Christina M. Martin, OSB #084117 Lead Counsel CMartin@pacificlegal.org Pacific Legal Foundation 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 419-7111

Andrew R. Quinio, Cal. Bar No. 288101* AQuinio@pacificlegal.org Joshua P. Thompson, Cal. Bar No. 250955* JThompson@pacificlegal.org Pacific Legal Foundation 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 419-7111

Attorneys for Plaintiffs * pro hac vice motions forthcoming

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

MEDFORD DIVISION

TYLER LYNN,

Case No. 1:24-cv-211

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY

AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff,

v.

MELISSA GOFF, in her official capacity as Interim Executive Director of the Oregon Teacher Standards and Practices Commission,

Defendant.

INTRODUCTION

1. Tyler Lynn has been an Oregon public school teacher for over 20 years. He is bilingual and teaches all levels of Spanish. He has also taught English language development to students whose first language is not English. Mr. Lynn is beloved by his students and colleagues.

Complaint

Case 1:24-cv-00211-CL Document 1 Filed 02/01/24 Page 2 of 11

He welcomes students of all backgrounds into his classroom and is dedicated to giving each of them an enriching environment where they can learn and develop a new language and gain an appreciation for other cultures. The respect he gives his class doesn't just come from his solemn duty as a public school teacher to protect his students' constitutional rights, but also from his fundamental belief in treating his students fairly and with dignity.

2. Oregon could use a lesson from Mr. Lynn. Unlike how Mr. Lynn treats his students, Oregon treats its teachers differently based on their race. The state's Diversity License Reimbursement Program reimburses select teachers for the cost of obtaining or renewing their teaching licenses. But Mr. Lynn is not eligible since the program only reimburses "diverse" teachers. Despite a career dedicated to teaching a foreign language and educating about other cultures, Mr. Lynn does not qualify as "diverse" under Oregon law. Consequently, when Mr. Lynn tried to apply to the program to cover the costs of renewing his license, he was denied.

3. Oregon's discriminatory treatment of long-serving and devoted teachers like Mr. Lynn is particularly problematic in a state where teachers are in high demand and schools struggle to retain their staff. Bilingual teachers are in especially short supply. Despite the difference that Mr. Lynn is making—including in expanding diversity and increasing his students' appreciation for other cultures—the state denies him a benefit that would help offset expenses for teachers like him. And the state denies him that benefit solely because he has the wrong skin color.

4. As Mr. Lynn has always stood up for fairness and equality, he is taking a stand for the right to be treated equally in the state's Diversity License Reimbursement Program. In doing so through this lawsuit, he is continuing an essential lesson for his students that the government must treat individuals equally and fairly, regardless of their race.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This action arises under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court has jurisdiction over these federal claims under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question) and 1343(a) (redress for deprivation of civil rights). Declaratory relief is authorized by the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

6. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendant is a resident of this judicial district and the state of Oregon. Venue is also proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C.
§ 1391(b) because the events giving rise to the claim occurred or will occur in this district.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Tyler Lynn is an individual who resides in Eagle Point, Oregon. Mr. Lynn is classified as white by Oregon law. He attempted to apply for the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program on or about October 23, 2023. Mr. Lynn is able and ready to apply for the reimbursement program if his race and ethnicity were not a bar to applying.

8. Defendant Melissa Goff is the Interim Executive Director of the Oregon Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (TSPC). TSPC is an agency of the state of Oregon which licenses and establishes proficiency standards for state educators. It consists of 17 members who are appointed by the Governor. TSPC appoints an Executive Director to provide services as the commission requires. As the Interim Executive Director of TSPC, Ms. Goff is responsible for providing guidance on and oversight of TSPC programs and possesses final policy-making authority. Ms. Goff is being sued in her official capacity.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program

9. On May 16, 2019, the Oregon governor approved HB 3427, the "Student Success Act." The Act enacted Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws, which directed the state

Case 1:24-cv-00211-CL Document 1 Filed 02/01/24 Page 4 of 11

Department of Education and the Educator Advancement Council to consult with TSPC and a variety of other education stakeholders to develop plans for programs and initiatives for teacher professional development. The goals for these plans included increasing educator retention and diversity.

10. TSPC then created the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program. TSPC established the criteria and funding for the program by adopting Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121.

