Case	3:25-cv-01808-BEN-DEB	Document 1	Filed 0	7/16/25	PageID.1	Page 1 of 20
1 2 3 4 5	LARRY SALZMAN, Email: lsalzman@pa <i>Local Southern Dist</i> Pacific Legal Founda 555 Capitol Mall, Su Sacramento, Califor Telephone: (916) 419 Facsimile: (916) 419	cificlegal.org <i>rict of Califor</i> ation uite 1290 nia 95814 9-7111				
6 7 8 9 10	HALEY DUTCH, Co Email: hdutch@pacir JACK E. BROWN, V Email: jbrown@pacir Pacific Legal Founda 3100 Clarendon Blv Arlington, Virginia 2 Telephone: (202) 888 Facsimile: (916) 419	ficlegal.org Va. Bar No. 9 ficlegal.org ation d., Suite 1000 22201 8-6881	4680*			
11 12	Attorneys for Plainti *pro hac vice applice	ffs ations forthco	oming			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
14	CALIFORNIANS F	OR EQUAL			N	O. '25CV1808 BEN DEB
15	RIGHTS FOUNDA	TION, a 501	(c)(3)	сомі	PLAINT F	
16	organization, and K			DECL	ARATOR	Y RELIEF
17		Plai	ntiffs,	AND	DAMAGE	S
18	v.					
19	UNIVERSITY OF (A			
20	BOARD OF REGEI UNIVERSITY OF (CALÍFORNL	A			
21	SAN DIEGO; PRAI KHOSLA, in his in	dividual and				
22	official capacity as UC San Diego; THI					
23	FOUNDATION, a sort organization, as ad	501(c)(3)				
24	BLACK ALUMNI S	SCHOLARSE	HP			
25	FUND; and ED SP individual and offic	ial capacity a	as			
26	Executive Chair of Scholarship Fund,	the Black Al	umni			
27		Defen	dants.			
28						
	Complaint		-		No	
			1			

1	INTRODUCTION				
2	1. Kai Peters came to UC San Diego as a transfer student with				
3	high hopes for his academic future.				
4	2. A junior pursuing his degree at one of California's premier				
5	public universities, Kai worked hard to earn his place at UCSD.				
6	3. Like many college students, he was eager to find scholarship				
7	opportunities to help offset his educational costs.				
8	4. But Kai soon discovered he was automatically excluded from				
9	one of the university's most prominent scholarship programs—not				
10	because of his grades, financial need, or career potential—solely because				
11	of his race.				
12	5. UCSD created that program, the Black Alumni Scholarship				
13	Fund (BASF), in 1983. When it was created, BASF was a state-run				
14	program that awarded scholarships based on race.				
15	6. UC San Diego continued to maintain this race-based				
16	scholarship program until 1998, two years after California voters				
17	overwhelmingly voted to pass Proposition 209, which prohibits				
18	discrimination in public education.				
19	7. UCSD found a way around the prohibition. It transferred				
20	BASF to an off-campus nonprofit, the San Diego Foundation. Though				
21	BASF is now nominally a private program, it still operates as a UCSD				
22	scholarship.				
23	8. UCSD conspires with BASF to award scholarships based on				
24	race through sleight of hand, in violation of the clear commands of the				
25	United States and California Constitutions to treat its students equally.				
26	9. The Californians for Equal Rights Foundation seeks to end				
27	this practice on behalf of its members—including Kai, UCSD students,				
28					

