terminology terms used by massage therapists and spoke against the ordinance.
- Elizabeth Olivo, , Billings, MT, spoke in favor of the ordinance.
- Joe Olivo, Billings, MT, spoke in support of the ordinance. - Jennifer Roth, , Billings, MT, spoke about the lack of representation for License Massage Therapist (LMT's). She spoke against the ordinance.
- Tiffany Wardell,
 Billings, MT, spoke in favor of the ordinance.
 ordinance.
- Eric Hart, Billings, MT, spoke against the ordinance. - Zach Jokela, ,Billings, MT, indicated the ordinance had too many loopholes and suggested expanding it to include all licensed businesses. - Desta Fix, , Billings, MT, spoke against the ordinance. - Alex Jokela, , Billings, MT, spoke against the ordinance.
- Theresa Vondra, no address given, Billings, MT, spoke against the ordinance.

Councilmember Ewalt suggested continuing the discussion to a future Work Session.
Council discussed limiting public comment to 1 minute.
Council discussed moving Consent Agenda Item's \#4 and \#5 to a future Work Session.
Councilmember Choriki made a motion to remove Consent Agenda Item's \#4 and \#5 from the agenda and add them to a future Work Session, seconded by Councilmember Boyett. Approved unanimously.

Council suggested allowing Special FBI Agent, Brandon Walter to speak.
Brandon Walter, FBI Special Agent, spoke of the Illicit Massage Businesses in Billings, gave an overview of the issues Law Enforcement had with establishing a case against the businesses. He spoke of how Billings was being advertised as an easy place to operate an IMB and the resources available.

Council discussed how IMB's were currently being handled and how the ordinance could help address the issues.

\section*{Public comment continued:}
- Deborah Kimmet, Missoula, MT, massage advocacy group member, spoke of the issues with the ordinance and indicated there were other ways to address the issue. - Caleb Scotson, no address given, Billings, MT, indicated he did not think the ordinance would be sufficient in solving the IMB issue.
- Nicole Holm-Mikkelson, no address given, Billings, MT, spoke against the ordinance.
- Susan Carlson, , Billings, MT, indicated there was another way to end the human trafficking sex trade and di not support the ordinance.
- Skelly Adkins, no address given, Billings, MT, indicated illegal businesses would go elsewhere and did not support the ordinance.

Councilmember Yakawich made a motion to direct staff to place the topic on a future business meeting, seconded by Councilmember Ronning.

Recess at 10:24 PM. Reconvene at 10:30 PM.
Mayor Cole: asked staff to address questions asked during public comment.
Chris: will not receive additional money to cover enforcement from this ordinance.
Gina: Section regarding locks; exterior doors remain unlocked during sessions, some exceptions listed. Exceptions may be granted by license administrator.

Stephanie: Special Agent Walter cannot comment on ethical question but very clear it will reduce IBM's. Other cities have seen reduction. She addressed fraudulent licensing and encouraged Council to address the issues with IBM's.

Council discussed how code enforcement would address various issues, the City website complaint map, and ordinance language. Councilmember Ronning spoke the specific requirements of current City Code regarding hours of operation, clothing, etc.

Wyeth: indicated those items were not currently in City Code and adding them would help provide a way to enforce compliance.

Council spoke of how to provide changes to the draft ordinance, and the need for a work session or a subcommittee for further discussion.

Councilmember Ewalt: substitute motion to bring topic back to a future work session, seconded by Councilmember Purinton.

Councilmember Neese called the question. Approved unanimously.
Substitute motion: Failed 3-8, Councilmembers Shaw, Yakawich, Neese, Joy, Ronning, Boyett, Brown and Mayor Cole

Councilmember Neese called the question. Approved unanimously.
Original Motion: bring ordinance back to a business meeting after proposed edits have been received. Approved 9-2, Councilmembers Purinton and Ewalt opposed.

Councilmember Neese suggested having a few Councilmembers work with staff to compile proposed edits. Mayor Cole, Councilmembers Purinton, Choriki and Ronning volunteered.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline FOPIC \#4 & Human Relations-Commission Annual Report \\
\hline PRESENTER & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Kody Christensen-Linton, Downtown Billings Alliance, Resource \\
Outreach Coordinator
\end{tabular} \\
\hline NOTES/OUTCOME & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline FOPIC \#5 & Yellowstone County HazMat Response Plan - Updated \\
\hline PRESENTER & \begin{tabular}{l} 
K. C. Williams, Yellowstone County Disaster and Emergency Services \\
Director
\end{tabular} \\
\hline NOTES/OUTCOME & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline TOPIC \#6 & Council Discussion \\
\hline PRESENTER & \\
\hline NOTES/OUTCOME & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- Ewalt: hold meetings in the Community Room of the Library. Chris Kukulski: Gavin is researching. Equipment needed. Mayor Cole: need written protocols regarding public participation and safety. Several Councilmembers indicated a desire to meet in person.
- Ronning: email headshots to wynnette for Council picture? Chris: correct.
- Choriki: too early to relax about Covid numbers.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline TOPIC \#7 & Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda \\
\hline PRESENTER & \\
\hline NOTES/OUTCOME & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- None```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Plaintiffs also ask for nominal damages and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ (Exh. 4, City 705).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ As was City Attorney Gina Dahl when she described the regulations imposed by the Ordinance as "nominal." (City 463).

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Home-practitioners are exempted.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ (Exh. 11, 12:4-13:7).
    ${ }^{6}$ See Dewey, 452 U.S. at 603 (safety hazards at underground mines could be covered up if no unannounced search power).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ U.S. Const. amend. IV.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ohio v. Robinette, 519 U.S. 33, 40 (1996).

[^6]:    *COVID-19 has changed the way the Planning and Community Services Department is conducting business. Our offices are open to the public utilizing masks and social distancing. Please visit our Department and Division webpages for more information at this link: https://www.ci.billings.mt.us/103/Planning-Community-Services

[^7]:    COVID-19 has changed the way the Planning and Community Services Department is conducting business. Our offices are open to the public utilizing masks and social distancing. Please visit our Department and Division webpages for more information at this link: https://www.ci.billings.mt.us/103/Planning-Community-Services

    City of Billings email messages and attachments are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 9) and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 6, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information such as information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

[^8]:    *COVID-19 has changed the way the Planning and Community Services Department is conducting business. Our offices are open to the public utilizing masks and social distancing. Please visit our Department and Division webpages for more
    information at this link: https://www.ci.billings.mt.us/103/Planning-Community-Services
    City of Billings email messages and attachments are subject to the Right to Know provisions of Montana's Constitution (Art. II, Sec. 9) and may be considered a "public record" pursuant to Title 2, Chapter 6, Montana Code Annotated. As such, this email, its sender and receiver, and the contents may be available for public disclosure and will be retained pursuant to the City's record retention policies. Emails that contain confidential information such as information related to individual privacy may be protected from disclosure under law. This message is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately, do not forward the message to anyone, and delete all copies. Thank you.

[^9]:    Use investigative software : Transunion TLO or Reuters Clear - Heyrick research data warehouse