11. Under the program, TSPC will reimburse teachers, administrators, and personnel for the cost of seeking, obtaining, and renewing their licenses.

12. To be eligible for reimbursement under the program, a teacher must have submitted an application and fee, as well as incurred eligible expenses for obtaining or renewing a teaching license between July 1, 2020, and June 30, 2024.

13. Eligible expenses include the cost for license fees, fingerprinting, transcripts, or other expenses as approved by the TSPC Executive Director. Applicants cannot have received a reimbursement for their costs elsewhere.

14. Not every teacher who has incurred license fees and expenses is eligible for reimbursement. To obtain a reimbursement, a teacher must be "of diverse origins or language," as defined in section 342.433 of Oregon Revised Statutes.

15. Under section 342.433, "Diverse" means:

Culturally or linguistically diverse characteristics of a person, including:

(a) Origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa but is not Hispanic;

(b) Hispanic culture or origin, regardless of race;

- (c) Origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent or the Pacific Islands;
- (d) Origins in any of the original peoples of North America, including American Indians or Alaska Natives; or
- (e) A first language that is not English.

16. A true and correct copy of the program's eligibility criteria and application instructions are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A.

17. Because eligibility is based on race, a white applicant can receive a reimbursement only if their first language is not English. On the other hand, minority applicants are automatically eligible for reimbursement regardless of their first language.

18. TSPC asserted several reasons in the rules it promulgated for establishing the program's criteria and funding, explaining, "By lowering barriers, candidates will be encouraged to be licensed and part of Oregon's education workforce" Oregon Teacher Standards and Practices Commission, Temporary Administrative Order No. TSPC 6-2020 (November 12, 2020).

19. TSPC also asserted that the program would "mitigate the barriers educators of diverse backgrounds face to be licensed," and that without it, "there can be no lowering of barriers, thereby preventing the increased workforce diversity and better student outcomes." *Id.*, Temporary Administrative Order No. TSPC 1-2021 (May 15, 2021).

20. Furthermore, the program would "increase the diversity of the educator workforce so that it reflects the percentage of diverse students in Oregon." *Id.*, Permanent Administrator Order No. TPSC 16-2021 (November 10, 2021).

21. These reasons align with the state's goal set forth in section 342.437 of Oregon Revised Statutes to have "the percentage of diverse educators employed by a school district or an

Case 1:24-cv-00211-CL Document 1 Filed 02/01/24 Page 6 of 11

education service district reflect[] the percentage of diverse students in the public schools of this state or the percentage of diverse students in the district."

22. To apply for the program, applicants must complete the electronic Licensure Fees Reimbursement Request Form on the TSPC website. They must also submit receipts of their expenses and other required documentation to LicenseReimburse.tspc@tspc.oregon.gov, or upload them to their account on the TSPC eLicensing system.

23. TSPC has funding for the program from July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2024.

TSPC Prevents Mr. Lynn from Applying for a Reimbursement Because of His Race

24. Mr. Lynn is a public school teacher with the Eagle Point School District. He possesses a professional teaching license, which permits him to teach pre-kindergarten through twelfth grade classes in Oregon public school districts, education service districts, and charter schools. His license is valid for five years and renewable if he completes continuing professional development requirements.

25. On June 9, 2021, Mr. Lynn applied to renew his professional teaching license. He paid the \$182.00 application fee and a \$10.00 portal service fee for using the TSPC eLicensing portal to submit his application and other requisite documents, paying a total of \$192.00.

26. Mr. Lynn's professional teaching license is a type of license for which the fees and costs are eligible for reimbursement under TSPC's Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program.

27. But Mr. Lynn is not eligible to receive a reimbursement because Oregon does not consider him "diverse."

28. On October 23, 2023, Mr. Lynn initiated an application on the TSPC website for the reimbursement program. The first question on the application verified Mr. Lynn's eligibility

Case 1:24-cv-00211-CL Document 1 Filed 02/01/24 Page 7 of 11

for the program by asking him to confirm that he applied to renew his teaching license after July 1, 2020; that he incurred qualifying license expenses, such as a fee paid in eLicensing; that he had not been reimbursed for these costs elsewhere; that he had not received any prepaid test vouchers for these costs; and that he met the definition of a "diverse educator" under section 342.433 of the Oregon Revised Statutes.