 $\mathbf{2}$

and high school students planning to apply to UCSD and seeking 1 scholarship assistance. 2 They ask this Court to vindicate a principle that has been 3 10. long enshrined in American law—that the government cannot outsource 4 racial discrimination to a private party. 5 6 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 11. This action arises under the Fourteenth Amendment to the 7 United States Constitution; federal civil rights statutes 42 U.S.C. 8 §§ 1981, 1985, and 2000d *et seq.*; and article I, section 31 of the 9 California Constitution. 10 12.This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action 11 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, because this action arises 12 under the Constitution and laws of the United States. 13 The Court has supplemental jurisdiction to adjudicate 13. 14 Plaintiffs' claims under article I, section 31 of the California 15 Constitution pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a). The events, parties, 16 witnesses, and injuries that form the basis of the U.S. constitutional and 17 federal civil rights claims are the same or related to the events, parties, 18 witnesses, and injuries that form the basis of the state constitutional 19 20 claims and derive from a common nucleus of operative facts. This Court has the authority to issue declaratory relief under 21 14. 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. 22 Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1)– 15.23 (2). The Defendants' domicile is within this district and a substantial 24 part of the events giving rise to this claim have occurred or will occur in 25 the Southern District of California. 26 /// 27 28

1	PARTIES					
2	<u>Plaintiffs</u>					
3	CFER and Its Members					
4	16. Californians for Equal Rights Foundation (CFER) is a					
5	nonprofit organization founded to defend the principle of equal rights.					
6	17. CFER has members who are college and high school students					
7	in California.					
8	18. Except for their race, these members would be eligible for the					
9	BASF scholarship.					
10	19. The CFER members are ready, willing, and able to apply for					
11	BASF, but the strict racial requirement renders such an application					
12	futile.					
13	20. Further, CFER members have not and will not receive					
14	invitations to apply for BASF, because they have not checked the					
15	"correct" race on their applications.					
16	21. CFER engages in grassroots campaigns and education to					
17	fight against racial and gender preferences in government programs					
18	throughout California.					
19	22. CFER has successfully fought for the principle of equality via					
20	the lawsuits brought against Alameda County and the San Diego					
21	Housing Commission. Both lawsuits ended with the repeal of					
22	unconstitutional laws.					
23	23. CFER brings this action on behalf of its members, who have					
24	been injured by Defendants' racially discriminatory practices, and in its					
25	own right due to the frustration of its mission and diversion of resources.					
26	CFER Member A					
27	24. CFER has one member who is an Indian-American junior at					
28	UCSD and a San Diego native.					
	Complaint No					

1	25. Member A applied to transfer to UCSD in the fall of 2023 and					
2	was accepted into the junior class for 2024.					
3	26. Member A did not indicate a race on the UCSD application					
4	and did not receive an application for BASF.					
5	27. Member A easily clears the academic requirements for a					
6	BASF scholarship.					
7	28. Thus, Member A is eligible for BASF but for the strict racial					
8	requirement.					
9	29. Member A is ready, willing, and able to apply for BASF and					
10	fulfill all non-racial participation requirements.					
11	30. Member A also would benefit greatly from BASF's grant of					
12	\$5,000 for transfer students, which can be used for books and other					
13	school expenses.					
14	CFER Member B					
15	31. CFER Member B is an Asian-American high school senior					
16	who lives in San Diego.					
17	32. She plans to apply to UC San Diego.					
18	33. But for the strict racial requirement, she would be eligible for					
19	the BASF scholarship.					
20	34. She is ready, willing, and able to apply for, participate in,					
21	and receive the BASF scholarship.					
22	CFER High School Members					
23	35. CFER also has multiple other Asian-American high school					
24	members who plan to apply to UCSD.					
25	36. CFER's high school members will seek scholarships to help					
26	defray the costs of tuition to UCSD.					
27	37. If not for the racial restriction, CFER's high school members					
28	would be eligible for the BASF scholarship.					
	Complaint No5					

1	38. CFER's high school members are ready, willing, and able to				
2	apply for, participate in, and receive the BASF scholarship.				
3	Kai Peters				
4	39. Kai Peters is a white Junior at UCSD.				
5	40. Kai listed his race on his UCSD application as				
6	White/Caucasian.				
7	41. Kai transferred to UCSD, but he did not receive an				
8	application for the BASF transfer scholarship.				
9	42. Given the opportunity, Kai would participate in both the				
10	financial and mentorship components of the scholarship.				
11	<u>Defendants</u>				
12	University of California Board of Regents				
13	43. The University of California Board of Regents is the				
14	governing board of the University of California system.				
15	44. The Board of Regents establishes university policy, makes				
16	decisions determining student admissions and cost of attendance,				
17	engages in planning for all University of California campuses and				
18	locations, including University of California San Diego, and supervises				
19	the making of contracts between the University of California and private				
20	parties.				
21	University of California, San Diego				
22	45. The University of California, San Diego (UCSD) is a public				
23	research university in San Diego, California, and part of the University				
24	of California system.				
25	46. UCSD created the Black Alumni Scholarship Fund (BASF), a				
26	race-based scholarship program, in 1983.				
27	47. UCSD transferred BASF to an off-campus nonprofit, the San				
28	Diego Foundation, in an effort to avoid the prohibition on racial				
	Complaint No6				

preferences in public education enumerated in article I, section 31 of the 1 California Constitution. 2 UCSD conspires with the San Diego Foundation to award 3 48. scholarships on the basis of race. 4 Pradeep K. Khosla 5 Pradeep K. Khosla is the chancellor of the University of 6 49. California, San Diego. 7 Chancellor Khosla is the chief executive officer of UCSD, in 50. 8 which role he administers and manages UCSD. 9 In his role as chancellor, Chancellor Khosla announced the 51.10 Black Academic Excellence Initiative (BAEI). UCSD supports the BASF 11 in part through the BAEI. 12 52.In his role as chancellor, Chancellor Khosla conspires to 13 award scholarships on the basis of race with the San Diego Foundation. 14 53. Chancellor Khosla is sued in his individual and official 15 16 capacities. The San Diego Foundation d/b/a Black Alumni 17 Scholarship Fund 18 19 54.The San Diego Foundation is a 501(c)(3) organization that administers the Black Alumni Scholarship Fund. 20 55. The Foundation manages charitable assets, including 21 scholarship funds. It is the legal and financial administrator of the 22 Black Alumni Scholarship Fund (BASF), the subject of this lawsuit. 23 Although BASF is presented as a distinct scholarship 56. 24 program, it is not separately incorporated and operates as a designated 25 fund within The San Diego Foundation. The Foundation thus exercises 26 control over BASF's assets, application procedures, and eligibility 27 requirements, including the race-based criteria challenged in this action. 28 Complaint

7

No.

57. UCSD transferred its race-based scholarship program, the
 Black Alumni Scholarship Fund, to the San Diego Foundation in an
 effort to avoid the prohibition on racial preferences in public education
 enumerated in article I, section 31 of the California Constitution.

5 58. Although nominally private, the Black Alumni Scholarship
6 Fund operates as a UCSD scholarship and receives support through
7 UCSD's Black Academic Excellence Initiative (BAEI), announced by
8 Chancellor Khosla.

9 59. The San Diego Foundation conspires with UCSD to award
10 scholarships on the basis of race.

11

19

20

21

Ed Spriggs

12 60. Ed Spriggs is the Executive Chair of the Black Alumni13 Scholarship Fund.

14 61. In his role as executive chair, Mr. Spriggs administers BASF,
15 collaborates with the UCSD campus, and raises money for the BASF
16 endowment at the San Diego Foundation.

17 62. In his role as executive chair, Mr. Spriggs conspires with
18 UCSD to award scholarships on the basis of race.

63. Mr. Spriggs is sued in his individual and official capacities.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Black Alumni Scholarship Fund (BASF)

64. The Black Alumni Scholarship Fund (BASF) is a privately
funded scholarship for black students admitted to the University of
California San Diego.

25 65. BASF is racially exclusive, awarding scholarships only to
26 black students.

It requires that students maintain a 2.7 GPA.

28

27

66.