29. Mr. Lynn was able to confirm that he satisfies each of the listed eligibility requirements except for the one that requires him to be a diverse educator. Mr. Lynn does not meet Oregon's definition of a diverse educator because he is not a racial minority and English is his first language. He thus indicated on the application he did not satisfy each of the requisites to be eligible for reimbursement:

	Joensure Fees Reimbursement Request Form (2023-24)
	Required
	Application Form for Reimbursement
1	. You are eligible when all items below apply to you.
	✓ I have applied for a teacher, administrator, or personnel service license, registration, or certificate or to add an endorsement or specialization or renewal on or after July 1, 2020. Note: Clinical Practices Clearance does not qualify as a license; however, the costs for clearance may quality for reimbursement when the applicant applies for a qualifying license.
	✓ I have incurred a qualifying license expense (for example, a fee paid in eLicensing, a fee paid to complete an ORELA, Praxis test, or the edTPA, or another qualifying expense) on or after 7/1/2020.
	 I meet the definition of "diverse educator" as defined in ORS 342.433. I have not been reimbursed for these costs elsewhere. I have not received any pre-paid test vouchers for these costs.
	Do all five of these above-listed items apply to you? *
	O Yes
	No.

30. As a result, the application informed Mr. Lynn that he did not qualify for the reimbursement program:

Request Form	s Reinbursement (2023-24)
* Required	
Program Q	ualification Questions
2. It appears questions f	that you don't qualify for the reimbursement program. Do you have any further or us? *
O Yes	
○ No	
Back	Submit
privacy or security Powered by Micros	ated by the owner of the form. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner. Microsoft is not responsible for the practices of its sustainment, including those of this form owner. Never give out your password with Forms (cm has not provided a privacy statement as to how they will use your response data. Do not provide personal or sensitive

- 31. Mr. Lynn would be eligible for reimbursement if he were a racial or ethnic minority.
- 32. To date, Mr. Lynn has not been reimbursed or otherwise received any funds from

the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

TSPC'S Race-Based Eligibility Requirements for License Reimbursement Violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment Through 42 U.S.C. § 1983

33. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

34. Those aggrieved by constitutional violations undertaken by state actors acting under color of law have a private right of action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

35. State actors and their agents, under the color of state law, have enacted and enforced, and will continue to enact and enforce, the state's eligibility preference for minority

Case 1:24-cv-00211-CL Document 1 Filed 02/01/24 Page 9 of 11

applicants for reimbursement of license fees and expenses, including reimbursements under the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program, Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121, and Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws. Defendant authorized, developed, and implemented the state's preference for minority applicants.

36. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that no state shall "deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws."

37. Oregon's eligibility preference for minority applicants for reimbursement of license fees and expenses, including reimbursements under the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program, Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121, and Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws, is subject to strict scrutiny.

38. Defendant does not have a compelling interest in providing an eligibility preference to minority applicants for reimbursement of license fees and expenses, including reimbursements under the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program, Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121, and Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws.

39. Defendant's eligibility preference for minority applicants for reimbursement of license fees and expenses, including reimbursements under the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program, Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121, and Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws, is not narrowly tailored to a compelling government interest.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- (a) Declare Oregon's eligibility preference for minority applicants for reimbursement of license fees and expenses, including reimbursements under the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program, Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121, and Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws unconstitutional under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- (b) Permanently enjoin Defendant and Defendant's officers, agents, affiliates, servants, successors, employees, and all other persons in active concert or participation with Defendant from enforcing or giving any effect to Oregon statutes, administrative rules, and any other policy, practice, or procedure that prefers minority applicants for reimbursement of license fees and expenses, including reimbursements under the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement Program, Oregon Administrative Rules 584-200-0120 and 584-200-0121, and Chapter 122, Section 48 of the 2019 Oregon Laws, and from otherwise discriminating on the basis of race in administering such reimbursement programs;
- (c) Issue an award of attorneys' fees and costs in this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d) and 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and
- (d) Provide such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: February 1, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew R. Quinio, Cal. Bar No. 288101* AQuinio@pacificlegal.org Joshua P. Thompson, Cal. Bar No. 250955* JThompson@pacificlegal.org Pacific Legal Foundation 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 419-7111 By <u>s/ Christina M. Martin</u> Christina M. Martin, OSB #084117 *Lead Counsel* CMartin@pacificlegal.org Pacific Legal Foundation 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 419-7111