1	67. It also requires that students participate in BASF activities				
2	like mentoring.				
3	68. BASF sends application materials to every admitted black				
4	student, whether a freshman or transfer applicant.				
5	69. The scholarship, according to its website, has a goal of				
6	increasing the proportion of black graduates from UCSD.				
7	70. It claims that it is not subject to California Proposition 209,				
8	which restricts public universities' use of racial preferences, so its				
9	recipients are "100% Black/African American."				
10	Conspiracy with UC San Diego				
11	71. Chancellor Khosla, on behalf of UCSD, created the Black				
12	Academic Excellence Initiative (BAEI), a program closely entangled with				
13	BASF.				
14	72. In a press release, BASF stated that BAEI would raise funds				
15	"to grow the existing, privately administered Black Alumni Scholarship				
16	Fund." UC San Diego Launches Black Academic Excellence Initiative,				
17	BASF (Mar. 8, 2016), https://basf-sandiego.com/uc-san-diego-launches-				
18	black-academic-excellence-initiative/.				
19	73. It included a statement from UCSD's Vice Chancellor of DEI,				
20	Becky Petitt, who said that the "expanded scholarship support" would				
21	"encourage more collegebound black students to consider, apply and				
22	enroll at UC San Diego." <i>Id</i> .				
23	74. UCSD and Chancellor Khosla have full knowledge that BASF				
24	discriminates based on race.				
25	75. Despite that knowledge, UCSD and Chancellor Khosla				
26	continue to encourage, support, facilitate, and fund the program.				
27	76. There are high levels of staff crossover between UCSD and				
28	BASF. At least eight members of the 17-member BASF Board are				
	Complaint No9				

current or former UCSD employees or trustees. About Us, BASF (visited 1 July 10, 2025), https://basf-sandiego.com/about-us/. 2

Two additional members are on the UCSD Alumni Board, 77. 3 and another member is on the UCSD Board of Trustees. 4

78. The UCSD official website for its Black Academic Excellence 5 Initiative (BAEI) indicates financial entanglement with BASF.

79. The website states that the University is "partnering" with 7 BASF to "help grow" BASF's scholarship fund. 8

6

It also says "gifts [to the Initiative] will support privately 9 80. administered scholarships [from BASF]." 10

UCSD is giving BASF the names of admitted students who 11 81. check the Black/African American ethnicity box on their applications. 12 Freshman Applicants, BASF (visited July 10, 2025), https://basf-13 sandiego.com/freshman-applicants/ ("Students who have been admitted 14 to UC San Diego and have identified themselves on the UC application 15 as Black or African American will receive a BASF invitation to apply 16 and a link to the application form."). 17

UCSD has the power to reject an applicant or expel a current 82. 18 student for dishonesty, which would include checking an inaccurate race 19 20 category on their application.

By turning over students' information to a racially 21 83. discriminatory scholarship program, UCSD participates in racial 22 discrimination. 23

Notably, the CFER members did not receive applications for 84. 24 BASF, because they did not check the "Black/African American" box on 25 their applications. 26

BASF students may also have guaranteed or preferred access 85. 27 to UCSD's Summer Bridge Program. Summer Bridge, UC San Diego 28 Complaint No. 10

(last visited Mar. 28, 2025), https://summerbridge.ucsd.edu/.

2 86. This program allows students to get 6 credits before the start
3 of college and is completely free, including room and board.

87. The BASF website states that the "BASF Scholarship
Program includes invaluable academic enrichments preferred by highachieving students like you, such as: Access to summer transition
programs." *Freshman Applicants*, BASF (visited July 10, 2025),

8 https://basf-sandiego.com/freshman-applicants/.

9 88. It further states, "For admitted students . . . , the 5-week on
10 campus or virtual Summer Bridge program is available. However, you
11 must submit a timely Summer Bridge application." *Freshman*12 *Applicants*, BASF (visited July 10, 2025), https://basf-

13 sandiego.com/freshman-applicants/.