Attorneys for Plaintiff Tyler Lynn * pro hac vice motions forthcoming

Diversity License Expense Reimbursements

Contents

Introduction	1
Am I eligible to apply?	1
What Costs are Covered?	2
Ineligible Expenses:	2
How to Request	2

Introduction

Thank you for your interest in applying for a Diversity License Expense Reimbursement (DLER). The Oregon Legislature, through the Student Success Act, has authorized TSPC to mitigate costs associated with TSPC licensure and assessments for racially, ethnically, and/or linguistically diverse teacher, administrator, and/or personnel service candidates, as well as current racially, ethnically, and/or linguistically diverse teachers, administrators, and/or personnel service providers renewing licenses or adding endorsements or specializations to their licenses. TSPC has funding for the program **from July 1, 2020, to June 30, 2024**.

The information below will guide you through the required steps to complete your request, as well as outline the items eligible for reimbursement.

Am I eligible to apply*?

You are eligible for a stipend of approved expenses leading to licensure for teaching, administration, or personnel service if you meet the following:

- If you submitted an application* and fee <u>and</u> incurred an eligible expense (see pg. 2) between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2024.
- If you are of diverse origins or language.
 - Under ORS 342.433, "Diverse" means culturally or linguistically diverse characteristics of a person, including:
 - Origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa but is not Hispanic;
 - Hispanic culture or origin, regardless of race;
 - Origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent or the Pacific Islands;
 - Origins in any of the original peoples of North America, including American Indians or Alaskan Natives; or
 - A first language that is not English.

^{*} **Note**: An application for a Clinical Practices Clearance is not an application for a license and does not qualify for eligibility under the DLER program until an application for licensure has been submitted.

What Costs are Covered?

Item	Documentation
TSPC license application fees	eLicensing receipt
Fingerprint costs to TSPC	eLicensing receipt
Fingerprint costs to FieldPrint	FieldPrint Receipt
Assessment costs-must be an	Receipt issued by testing company
assessment approved by the Commission	
or NASDTEC jurisdiction.	
Transcripts	Receipt issued by institution for official
	transcripts
Foreign transcript evaluation required for	Receipt issued by transcript evaluation service
application for a covered license	
Tuition for tutoring or remedial courses not	Receipt for professional tutoring services or for
otherwise eligible for financial aid that are	tuition paid to an accredited college or
necessary to achieve candidacy for licensure,	university and documentation from your
as determined by the educator preparation	educational institution stating that the tutoring
program.	or coursework was necessary to support
	licensure
IRS-reimbursable mileage costs from residence	Evidence of location of testing center. This
to testing center and back.	information will be compared to the address on
	file to confirm mileage.
Other expenses as approved by the Executive	Receipt of expense, plus written explanation of
Director.	the item.

Ineligible Expenses:

Please review OAR 584-200-0120 for a list of expenses that are ineligible for reimbursement. Note: The Teacher Standards and Practices Commission may suspend or revoke the license or registration of an educator, discipline an educator, or suspend or revoke the right of any person to apply for a license or registration, if the licensee, registrant or applicant knowingly makes any false statement in an application for reimbursement of licensure expenses.

How to Apply:

Please complete the **Licensure Fees Reimbursement Request Form** found on the Diversity License Expense Reimbursement page and submit receipts and any other required documentation to the following email address: LicenseReimburse.tspc@oregon.gov*. You may also upload receipts/ documents to the eLicensing system 'Documents' tab.