14 89. The Summer Bridge program is competitive, and not all15 applicants are admitted.

16

1

The Impact of UCSD and BASF's Racial Discrimination

90. Plaintiffs—including CFER members and Kai Peters—have
suffered and continue to suffer injuries as a direct and proximate result
of Defendants' racially discriminatory conduct.

91. Plaintiff Kai Peters and Member A were denied the
opportunity to compete for the Black Alumni Scholarship Fund (BASF)
solely because of their race. Despite meeting the academic and eligibility
criteria, they were excluded from receiving information about the
scholarship and from applying for its financial and mentorship benefits,
which are made available only to Black applicants.

92. The denial of access to educational resources on the basis of
race has caused them tangible harm, including lost opportunities for

1 financial support, mentoring relationships, and professional

2 development.

93. Plaintiff Peters continues to attend UC San Diego, where the
challenged discrimination remains in effect. BASF and UCSD persist in
administering and promoting a scholarship that explicitly excludes
students based on race.

94. Members of CFER who are currently enrolled or plan to
apply to UCSD face the same exclusion. Their injuries are ongoing and
imminent. These students are deterred from fully participating in
university life, disheartened by discriminatory treatment, and denied
equal access to scholarships and programming sponsored or endorsed by
UCSD.

95. CFER's high school members and other prospective
applicants are reasonably concerned that they will be excluded or
disadvantaged on the basis of race, undermining the fairness of UCSD's
admissions and financial aid environment.

17 96. The harms experienced by Plaintiffs are not limited to
18 economic losses. Defendants' actions have caused dignitary harm,
19 emotional distress, stigmatization, and the denial of equal treatment
20 under the law. Plaintiffs experience being officially classified as
21 ineligible for a UCSD scholarship because of their race.

97. Unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants will continue to
enforce or collaborate in a racially discriminatory scholarship program
in violation of federal and state law. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy
at law and are entitled to declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent the
continuation of this unlawful conduct.

27

28

Complaint

///

No._

2

3

4

5

<u>CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u> First Cause of Action

(42 U.S.C. § 1985 – Conspiracy to Interfere with Civil Rights)

98. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

99. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States
Constitution provides: "No State shall make or enforce any law which
shall . . . deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection
of the laws." U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1.

100. 42 U.S.C. § 1985(3) provides that: "If two or more persons in 10 any State or Territory conspire . . . for the purpose of depriving, either 11 directly or indirectly, any person or class of persons of the equal 12 protection of the laws, or of equal privileges and immunities under the 13 laws; ... whereby another is ... deprived of having and exercising any 14 right or privilege of a citizen of the United States, the party so injured or 15 deprived may have an action for the recovery of damages occasioned by 16 such injury or deprivation, against any one or more of the conspirators." 17

101. Defendants Pradeep K. Khosla, in his individual capacity as
the Chancellor of UC San Diego; The San Diego Foundation as
administrator of the Black Alumni Scholarship Fund; and Ed Spriggs, in
his individual and official capacity as Executive Chair of the Black
Alumni Scholarship Fund are each a "person" liable under 42 U.S.C.
§ 1985.

102. Ed Spriggs oversaw the application of BASF's discriminatory
selection criteria on students, including Plaintiffs, even though he knew
or should have reasonably known that the criteria violated their right to
equal protection of the law. Pradeep Khosla, as Chancellor of UCSD,
knew or reasonably should have known of BASF's unlawful

13

Complaint

No.

discriminatory racial preferences and knowingly contributed to the 1 carrying out of those preferences. 2 103. Defendants have "conspired" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. 3 4 § 1985. 104. BASF discriminates on the basis of race, which, in conspiracy 5 with state actors, violates the Equal Protection Clause. 6 105. This joint discrimination is subject to strict scrutiny because 7 it categorizes individuals on the basis of race, and it cannot survive 8 9 strict scrutiny. 106. Defendants have not attempted to implement any race-10 neutral alternatives and BASF does not provide any end date for its 11 race-based measures. 12 107. The BASF scholarship's racial classifications use race as a 13 negative. 14 108. The BASF scholarship's racial classifications use race as a 15 16 stereotype. 109. BASF applicants, including Plaintiffs, have been and will 17 continue to be harmed by Defendants' racial discrimination. 18 Second Cause of Action 19 (42 U.S.C. § 1981 – Deprivation of Civil Rights Based on Race) 20 110. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each and every allegation 21 contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint. 22 111. Section 1981 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race and 23 protects the right of all persons in every State to make and enforce 24 contracts and to the full and equal benefit of all laws and proceedings for 25 the security of persons and property. 26 /// 27 28 Complaint No.

1	112. Defendants violated § 1981 by purposefully and willfully				
2	denying the equal opportunity to be considered for the BASF				
3	scholarship, and to make and enforce a contract because of race.				
4	113. That racial discrimination interfered with Plaintiffs' rights to				
5	contract for educational benefits on an equal basis.				
6	114. Defendants' actions were in accordance with an official policy				
7	and custom of UCSD.				
8	115. Defendants' actions caused the deprivation of Plaintiffs'				
9	rights.				
10	1. The United States Supreme Court has held that § 1981				
11	protects all persons—regardless of their race—from "discrimination in				
12	the making or enforcement of contracts." McDonald v. Santa Fe Trail				
13	Transp. Co., 427 U.S. 273, 295 (1976).				
14	116. Plaintiffs are members of the racial groups that Defendants				
15	disfavor for BASF scholarships.				
16	117. Defendants' deprivation of Plaintiffs' right to equal				
17	consideration occurred due to Plaintiffs' race. Defendants intended to				
18	discriminate and purposefully discriminated against them on the basis				
19	of race.				
20	118. Persons who suffer discrimination in violation of § 1981 are				
21	entitled to both equitable and legal relief, including damages.				
22	119. Plaintiffs have suffered damages as a result of Defendants'				
23	discriminatory actions.				
24	Third Cause of Action				
25	(42 U.S.C. § 2000d – Intentional Discrimination in Violation of				
26	<u>Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act)</u>				
27	120. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each and every allegation				
28	contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.				
	Complaint No 15 15				

program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."

121. Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act (42 U.S.C. §2000d)

provides, in relevant part: "No person in the United States shall, on the

ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation

in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any

122. UCSD, recipient of federal funds, violated Title VI, 42 U.S.C.
§ 2000(d) et seq., by conspiring with BASF to racially discriminate against students who seek scholarships.
123. UCSD's actions were made under color of law.
124. Title VI is privately enforceable.
125. Discrimination that violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution constitutes a violation of Title VI when committed by an institution that accepts federal funds.
126. An institution's use of race or ethnicity that is in any way motivated by prejudice or a stereotype against a particular group violates Title VI.
127. UCSD has conspired with BASF to exclude Plaintiffs on the basis of race or ethnicity based on prejudicial and stereotypical

127. UCSD has conspired with BASF to exclude Plaintiffs on the basis of race or ethnicity based on prejudicial and stereotypical assumptions about their qualifications and circumstances.
128. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be injured because

128. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be injured because
Defendants have denied and will continue to deny the opportunity to
compete for a BASF scholarship on equal footing with other applicants.

24 129. Plaintiffs are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to
25 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

|||

28

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	Fourth Cause of Action				
2	<u>(Article I, Section 31 of the California Constitution –</u>				
3	Discriminatory and Preferential Treatment on the				
4	Basis of Race)				
5	130. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each and every allegation				
6	contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.				
7	131. Article I, section 31 of the California Constitution provides				
8	that the State of California and its counties and other subdivisions				
9	"shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any				
10	individual or group on the basis of race, sex, color, ethnicity, or national				
11	origin in the operation of public employment, public education, or public				
12	contracting." Cal. Const. art. I, § 31(a), (f).				
13	132. The BASF scholarship discriminates against and grants				
14	preferential treatment to individuals on the basis of race in public				
15	education.				
16	133. The BASF scholarship is not required by "any court order or				
17	consent decree" in force as of November 6, 1996. Cal. Const. art. I,				
18	§ 31(d).				
19	134. The BASF scholarship is not necessary to "establish or				
20	maintain eligibility for any federal program, where ineligibility would				
21	result in a loss of federal funds to the State." Cal. Const. art. I, § 31(e).				
22	135. UCSD, a California state entity, has conspired with BASF to				
23	enact its discriminatory scholarship program.				
24	<u>Fifth Cause of Action</u>				
25	<u>(42 U.S.C. § 1983 and U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1 – Violation of</u>				
26	the Equal Protection Clause)				
27	136. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each and every allegation				
28					
	Complaint No 17 17				

contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

137. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution
provides: "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall . . . deny to
any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." U.S.
Const. amend. XIV, § 1.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

138. 42 U.S.C. § 1983 provides that: "Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress"

13 139. Defendant Pradeep K. Khosla, in his individual and official
14 capacity as the Chancellor of UC San Diego, is a "person" acting under
15 color of state law within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

16 140. By adopting policies and practices that coordinate with or
17 endorse the racially exclusive criteria of the Black Alumni Scholarship
18 Fund (BASF), UCSD and its officials have subjected Plaintiffs to unequal
19 treatment based on race.

20 141. Specifically, UCSD and its officials actively assist in the21 administration of the BASF by:

- a. Sharing race-identified admissions data with BASF;
- 23

24

25

22

- b. Publicly endorsing BASF's racially exclusive scholarship;
- c. Referring students to BASF through university-affiliated programming;
- 26 d. Including BASF in the University's Black Academic
 27 Excellence Initiative fundraising; and
- 28
- Complaint

e. Failing to ensure that scholarships supported by or affiliated

No.

1	with the university are administered without regard to race.
2	142. These actions have resulted in Plaintiffs' exclusion from the
3	BASF scholarship based on their race.
4	143. Plaintiffs have suffered harm, including but not limited to lost
5	financial and mentorship opportunities, emotional distress, and the
6	denial of equal treatment in a public education context.
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:
9	1. A declaratory judgment declaring that the racial preferences in
10	Defendants' BASF program violate the Fourteenth Amendment
11	to the United States Constitution; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
12	of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d <i>et seq</i> ; federal civil rights statutes 42
13	U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and 1985; and article I, section 31 of the
14	California Constitution;
15	2. An injunction preventing UCSD from releasing students'
16	demographic information to BASF and otherwise conspiring to
17	award race-based scholarships;
18	3. An injunction preventing BASF from conspiring with UCSD to
19	award race-based scholarships;
20	4. An award of attorney's fees and costs in this action pursuant to
21	42 U.S.C. § 1988;
22	5. An award of nominal damages in the amount of \$1.00; and
23	6. An award of any further legal or equitable relief this Court may
24	deem just and proper.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Complaint No 19
l	

Case	3:25-cv-01808-BEN-DEB	Document 1 20	Filed 07/16/25	PageID.20	Page 20 of	
1	DATED: July 1	16, 2025.	Respectfull	wauhmittad	1	
2			Respectium	y submitted	1,	
3			LARRY SA			
4			JACK BRO HALEY DI			
5			HALEY DUTCH*			
6			<u>s/ Larry Salzman</u> LARRY SALZMAN			
7						
8			<i>Attorneys fe</i> Email: lsal:	zman@pacif	ficlegal.org	
9			*pro hac vi	ce applicati	ons	
10			forthcoming	g		
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Complaint		20	No		
I	1					