* Emails from the LicenseReimburse.tspc@oregon.gov may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Therefore, if you are not the addressee, or it appears from the context, or otherwise you have received an email in error, please advise us immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Case 1:24-cv-00211-6+viPocuret A-SHEier 02/01/24 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil do	. This form, approved by t	he Judicial Conference of	of the Unite	ed States in Septem					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Tyler Lynn		MELISSA GOFF, in her official capacity							
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Jackson (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Marion (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
(LA		1525)		NOTE: IN LAN THE TR	ND CONI	DEMNATION CASES, F LAND INVOLVED.		CATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Know	nown)				
	tin, Pacific Legal Fo , Sacramento, CA 9		apitol						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP O	F PRI	NCIPAL PART	TIES (Place	an "X" in One B	ox for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question		(.	For Diversity Cases C	Only) PTF	DEF	and On	e Box for Defend PT	· ·
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen	n of This State		1 Incorporate	ed <i>or</i> Principal ess In This Sta	l Place] 4] 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizer	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated <i>and</i> Principa of Business In Another					
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3	3 Foreign Na			6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FOI	RFEITURE/PENAL'		lick here for: <u>Natur</u> BANKRUPTCY	re of Suit C	Code Descript	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		Drug Related Seizure		422 Appeal 28 USC	158 3	75 False Claims	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC Other	881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	3	76 Qui Tam (31 3729(a))	USC
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/		Other		INTELLECTUA		00 State Reappo	ortionment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury				PROPERTY RIGH		10 Antitrust 30 Banks and B	anking
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			⊢	820 Copyrights 830 Patent		50 Commerce	alikilig
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	l			835 Patent - Abbrevi		60 Deportation 70 Racketeer In	fluenced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability				New Drug Appli 840 Trademark	cation	Corrupt Orga	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR Fair Labor Standards		880 Defend Trade Se	crets 4	80 Consumer Cr (15 USC 168	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	/10	Act	5	Act of 2016	4	85 Telephone C	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	720	Labor/Management		SOCIAL SECURIT		Protection A	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	740	Relations Railway Labor Act		861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923		90 Cable/Sat TV 50 Securities/Co	
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability		Family and Medical		863 DIWC/DIWW (4	405(g))	Exchange	A
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 790	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	on	864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g))		90 Other Statuto 91 Agricultural	-
210 Land Condemnation	× 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Employee Retiremen	nt 🗋		8	93 Environment	tal Matters
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act	t –	FEDERAL TAX SU 870 Taxes (U.S. Plain		95 Freedom of I Act	nformation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence	,			or Defendant)	8	96 Arbitration	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	530 General 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		871 IRS—Third Part 26 USC 7609	y 8	99 Administrativ Act/Review of	
290 All Other Real Property	Employment	Other:	462	Naturalization Applic	ication	20 030 7009		Agency Deci	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights	er 465	Other Immigration Actions			9	50 Constitutiona State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions				State Statutes	,
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of							
		Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in		D 110 -	- 4 D		c	16 - ()()		- 0 1 (1	
		Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinst Reope	ened An	ransferre nother D <i>pecify)</i>	District Liti	ltidistrict gation - nsfer	Litig	tidistrict gation - ect File
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 U.S.C. § 1983	atute under which you an	re filing (De) not cite jurisdiction	al statute	es unless diversity):			
	Brief description of ca	ause: lards and Practices Com	mission's ra	ice-based requirem	nents for	license reimbursemer	nt violate the	Equal Protection	on Clause.
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		MAND \$				nanded in com	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2					JURY DEM	AND:	Yes	No
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCKET NI IMD	FD		
		SIGNATURE OF AT	TODNEY	EDECORD		DOCKET NUMB	EK		
DATE Feb 1, 2024		s/ Christina M. Martir		KEUUKD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE	MA	AG. JUDGE		

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
District of Oregon						
TYLER LYNN)))					
Plaintiff(s) V.)) Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-211					
MELISSA GOFF, in her official capacity as Interim Executive Director of the Oregon Teacher Standards and Practices Commission,)))					
Defendant(s))					

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Melissa Goff

Teacher Standards and Practices Commission 250 Division St NE Salem, OR 97301-1012

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Christina M. Martin

Pacific Legal Foundation 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1290 Sacramento, California 95814

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-211

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (nam	ne of individual and title, if any)								
was re	ceived by me on (date)	·								
	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at <i>(place)</i>									
		; or								
	\Box I left the summons	at the individual's residence of	or usual place of abode with (name)							
	, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,									
	on <i>(date)</i> , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or									
	\Box I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)			, who is					
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)									
			on (date)	; or						
	\Box I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or					
	□ Other (specify):									
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.	00					
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this informati	on is true.							
Date:										
Dute.			Server's signature							
			Printed name and title							

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: